
Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:30:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here is a short immature conversation with everyones favorite aprime.
Just proves that Anti-war people cannot come up with arguments and have no support facts to prop up their argument.

dw2mc: ok, aim disonnected me

dw2mc: ok, now back to it

dw2mc: are you tlaking about destrying uor wepoans or his wepaons?

Aprime14: Go back to school.

dw2mc: Sorry its dark

dw2mc: Are you talking about his weapons our our weapons

dw2mc: destroying the,

dw2mc: m

Aprime14: UN = World Peacekeepers

dw2mc: UN= United nations

Aprime14: US = Threat to worldwide Security

dw2mc: UN is pretty much a pto meeting of the world

Aprime14: security*

Aprime14: Still, who still cares about the germans killing millions of jews?

Who cares about the Saudi-Arabia full of terrorist camps and Al-Quaeda supporters?

Who cares about the Pakistan nukes?

Who cares about N-K threatening US?

But why everyone cares about the trained-by-french Iraqii army

Saddam = Evil

Bush = Evil

Both = Warmongers

Bush = Catholic freak

Saddam = Islamic freak

What happens when both are fighting each other?:They fuck!

LET UN DO THEIR FUCKING JOB,yet it's too late since Bush killed UN.

Aprime14: It's family bs

dw2mc: ...

Aprime14: And you know it.

dw2mc: the UN isnt a epace keeping force

Aprime14: UN = Peacekeeping

dw2mc: its to keep other countries informed

Aprime14: US = War keeping

dw2mc: of other countries
Aprime14: you don't even know the true purpose of UN.
Aprime14: Imfao
dw2mc: You just have a twisted view of everything
Aprime14: Look who's talking
Aprime14: The brainwashed by cnn propaganda american
dw2mc: Ya the true purpose it to give little countries a say in something that doesn't matter anyway
dw2mc: I have never watched CNN
Aprime14: I can't change your mind since you're one brainless patriotic american.
Aprime14: But I know the truth.
dw2mc: brainless patriotic american.
dw2mc: hm...
dw2mc: i have a brain
dw2mc: yes i support my country and yes im an american
dw2mc: You have no idea what the truth is
dw2mc: everyone has secrets
Aprime14: and you have no idea what intelligence is.
dw2mc: there are things that people know that you would have never suspected
dw2mc: and see all you do is insult the person
Aprime14: I
Aprime14: D
Aprime14: I
Aprime14: O
Aprime14: T
dw2mc: you can't even stay on topic
Aprime14: Cause it's boring
dw2mc: Just shows your childish ignorance
dw2mc: C
Aprime14: and useless, since I can't change the thoughts of a retarded american
Aprime14: We all think that cnn is propaganda here
dw2mc: Once the questions get too hard you just insult everyone
Aprime14: Too hard? no, most of the time, I can't tell what I think cause I don't know what word to use.
Aprime14: Remember, english isn't my first language.
dw2mc: exactly it confuses you
Aprime14: It doesn't
Aprime14: doesn't*
dw2mc: also proves you don't even know the definition of propaganda
Aprime14: Heh.
dw2mc: [http://syd7.ausgamers.com:8080/^1360460913/ftp1/022003/doaebv_venus .zip](http://syd7.ausgamers.com:8080/^1360460913/ftp1/022003/doaebv_venus.zip)
Aprime14: Anyways, I can't speak to idiots
dw2mc: oops
dw2mc: advertisement for toothpaste is propaganda
Aprime14: you're already dropping propaganda shit in Iraq.
Aprime14: Shame
Aprime14: And bush also asked Iraqii army to not destroy the oil fields, of course here we know why
dw2mc: Ya we tell them that civilians are not the target we want is Saddam

dw2mc: Ya becuae after we liberate them thats all Iraq has. There economy is oil based
Aprime14: Can't you understand, behind politians many people are agains't america
Aprime14: Canadian politicians are mostely full of shit
dw2mc: 70% of the world is pro-war
Aprime14: ya, that's what your bushy said
dw2mc: the other 30% or Iraqis and other misc arab countriesd
dw2mc: I mena if you go to France hte only one who is agaisnt it are the usual hippies and chirac
Aprime14: What about UK, idiot
dw2mc: The uk is totoally for the war
dw2mc: 100k people is nothing
Aprime14: You're brainwashed ,I can see it , only by the way you talk
Aprime14: Dude.
Aprime14: Everyone in uk is agains't the war.
dw2mc: too bad im typing
Aprime14: wake up idiot
dw2mc: Againwith the insults
Aprime14: Oh yes, typing .
dw2mc: i have woken up have amde my decision and i have agreed with Bush
Aprime14: and to suck his dick
dw2mc: I could go to you and say you are rbaniwahsed ebcuase you dont wantr togo to war
dw2mc: And you are poor at insults
Aprime14: Look, everyone here thinks that the war is pointless.
Aprime14: But!
dw2mc: I think you should come back to reality
Aprime14: We were all supporting war in afghanistan
dw2mc: Noone i know is agaisnt it
Aprime14: Here,HERE, IN CANADA
Aprime14: and again
dw2mc: my whole school is for it except for a select few who are dumbasses anyway
Aprime14: Go back to your dang school
dw2mc: my town has a Kick Saddams ass banner hanging up
Aprime14: see , the world is divided.
dw2mc: ya 70% is divided
Aprime14: What's wrong , I mean, jeesh, when we don't want to follow you, you're mad.
Aprime14: That's stupid
dw2mc: Thats ebcuase you are worgn in the matter
Aprime14: I can easily compare US to the roman empire.
dw2mc: we have given facts supporting evidence what have you given?
Aprime14: Dude
dw2mc: a few assumption and risk we are willing tot ake
Aprime14: I will agree with you only when you'll learn properly your language.
Aprime14: Until then
dw2mc: The Roman emprie was good until a German emperor came into power and totally fucked it up
Aprime14: Fuck you and you're pointless bullshit
Aprime14 signed off at 10:27:39 PM.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:34:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bush isn't Catholic.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:38:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Saddam isn't Islamic.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:43:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Aprime14: I will agree with you only when you'll learn properly your language. "

LOL

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 05:16:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow! A conversation between two people. You've got me convinced. What more proof could you need? :rolleyes:

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 07:30:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Perhaps proof of you shutting up? Or how about you posting something worth responding to?

Aprime14: and useless, since I can't change the thoughts of a retarded american

Aprime14: We all think that cnn is propaganda here

dw2mc: Once the questions get too hard you just insult everyone

Aprime14: Too hard? no , most of the time ,I can't tell what I think cause I don't know what word to use.

Aprime14: Remember, english isn't my first language.

dw2mc: exactly it confuses you

LOL

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 13:21:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ofcourse, I nearly forgot Aprime was appointed world-wide Anti-war president and speaks for all even slightly anti-war ppl in the world :rolleyes:

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 17:31:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aprime employs the useless tactic of AD HOMINEM. If you can't win the argument, insult the person you're arguing/debating with instead.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Carl](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 18:05:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonAprime employs the useless tactic of AD HOMINEM. If you can't win the argument, insult the person you're arguing/debating with instead.

Yes ack is very fond of that if i recall....

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:54:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarlCrimsonAprime employs the useless tactic of AD HOMINEM. If you can't win the argument, insult the person you're arguing/debating with instead.

Yes ack is very fond of that if i recall....

Add kirby to the list. He implied I was a Nazi. And he has used racial slurs to describe the French. And claimed that the French are "not a race" due to their "weakness."

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [bigwig992](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:09:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not against the whole "save the Iraqi's" campaign. Think about it, a dictator no better than Stalin himself. And the Iraqi's should just abandon their tanks, and sit by and watch a laser guided bomb come down on top it. No point in more blood shed. We want to save people, not just go crazy and kill all the "bad Iraqi's". If we wanted to be a big bully and go on killing sprees, we would

use carpet bombing, not precision weapons. Why would you want to fight for a dictator?

I dont like Bush at all, and i think he just jumped into this war for some kind of family legacy, I hate the war itself, but if we can go over there and give people Human Rights, then I'm all for it.

If your going to hate anyone, hate Bush, not our troops out there.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:23:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448CarlCrimsonAprime employs the useless tactic of AD HOMINEM. If you can't win the argument, insult the person you're arguing/debating with instead.

Yes ack is very fond of that if i recall....

Add kirby to the list. He implied I was a Nazi. And he has used racial slurs to describe the French. And claimed that the French are "not a race" due to their "weakness."

For the love of jebus... France is not a race. France is a country, and they have ties to Iraqi oil and the Iraqi government. Haven't you seen anything about that yet?

Carl, please shut up -- you do the exact same thing and claim that others do it. :rolleyes:

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [IceSentry](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:39:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarlCrimsonAprime employs the useless tactic of AD HOMINEM. If you can't win the argument, insult the person you're arguing/debating with instead.

Yes ack is very fond of that if i recall....

I've noticed that also.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 22:46:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bigwig992I'm not against the whole "save the Iraqi's" campaign. Think about it, a dictator no better than Stalin himself. And the Iraqi's should just abandon their tanks, and sit by and watch a laser guided bomb come down on top it. No point in more blood shed. We want to save people, not just go crazy and kill all the "bad Iraqi's". If we wanted to be a big bully and go on killing sprees, we would use carpet bombing, not precision weapons. Why would you want to fight for a dictator?

I dont like Bush at all, and i think he just jumped into this war for some kind of family legacy, I hate the war itself, but if we can go over there and give people Human Rights, then I'm all for it.

If your going to hate anyone, hate Bush, not our troops out there.

Why hate Bush what did he do? Is is doing everyhting by the book. Hr id protecting his people and many others. He is freeing an oppressed people/ He is tkaing out a world threat and he is the main memeber of the UN and is following what the UN said to do.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 23:13:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who wrote your book and let's hope it didn't teach you your english. j/king.

Are Americans a race?

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 23:32:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448Who wrote your book and let's hope it didn't teach you your english. j/king.

Are Americans a race?

Well my typing skills are poor. I tend to hit letters before they need to be hit. I type so fast i forget to

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 01:44:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerPerhaps proof of you shutting up? Or how about you posting something worth responding to?

Proof of me shutting up? What an intelligent statement. :rolleyes:
And obviously I did post something worth responding to, if you took the time to respond to it.
Or maybe you want me to post something that has not grounding in reality or is full of grammatical and spelling mistakes, so you can correct their mistakes and keep feeling superior...

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 13:17:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nodbuggerbigwig992I'm not against the whole "save the Iraqi's" campaign. Think about it, a dictator no better than Stalin himself. And the Iraqi's should just abandon their tanks, and sit by and watch a laser guided bomb come down on top it. No point in more blood shed. We want to save people, not just go crazy and kill all the "bad Iraqi's". If we wanted to be a big bully and go on killing sprees, we would use carpet bombing, not precision weapons. Why would you want to fight for a dictator?

I dont like Bush at all, and i think he just jumped into this war for some kind of family legacy, I hate the war itself, but if we can go over there and give people Human Rights, then I'm all for it.

If your going to hate anyone, hate Bush, not our troops out there.

Why hate Bush what did he do? Is is doing everyhting by the book. Hr id protecting his people and many others. He is freeing an oppressed people/ He is tkaing out a world threat and he is the main memeber of the UN and is following what the UN said to do.

I don't think Iraq is a "world threat", that honor is more suitable for North-Korea when they have finished their nukes. Bush' motives for the war are distracting the public from the fact that, unless his promises, Bin Laden still hasn't been found, the economy is going rapidly downwards, war seems to get the economy back up usually. Also, because Iraq is an easy prey, his chances of getting re-elected are rising as well.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 13:46:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NHJ BVNodbuggerbigwig992I'm not against the whole "save the Iraqi's" campaign. Think about it, a dictator no better than Stalin himself. And the Iraqi's should just abandon their tanks, and sit by and watch a laser guided bomb come down on top it. No point in more blood shed. We want to save people, not just go crazy and kill all the "bad Iraqi's". If we wanted to be a big bully and go on killing sprees, we would use carpet bombing, not precision weapons. Why would you want to fight for a dictator?

I dont like Bush at all, and i think he just jumped into this war for some kind of family legacy, I hate the war itself, but if we can go over there and give people Human Rights, then I'm all for it.

If your going to hate anyone, hate Bush, not our troops out there.

Why hate Bush what did he do? Is is doing everyhting by the book. Hr id protecting his people and many others. He is freeing an oppressed people/ He is tkaing out a world threat and he is the main memeber of the UN and is following what the UN said to do.

I don't think Iraq is a "world threat", that honor is more suitable for North-Korea when they have finished their nukes. Bush' motives for the war are distracting the public from the fact that, unless

his promises, Bin Laden still hasn't been found, the economy is going rapidly downwards, war seems to get the economy back up usually. Also, because Iraq is an easy prey, his chances of getting re-elected are rising as well.

North Korea should be take care of diplomatically. Osama is no longer a threat and Saddam pretty much wants the world destroyed.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 16:39:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerNHJ BVNodbuggerbigwig992I'm not against the whole "save the Iraqi's" campaign. Think about it, a dictator no better than Stalin himself. And the Iraqi's should just abandon their tanks, and sit by and watch a laser guided bomb come down on top it. No point in more blood shed. We want to save people, not just go crazy and kill all the "bad Iraqi's". If we wanted to be a big bully and go on killing sprees, we would use carpet bombing, not precision weapons. Why would you want to fight for a dictator?

I dont like Bush at all, and i think he just jumped into this war for some kind of family legacy, I hate the war itself, but if we can go over there and give people Human Rights, then I'm all for it.

If your going to hate anyone, hate Bush, not our troops out there.

Why hate Bush what did he do? Is is doing everyhting by the book. Hr id protecting his people and many others. He is freeing an oppressed people/ He is tkaing out a world threat and he is the main memeber of the UN and is following what the UN said to do.

I don't think Iraq is a "world threat", that honor is more suitable for North-Korea when they have finished their nukes. Bush' motives for the war are distracting the public from the fact that, unless his promises, Bin Laden still hasn't been found, the economy is going rapidly downwards, war seems to get the economy back up usually. Also, because Iraq is an easy prey, his chances of getting re-elected are rising as well.

North Korea should be take care of diplomatically. Osama is no longer a threat and Saddam pretty much wants the world destroyed.

If Iraq cannot be taken care of diplomatically, what makes you think North-Korea can? Kim-Jung-II is not any better than Saddam Hussein, and is prety much starving his own population. Why won't they be freed of an tyrannic regime? And you can be sure Osama Bin Laden sits somewhere in a cave planning the next terrorist act against the US. Saddam may have wanted to "destroy the world", but I don't think he is/was capable of that at all.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 20:49:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well if you look at it Bush's plans are made of logic. But logic on how to further himself. What does

N. Korea have that interests him? Iraq has oil for him to make billions from. N. Korea, well it has a dictator he can take credit for disarming.

Let's see:

billions+a pension fund>re-election+a few more years of presidential salary

Greed corrupts power.

And my question lies unanswered.
Are americans a race?

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 20:53:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, american is a race. race means not only caucasian, negroid etc. but also a group of people from a certain nationality or geographical region.
as for the rest of your post, it's just the same stupid assed liberal crap that has been spewing out ever since a democrat focus group told a bunch of democrat leaders that it would work on simple minds so i won't address it further.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:15:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

imo, a race can never be the same as a nationality, therefore french for example are not a race.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:20:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NHJ BVimo, a race can never be the same as a nationality, therefore french for example are not a race.

well now, we don't actually go by your defination now do we? :rolleyes:
let's see what the dictionary has to say. ok?

race1 (P) Pronunciation Key (rs)
n.

A local geographic or global human population distinguished as a more or less distinct group by genetically transmitted physical characteristics.

A group of people united or classified together on the basis of common history, nationality, or geographic distribution: the German race.

A genealogical line; a lineage.
Humans considered as a group.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:28:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you spot. We have just proved Aircraftkiller incorrect in his claim the French aren't a race.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:35:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spotelmoNHJ BVimo, a race can never be the same as a nationality, therefore french for example are not a race.

well now, we don't actually go by your defination now do we? :rolleyes:

let's see what the dictionary has to say. ok?

snip

But my dictionary says nothing about nationality and common history

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:36:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you writing your own dictionaries now? my american, websters and online dictionary all do.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:42:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Problem is, not all dictionaries are the same, they should standardize them...

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:51:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

there is a difference between nationality and race. That definition just prvoes Ack is correct.
French people are cuacasion. You neevr see a Black eprosn that has ancestors have alwyas

been french.

Negroid
Cuacasion
Mongoloid

are the only races.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2003 21:54:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nodbuggerthere is a difference between nationality and race. That definition just prvoes Ack is correct. French people are cuacasion. You neevr see a Black eprosn that has ancestors have alwyas been french.

Negroid
Cuacasion
Mongoloid

are the only races.
a country is also a race... the german race is the most popular use

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Dishman](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2003 02:16:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have long since ignored nearly all political standpoints, they are all supported by secrets that I have no tolerance for. I do not like people who say Bush is an evil dictator who only does this for the sake of finishing what the first Bush didn't complete. Bush may just be the person to save the U.S. and maybe the world from a threat of massive potential (yet we aren't sure of anything, now are we?). I also do not want this to get too in-depth, like Vietnam turned out to be (as a variable example). This "terrorism threat" could turn out to be more than we asked for. Along those lines, Bush did get into this rather dilligently, though we have been battling this stressfull "threat" for quite some time now. I am neither pro-war or anti-war, I merely hope that since we got into this, the leaders of these countries know enough of what they're doing to end this quickly and precisely.

There's my 2 cents, go buy a beer.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2003 02:39:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nodbuggerthere is a difference between nationality and race. That definition just prvoes Ack is correct. French people are cuacasion. You neevr see a Black eprosn that has ancestors have always been french.

Negroid
Cuacasion
Mongoloid

are the only races.

Nationality can be considered Race.

www.dictionary.com

1. A local geographic or global human population distinguished as a more or less distinct group by genetically transmitted physical characteristics.
2. A group of people united or classified together on the basis of common history, nationality, or geographic distribution: the German race.
3. A genealogical line; a lineage.
4. Humans considered as a group.

Biology.

1. An interbreeding, usually geographically isolated population of organisms differing from other populations of the same species in the frequency of hereditary traits. A race that has been given formal taxonomic recognition is known as a subspecies.
2. A breed or strain, as of domestic animals.
5. A distinguishing or characteristic quality, such as the flavor of a wine.

[French, from Old French, from Old Italian razza, race, lineage.]

Usage Note: The notion of race is nearly as problematic from a scientific point of view as it is from a social one. European physical anthropologists of the 17th and 18th centuries proposed various systems of racial classifications based on such observable characteristics as skin color, hair type, body proportions, and skull measurements, essentially codifying the perceived differences among broad geographic populations of humans. The traditional terms for these populationsCaucasoid (or Caucasian), Mongoloid, Negroid, and in some systems Australoidare now controversial in both technical and nontechnical usage, and in some cases they may well be considered offensive. (Caucasian does retain a certain currency in American English, but it is used almost exclusively to

variety of peoples generally categorized as nonwhite.) The biological aspect of race is described today not in observable physical features but rather in such genetic characteristics as blood groups and metabolic processes, and the groupings indicated by these factors seldom coincide very neatly with those put forward by earlier physical anthropologists. Citing this and other pointssuch as the fact that a person who is considered black in one society might be nonblack in anothermany cultural anthropologists now consider race to be more a social or mental construct than an objective biological fact.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [sg]theOne on Sat, 22 Mar 2003 10:32:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448Well if you look at it Bush's plans are made of logic. But logic on how to further himself. What does N. Korea have that interests him? Iraq has oil for him to make billions from. N. Korea, well it has a dictator he can take credit for disarming.

Let's see:

billions+a pension fund>re-election+a few more years of presidential salary

Greed corrupts power.

And my question lies unanswered.
Are americans a race?

Here let me help you...no Americans OBVIOUSLY are not a race.

You've still got the peices in the wrong places...

You see France & Germany dont want to goto war because they have business/debts w/the Iraqi government. They are afraid if a regime change happens their economy will go even FARTHER south than it currently is...

The BBC

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/business/2542047.stm>

Unemployment in Germany has risen above the politically sensitive 4 million level, reaching its highest November level in five years.

The number of Germans without a job rose by 96,100 to 4,025,842, unadjusted for seasonal factors, last month, the country's federal labour office said.

The rise, which took the unemployment rate to 9.7% compared with 9.4% in October, affected in particular the former communist east of the country.

The unemployment rate in eastern Germany hit **17.6%**, compared with 7.8% in the west of the country.

" At the start of 2003 we will certainly see a rise in unemployment to over 4.5 million
Ralph Solveen, Commerzbank"

The U.S.A. Burea of Labor & Statistics
Regional Unemployment (Seasonally Adjusted)

Among the four regions, the Midwest recorded the lowest unemployment rate in January, 5.3 percent, followed by the South, 5.4 percent. The West continued to report the highest rate, 6.2 percent, although its rate was down 0.3 percentage point from December. The remaining three regions posted smaller over-the-month jobless rate decreases. Over the year, only the Northeast had an increase in its unemployment rate (+0.3 percentage point), as the other

three regions had small declines.

Among the nine geographic divisions, the West North Central again reported the lowest jobless rate, 4.3 percent in January, while the Pacific division continued to register the highest unemployment rate, 6.5 percent. All geographic divisions posted over-the-month rate decreases, with the largest decline recorded in the Pacific (-0.4 percentage point). Over the year, the East South Central had the largest jobless rate decline (-0.5 percentage point), while the Middle Atlantic and New England divisions posted the largest increases (+0.4 point each).
(--Putting us somewhere around 5.8%--)

dunno...

French Confidence Declines to Five-Year Low

By Francois de Beaupuy

Paris, Feb. 4 (Bloomberg) -- Confidence among French consumers, whose spending accounts for more than half of Europe's third-largest economy, slumped to a five-year low as unemployment climbed.

An index based on a government survey of 2,000 people fell to minus 22 from minus 17 in December. Optimism is also waning in Germany and Italy, which together with France account for about three-quarters of the gross domestic product of the euro nations.

"Confidence is weak throughout Europe and unemployment adds to the problem," said Peter Lemmer, a fund manager at Gerling Investment in Cologne, Germany, who recently sold 13,000 shares in French retailer Pinault-Printemps-Redoute SA. "I see no reason why it should improve."

Companies from Alcatel SA to Fiat SpA are trimming workers as the region's economy heads for its first contraction since the end of 2001. Dwindling consumer confidence reduces the prospects for a rebound in coming months while factories trim production. France's unemployment rate rose to 9.1 percent in December.

"2003 will still be a difficult year," Jean-Pascal Beaufret, chief financial officer of Alcatel SA, said on a conference call with journalists. Europe's biggest maker of telecommunications equipment is eliminating 40 percent of its workforce.

The Dow Jones Stoxx 50 Index fell for the first day in six, shedding 2 percent to 2224.0 as of 12:13 p.m. in Paris. The index declined 37 percent last year. The yield on the 4 3/4 percent French government bond maturing in 2012 fell 6 basis points to 4.06 percent. A basis point is 0.01 percentage point.

'In the Abyss'

France's jobless rate, fifth highest in the 15-member European Union, will probably rise to 9.3 percent by the middle of the year, the government forecasts. Unemployment climbed to 9.1 percent in December and the number of people seeking work increased to a 28-month high of 2.45 million.

``We're in the abyss," said Daniel Tournez, general secretary of Indecosa-CGT, a consumers' association affiliated with CGT, France's second-biggest labor union. ``It's hard to boost spending when morale is so low."

Remember the German president is the one who rode in on the coat-tails on 'anti-war' so who do you think is the country w/it's hands tied against logical reasoning...

For the recap...

Ameria's unemployment rate - 5.8%

France's unemployment rate - 9.1%

Germany's unemployment rate - 9.7%

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2003 22:54:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[sgthe0ne]Here let me help you...no Americans OBVIOUSLY are not a race.

yes, they are, we already went over the dictionary definition of race, move on.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Sun, 23 Mar 2003 00:28:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spotelmo[sgthe0ne]Here let me help you...no Americans OBVIOUSLY are not a race.

yes, they are, we already went over the dictionary definition of race, move on.

I could care less about what was covered previously..

America has an extreme racial diversity therefor being an American can not be a race since America is a melting pot of races from around the world. If perhaps America was a small island full of native peoples only who all had similar traits ie skin color, facial stucture etc. then this could be true. But because it's not, it cant be.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sun, 23 Mar 2003 00:52:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

By dictionary definition, "American" could technically be a race, but in the real world, it isn't a race, it wouldn't be correct since America is made up of different races.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Havocman](#) on Sun, 23 Mar 2003 04:04:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about we just leave it at

Aprime is the Suckage and all go get something to eat!

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Sun, 23 Mar 2003 04:28:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The true definition of French.
French = Cowardness
French = Kiss
French = Tickler
French = Treacherous
French = Two-faced
French = Weak

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Sun, 23 Mar 2003 09:50:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

K9TrooperThe true definition of French.
French = Cowardness
French = Kiss
French = Tickler
French = Treacherous
French = Two-faced
French = Weak

You know that could really make some French people mad...but hey what the fuck are they really gonna do about it ? veto it?

yes, that was a low blow

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Sun, 23 Mar 2003 21:41:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So you want to be liek that. The true definition of America

America = Greed

America = Overpowered
America = Stuck up
America = Global monopoly
America = Nosey

And what are the Americans going to do? Remove my communist dictatorship over the 105 citizens of The Republic of my Keyboard? Might have trouble fighting the republican guard delete keys. And one thing is for sure the F key regular army isn't going to surrender.

But remember you brought me to this.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 02:36:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448So you want to be liek that. The true definition of America

America = Greed
America = Overpowered
America = Stuck up
America = Global monopoly
America = Nosey

And what are the Americans going to do? Remove my communist dictatorship over the 105 citizens of The Republic of my Keyboard? Might have trouble fighting the republican guard delete keys. And one thing is for sure the F key regular army isn't going to surrender.

But remember you brought me to this.

America = Free Market Economic Structure
America = As much power as we EARN or CREATE
America = ?You wouldn't be happy if you were #1?
America != GLOBAL FUCKING MONOPLY ? Are you kidding me ?
America = The Friendliest super power/global leader the world has ever had...I wish more people would realize this.

BTW Things like GREED are COMMUNIST ideas, who's to say how much money I deserve ? Who is to say how successful America should be ? So at some point in our AWESOME success there is this INVISIBLE LINE CALLED GREED that some other (lesser) country makes up. And anything beyond this line we OWE OUR \$\$ AND RESOURCES TO SOMEONE ELSE ? Please explain how this GREED works, I was ALWAYS under the impression that I DESERVED WHAT I EARN OR PRODUCE...you seem to be under a different ideal...

We made the country & the people within it free...this made us THE BEST.

You
But remember you brought me to this.

Please dont TRY to off-load YOUR ACTIONS onto OTHER PEOPLE. Not one of us is over there holding your fingers making you press the keys. Offloading responsibility = bad. OUR COUNTRY IS ALL FUCKED UP IT MUST BE THE FAULT OF THOSE GREEDY FUCKING AMERICANS...
:rolleyes:

You
Your mind is weaker

You may wish to remove that...

g-e-t--a--g-r-i-p on reality.

Things like : nosey & stuck-up would still be a small burden to deal with considering we COULD turn countries, cities etc into SEAS OF GLASS, or just take your shit from you...soooooo deal.

For what reason are the French nosey & stuck-up ? Because they're the best there is when it comes to giving up & fucking shit up...oh yah, good point.

France = irrelevant

UN = people who weren't in the scouts when they were kids...

Iraq = 53 UN resolutions since 1990..when would the UN of learned its leason ?

IF The UN hosted a baseball game and the 1st batter didn't hit the ball HE WOULD NEVER BE OUT CAUSE THEY'D KEEP AMMENDING THE RULES !

Owning joo 24hrz a day/7days a week, conscious or unconscious.

edit : America is a country that has been more successful than any other country in the history of the world. We are the country of innovation.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Dishman](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 02:58:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hot damn.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 03:13:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448So you want to be liek that. The true definition of America

America = Greed

America = Overpowered
America = Stuck up
America = Global monopoly
America = Nosey

And what are the Americans going to do? Remove my communist dictatorship over the 105 citizens of The Republic of my Keyboard? Might have trouble fighting the republican guard delete keys. And one thing is for sure the F key regular army isn't going to surrender.

But remember you brought me to this.

France = A country that vetos before it reads. A country trying to destroy the US. A country that will interfere with the US. France sent 3000 troops to Qatar. Why? To spy on and undermine the US.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 03:16:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DishmanHot damn.

lol is that a good thing ?

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 03:20:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonAprime employs the useless tactic of AD HOMINEM. If you can't win the argument, insult the person you're arguing/debating with instead.

My ad hominem is much l33ter than anyone elses =)

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 07:43:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: The French!!!

>
> "France has neither winter nor summer nor morals. Apart from these
>drawbacks it is a fine country.
> France has usually been governed by prostitutes."
> ---Mark Twain
>
>

> "I just love the French. They taste like chicken!"
> ---- Hannibal Lecter
>
>
> While speaking to the Hoover Institution today, Secretary Donald
>Rumsfeld was asked this question:
> "Could you tell us why to date at least the Administration doesn't favor
>direct talks with the North Korean government? After all, we're talking
>with the French." The Secretary smiled and replied: "I'm not going
>there!"
>
>
> "I would rather have a German division in front of me than a French one
>behind me."
> --- General George S. Patton
>
> "Going to war without France is like going deer hunting without your
>accordion."
> --Norman Schwartzkopf
>
>
> "As far as I'm concerned, war always means failure"
> ---Jacques Chirac, President of France
>
> "As far as France is concerned, you're right."
> ---Rush Limbaugh,
>
>
> "The only time France wants us to go to war is when the German Army is
>sitting in Paris sipping coffee."
> --- Regis Philbin
>
>
> "You know, the French remind me a little bit of an aging actress of the
>1940s who was still trying to dine out on her looks but doesn't have the
>face for it."
> ---John McCain, U.S. Senator from Arizona
>
>
> "You know why the French don't want to bomb Saddam Hussein? Because he
>hates America, he loves mistresses and wears a beret. He is French,
>people."
> --Conan O'Brien
>
>
> "I don't know why people are surprised that France won't
> help us get Saddam out of Iraq. After all, France wouldn't help us get
>the Germans out of France!"

- > ---Jay Leno
- >
- >
- > "The last time the French asked for 'more proof' it came marching into
- >Paris under a German flag."
- > --David Letterman
- >
- > REPLACEMENT FOR THE
- > FRENCH NATIONAL ANTHEM:
- > "Runaway" by Del Shannon
- >
- > Next time there's a war in Europe, the loser has to keep France

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 08:46:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So first, people make fun of France, then get mad when people say something back about the US?!?

Talk about hypocrisy...

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 08:54:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NHJ BVSo first, people make fun of France, then get mad when people say something back about the US?!?

Talk about hypocrisy...

It helps if you have a logical basis for the statements/comments in hand. To say "Going to war without France is like going deer hunting without your acordian(sp?)" isn't a stretch of the truth. Everyone is aware of the razor sharp military planning of the French (See : Big stationary guns pointed the wrong way) and their resolve to defend their homeland (See : Nazi's walking into France while grown men cry in the street). But if you wanted to say oh that Bush was a dictator or America is turning into Nazi Germany I'd have to say you have no basis for your insults. [insert stupid statement about America taking over the world here].

If the mud some are slinging dont stick to the wall cause of lack of facts thats not *our* (America's) fault.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 10:01:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know what. I won't argue further about these bashings of the French. Because you all obviously have alot more practice bashing people then I have.

But do know you are just sustaining and breeding my anti-american feelings to even higher levels.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 11:57:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448You know what. I won't argue further about these bashings of the French. Because you all obviously have alot more practice bashing people then I have.

But do know you are just sustaining and breeding my anti-american feelings to even higher levels.

Could you tell me which one of my statements would be French bashing considering historical data ? I'd like it if you could do that...Perhaps I'm enjoying this too much...

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 14:54:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448But do know you are just sustaining and breeding my anti-american feelings to even higher levels.

Couldn't care less. Our job is not to please you. If you have issues with Americans, you need to deal with it on your own, but I will not pander to your "feelings", especially on this matter. Frankly, the French nation was saved from annihilation TWICE in the last century by Americans. There should be enough thankfulness there to at least not sell weapons to our enemies, and undercut our diplomatic efforts. Diplomacy failed because the French, and Russians wanted it to. Why? because the french and russians supply the Iraqui military with equipment, advisors, and other supplies. There is no noble effort on thier part to save lives, they want a stake in the Iraqui oil, more than anyone else. Where do you think the t-72,s French Mirages, Russian SAMS, and french supply trucks come from? See any american equipment in the videos being used? No.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 19:35:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm...maybe the French sold weapons to Saddam because the US did too, and decided to help the US in supplying Saddam with weapons?

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 19:37:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[sgthe0ne]NHJ BVSo first, people make fun of France, then get mad when people say something back about the US?!?

Talk about hypocracy...

It helps if you have a logical basis for the statements/comments in hand. To say "Going to war without France is like going deer hunting without your acordian(sp?)" isn't a stretch of the truth. Everyone is aware of the razor sharp military planning of the French (See : Big stationary guns pointed the wrong way) and their resolve to defend their homeland (See : Nazi's walking into France while grown men cry in the street). But if you wanted to say oh that Bush was a dictator or America is turning into Nazi Germany I'd have to say you have no basis for your insults. [insert stupid statement about America taking over the world here].

If the mud some are slinging dont stick to the wall cause of lack of facts thats not *our* (America's) fault.

I agree that a lot of mud-slinging holds no ground, but it's not that there isn't anything even slightly imperfect in the US...

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 19:56:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NHJ BV[sgthe0ne]NHJ BVSo first, people make fun of France, then get mad when people say something back about the US?!?

Talk about hypocracy...

It helps if you have a logical basis for the statements/comments in hand. To say "Going to war without France is like going deer hunting without your acordian(sp?)" isn't a stretch of the truth. Everyone is aware of the razor sharp military planning of the French (See : Big stationary guns pointed the wrong way) and their resolve to defend their homeland (See : Nazi's walking into France while grown men cry in the street). But if you wanted to say oh that Bush was a dictator or America is turning into Nazi Germany I'd have to say you have no basis for your insults. [insert stupid statement about America taking over the world here].

If the mud some are slinging dont stick to the wall cause of lack of facts thats not *our* (America's) fault.

I agree that a lot of mud-slinging holds no ground, but it's not that there isn't anything even slightly imperfect in the US...

proof of that... anna nicole smith has a tv show :rolleyes:

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2003 22:55:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NHJ BVHmm...maybe the French sold weapons to Saddam because the US did too, and decided to help the US in supplying Saddam with weapons?

Are you trying to taunt me ? Lets try and keep the facts straight. Although both America and France have sold Saddam military units we stopped doing that a some time ago. Whereas France, Germany & Russia have been engaged in such activities withing the past 6months.

The One

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 07:19:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

also, an important item of note... the us was never a major supplier of arms to iraq. the ussr was the major supplier. we sold some, but they mostly got them elsewhere as we were supplying the kurds and iran(back door iran-contra) we never really liked sadaam, we tolerated him a little while trying to fight iran, but we never liked him or the way he came to power.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 21:16:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You guys do realize they (france and russia) have a right to defend their interests? I am sure the United States would freak out if the UN decided to invade Israel. After all they have been selling/giving weapons to them for so long. What if the UN imposed sanctions on Saudi oil? Would US companies try to get away with taking it out? They were politically defending their interests.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 21:24:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448You guys do realize they (france and russia) have a right to defend their interests? I am sure the United States would freak out if the UN decided to invade Israel. After all they have been selling/giving weapons to them for so long. What if the UN imposed sanctions on Saudi oil? Would US companies try to get away with taking it out? They were politically defending their interests.

They were breaking resolutions that THEY wrote! You can NOT play this game like that. AFAIC France, Russia and Germant have lost all credibility.
I would call them traitors to peace.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2003 03:18:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's so refreshing to see your true colors shinging through Commando..

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2003 09:58:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Perhaps you can let me know which slipped through. I tend to control my image as best I can.

And what do you mean by AFAIC? Interesting acronym I have never seen before. I am always out to learn.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2003 12:15:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://idisk.mac.com/kfiralfia/Public/protest_warrior/pdfs/sign_01.pdf

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2003 13:36:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm thinking you meant AFAIK, which means "As Far As I Know"

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2003 16:19:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AFAIC is As Far As I'm Concerned

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2003 18:02:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonAFAIC is As Far As I'm Concerned

TY

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 12:45:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe we should add that into the acronym topic in the strategies board.

Well anyway theone interested in more of my personality I suppose? I have the mind of a steel trap in defending my ideals. I wear a disguise of the perfect student advanced in maturity. I hide my real feelings about the world from prying eyes and people who can't accept it. I contain 5 times the national pride of everyone I have met in real life. I lack a strong social life that could ruin everything I am.

And kirby that PDF is incorrect. It has not ended those things. It has supressed them into the shadows. Slavery is still around in 3rd world nations. Facism exists in radical groups around the world. Nazism is hiding in groups ready to spring forth in civil war. And communism exists in the minds of many world wide. They are hiding. The only thing that stops them from going out into the light is "the nail that sticks up gets pounded down."

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 13:29:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448 The only thing that stops them from going out into the light is "the nail that sticks up gets pounded down."

And thus the mindset of action vs inaction. Preventive measures could have prevented Adolf Hitler's rise to power, and the subsequent slaughter of millions. It could have prevented Stalin's purges, it could have prevented so many pointless deaths, the loss of trillions of dollars, and years of wasted time on communism.

Taking action through confrontation before it gets out of hand, is the ONLY way to make error prone humans be calm. Do riot police ask the rioters politely to calm down, and negotiate a peaceful solution? No. They use teargas to force the people to calm the hell down.

If you think seeing dead soldiers is disturbing, you should see some of the things I've seen. Your heart would break watching children dying. That's why we try to preserve the world we live in. Not for us, for our children.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 13:32:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonAFAIC is As Far As I'm Concerned

Oh... I've never used AFAIC before, I've always used AFAIK...

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 20:45:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

KIRBY098Commando no. 448 The only thing that stops them from going out into the light is "the nail that sticks up gets pounded down."

And thus the mindset of action vs inaction. Preventive measures could have prevented Adolf Hitler's rise to power, and the subsequent slaughter of millions. It could have prevented Stalin's purges, it could have prevented so many pointless deaths, the loss of trillions of dollars, and years of wasted time on communism.

Taking action through confrontation before it gets out of hand, is the ONLY way to make error prone humans be calm. Do riot police ask the rioters politely to calm down, and negotiate a peaceful solution? No. They use teargas to force the people to calm the hell down.

If you think seeing dead soldiers is disturbing, you should see some of the things I've seen. Your heart would break watching children dying. That's why we try to preserve the world we live in. Not for us, for our children.

Stop treating me like a pacifist. I was proving you wrong not trying to promote peace. As I said before I have a rather disliking of the United States and enjoy arguing with their citizens.

I didn't say the wars fought against some of those things were unwarranted. I personally believe that communism is the answer to capitalist greed and the only way to achieve equality through most of the population. But suppressing slavery was good.

And tear gas doesn't make people calm the hell down. They run screaming not suddenly stop being unrestful.

As for the not for us but for our children part. Maybe it is because I am unmarried but I personally believe in forgetting about the future generations. I want our generation to live the way we want and if we live long enough to see consequences for our actions so be it.

But hey this entire post is mainly my opinions.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 20:49:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Teargas stops their violence, and sends them home.

And let me get this straight, you believe communism works, and you say screw the future generation?

:rolleyes:

Whatever Josef Stalin.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 21:00:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Communist socialism is what I beleive is right. But the government is not being greedy with the money. It would be putting it into free health care, free education, and lowering taxes on the people.

Well you had to make a correction. Therefore I feel a partial success. :bigups:

I would feel fine if the human race stopped reproducing and just let them selves die off. No future generation to even worry about. Make the world better for the animals at that. I value the living of the yet to live. Only the non-existant would be hurt by that decision, there fore no one was hurt.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 21:57:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448 Communist socialism is what I beleive is right. But the government is not being greedy with the money. It would be putting it into free health care, free education, and lowering taxes on the people.

Well you had to make a correction. Therefore I feel a partial success. :bigups:

I would feel fine if the human race stopped reproducing and just let them selves die off. No future generation to even worry about. Make the world better for the animals at that. I value the living of the yet to live. Only the non-existant would be hurt by that decision, there fore no one was hurt. if you think communism works, talk to a russian who lived under communism, i have. it doesn't work, health care is crappy, education is crappy, you aren't allowed to work harder than anyone else because everyone must be equal. it's a lot like what liberals are trying to do to the us. i work with a russian, he points out all the time how liberals are trying to turn the us into another communist country and that it doesn't work.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 22:12:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Let's not argue communism in a topic about the war in Iraq. I am sure we all can agree the man who set it up didn't do things right. And enough about me anyways. Well this is the politics forum so if you MUST talk to me about it start a new topic. And don't start on my point about future generations in this topic either. I don't really want to discuss it as it is not something I can argue, only something I can truly beleive is right.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2003 23:30:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448 Communist socialism is what I beleive is right. But the government is not being greedy with the money. It would be putting it into free health care, free education, and lowering taxes on the people.

Well you had to make a correction. Therefore I feel a partial success. :bigups:

I would feel fine if the human race stopped reproducing and just let them selves die off. No future generation to even worry about. Make the world better for the animals at that. I value the living of the yet to live. Only the non-existant would be hurt by that decision, there fore no one was hurt.

Have I not made you a moron already ? Allow me to do so again in specific.

- 1) Free does not exist save 'air'
- 2) "free health care, free education, and lowering taxes on the people." <--uhm...free this free that and no one pays for it cept the Communist Easter Bunny ?
- 3) are you a tree hugger ? Lets stick with reality, humans are animals. and what 'future generations' are you refering to ? wait never mind your answer doesnt matter.
- 4) haven't i made you a moron already ? yes, that makes this twice or is my count off.
- 5) "Communist socialism is what I beleive is right." :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes:

do you really belong in this forum ?

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2003 00:11:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448 I would feel fine if the human race stopped reproducing and just let them selves die off. No future generation to even worry about. Make the world better for the animals at that.

So why do you even give a damn about the war if you don't even care about humankind at all? Please feel free to do your part in stopping humankind by not reproducing. :rolleyes:

Commando no. 448 I value the living of the yet to live. Only the non-existant would be hurt by that decision, there fore no one was hurt.

First you say it would be fine with you if the human race died off and left the earth to the animals, then you say you "value the living of the yet to live". So how can you value future life but at the same time wish it to never be? As for your comment that nonexistant people cannot be hurt by todays decisions, stick around until you are 100 years old and tell your grandkids that you wished them all dead but didn't mean it.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2003 00:14:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I thought I asked not to discuss my ideals. See me in private over these topics. The rest of the forum probably doesn't want to here us squable over these issues. Feel fee to call me what you like here though. Put yourself on Aprime's level.

And I think it is 4 times if you count partial successes.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2003 00:20:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commando no. 448I thought I asked not to discuss my ideals. See me in private over these topics. The rest of the forum probably doesn't want to here us squable over these issues. Feel fee to call me what you like here though. Put yourself on Aprime's level.

And I think it is 4 times if you count partial successes.

If you state a subject in public, it is free to be discussed in public. If you didn't want to publically reveal that you have a deathwish for the human race then you shouldn't have brought it up

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2003 00:26:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Very well then fire away but I will not argue back. I am beginning to regret I shared my opinons over the subject. I will hold back on revealing more of my veiws without careful thought anyway. Oh well I planned to satisfy people's curiosity and didn't expect these results. Lesson learned and noted.

Subject: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2003 00:30:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I havn't flame you about it. You are certainly entitled to whatever opinion you want to have, including the end of humanity. I was only probing to gain some understanding.

Subject: Re: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 09 Oct 2011 00:57:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nodbugger wrote on Thu, 20 March 2003 15:46

Why hate Bush what did he do? Is is doing everyhting by the book. Hr id protecting his people and many others. He is freeing an oppressed people/ He is tkaing out a world threat and he is the main memeber of the UN and is following what the UN said to do.

HAHAHAHA

Subject: Re: Proof Anti-War arguments hold no Water!
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sun, 09 Oct 2011 01:53:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Didn't you get suspended once for bumping old threads?
