
Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 14:49:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pretty good source of news.

Also check out <http://www.rense.com>

If you want to know the bigger picture check them news sites.
their not biased towards anyone.

The real losers of the war will be the civilians and soldiers who will get injured and killed in this war.

The victors will be the politicians who are waging this war sitting safely behind their desks while playing with innocent lives.
Sending your family members to fight and kill, while their sons and daughters and friends are safe with jobs which are far away from front lines.
Bush and co, are their sons in the front line or any other politicians sons or daughters facing danger from the war.

The oil corporations will make billions from that iraqi oil.
contracts from oil companies have already been signed.
How many oil companies bush and co own.

US has gone to war without UN authority.
which makes it illegal under international law.

Isn't this what saddam did 12 years ago when he went to war against kuwait without UN authority .
Even though he had every right to go to war as kuwait was part of iraq before 1950 the british split the country and named the small part kuwait.

saddam allegedly gassed his own people the same gas US sold him MR Rumsfeld and him were chums when US sold him chemicals to harm people. Iraq used gas against enemy soldiers not his own people, it was the iranians who used gas against the kurds.

What about in WACO when the agents gassed their own people in that compound David koresh and his members were gassed.
isn't that gassing your own people.

If US Government was honest about disarming dictators then it should start by disarming itself and all the other nations which have them.
They should start by example not just cutting a few hundreds but all of them.

North korea which has said it has nukes and threatened US with nuke attack. why aren't they getting attacked.
maybe they have no oil, or maybe they have the means to defend themselves i.e they have

nukes.

If saddem did have any nukes then US when not invade out of fear saddem will use the nukes.

I do not support saddam, I hate that man he is a dictater but then their are dictaters in other countries also.

Saddem was an ally to the US before 1990,
After 1990 he served his purpose and now he will be disposed off.

source: <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com>
<http://www.rense.com>
as well as other news sites.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 15:28:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ummmm, that's not biased?

C'mon. One of the articles is "Has Zionism triumphed?"

If that isn't biased, I don't know what is.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 16:12:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a-days...

havocsnipe

The victors will the politicians who are waging this war sitting safely behind their desks while playing with inocent lives.

Sending your family members to fight and kill, while their sons and daughters and friends are safe with jobs which are far away from front lines.

Bush and co, are their sons in the front line or any other politicians sons or daughters facing danger from the war.

Lets try ... the victors will be the Iraqi people & the rest of the world because it will be a safer place. Thanks for the attempted CLASS DIVISION. The old divide and conquer thing still working for your side ? We normally try for the unite approach but if that doesn't work we will say 'fuck it'. Although politicians do not share the same 'class'/'social status' as the people (common American), this is apart of your delusions in life that we want to throw away Americans like paper

dolls. See unlike Saddams theory on 'the general population' we prefer to utilize OURS by letting them prosper in freedom.

havocsnipe

The victors will the politicians who are waging this war sitting safely behind their desks while playing with innocent lives.

Sending your family members to fight and kill, while their sons and daughters and friends are safe with jobs which are far away from front lines.

Bush and co, are their sons in the front line or any other politicians sons or daughters facing danger from the war.

The oil corporations will make billions from that iraqi oil.

contracts from oil companies have already been signed.

How many oil companies bush and co own.

Try pilfering from a site with better grammatical skills. :rolleyes:

Who will come out on top financially ? I'm so pleased to meet someone who knows how much this will cost since we are technically still in DAY ONE. Do you even grasp the kind of things that are going to have to happen for Bush to complete his goals of rebuilding Iraq ? On the note of \$MONEY\$ though I HOPE your aware the French & Germans have been selling the Iraqi's military supplies. Those anti-war French as RECENT AS JANUARY OF THIS YEAR. I wouldn't want the USA to go in either if the Iraqi's had my new gear laying around.

[/quote]

havocsnipe

If US Government was honest about disarming dictators then it should start by disarming itself and all the other nations which have them.

They should start by example not just cutting a few hundreds but all of them.

North korea which has said it has nukes and threatened US with nuke attack. why aren't they getting attacked.

maybe they have no oil, or maybe they have the means to defend themselves i.e they have nukes.

If saddem did have any nukes then US when not invade out of fear saddem will use the nukes.

I do not support saddam, I hate that man he is a dictator but then there are dictators in other countries also.

Saddem was an ally to the US before 1990,

After 1990 he served his purpose and now he will be disposed off.

Saddam was our enemies enemy. Just like the Russia was during WWII, just like 100 other examples of situation like THIS. When the US realized giving this guy military equip[etc] isn't a good idea. He was no longer our 'ally' because of the common enemy bond we had was now gone because of the cost/gain issue. In short we decided not to be pal's with Saddam anymore.

The Korean forces you refer to still have diplomatic options left. This has only recently in the lime light not an on going twelve year Saddam disarmament falacy. Add to that recent global terrorism awareness & the fact that Saddam allows(&supports \$ wise) terrorist to seek harbor in his country + train there = Hey he's had 12years of fun-n-the-sun. Lets go get Saddam so he can't shares ANYMORE of his chemical+biological+nuclear capabilities with any FUCKING CRAZY TERRORIST. Poor Saddam..all these big bad capitalist pigs out to get him..Snap out of it ! SADDAM is the CAUSE war is the EFFECT. The UN has chosen to let Iraq disgrace them on the world platform by not enforcing RESOLUTIONS THEY ALL AGREED TO. You see stuff like this in their reports...its laughable :

The UN Funny Farm

B. Concealment investigations---(6 October 1997)

126. There is incomprehension of why Iraq is persisting so strongly with both refusing to make the facts known about its biological weapons programme and why it is so insistent on blocking the Commission's own efforts to reach those facts.

What would someone want to do with offensive based chemical weapons, biological weapons, nuclear capabilities, a long range missile program AND a missile guidance system. We'll the UN has their top guys working on this issue and this is what they said : "We should know in a matter of months, give or a take a few decades for a margin of error based on THE CONTINUED PATTERN OF LYING & CONCEALMENT that has taken place from DAY ONE."

The FIRST PHASE is identification & then elimination of items in violation. His job was to provide us the evidence or the units to destroy. TWELVE YEARS LATER we STILL have not completed THE FIRST 1/2 OF THE FIRST PHASE IN STEP ONE. Saddams goal is armament.

He will play the "UN Inspections" game as long as you will let him. We have already know he developed NEW WEAPONS that are in violation so please dont say "oh but he doesn't have any and he's certainly not building them". Check out the guys track record before you go running around with his flag in your hand.

Think about that for a little while..

We should all be aware of Saddam's murdering/lying/concealing ways by now.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 16:46:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The accuser US claims Iraq still has weapons of mass destructions. Iraq claims it has not got any, The UN could not find any weapons like that and still wants to search. How can Iraq now prove to the US it has no weapons.

How can anyone provide prove that you have not got what you are looking for.

Why can't the US provide the international community any SOLID EVIDENCE that Iraq has weapons of mass destruction.

If US can have thousands of nukes and has used them.
Is is very hypocritical for them to say other countries can't have them.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 16:53:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Any biulding of iraq will go towards US corporations and some to UK.
so there is lots of money to be made. cantracts have already been
signed.

The billions on cantract to drill oil and rebuild oil refinarys and search for new oil went to russia
and france . but now after this war it will go to US corporations. Some of which are owned by
BUSH and CO.

You see there is Billions of \$\$ to be made. As well as controlling this oil rich region.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 16:56:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeWhy can't the US provide the international community any SOLID EVIDENCE that
iraq has weapons of mass destruction.

We have been providing evidence. American intelligence reports led weapons inspectors to
several prohibited things the Iraq 'forgot' to mention in their 12,000 page report of nothing. Powell
went to the UN and presented alot of evidence Iraq is actively trying to hide its weapons.

Oh and by the way. Iraq fired a scud missile at Kuwait a few hours ago. That's one of the
prohibited things Saddam said he didn't have any more

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:01:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeAny biulding of iraq will go towards US corporations and some to UK.
so there is lots of money to be made. cantracts have already been
signed.

The billions on cantract to drill oil and rebuild oil refinarys and search for new oil went to russia
and france . but now after this war it will go to US corporations. Some of which are owned by
BUSH and CO.

You see there is Billions of \$\$ to be made. As well as controlling this oil rich region.

Overthrowing Saddam is going to cost an awful lot. The cost may outweigh any money we make rebuilding Iraq. If this was about oil and money then we would have cut a deal with Saddam (like France did) and forsaken the suffering Iraqi people.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:10:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so US intelligence reports told the UN inspectors several prohibited things Iraq did not mention in that report.

ok so what were them several things Iraq did not mention?

Did US have any EVIDENCE to show the UN that Iraq have has them several things and were are they in Iraq.

What happened to the offer were the Iraqi scientists and their family to be shipped out of Iraq so the US can interview them.

the scientists will not worry about their family as they will be safe out of Iraq.

Why did the US turn this down.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:15:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Before the invasion of Kuwait were the US told Saddam if he invades Kuwait then it's his business, But US attacked Iraq destroyed Iraq infrastructure and has been since 12 years bombing Iraq on a daily basis.

Iraq has been bombed for 12 years nearly everyday by US war planes.

Do think Saddam then will give the contracts to the US.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:20:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipe Before the invasion of Kuwait were the US told Saddam if he invades Kuwait then it's

his bussiness, But US attacked Iraq destroyed iraq infrastructure and has been since 12 years bombing iraq on a daily basis.

Iraq has been bombed for 12 years nearly everyday by US war planes.

Do think saddam then will give the contracts to the US.

Installtions that interfered with our ability to safely patrol no fly zones and the like have been taking bombs from us for a long time now because they are in direct conflict with the stipulations laid down for Iraq to comply with.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:25:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Those no fly zones are illegal.

No country has a right to tell another country where you can and not fly.

UN has not given any authority to them no fly zones.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:26:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeso US intelligence reports told the UN inspectors several prohibited things Iraq did not mention in that report.

ok so what were them several things Iraq did not mention?

Did US have any EVIDENCE to show the UN that Iraq has these several things and where are they in Iraq.

What happened to the offer where the Iraqi scientists and their family to be shipped out of Iraq so the US can interview them.
The scientists will not worry about their family as they will be safe out of Iraq.

Why did the US turn this down.

For starters, illegal chemical weapons artillery shells. They were fairly new and had recently had been filled with chemicals.

As far as the scientist thing goes the US was begging the weapons inspectors to get scientists and their families out of Iraq. The weapons inspectors refused at first, and then Iraq refused to let

it happen. More Iraqi non-compliance.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:29:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeThose no fly zones are illegal.

No country has a right to tell another country were you can and not fly.

UN has not given any authority to them no fly zones.

The no fly zones are perfectly legal. Iraq lost a war, that was one of the consequences.

The UN has no authority. Its a place for countries to try to resolve problems diplomatically. It is not a government.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:22:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeThose no fly zones are illegal.

No country has a right to tell another country were you can and not fly.

UN has not given any authority to them no fly zones.

See this is how it works...when you act like *an ass* then lose the war YOU CAUSED, when the war is over; you AS THE LOSER do not get to make the terms of cease fire...thats reserved for the victor. Especially considering how bad we made Saddam and his Elite Republican Guard look...

Please work on your english.

The One

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [eggmac](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:22:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NeoSaber

The UN has no authority. Its a place for countries to try to resolve problems diplomatically. It is not a government.

You managed to use exactly the same, 100%ly the same reasoning as Saddam Hussein!
Congratulations.
Expect your house to be bombed by the US military in the next 48 hours.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:23:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The artillery shells which the UN found had nothing in them
THEY WERE EMTY.
No chemicals in them at all.
UN did not find any trace of chemicals.

Iraq did offer the scientists.
It was the US which refused. As then the truth about US fallacy will be out to the the world
community.

No fly zones were never acceppted by iraq as result of their defeat in kuwaut.

Hence no fly zones are illegal iraq has every right to defend it's air space to which it never
accepted. it was imposed on it by US.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:32:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeNo fly zones were never acceppted by iraq as result of their defeat in kuwaut.

Hence no fly zones are illegal iraq has every right to defend it's air space to which it never
accepted. it was imposed on it by US.

When you LOSE THE WAR, that's what happens. TheOne already said this. You aren't given the
privilege to 'accept' anything. You pretty much have to take what you're given.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [\[sgj\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:32:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeThe artillery shells which the UN found had nothing in them
THEY WERE EMTY.
No chemicals in them at all.
UN did not find any trace of chemicals.

Iraq did offer the scientists.

It was the US which refused. As then the truth about US fallacy will be out to the the world community.

No fly zones were never accepted by Iraq as result of their defeat in Kuwait.

Hence no fly zones are illegal Iraq has every right to defend its air space to which it never accepted. It was imposed on it by US.

The warheads were IN MATERIAL BREACH...VIOLATION...COMPRENDE?

The scientist WERE NOT ALLOWED TO LEAVE THE COUNTRY. Most were interviewed with Iraqi 'officials' present or tape recorded their conversation so they could prove to Saddam they didn't tell any of his dirty little secrets..unless they want to join the list of murdered.

If you only interview scientist in the country and DO NOT allow them & their family leave the country "interview" = bullshit. The USA has chosen NOT to CONTINUE w/Saddam's GAMES. Because later that night when you are asleep in your bed in Iraq with your family VERY BAD THINGS ARE GOING TO HAPPEN.

I'm pretty sure the UN imposed the no fly zone, we happen to help enforce it.

Let the PROPAGANDA GO. I will NOT tolerate idiot speak here in THIS forum. All lies, attempts at deception & general unfounded un-American rhetoric etc including poor Saddam evil USA will be met with a brutal wave of FACTS.

The followers of *your* movement may have no interest in the back of facts but I find it offers me most comfortable positions in my statements.

The One

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:33:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

eggmac You managed to use exactly the same, 100%ly the same reasoning as Saddam Hussein! Congratulations.

I was just pointing out that things aren't legal because the UN says they are. In the case of Iraq, the US did allow the UN to take the lead in disarming Saddam. Saddam lost a war. His penalty was he had to do what the US told him to. The US said he had to be disarmed and agreed to let the UN do it. The UN has no authority over the US, but by because of Saddam's own actions he is required to listen to the UN.

That's why Saddam is wrong and we are right.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:00:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

those empty warheads, were already on the report years back.
the UN had already had info on them.

That was nothing new.

the scientists were allowed to go by saddam. but US changed their minds cause they wanted war.
they wanted war in the beginning.

when clinton was in power.

donald rumsfeld approached clinton and told him to make war on iraq as it's a threat. but clinton said no the UN inspections are working. lets stick to something which works.

There is no justifiable reason for USA to go the war against iraq.

this is outright aggression against an already impoverished nation.

Israel has been in breach of countless UN resolutions for the past 50 years The US should bomb them.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:07:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipethose empty warheads, were already on the report years back.
the UN had already had info on them.

That was nothing new.

the scientists were allowed to go by saddam. but US changed their minds cause they wanted war.
they wanted war in the beginning.

The warheads were found to be relatively new, perhaps a year old. They were being hidden from the weapons inspectors. The US said where to find them, and we were right.

I'd really like you to cite a source about those scientists. The US kept asking the weapons inspectors to bring scientists out of the country.

How could the US have even refused. It was supposed to be up to the weapon inspectors. If they wanted to bring out a scientist and Iraq would allow it, it would have happened. Even if the US had opposed it like you say.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:14:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipethose emty warheads , were already on the report years back.
the UN had already had info on them.

That was nothing new.

the sceintists were allowed to go by saddam. but US changed their minds cause the want war.
they wanted war in the begining.

when clinton was in power.

donald rumsfeild approaced clinton and told him to make war on iraq as it's a threat. but clinton
said no the UN inspections are working. lets stick to something which works.

There is no justifiable reason for USA to go the war against iraq.

this is outright agression against a an already impovrished nation.

Isreal has been in breach of countless UN resoltuions for the past 50 years The US should bomb
them.

You should really keep up on the news...or should I say the news from YEARS BACK. Bush gave
some of the exact same speaches on Iraq as Clinton (I'll find them later) but this time everyone
flips out..what for ? Clinton said it everyones was clapping, patting the fool on the back but now
when it says "R" for republican by the name NOOOOOO YOU WAR MONGER LEAVE THE
INNOCENT IRAQI government alone !

Yes AGAIN the warheads were EMPTY. They were in VIOLATION because of their ABILITY. If
this was 'no big deal' then why did the interntation community make it a big deal..

The current state of fucking-hell in Iraq is Saddams faults. As I stated in another thread. It has
been PROVEN that :

Saddam has taken (STOLEN) general units of assistence (earth moving vehics, transport vehics)
and converted them for MILITARY USE.

Saddam has taken food units from the Oil for Food program / UN assistance programs and SOLD
THESE UNITS ON THE BLACK MARKET FOR MORE \$\$.

People in HIS COUNTRY live sub-average lives because of the conditions in Iraq while Saddam
was building more palaces to BOOST HIS TWISTED EGO.

You should most definatly prepare yourself to be SWAMPED with more FACTS than you can
come up with lies for because I have the next two days off.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:15:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The empty warheads were not in the breach of UN resolutions if they were then UN would have gone to war.

US would of then used that argument to get UN authority to invade Iraq.

Iraq already had them down on the 12000 page report.
besides the empty warheads are a threat to no one.

Iraqi scientists what use would they when US would take their word.
so that idea was abandoned.

Check this out

The top National Security Council official in the war on terror resigned this week for what a NSC spokesman said were personal reasons, but intelligence sources say the move reflects concern that the looming war with Iraq is hurting the fight against terrorism.

<http://www.rense.com/general35/tearr.htm>

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:19:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear President Bush. Thank you for liberating me from my eyes!

<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com>

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:20:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeThe empty warheads were not in the breach of UN resolutions if they were then UN would have gone to war.

US would of then used that argument to get UN authority to invade Iraq.

Iraq already had them down on the 12000 page report.
besides the empty warheads are a threat to no one.

Iraqi scientists what use would they when US would take their word.
so that idea was abandoned.

Check this out

The top National Security Council official in the war on terror resigned this week for what a NSC spokesman said were personal reasons, but intelligence sources say the move reflects concern that the looming war with Iraq is hurting the fight against terrorism.

<http://www.rense.com/general35/tearr.htm>

LOL

Iraq has been DEFYING THE UN FROM DAY 1. If UN inspectors found nukes a few days ago France and the like would be yelling LOOK INSPECTIONS ARE WORKING. Your a little late on trying to update me, I read that news hours ago.

You mean the 12000 page report that almost mirrored the first one and STILL LACKS INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR 'SUPOSED' destruction of WHO KNOWS WHAT when no one was looking ?

"Iraqi sceintists what use would they when US would take their word. so that idea was abandend. " You may want to stick to the same story when spreading lies.

Try not to make it so easy, I can do this stuff off the top of my head.

Edit : You can OBVIOUSLY see here that the UN resolutions on Iraq aren't UNDERSTANDING when it comes to nuclear/bio/chem capable war heads...

The UN

UNSCOM

Reports to the Security Council

25 January 1999

ANNEX D

ACTIONS BY IRAQ TO OBSTRUCT DISARMAMENT

1. The history of the Special Commission's work in Iraq has been plagued by coordinated efforts to thwart full discovery of Iraq's proscribed programmes. These policies and actions began immediately following the adoption of Security Council resolution 687 (1991). It is against this backdrop that the significant positive and negative results described in the weapons annexes should be seen. What follows is a brief summary of the Commission's current understanding of the evolution of these concealment policies and practices.

2. Immediately following the Gulf war, the Iraqi Presidency collected reports on weapons remaining with Iraq's Armed Forces after the war, including its weapons prohibited by recently adopted resolution 687(1991). Such documents were provided to the Presidency in the spring of 1991. A decision was taken by a high-level committee (one of whose members was Deputy Prime Minister Mr. Tariq Aziz) to provide to the Commission only a portion of its proscribed weapons, their components and production capabilities and stocks. The policy, as deduced from a range of evidence available to the Commission including the initial false Iraq's declarations, was based on the following Iraqi actions:

-- provide a portion of their extant weapon stocks, with an emphasis on those, which were least modern.

- retain production capability and the "know-how" documentation necessary to revive programmes when possible
- conceal the full extent of chemical weapons programmes, including its VX project, and retain production equipment and raw materials
- conceal the number and type of BW and CW warheads for proscribed missiles
- conceal indigenous long-range missile production, and retain production capabilities, specifically with respect to guidance systems and missile engines
- conceal the very existence of its offensive biological weapons programme and retain all production capabilities

3. Iraq had initial success in much of its concealment efforts, but, based, presumably, on early experience with the IAEA and the Special Commission in inspection activities, Iraq, took a subsequent decision in late June of 1991 to eliminate some of these retained proscribed materials, on its own, and in secret and in such a way that precise knowledge about what and how much had been destroyed would not be achievable. This decision and action by the high-level committee was a so-called "unilateral destruction". It was taken following an incident in June 1991 when IAEA inspectors, following an inspection that turned confrontational at Abu Ghraib, obtained photographic evidence of retained nuclear weapons production components.

4. Iraq did not admit to its illegal unilateral destruction until March 1992, approximately nine months after the destruction activities, and even then only after the Commission indicated it had evidence that Iraq retained weapons after its supervised destruction. Iraq states that "The unilateral destruction was carried out entirely unrecorded. No written and no visual records were kept, as it was not foreseen that Iraq needed to prove the destruction to anybody." Such an approach also indicates that Iraq intended to pursue a policy of concealment in its relations with the Commission and the IAEA."

FYI - IAEA = International Atomic Energy Agency

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:23:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipebesides the emty warheads are a threat to no one.

They were designed to fire chemical weapons. That fact that they exist is a threat.

havocsnipeCheck this out

The top National Security Council official in the war on terror resigned this week for what a NSC spokesman said were personal reasons, but intelligence sources say the move reflects concern that the looming war with Iraq is hurting the fight against terrorism.

<http://www.rense.com/general35/tearr.htm>

The argument that the Iraq war is hurting the war on terrorism holds no water. One of the biggest leaders of Al-Qaeda was arrested even with the looming war.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:34:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(quote)

This is a very intriguing decision (by Beers)," said author and intelligence expert James Bamford. "There is a predominant belief in the intelligence community that an invasion of Iraq will cause more terrorism than it will prevent. There is also a tremendous amount of embarrassment by intelligence professionals that there have been so many lies out of the administration -- by the president, (Vice President Dick) Cheney and (Secretary of State Colin) Powell -- over Iraq."

Bamford cited a recent address by President Bush that cited documents, which allegedly proved Iraq was continuing to pursue a nuclear program, that were later shown to be forgeries.

click on the link and read for more info.

from. <http://www.rense.com/general35/tearr.htm>

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:49:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

President bush said his aim is to liberate people of iraq.
One of his stragey will be shock and awe to liberate them.

He will first liberate 500.000 iraq's.

Life is cheap for the bushes. much cheaper then oil.

Qoute:

Russian Expert Predicts 500,000 Iraqi Dead
in War Designed To Test Weapons.

link: click to read more info.

<http://globalresearch.ca/articles/SLI303A.html>

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:50:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeBamford cited a recent address by President Bush that cited documents, which allegedly proved Iraq was continuing to pursue a nuclear program, that were later shown to be forgeries.

Yeah, someone dropped the ball on that one. However, It doesn't change the fact that Saddam is a brutal tyrant that needs to be dealt with before his power can grow.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 19:57:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeQuote:
Russian Expert Predicts 500,000 Iraqi Dead
in War Designed To Test Weapons.

link: click to read more info.
<http://globalresearch.ca/articles/SLI303A.html>

That's a load of crap. We don't need to test precision weapons any more. They are fully tested. This guy is mixing up causes and effects.

Cause: war is approaching, presenting problems to deal with.

Effect: New weapons are designed to deal with these problems. (This is how things like depleted uranium shells and bunker buster missiles were made.)

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 20:31:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the depleted uranium shells from the last war caused lots of cancer to the citizens of Iraq and also to the US troops who served in the last war.

This latest sandstorm which is still occurring all around the troops contains this depleted uranium, now US troops are breathing this.
Bush don't give a dam about this cause the symptoms will appear long after the war has finished.

Depleted uranium once inhaled into the lungs causes long term suffering and painful death. like I said Bush and co will never send their kids to fight this war.

People who support this are cowards, it's all fine sitting in front of your telly or PC and say this war is good. You not in danger,
Go out and fight the Iraqis yourselves.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 20:37:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

" I see in the near future a crisis approaching that unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. As a result of the war, corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until all wealth is aggregated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed. I feel at this moment more anxiety for the safety of my country than ever before, even in the midst of war" -- Abraham Lincoln (Nov. 21, 1864 letter to Col. William F. Elkins)

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 20:41:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipethe depleted uranium shells from the last war caused lots of cancer to the citizens of iraq and also to the US troops who served in the last war.

This latest sandstorm which is still occurreing all around the tropps contains this depeleted uranium, now US troops are breathing this.

Bush don't give a dam about this cause the sytoms will appear long after the war has finished.

Depleted uranium once inhaled into the lungs causes long term sufering and painful death. like I said bush and co will never send their kids to fight this war.

People who support this are cowards, it's all fine sitting in front of your telly or PC and say this war is good. Your not in danger,
Go out and fight the iraq's yourselves.

I never said depleted uranium was healthy, I was talking about what brought about its use. Geez, is that all you could come up with? I talk about the causes and effects of how weapons come to be and all you can talk about is the health hazards of depleted uranium.

I'd say people who don't support the war are cowards. They are too afraid about what harm may come to them to try to help fellow human beings.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 20:42:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipe" I see in the near future a crisis approaching that unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. As a result of the war, corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until all wealth is

aggregated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed. I feel at this moment more anxiety for the safety of my country than ever before, even in the midst of war" -- Abraham Lincoln (Nov. 21, 1864 letter to Col. William F. Elkins)

Your point?

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 20:47:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another reason why US did not like the idea to interview iraqi sceintists.

This big iraqi defector claimed iraq has destroyed all WMD and chemicals weapons. His story was not told in the media. it was kept sercret.

click on this link to find out more.
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article1733.htm>

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 20:51:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeAnother reason why US did not like the idea to interview iraqi sceintists.

This big iraqi defector claimed iraq has destroyed all WMD and chemicals weapons. His story was not told in the media. it was kept sercret.

click on this link to find out more.
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article1733.htm>

Saddam's chief nuclear weapons scientist defected a few years ago. He says that if left alone Saddam could have a working nuke in a matter of months.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:00:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't support this war cause it's unjust .
The reason why US is attacking iraq is dominate the middle east.

The middle east holds most of the worlds recources, whoever controls that area controls the world. Does'nt take a rocket sceintist to figure this out.

Why do you think russia france and china disagree with this war.

Only cowards keep silent and make others go to war.

you want this war bad.
go fight it yourself.

Don't you give dam about US troops inhaling depeleted uranium getting cancer and then die. All for what. Is this what they get.

At least them iraq's who will fight they will die defending their land.

news reporters speaking to normal iraq's in baghdad said they will defend their land against the agressor.

no iraq's soldiers were nearby. this i seen on TV earlier.

So the Iraqi people don't want this war.

it shows this war is not for the iraqi people, rather to kill them.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:08:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipenews reporters speaking to normal iraq's in baghdad said they will defend their land against the agressor.

no iraq's soldiers were nearby. this i seen on TV earlier.

On the contrary, people talking to reporters would shout out "We will fight America to the death" and then promptly whisper "Actually they will be welcomed here." Tom Brokaw said this after he returned from Iraq.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:18:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Any country has the means of making nukes.
Does this mean US should invade all of them.

That defector the top iraqi sceintist by the way how much money did he get paid .
I'm not sure too.

He said saddam had the means of making them but has not made any.

This don't prove saddam has nukes. nor proves he has the the materials to make a nuke
,Materials are needed to be imported from different countries.
now which country will will sell saddam nuke materials.

An african country was accused 2 weeks ago that it tried to export uranium to iraq. but that was all bull. there was no proof to back this accusation.

No case for this war.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:19:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipel don't support this war cause it's unjust .
The reason why US is attacking iraq is dominate the middle east.

The middle east holds most of the worlds recources, whoever controls that area controls the world. Does'nt take a rocket sceintist to figure this out.

Why do you think russia france and china disagree with this war.

France has invested much in Saddam, Russia wants the money Saddam owes them, and China will do just about anything that goes against the US.

havocsnipeOnly cowards keep silent and make others go to war.

you want this war bad.
go fight it yourself.

Don't you give dam about US troops inhaling depeleted uranium getting cancer and then die. All for what. Is this what they get.

At least them iraq's who will fight they will die defending their land.

I find it funny how you can make comments like this without knowing a thing about me. I do care greatly for the well being of US troops. I'll have you know that the military knows the dangers of depleted uranium and has methods of protecting our soldiers.

If for some reason I was called to fight this war I would. However, like I said you know nothing about me, so I'll fill you in. I happen to have a very weak immune system and get sick very easily. I just got over a cold that left me nearly bed ridden for weeks. It wasn't a very strong virus and would only effect a normal person for a week tops. However after 6 weeks I'm only just starting to get my strength back. I would probably do little more then get in the way of US soldiers.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:21:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NeoSaber On the contrary, people talking to reporters would shout out "We will fight America to the death" and then promptly whisper "Actually they will be welcomed here." Tom Brokaw said this after he returned from Iraq.

I'm not saying I don't believe you, in fact, the exact opposite... but what is your source? I'd like to see it.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:25:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson I'm not saying I don't believe you, in fact, the exact opposite... but what is your source? I'd like to see it.

I wish I knew where to provide one (other than myself), but this came from a tv interview with Tom Brokaw upon his return from Iraq. I don't remember what I was watching (something on NBC), so I don't know where a transcript would be.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:30:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipenow which country will will sell saddam nuke materials.

France would do it, or he'd just get it illegally. A couple men were caught near the Iraqi border in Turkey a few months ago with something like a hundred pounds of uranium.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:34:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I do apologise, you were right I didn't know you had a health problem.

but the troops are going they are risking their lives not to defend US. not to liberate the iraq's.

after saddam is ousted , US will install a military regime subservient to the Bush and not the iraqi poeple. They now if they step out of line like saddam did the US will take em out.

All these bullets and bombs the US will use will be paid out by the new regime when they start to sell the oil.

So the US is not doing this out of charity.

The US told france the billions it will lose and same with russia if it supported the war then it will have the chance to mak that money, France declined this offer so did russia this is not about the losing money. this about who has the greater influence in the middle east.

you see saddam used to be pro US . then he changed to pro france and russia. And now the new regime will be pro US.

france and russia are opposed to this.

Where is this democracy . US will choose the new leader not the poeple of iraq.

I have heard and seen the iraqi poeple in Tv saying the will fight the US. the news reporter of SKY TV said there are no iraqi troops anywere near this poeple. either the that reporter is lying . or your man is.

maybe he talked to kurds who are against saddam.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:41:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipel have heard and seen the iraqi poeple in Tv saying the will fight the US. the news reporter of SKY TV said there are no iraqi troops anywere near this poeple. either the that reporter is lying . or your man is.

maybe he talked to kurds who are against saddam.

Tom Brokaw was talking to individuals on the streets of Baghdad. When he was able to get away from his government escorts for a few minutes he would get questions like "Are the Americans coming soon?" and statements like "They will be welcome here."

Neither reporter is necessarily lying. It has been shown that many of the Iraqi people believe that Saddam's secret police can hear them even if they are not around. The Sky TV reporter may not have come across someone brave enough to speak up.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:44:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Depleted uranium poses absolutely no health risks. They use it becuas eit is extremely hard.

Noone got cancer from them. That is a total lie to make the US look bad.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:49:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On your earlier topic about how the US knows about depleted uranium and will take precautions.

I don't think that is true.

look at the last war how many US troops as well as british troops suffered from inhaling uranium they go in thir hundreds if not thousands.

US new about this but did nothing. like i said they will not send their own sons to battle.

the depleted uranium left in the air has contaminated crops water and animals as well humans from the last war.

As a result 5 thousand iraqi children die or get cancer and suffer a slow death.

Thats 5 thousand a month since 1991.

Now they will do the same thing again.

Soon there will not be a society left in iraq. probably a disfigured disabled society.

You know what, the iraq's can't even buy proper medication to treat these victims cause of the sanctions as you know this has been happening for 12 years.

US excuse is saddam may use them for military purposes.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:56:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hear are some proof that inhaling depleted uranium is very harmful to one persons health and to the environment.

http://www.cadu.org.uk/info/veterans/7_2.htm

<http://www.ius.bg.ac.yu/apel/du-articles.html>

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:01:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No there is a very small health risk. You wil lnot get cancer unless you handle the uranium and then eat it and take a bath with it. Trust me I have handled thesh eshells my parents have handled these shells and hundreds of thousands of other have and i have heard notihng but lies about it form people like you. Its depleted not impleted!

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:04:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeOn your earlier topic about how the US knows about depleted uranium and will take precautions.

I don't think that is true.

The US didn't know during Desert Storm, it was too experimental back then and the military didn't understand the risks.

I happen to know someone who works at a military arsenal (where new weapons are made). He said they do now know about the dangers of breathing depleted uranium fumes and have come up with precautions to keep soldiers as safe as they can.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:06:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerNo there is a very small health risk. You wil lnot get cancer unless you handle the uranium and then eat it and take a bath with it. Trust me I have handled thesh eshells my parents have handled these shells and hundreds of thousands of other have and i have heard notihng but lies about it form people like you. Its depleted not impleted!

Its not radioactivity that's the problem, I think that's what you are talking about. Depleted uranium weapons can create a uranium vapor when used. Breathing that can be extremely hazardous to your health.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:10:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NeoSaberNodbuggerNo there is a very small health risk. You wil lnot get cancer unless you

handle the uranium and then eat it and take a bath with it. Trust me I have handled these shells my parents have handled these shells and hundreds of thousands of others have and I have heard nothing but lies about it from people like you. It's depleted not depleted!

It's not radioactivity that's the problem, I think that's what you are talking about. Depleted uranium weapons can create a uranium vapor when used. Breathing that can be extremely hazardous to your health.

Ya but that's still very unlikely because you would have to be right there to breathe it in on account that the uranium is vaporized in the explosion.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:15:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Back then were the US military using Iraqi civilians and US troops as experiments for depleted uranium shells.

Now troops have precautions, but what about the environment and Iraqi civilians aren't the US giving them anything to take precautions.

Seems to me Iraqi life is cheap.

New weapons will be tested on US troops and civilians, 10 years later US military will say we did now about these new weapons, but we do now and we have taken precautions.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:17:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerYa but that's still very unlikely because you would have to be right there to breathe it in on account that the uranium is vaporized in the explosion.

I have seen things about how tanks destroyed with depleted uranium weapons become a hazard due to the remaining uranium vapor. I can't quote anyone on that though, so it might not be true.

However, the guy I know at the military arsenal said that depleted uranium, when fired, can leave toxic remnants in the barrel of the tank that fired it. There are now special cleaning solutions that are used to clean out the barrels of the tanks so that soldiers won't be harmed by it.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:18:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Toxins from NATO Bombs Endangering Six Countries Besides Serbia; Use of Depleted Uranium Shells Condemned by Experts

ATHENS, Apr. 10 - Greek experts registered an increase in levels of toxic substances in the atmosphere of Greece, and said that Albania, Macedonia, Italy, Austria and Hungary all face a potential threat to human health as a result of NATO's bombing of Serbia, which includes the use of radioactive depleted uranium shells.

Prof. Christos Zerefos, a member of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and director of the world center for ozone cartography, said that one day after the start NATO's attack on Yugoslavia, Greek experts discovered in the atmosphere dioxin and particles of the group of toxic agents known as furanes, which pose a high risk for human health of the entire region.

Meanwhile, back in New York, the International Action Center, a group that opposes the use of depleted-uranium weapons, called the Pentagon's decision to use the A-10 "Warthog" jets against targets in Serbia "a danger to the people and environment of the entire Balkans".

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:20:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipeBack then were the US military using iraqi civilians and US troops as experiments for depleted uranium shells.

Now troops have precautions, but what the environment and iraqi civilians aren't the US giving them any thing to take precautions.

seems to me iraqi life is cheap.

New weapons will be tested on US troops and civilians, 10 years later US military will say we did now about them new weapons, but we do now and we have taken precautions.

I'm having trouble reading that. Are you claiming that we are experimenting on the Iraqi people?

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:21:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

radiation expert Rosalie Bertell said depleted uranium is highly toxic to humans. Bertell, president of the International Institute of Concern for Public Health, called its use in Yugoslavia radiation and toxic chemical warfare that must be denounced.

Some experts also warned of the environmental hazards posed by depleted uranium, which has a half-life of 4.5 billion years. "In Yugoslavia, it's expected that depleted uranium will be fired in agricultural areas, places

where livestock graze and where crops are grown, thereby introducing the specter of possible contamination of the food chain," said Paul Sullivan, executive director of the National Gulf War Resource Center.

Last year, Iraqi doctors said they feared a disturbing rise in leukemia and stomach cancer among civilians who live near the war zone may be linked to depleted uranium contamination of Iraqi farmland.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:22:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In the areas where depleted uranium was used in southern Iraq, a number of serious health problems have emerged among both soldiers and civilians.

For instance, there has been a 66% increase in leukaemias and cancers in southern Iraq. There has also been a marked increase in the numbers of children born with birth malformations, with horrific reports of 3 children in one family being born with severe congenital malformations.

There are also large numbers of soldiers who served in the Gulf with Allied forces and in the Iraqi army, who are now suffering from mysterious illnesses - often referred to as Gulf War syndrome. Many of these illnesses reflect those seen among Iraqi children and civilians. For example, of the 697,000 US troops who served in the Gulf, over 90,000 have reported medical problems. There are also defects reported among their newborn children. In a veterans community in Mississippi, 67% of the children were born with malformations.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:54:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipe In the areas where depleted uranium was used in southern Iraq, a number of serious health problems have emerged among both soldiers and civilians.

For instance, there has been a 66% increase in leukaemias and cancers in southern Iraq. There has also been a marked increase in the numbers of children born with birth malformations, with horrific reports of 3 children in one family being born with severe congenital malformations.

There are also large numbers of soldiers who served in the Gulf with Allied forces and in the Iraqi army, who are now suffering from mysterious illnesses - often referred to as Gulf War syndrome. Many of these illnesses reflect those seen among Iraqi children and civilians. For example, of the 697,000 US troops who served in the Gulf, over 90,000 have reported medical problems. There are also defects reported among their newborn children. In a veterans community in Mississippi, 67% of the children were born with malformations.

Have you ever thought there may be other benefactors? aybe their diet? Or i nthese tribes the gene is dominantT? I mena look at rusisa the only palce that doesnt have a 100% cancer risk is

moscow.becuas ethey dumped nuelcear waste everywhere. that could be rpooff Saddam is hiding things. The point is to get this stuff form depleted uranium you would have to pretty much eat it and then live with it in a sealed roon.

Subject: www.whatreallyhappened.com
Posted by [Walrus](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 23:10:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Its not Uranium I would be bothered about. (Yes the shells do break up on contact and not many

contact and the rest just lie there. Those things stay live for a long time, well long enough for some one to step on them.
