

---

Subject: Antartica without the ice  
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Thu, 06 Jun 2013 23:17:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thought this was really cool and could share this article; this is how Antartica looks like without the ice:

<http://news.yahoo.com/antarctica-looks-without-ice-124000768.html>

And here's a very cool National Geographic slide tool that shows this stuff.

<http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2013/06/130605-nasa-map-sunken-mountains-antarctica-ice/>

Now we need to use this for Under!

---

---

Subject: Re: Antartica without the ice  
Posted by [Omar007](#) on Fri, 07 Jun 2013 19:32:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That has a lot more ridges and spikes than I expected xD

---

---

Subject: Re: Antartica without the ice  
Posted by [iRANian](#) on Fri, 07 Jun 2013 19:54:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>SEE MORE: The linguistic war over 'derp'

---

---

Subject: Re: Antartica without the ice  
Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Sat, 08 Jun 2013 01:39:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Omar007 wrote on Fri, 07 June 2013 20:32 That has a lot more ridges and spikes than I expected xD

That's probably the result of the glacial erosion, huge chunks of rock carried in the glacier will rip up more of the surface as it \*slowly\* gets dragged along by the ice. It's the same reason places like Scotland are so craggy, since it was once under a glacier.

---

---

Subject: Re: Antartica without the ice  
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Sat, 08 Jun 2013 05:55:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

danpaul88 wrote on Fri, 07 June 2013 19:39 Omar007 wrote on Fri, 07 June 2013 20:32 That has a lot more ridges and spikes than I expected xD

That's probably the result of the glacial erosion, huge chunks of rock carried in the glacier will rip up more of the surface as it \*slowly\* gets dragged along by the ice. It's the same reason places like Scotland are so craggy, since it was once under a glacier.

Must depend on size and number of passes of the glacier, get one big one doing it all and you end up looking like Bismark, North Dakota.

And don't fool yourself, those aren't hills in the background, just shelter belts.

## File Attachments

---

1) [Untitled.png](#), downloaded 256 times



© 2013 Google Image Date: October 2008

Subject: Re: Antartica without the ice

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 08 Jun 2013 13:46:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey, that looks like the Netherlands. Just less populated and with the wrong colour markings on the road.

---

Subject: Re: Antartica without the ice

Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Sat, 08 Jun 2013 19:24:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Sat, 08 June 2013 07:46Hey, that looks like the Netherlands. Just less populated and with the wrong colour markings on the road.

What color do they do over there, hopefully something more visible when it snows than white...

---