
Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 02:17:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's right, the alternative minimum tax is super-pooppy.

<http://slate.msn.com/id/2098757/>

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 03:14:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's only right to you because you believe all that left-wing propaganda.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 21:14:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you, al franken, for that thoroughly propagandized speech.

Now i'll dump my head in a bucket of ice water to relieve the headache.

0m9 4l Fr4nk3n i5 t3h n008i5h

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 01:47:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bigejoe14It's only right to you because you believe all that left-wing propaganda.

It's only wrong to you because you believe all that right-wing propaganda. :rolleyes:

Personally, I do not believe this "OMGSECRUTTAXXX" thing, it just sounds like real bullshit, but you don't have to put everyone of us in the same damn bag! Doubt that you'd like that if I would.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [gibberish](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 05:27:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

While I don't want to get in the middle of who's tax/fault it is I do think that both parties should make a serious effort to fix (read abolish) AMT.

To be frank I don't see the need for it, surely it would be better to fix the "normal" tax code instead of inventing yet another tax to further complicate things.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 23:56:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sigh...more ndp supporters.

poor bastards haven't got a chance in hell in forming a government. Their policies are too unclear, and poorly planned.

Gotta love that tommy douglas, though. I'll give the NDP that.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 11 Jun 2004 02:05:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Martin, a liar or an incompetent Finance Minister. Vote Liberal!

So far I don't see a single candidate I like, so I have no idea who I'll vote for.

Edit: now back to the show after that brief Canadian Politics banter.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 11 Jun 2004 20:04:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Warranto my friend, there are no good candidates to vote for. Each one of them has an ideology that might work on paper, but they all end up eating crow in the end.

Don't waste your time putting money in their pockets if you can help it. I know they don't help me often enough for me to care for them.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 11 Jun 2004 21:52:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It would be neat if McCain ousts Bush in a Republican Brokeridge convention this election year. Then we would have a McCain/Kerry election, McCain would probably win, and then he would set

about fixing all of Bush's problems.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Fri, 11 Jun 2004 22:30:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

amid_tha_rubblesigh...more ndp supporters.

poor bastards haven't got a chance in hell in forming a government. Their policies are too unclear, and poorly planned.

Gotta love that tommy douglas, though. I'll give the NDP that.

Is that the only thing you can say?

Who cares if they don't form a government? We still need to give them a chance to be heard.

And it's not like if I kept trashing the Conservative Party for being a bunch of 'rednecks'.

Personally I'd rather have a green left government than one that wants to trash the Kyoto treaty which (BY THE WAY) hasn't ruined our economy.

I got my whole pro-Liberal family to vote for them only because I encouraged them to listen to their ideology.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Sun, 20 Jun 2004 03:19:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol

All that I'm saying is that i strongly disprove of the NDP and it's policies. They don't allow individual mp's/candidates to have their own opinions, and they MUST follow the party line. Futhermore, every NDP government ever elected (provincially, they've never been federally elected) has driven the provinces finances into the ground, sometimes into bankruptcy. I love tommy douglas, but the NDP of his day is far from the one that exists today. They want to tax and spend, but they refuse to cut anything, or control spending.

And those are my reasons for notlinking the NDP. It's not an issue of "look at those hippy left wing wackos' it's 'i don't think they're fiscally or socially responsible to the needs of Canadians'. They have their own aganeda, and they want to run wild with it regardless of what the rest of Canada thinks.

But I will say that i DO think we should keep kyoto and I will be in touch with my conservative MP about that. I'm not afraid to tell him that i disagree.

You won't see kyoto scrapped anyways, not under a minority government. No big, controversial changes are happening anytime soon. Wait for the sponsorship report to come out, when we'll have another election in which the liberals get wiped out and a conservative majority forms. People will see the conservatives aren't scary right-wingers like Martin ahs claimed them to be, and they'll be encouraged to vote for them.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Sun, 20 Jun 2004 03:22:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

McCain/kerry will never happen, kerry said it the other day (we knew it all along, anyways)

personally, i would like to see McCain oust Bush. I was for him back in 2000. But i digress. I'd rather see a republican in office than a democrat, and for purely ideological reasons (in the states, anyways. You guys exert WAY too much foreign pressure on other countries [I.E. mine] for me to want to see a democrat in office in the states.)

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Sun, 20 Jun 2004 03:38:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd like to remind you that even if the provincial parties have the same name has the federal ones, they almost nothing related to each other.

Take for example the Liberal party in Québec, they're led by a former conservative candidate and they have nothing to do with federal one.

Plus, do you ever visit the candidates' websites? They have their own opinion, what you said could also apply to that conservative guy who was asked to "shut up" because his opinion was diferent.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Tue, 22 Jun 2004 21:57:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i am aware of the differences between the provincial and federal wings of parties, yes, but they DO follow the same ideological and political guidelines. Looking at layton's platform and proposed policies, it is easy to see that while he claims he will fund everything, he isn't willing to control spending or cut anything, which will end up being necessary. This is what i think was the problem of these provincial NDP wings.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Tue, 22 Jun 2004 22:14:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What you just said just proves that you're not actually following the campaign that much.

He NEVER said that he would fund EVERYTHING, that's just plain idiocy coming from you and from whoever taught you that.

It's obvious that the government needs a reform, it's not like they're going to use the old system for all the stuff they have to do.

Cutting taxes for people who make 15 000\$ and under per year is a great idea.

By the way, since the recent turn around in most parties, they don't. They used to a few years ago, but you can tell it's different now.

By the way, with the recent updates we received from the Conservative party, there's a hole of (if I recall what I heard yesterday) around 4 billion dollars in their budget, now where are they going to get this money? Hum?

Also, what Liberals and Conservatives are proposing about homosexual marriages is just plain bullshit, there's already a clause in the constitution about this... It's up to the churches, temples and everything else to decide if they either want to let them get married in their church or not.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Tue, 22 Jun 2004 22:53:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so I'm an idiot, now am I? Surprise surprise, disagree with a left winger and this is what you get.

I saw the debates, I've read laytons platform. He wants to FUND and FUND and FUND but he hasn't said where he's going to get ALL THE MONEY.

So where is it going to come from?

HIGHER TAXES

And even those won't cover it. The NDP is too left-wing, and their ideological positions are simply UNACCEPTABLE. Further more, their platform has been noted by economists to be fiscally irresponsible.

And if you're wondering where all the money is going to come from for the Conservative platform, I think that has been made clear. Harper plans to cut subsidies to businesses (which he well should - government has no place propping up businesses) as well as shrinking government (which has swelled to gigantic proportions under martin) and controlling spending.

he gets my vote on the 28th, and that's why i volunteer with my local conservative candidate. I've convinced all my friends to vote conservative too.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 23 Jun 2004 06:24:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First you bitch that the Republicans are giving tax breaks to the rich (Which they don't) and now you bitch about a tax on the rich. Another thing... Funny how an accountant and a public school teacher have a combined income of \$230,000. I thought teachers were under paid. Atleast that is what the Democrates say.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Neo](#) on Wed, 23 Jun 2004 06:39:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Seeing how my wife is a Teacher, I can honestly say that they are underpaid.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 23 Jun 2004 14:48:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Neo and I talked about this last night, but I need to say it here.

Teachers get paid 35,000 starting for 9 monthst of work. That is \$11,666.67 every 3 months. If it was a year round job, they would get paid almost \$47,000 for a full year. This is not including the health and retirement benifits. Remember this is starting.

Firefighter and cops... Start at about \$25,000 - \$28,000 a year. We work more hours and we put our lives in danger EVERY day we work. FF won't break the \$55,000 barrier, unless we become an officer. But officers are a very small group. So not everyone will see that through their entire career.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Wed, 23 Jun 2004 15:46:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

amid_tha_rubble

And if you're wondering where all the money is going to come from for the Conservative platform, I think that has been made clear. Harper plans to cut subsidies to businesses (which he well should - government has no place propping up businesses) as well as shrinking government (which has swelled to gigantic proportions under martin) and controlling spending.

he gets my vote on the 28th, and that's why i volunteer with my local conservative candidate. I've convinced all my friends to vote conservative too.

1. I just need to point out one thing about what you said first:
You are NOT an idiot, I said your source was of information was.
 2. Sure, and I presume that the Conservatives don't want to "FUND and FUND and FUND".
 3. Higher taxes for the RICH class.
 4. Boo-hoo, they're too left wing, boo-hoo. The Conservatives had my support before the election began, I'm not a "left-wing guy" or a "right-wing guy", I'm just an observator, but of course since you said you were for the right-wing, left-wing stuff is obviously unacceptable for you.
 5. Analysts took that in consideration, you still have a hole.
 6. I'm not wondering, I know, it's been suggested by the NDP before the election, Harper just stole their idea.
 7. Good for you, I'm not going to disagree with your political choice.
-

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Tue, 29 Jun 2004 03:36:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

canadians demanded worse.

I'm thoroughly disappointed.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Tue, 29 Jun 2004 10:57:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

While I don't care much for the Liberals anymore, I'm quite glad my healthcare isn't being privitized and my troops aren't being sent to Iraq.

Steven Harper is not a good thing for the majority of Canadians -- because the majority of Canadians are not rich.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [MrBob](#) on Tue, 29 Jun 2004 15:24:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You Canadians need a Libertarian Party.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Tue, 29 Jun 2004 16:20:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pfift, you need "free" healthcare and a 15% GST+PST tax on everything you buy.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 01:41:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol fell for the liberal attack ads, eh?

Not good for the rich? Are you aware that Harper's tax cuts would give at least \$1000+ to EVERY canadian family? Even more would have been given to poorer candians, despite what Martin would have us think. Harper was also for democratic and senatorial reform, as well as greater transparency in government - the libs are happy to keep everything appointed and cronied, and to throw everything off to the courts.

We couldn't have sent troops to iraq even if we wanted too, because the liberal governments have cut back the military as much as possible. Our guys and gals are over in afghanistan dying in iltas' and our air force is dying in Sea kings.

the liberals are the worst party we could have chosen to govern us...again.

And if you'r talking about health care, then what about the 25 billion Paul martin cut from the system when he was finance minister? Since the libs have been in power waiting lists have gotten longer, beds, doctors and nurses have disappeared, and Canadians are dying on wait lists. Martin has no room to talk on health care. Not only that, but quebec has 17 PRIVATE MRI CLINICS. Paul martin was content to harp on the west and Klein, while conveniently ignoring his OWN HOME PROVINCE'S PRIVATE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM.

Thanks for chickening out Ontario. You'll get the government you deserve, the one you voted for - A corrupt, cronied, appointed, undemocratic, thieving den of liberals who are content to split the country again and again.

Adscam, the national 'UNITY' fund? Yeah, all that did was ensure that MORE SEPERATISTS ended up in power in quebec. Martin played regions against each other, east vs. West, Ontario vs. Quebec, etc. etc.

I'm not going to respond to this anymore because i'm disgusted with it, but let's just say we'll get what we asked for - unfortunately.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 05:18:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

amid_tha_rubbleSince the libs have been in power waiting lists have gotten longer, beds, doctors and nurses have disappeared, and Canadians are dying on wait lists. Martin has no room to talk on health care. Not only that, but quebec has 17 PRIVATE MRI CLINICS. Paul martin was content to harp on the west and Klein, while conveniently ignoring his OWN HOME PROVINCE'S PRIVATE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM.

Such is the grim reality of a healthcare system controlled by the government. That's why the United States doesn't have a socialized healthcare system.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 13:19:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And why the United States does have 44 million uninsured Americans.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 13:46:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why is it the government's job to make sure someone is insured?

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 13:53:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiAnd why the United States does have 44 million uninsured Americans.

Who still have access to speedy healthcare irregardless of Insurance status.

Hospitals takes millions in losses treating unisured patients, but no one is denied care. While not perfect, it is better than socialized healthcare that the dems would have you beleive is the answer.

Privatization breeds inventiveness, and competitiveness where socialization breeds laziness, and complacency.

I tire of Democrats telling us the answer to our problems is for the goverment to take control, and provide everything for us. Get off your asses, and go to work and EARN your way in this world.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:38:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In all fairness though, privatized healthcare does have some very dangerous negative points. It doesn't take a genius to see that. The question shouldn't be whether private or socialized healthcare should be mandated, it should be how can (if possible) they be compromised for the poor family who doesn't make enough money to feasibly pay off an open heart surgery.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:44:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The whole matter can't be resolved by partisan politics, that's for sure.

If congress was intelligent, they should hand the whole thing off to a consortium of Professionals from the Medical, Insurance, Legal, and Governmental fields. They can then make thier recommendations, and the Congress can vote yea, or nay.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:49:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only problem with that is the budget and the demands. Insurance will bitch for more and more money to feed into their pockets. They do it here. I mean, just for example, I was looking at a 1984 Porsche 972 (I think it was) for \$8800. The insurance would've cost me more than the car. And that was the absolute lowest I could get. If that were the case, I'd rather not have auto insurance simply because any repairs/injuries would probably cost me less than my annual insurance tab. Demands would get out of control, people would whine, and congress would just want to stop putting up with it.

It's sad really that all those rich idiots can't ever seem to get enough.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:51:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ah yes, the good 'ole lobbyists coming in to re-write the bills to help themselves. Just like the new Energy bill. If docotrs and people were willing to offer enough money to the Republicans in Congress, they could walk right in and make any and all changes that they wanted. This is generally not good.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:53:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiAh yes, the good 'ole lobbyists coming in to re-write the bills to help themselves. Just like the new Energy bill. If docotrs and people were willing to offer enough money to the Republicans in Congress, they could walk right in and make any and all changes that they wanted. This is generally not good.

True to bipartisan doctrine, you have missed the core of what I was stating.

The governmental representatives (duly appointed by the lower house of reps) would counterbalance the others.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:58:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, for aall of the lobbyists running around right now, i wouldn't expect a bill that benefits everyone to come out of a bunch of "experts."

Every American should have the basic right to life. If they need medical care, they should be able to get medical care. Pretty much all of the modernized countries in the world today have available medical care for all, except the U.S. If we took all of the money we waste on crap programs like Star Wars, and put it in to the medical insurance for all pot, many fewer people would die as a result from diseases and injurys that can be treated. If a child has child leukemia, but no health insurance, hospitals sort of shake their heads and say they can't do anything about it. If the child does have insurance, then the hospitals say, "Okay, that's easy to treat." America shouldn't work this way. Everyone should have access to good medical treatment, regardless of how rich or poor they are.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 15:11:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiWell, for aall of the lobbyists running around right now, i wouldn't expect a bill that benefits everyone to come out of a bunch of "experts."

Every American should have the basic right to life. If they need medical care, they should be able to get medical care. Pretty much all of the modernized countries in the world today have available medical care for all, except the U.S. If we took all of the money we waste on crap programs like Star Wars, and put it in to the medical insurance for all pot, many fewer people would die as a result from diseases and injurys that can be treated. If a child has child leukemia, but no health insurance, hospitals sort of shake their heads and say they can't do anything about it. If the child does have insurance, then the hospitals say, "Okay, that's easy to treat." America shouldn't work this way. Everyone should have access to good medical treatment, regardless of how rich or poor they are.

And what would the Democratic solution be for a guy like me that holds a job, and pays 1/5 of my pay to ensure that my family has benefits?

Because if you tell me that people with no jobs get the same treatment as someone like me with two freakin jobs , then you can stick that theory where the sun doesn't shine.

What incentive is thee for me to even work then? I can just sit at home, collect welfare, get my free food, free home repairs, and healthcare.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 15:15:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Optional tax? An oxymoron, but there would always be some people who would pay it.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 15:40:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

KirbyWhat incentive is thee for me to even work then? I can just sit at home, collect welfare, get my free food, free home repairs, and healthcare.

You'd still live in poverty. What I'm suggesting is that any American citizen who needs medical attention should be able to get it. I don't necessarily agree with those other things you listed.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 16:43:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiYou'd still live in poverty. What I'm suggesting is that any American citizen who needs medical attention should be able to get it. I don't necessarily agree with those other things you listed.

What you're suggesting is another government bureaucracy, which isn't the solution to poor people having no health insurance. Where in the Constitution does it say a person has a right to healthcare? Where does it say the government should provide healthcare for its citizens? It's not the government's place to give out free money for medical care. There are plenty of private organizations to do it for them. You ask where should a poor person turn to for help with medical coverage. They should look to either providing it for themselves or for help from close friends and family. If those prove absolutely impossible, they should look to the local church or other private charities for financial help. Never should they look to the government for help. It's not its place.

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [amid_tha_rubble](#) on Wed, 07 Jul 2004 15:57:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

regardless of the fixing our system needs, i still have free health care, and if i get cancer and need chemotherapy or surgery, then it's free. If I get hit by a bus on the way to work, i can go to the hospital right away, and not pay a cent.

And in regards to someone's earlier claim of 44 million uninsured americans still having "speedy access" to health care - that's a farce. They're uninsured because they can't pay. If they can't pay, how are they supposed to have speedy access to a system that will bill you \$21 for a pill of tylenol?

This is my last post here btw. Cheers!

Subject: The AMT Sucks.

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Sat, 10 Jul 2004 23:31:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MrBobYou Canadians need a Libertarian Party.

Lol, we have one, glad it's not a conservative government.
