Subject: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 03:15:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

<< lib dems. i've been saying that for some time

it's a shame vince cable isn't still in charge, he was the only politician i genuinely liked. nick clegg's a good guy though.

gordon brown can say whatever he wants, he breaks more promises than he keeps and half the stuff he does has no relation at all to what he said he would do. cameron is not quite so uncharismatic (and dishonest) as brown but the policies aren't exactly radically different.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 07:48:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I will deffinately vote, but it'll probably be a "protest vote".

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 10:10:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lib dems.

Won that debate hands down. Also have a sensible policy that solves the jobs shortage, helps the economy (create jobs -> get taxes) and helps the environment all in go.

Common sense if you ask me.

(For the curious, they intend to create lots of jobs designing/building wind farms etc)

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 10:44:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

can someone post their full program? they sound interesting

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 11:11:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 13:04:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

halfway through... sounds very very votable so far. wish we had such a party in germany.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 13:24:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CarrierII wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 03:10(create jobs -> get taxes)

Man, I wish the United States had a political party sensible enough not to be afraid of taxes.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 15:07:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Taxes are evil!

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 15:41:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

reborn wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 02:48I will deffinately vote, but it'll probably be a "protest vote". remember last election time, everyone said voter apathy was going to be the problem? and it was, really... very small turnout.

i predict that we're about to have the biggest voter turnout in recent british electoral history.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 15:42:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

watching the debate right now

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 15:50:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 16:41 reborn wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 02:48 will deffinately vote, but it'll probably be a "protest vote". remember last election time, everyone said voter apathy was going to be the problem? and it was, really... very small turnout.

i predict that we're about to have the biggest voter turnout in recent british electoral history.

A "None of the above" option? I liked that idea.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 16:31:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:41 remember last election time, everyone said voter apathy was going to be the problem? and it was, really... very small turnout.

i predict that we're about to have the biggest voter turnout in recent british electoral history.

How does that affect whether someone should use their vote as a protest or not?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by HaTe on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 17:11:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I vote Obama invades Britain. Win-win.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 17:21:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CarrierII wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 08:07Taxes are evil!

But libraries and public education and roads are good thing.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for?

I am probably going to vote Lib Dem myself, but I will be doing more research into each of the parties before the elections come around. Bit busy with university work right now.

Thought it was funny how Gordon Clown jumped on Nick Cleggs coat tails in the debate once he realised the audience were responding favourably to him... I notice one of the recent polls has the Lib Dems with a higher vote share than both Labour and the Conservatives, something like 33% against 31% for the Conservatives and 27% for Labour. Makes a change, hopefully it will convince people that a vote for the Lib Dems is not a wasted vote and they stand a real chance of winning a substantial proportion of the seats.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 18:13:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 18:53I am probably going to vote Lib Dem myself, but I will be doing more research into each of the parties before the elections come around. Bit busy with university work right now.

Thought it was funny how Gordon Clown jumped on Nick Cleggs coat tails in the debate once he realised the audience were responding favourably to him... I notice one of the recent polls has the Lib Dems with a higher vote share than both Labour and the Conservatives, something like 33% against 31% for the Conservatives and 27% for Labour. Makes a change, hopefully it will convince people that a vote for the Lib Dems is not a wasted vote and they stand a real chance of winning a substantial proportion of the seats.

But they don't, because the ratio of people to MP varies between MPs. It's not a very good system.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 18:30:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

**British National Party** 

(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for?

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:30(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

I'm not sure even you know exactly what you're trying to say here.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 19:07:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 13:49GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:30(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

I'm not sure even you know exactly what you're trying to say here. The big brother-esque feel of England's government is pretty bad from what I hear. The religion part should be a given.

Willing to bet the right-wing of England is the cause of both :\

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by HaTe on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 19:59:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

HaTe wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 12:11I vote Obama invades Britain. Win-win. Even George Zimmer agrees with me on this one.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nikki6ixx on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 21:07:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cameron's position is probably the best of the worst for Britain in the long term. He's a smooth operator compared to Brown, who's sulkiness reminds me way too much of the leftist leaders in our country a few years ago; all they could do was complain.

He's also candid enough to admit that England's society is broken, something that few politicians are willing to say. However, whether he has the stomach to actually tackle the bureaucratic, overreaching nightmare that is the English government, and decentralize the federal government remains to seen.

Still, Cameron just seems too middling in his policies. He'd probably prefer to head more to the

right, but then there's that awful shadow of Maggie hanging over him. Her brand of conservatism probably ruined any chance of a real conservative government in England for at least 50 years. Cameron would be wise to look to, and consult Canada's Conservative government, just as Sarkozy did, before he sold out.

I'm happy to see the Liberal-Democrats taking a good share in the polls. Having a power-broker in Parliament is always a good thing, unless of course you live in Canada, and that power-broker is dedicated to separating from the country.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by cnc95fan on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 21:40:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

An Englishman should run England.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Sun, 18 Apr 2010 22:24:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 12:53Thought it was funny how Gordon Clown jumped on Nick Cleggs coat tails in the debate once he realised the audience were responding favourably to him...

for me, the high point of the debate was gordon brown totally embarrass himself about parliament reform.

"nick, do you support my plans for reforming the houses?" "i proposed the reforms last week dumbass, you blocked them" "answer the question nick, do you support me in this?"

gordon clearly didn't realise what a prick he made himself look there

Quote:British National Party Thumbs Up

(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government) that would probably be the lib dems too.

labour under brown have permitted sharia law courts and huge numbers of faith schools. the conservatives want more faith schools but specifically christian ones. the BNP are even more christian than that, but that's fascism for you. the RESPECT party may as well call itself dhimmis-R-us.

nick clegg's an atheist and clearly wasn't too comfortable in admitting it (though it's not as bad as it is in america), and i don't recall the lib dems proposing any surrender of our democratic principles, free speech etc to religious bullying like we've had almost non-stop for years.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Sean on Mon, 19 Apr 2010 07:26:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I can't vote as I'm not old enough yet (im 16)

But if I could I'd be backing the lib dems/labour..still unsure. They both seam a hell of alot better than the Cons.

After finishing watching the general lection debate (about 5mins ago). I decided that the Cons jus want to take funding out of shit and put is in a worse position. I was initially backing Labour but hearing the policies of the Lib Dems have swayed me to neutral between the two. I'm unsure now

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nopol10 on Mon, 19 Apr 2010 07:59:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Its nice to see that you guys aren't apathetic towards politics, that would greatly help when the time comes for me to set foot in the UK

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by bunka on Tue, 20 Apr 2010 05:34:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

they do sneaky things an defeat libdems like da republican and demo monkeyes do to all #3 parties

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Tue, 20 Apr 2010 16:32:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bunka wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 00:34they do sneaky things an defeat libdems like da republican and demo monkeyes do to all #3 parties what the fuck are you talking about?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Tue, 20 Apr 2010 20:57:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't know, I tempted to remove the post on account of it being half-baked.

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 15:57I don't know, I tempted to remove the post on account of it being half-baked.

The post itself is half-baked, or the poster? It is 4/20 after all.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Tue, 20 Apr 2010 22:04:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 23:01CarrierII wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 15:57I don't know, I tempted to remove the post on account of it being half-baked.

The post itself is half-baked, or the poster? It is 4/20 after all. Dohohoho.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 01:31:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 12:07Dover wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 13:49GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:30(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

I'm not sure even you know exactly what you're trying to say here. The big brother-esque feel of England's government is pretty bad from what I hear. The religion part should be a given.

Willing to bet the right-wing of England is the cause of both :\

"big brother-esque feel"? That doesn't answer anything. What does that mean?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 02:26:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 20:31GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 12:07Dover wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 13:49GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:30(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

I'm not sure even you know exactly what you're trying to say here. The big brother-esque feel of England's government is pretty bad from what I hear. The religion part should be a given.

Willing to bet the right-wing of England is the cause of both :\

"big brother-esque feel"? That doesn't answer anything. What does that mean? I can't really put it any other way. I figured it's kind of obvious what I mean, but apparently not. Go read 1984.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 02:53:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 19:26Dover wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 20:31GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 12:07Dover wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 13:49GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:30(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

I'm not sure even you know exactly what you're trying to say here. The big brother-esque feel of England's government is pretty bad from what I hear. The religion part should be a given.

Willing to bet the right-wing of England is the cause of both :\

"big brother-esque feel"? That doesn't answer anything. What does that mean? I can't really put it any other way. I figured it's kind of obvious what I mean, but apparently not. Go read 1984.

What I mean to ask is what your specific grievences are, not what "big brother" means. What is the government doing specifically that you have a problem with?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by bunka on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 04:17:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 11:32bunka wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 00:34they do sneaky things an defeat libdems like da republican and demo monkeyes do to all #3 parties

what the fuck are you talking about?

hmmmm mean. what i say is that they do everything and stay in power.

Dover wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 21:53GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 19:26Dover wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 20:31GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 12:07Dover wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 13:49GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 18 April 2010 11:30(in all seriousness, if I were British, I'd go for whichever party gets rid of England's retarded nazi-ism and the overly religious hold on government)

I'm not sure even you know exactly what you're trying to say here. The big brother-esque feel of England's government is pretty bad from what I hear. The religion part should be a given.

Willing to bet the right-wing of England is the cause of both :\

"big brother-esque feel"? That doesn't answer anything. What does that mean? I can't really put it any other way. I figured it's kind of obvious what I mean, but apparently not. Go read 1984.

What I mean to ask is what your specific grievences are, not what "big brother" means. What is the government doing specifically that you have a problem with?

photo database fingerprint database dna database (for criminals only but why 3.4 million ppl here?) general database (u protest in street u go in this DB with pic)

is for eveeryone also innocent peoples in UK. what da fucking??? not needeed. photo/fingerprint/general database exists at USSA too but dunno if dna database exists. how i know? cos I saw it!

CCTV everywhere (fight crime my COOOOOOOOOOCK!) CCTV that recorder speech

microschips in shitcans - monitor recycling (nice lie!!!) council officeer vermins that enters ur home an they not have court order

all webtracking ----- online buying email phone monitoring (done in USSA tooo) oh an more chips --- listening devices an microphones eveywhere. tooo many spying. not only not needed but whole society taking wrong route to hell.

maybe 1 thing left is renaming UK to airstrip 1. oh and da excusse is help law enforcement aahhahaaa joke!!. excuse not fly.

also yes:

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/crime/big-brother-britain-2006-we-are-wakin g-up-to-a-surveillance-society-all-around-us-422561.html

bye

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 04:24:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 21:53What I mean to ask is what your specific grievences are, not what "big brother" means. What is the government doing specifically that you have a problem with?

Cameras everywhere, shittons of databases, general government interference with people's lives, etc.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 04:33:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 21:24Cameras everywhere

In public places? Oh noez!

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 21:24 shittons of databases

Which are nothing more than more efficient versions of the shittons of files on paper we have here in the United States.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 21:24general government interference with people's lives, etc.

The perfect answer when I ask for specific grievances.

bunka wrote on Tue, 20 April 2010 21:17photo database fingerprint database dna database (for criminals only but why 3.4 million ppl here?) general database (u protest in street u go in this DB with pic)

is for eveeryone also innocent peoples in UK. what da fucking??? not needeed. photo/fingerprint/general database exists at USSA too but dunno if dna database exists. how i know? cos I saw it!

CCTV everywhere (fight crime my COOOOOOOOOOOCK!) CCTV that recorder speech microschips in shitcans - monitor recycling (nice lie!!!) council officeer vermins that enters ur home an they not have court order

all webtracking ----- online buying email phone monitoring (done in USSA tooo) oh an more chips --- listening devices an microphones eveywhere. tooo many spying. not only not needed but whole society taking wrong route to hell.

maybe 1 thing left is renaming UK to airstrip 1. oh and da excusse is help law enforcement aahhahaaa joke!!. excuse not fly.

also yes:

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/crime/big-brother-britain-2006-we-are-wakin g-up-to-a-surveillance-society-all-around-us-422561.html

bye

This is all stuff that's used by law enforcement in most all developed countries. The only difference is you seem to have some kind of conspiracy theory spin on it.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 07:36:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

there's no need for conspiracy theory "spin", we have been flat out lied to about this stuff. my fellow brits will probably remember us being cheerfully told that the new anti-terror laws were necessary and they'd only be used for combating terrorism, don't worry.

what a steaming pile of horseshit THAT turned out to be.

y'know how worked up americans got over wiretapping? well, america has been tapping OUR phones for decades (look up Menwith Hill)

it might be possible to stomach if the government actually gave a shit about real crimes, but even with the most invasive society this side of north korea and more police than we've ever had in our history, they can't seem to prevent violent crime, burglary etc.

as for george zimmer's it-must-have-been-the-right-wing-who-caused-all-this... well, depends whether you still think new labour are left wing.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for?

Dover:

http://www.boingboing.net/2009/11/21/traffic-cameras-used.html http://www.boingboing.net/2009/11/19/breaking-leaked-uk-g.html http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\_news/politics/8032367.stm http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/technology/7484131.stm http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\_news/politics/7370025.stm

i suspect that the native britishs on the forum can dig up a lot more.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 14:29:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Wed, 21 April 2010 00:36there's no need for conspiracy theory "spin", we have been flat out lied to about this stuff. my fellow brits will probably remember us being cheerfully told that the new anti-terror laws were necessary and they'd only be used for combating terrorism, don't worry.

what a steaming pile of horseshit THAT turned out to be.

y'know how worked up americans got over wiretapping? well, america has been tapping OUR phones for decades (look up Menwith Hill)

it might be possible to stomach if the government actually gave a shit about real crimes, but even with the most invasive society this side of north korea and more police than we've ever had in our history, they can't seem to prevent violent crime, burglary etc.

as for george zimmer's it-must-have-been-the-right-wing-who-caused-all-this... well, depends whether you still think new labour are left wing.

Ziggy Sobotka wrote on Wed, 21 April 2010 05:24Dover: http://www.boingboing.net/2009/11/21/traffic-cameras-used.html http://www.boingboing.net/2009/11/19/breaking-leaked-uk-g.html http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\_news/politics/8032367.stm http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/technology/7484131.stm http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\_news/politics/7370025.stm

i suspect that the native britishs on the forum can dig up a lot more.

Call me jaded, but this is all stuff I'd come to expect and consider normal from the United States, self-proclaimed FREEST COUNTRY EVER!!1! I'd attribute this more to the changing world we live in, rather than some kind of conspiracy to turn the UK into Airstrip 3.

you're jaded.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 15:11:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

well, either way, it's the least regard for individual freedoms combined with the lowest competence at crime prevention... worst of both worlds. you usually expect a government to get at least one of the above right at the expense of the other... our government is pathetic in both categories.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 15:37:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Wed, 21 April 2010 11:11 well, either way, it's the least regard for individual freedoms combined with the lowest competence at crime prevention... worst of both worlds. you usually expect a government to get at least one of the above right at the expense of the other... our government is pathetic in both categories.

This is kinda what I meant, yes. I didn't know they sucked at stopping crimes, too, though. That sucks pretty hard.

Hope England gets someone who can fix that shit :\

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Tiesto on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 00:04:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I can't remember which council it was, but down south they used anti-terror laws to check whether people were recycling properly =/

I'm definitely not voting Labour, but I'm unsure from there. Lib dem has definitely made some ground, but I'm unsure whether they are ready for government. Either way, it will be an englishman, I'm sick of the scottish pissing my country up the wall.

Labour has been a cancer for many years, lets get rid of it, one way or another.

I'm going to be watching the other two televised debates, ready to watch them all squirm over the price of petrol. It's getting ridiculous now, I'm sure its some where near 90-95p of it is tax..

Talk about severely handicapping its own people and economy...

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 00:45:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i think i recall the terror/recycling incident you mean, unless there was more than one case... which there almost certainly was.

ironically some of bin laden's videos urge us to be greener.

they also used anti-terror laws to freeze iceland's financial assets (probably what pissed them off enough to launch the superweapon at us).

as for not wanting a scotsman in charge of the economy, judging by brown's extravagance i frankly doubt he's scottish at all.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 13:08:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The DNA database held the details of a Conserative MP, a Mr Damien Green, IIRC...

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk\_politics/8210399.stm

He was arrested over a potential leak. Charges dropped => He's innocent. We still (pretend to) operate under "Innocent until proven guilty" so the Police have no right to store his details anymore. They kept them for as long as possible, then only removed them because he was a prominent Tory MP.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Tiesto on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 16:38:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 01:45i think i recall the terror/recycling incident you mean, unless there was more than one case... which there almost certainly was.

I wouldn't be surprised.

I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for?

Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 09:38I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Four years ago, gas prices in the US were half of what they are now. This is -normal-. This doesn't mean there's some kind of crazy conspiricy in the UK. If your country is going to shit, it's going to shit at the same rate the rest of the world is going to shit.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 18:23:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 12:54Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 09:38I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Four years ago, gas prices in the US were half of what they are now. This is -normal-. This doesn't mean there's some kind of crazy conspiricy in the UK. If your country is going to shit, it's going to shit at the same rate the rest of the world is going to shit.

To be fair, if there is a conspiracy of any sort, then the US and UK are probably both in on it, then...

anyone who actually believes conspiracies of that magnitude exist are pretty retarded, though

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by jnz on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 19:49:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I just can't vote, and I won't. Each of them are just hiding behind lies. I get the "well your vote will could win the best party into power" and my response is always "my vote could also count towards the worse". Since we don't know what they are actually going to do, we only see their posters stating anything we want to hear. So we might think we are voting for a good party, and in fact we just voted another Gordan Brown or Tony Blair into power.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 20:00:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Curious, can you guys vote for a random person? If so, vote for George Washington if you're undecided. Oh man that'd be hilarious.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 20:19:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, we get a ballot paper like this:

[Party X - Candidate Y] [ ] [Monster Raving Loony Party - Ex-Leader's Cat] [ ]

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 20:33:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CarrierII wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 15:19No, we get a ballot paper like this:

[Party X - Candidate Y] [ ] [Monster Raving Loony Party - Ex-Leader's Cat] [ ] Oh, balls. That sucks.

Atleast in the US, you can vote for Mickey Mouse if you so badly want to. Oh well.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nikki6ixx on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 20:42:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 15:33CarrierII wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 15:19No, we get a ballot paper like this:

[Party X - Candidate Y] [ ] [Monster Raving Loony Party - Ex-Leader's Cat] [ ] Oh, balls. That sucks.

Atleast in the US, you can vote for Mickey Mouse if you so badly want to. Oh well.

Kinda like voting for Nader. Only less funny.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 21:19:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 12:54Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 09:38I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Four years ago, gas prices in the US were half of what they are now. This is -normal-. This doesn't mean there's some kind of crazy conspiricy in the UK. If your country is going to shit, it's going to shit at the same rate the rest of the world is going to shit.

i'm not sure that the rate at which petrol is taxed here is technically a conspiracy, but it certainly is crazy.

same rate as the rest of europe, more or less (at least i think the western european countries like france have a similar deal), not the same as the rest of the world. i was talking to some american friends a couple of years back, they were whining about it going up to \$3 USD/gallon i think. got the calculator out, turns out we were paying the equivalent of \$9 USD/gallon, and it's higher than that now.

but then you don't have a national health service, and your immigrants generally work, don't they?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Tiesto on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 22:13:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 18:54Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 09:38I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Four years ago, gas prices in the US were half of what they are now. This is -normal-. This doesn't mean there's some kind of crazy conspiricy in the UK. If your country is going to shit, it's going to shit at the same rate the rest of the world is going to shit. No the issue is that 90-95p of it is tax...

If all the tax was taken out, we would have the cheapest fuel in Europe, but with tax added on, its one of the most expensive.

We pay alot in various taxes, we pay taxes on alcohol etc etc.

We don't get alot for all these taxes..

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 04:56:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Spoony wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 14:19Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 12:54Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 09:38I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Four years ago, gas prices in the US were half of what they are now. This is -normal-. This doesn't mean there's some kind of crazy conspiricy in the UK. If your country is going to shit, it's going to shit at the same rate the rest of the world is going to shit.

i'm not sure that the rate at which petrol is taxed here is technically a conspiracy, but it certainly is crazy.

same rate as the rest of europe, more or less (at least i think the western european countries like france have a similar deal), not the same as the rest of the world. i was talking to some american friends a couple of years back, they were whining about it going up to \$3 USD/gallon i think. got the calculator out, turns out we were paying the equivalent of \$9 USD/gallon, and it's higher than that now.

but then you don't have a national health service, and your immigrants generally work, don't they?

It's about 3 USD/gallon now, yeah. At it's peak it was 4.50 or so, but a decade ago it was barely over a dollar. I don't know what gas prices in the UK are now compared to what they are then, but from what I understand absolute wages are higher there than they are here, and the British Pound is so much stronger than the US Dollar, so I'm sure it all balances out in the end.

No, we don't have a real national health service. And most of our immigrants work, yeah.

Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 15:13Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 18:54Tiesto wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 09:38I started driving nearly four years ago. Normal unleaded prices were around the 72.9 mark. Now the same station is 119.9.

It's a disgrace.

Four years ago, gas prices in the US were half of what they are now. This is -normal-. This doesn't mean there's some kind of crazy conspiricy in the UK. If your country is going to shit, it's going to shit at the same rate the rest of the world is going to shit. No the issue is that 90-95p of it is tax...

If all the tax was taken out, we would have the cheapest fuel in Europe, but with tax added on, its one of the most expensive.

We pay alot in various taxes, we pay taxes on alcohol etc etc.

We don't get alot for all these taxes..

Also -normal-. In the US we play three seperate taxes on gas at the local, state, and national level. Nobody notices or cares because all they see is the price on the big sign outside the station. We also pay taxes on alchohol and cigarettes and a thousand other things. This is -normal-.

And from what I understand health care is free in the UK, while here in the US we have people going broke because of doctors bills.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 06:38:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 23:56It's about 3 USD/gallon now, yeah. At it's peak it was 4.50 or so, but a decade ago it was barely over a dollar. I don't know what gas prices in the UK are now compared to what they are then

Tiesto is correct. It's about £1.20/litre now, was about £1 at the time (the £1/litre mark seemed to be a kind of symbolic marker they didn't want to break, but once they did, they didn't fucking stop).

Quote:but from what I understand absolute wages are higher there than they are here I don't really doubt that.

Quote:and the British Pound is so much stronger than the US Dollar, so I'm sure it all balances out in the end.

If the pound is stronger than the US dollar then this is probably quite a recent thing... not long ago the pound was in the gutter (but so was the dollar).

Quote:No, we don't have a real national health service. And most of our immigrants work, yeah. well, that's two huge differences right there.

Quote:Also -normal-. In the US we play three seperate taxes on gas at the local, state, and national level.

But neither the tax rate nor the tax proportion, are anywhere near as high, I'll wager.

Quote:Nobody notices or cares because all they see is the price on the big sign outside the station.

Interesting point, I'll come back to that very shortly...

Quote:And from what I understand health care is free in the UK, while here in the US we have people going broke because of doctors bills.

Free in the sense that it's paid for by huge levels of tax. I think I read a while ago that it works out as something like £2000/year per adult (I'd be interested to hear how much does the average American adult pay for health insurance?). So your earlier point... all they see is the price on the sign? well, you're not seeing the enormous cost of UK healthcare. I might add that it's also in a terrible state. When the American healthcare debate really started to kick off, I was talking to an American friend about it. Coincidentally we both had a similar operation recently - in my case arthroscopic knee surgery, in his case it was a leg tendon or something. In his case he said something like: i saw the doctor on monday, had an x-ray on tuesday, booked the operation on thursday, and we were making love on friday and saturday, we chilled on sunday (sorry, went a bit off track there).

in my case it was more like: saw a doctor in january, booked an x-ray in march, saw another doctor in june, booked another x-ray in december, had surgery the next june.

now that was for a condition which meant i couldn't run or do anything particularly active, and walking was a rather slow affair (though oddly enough, driving was fine)... admittedly not lifethreatening though. imagine if you had a lifethreatening disease, cancer or something. where would you rather get treatment?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by jnz on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 08:13:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Fri, 23 April 2010 07:38 in my case it was more like: saw a doctor in january, booked an x-ray in march, saw another doctor in june, booked another x-ray in december, had surgery the next june.

Don't know what hospital you went to but where I work they do x-rays the same day as you see the consultant. As well as the fact that in the NHS if a patient is listed for an operation they must have the treatment within 18 weeks, longer than that, staff start getting punished (even sacked).

The NHS is very good in my opinion, however with the enormous amount of patients and stretched budgets, you are unlikely to be seen about a non-life threatening problem fairly urgently. Private hospitals have short waiting times because not a lot of people want to get health insurance or pay the fees.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 08:57:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

my brother had a life-threatening illness and he didn't get seen to much quicker than i did.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 13:29:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

those are irrelevant anecdotes. Want me to get some out for the american system? Dont kid yourself, most health systems in the world are flawed, and hugely so.

also, the prizes you describe are normal for most of europe. The problem isnt the high taxes, its the fact that same tax money doesnt get spent properly.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Sat, 24 Apr 2010 02:13:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ziggy Sobotka wrote on Fri, 23 April 2010 08:29those are irrelevant anecdotes. Want me to get some out for the american system? Dont kid yourself, most health systems in the world are flawed, and hugely so. 'Irrelevant' is an odd word to use...

Quote:The problem isnt the high taxes, its the fact that same tax money doesnt get spent properly.

in what sense does the second problem mean the first thing isn't a problem too?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Sat, 24 Apr 2010 09:50:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Sat, 24 April 2010 03:13Ziggy Sobotka wrote on Fri, 23 April 2010 08:29those are irrelevant anecdotes. Want me to get some out for the american system? Dont kid yourself, most health systems in the world are flawed, and hugely so. 'Irrelevant' is an odd word to use... I'm sorry for what happened to you and especially whatever may have happened to your brother. But I have heard the story about long waiting times from people from just about every country, be it canada, america, the uk, or germany, or france. It's something that ought to be fixed, but it won't be by introducing the american system in the uk. (if that is what you think ought to happen. What exactly is your position?)

Quote:

Quote:The problem isnt the high taxes, its the fact that same tax money doesnt get spent properly.

in what sense does the second problem mean the first thing isn't a problem too?Matter of opinion I suppose. I simply dont see anything wrong with a commodity whose dependance on also happens to be one of our bigger problems being taxed very high. The prize is roughly the same in Germany btw.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Sat, 24 Apr 2010 13:51:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ziggy Sobotka wrote on Sat, 24 April 2010 11:50I'm sorry for what happened to you and especially whatever may have happened to your brother. But I have heard the story about long waiting times from people from just about every country, be it canada, america, the uk, or germany, or france. It's something that ought to be fixed, but it won't be by introducing the american system in the uk. (if that is what you think ought to happen. What exactly is your position?)

i'm not at all sure what is my overall position on healthcare, which is why i've criticised both

socialised and US-style systems.

also france's healthcare is much better than the UK's.

Quote:Matter of opinion I suppose. I simply dont see anything wrong with a commodity whose dependance on also happens to be one of our bigger problems being taxed very high. The prize is roughly the same in Germany btw.

the tax on petrol isn't some attempt to address our dependency upon it, it's a capitalisation on our dependency on it. the reason the tax on petrol goes up and up and up is precisely because we can't stop buying it. they're not trying to make us buy less, they're taking advantage of the fact we buy so much.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Sat, 24 Apr 2010 16:10:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Sat, 24 April 2010 08:51

also france's healthcare is much better than the UK's. very agreeable.

Quote:

Quote:Matter of opinion I suppose. I simply dont see anything wrong with a commodity whose dependance on also happens to be one of our bigger problems being taxed very high. The prize is roughly the same in Germany btw.

the tax on petrol isn't some attempt to address our dependency upon it, it's a capitalisation on our dependency on it. the reason the tax on petrol goes up and up and up is precisely because we can't stop buying it. they're not trying to make us buy less, they're taking advantage of the fact we buy so much. How dense/affordable is your public transportation net?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Sat, 24 Apr 2010 17:29:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Public transport in the South East:

Outside the cities (IE, Brighton, which has a fantastic bus service):

Density - easily less than half served by meaningful (More than twice a day, I'm not joking!) bus service. Easily less than a third served by train (Although that's not really gov't's fault, there's a lot of hills round here).

Price: It's cheaper to drive provided parking is free (it mostly is), although my car scores 52 MPG, which is a little higher than all but the latest ranges (despite being 11.5 years old.)

My mother, for example, would have to catch a train (1/2 hour journey every 1/2 hour), and then a

bus (1 hour journey, poor reliability, theoretically once every 1/2 hour) to get to where she works (40 miles away)... and she works in... \*drumroll\* a community hospital. Perfect figurative example, TBH.

My Dad takes the train, but then he works in London.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by danpaul88 on Sun, 25 Apr 2010 00:43:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Public transport in the UK outside of the major cities is a joke. For me to get to university from here would involve over an hour travelling on two buses and about 20 minutes of walking to and from bus stops.... and the university is less than 10 miles down the road! Plus the bus tickets are an absolute rip off....

I can drive to university in about 10-15 minutes, depending on time of day and traffic and park for free in university car park. Shame about the carbon emissions and such, but I am not prepared to spend a fortune on public transport and waste hours of my time travelling on buses.... or waiting for buses that don't ever turn up... when it's so much easier to drive. Public transport has failed in this instance.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Sun, 25 Apr 2010 04:27:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Sat, 24 April 2010 17:43Public transport in the UK outside of the major cities is a joke. For me to get to university from here would involve over an hour travelling on two buses and about 20 minutes of walking to and from bus stops.... and the university is less than 10 miles down the road! Plus the bus tickets are an absolute rip off....

I can drive to university in about 10-15 minutes, depending on time of day and traffic and park for free in university car park. Shame about the carbon emissions and such, but I am not prepared to spend a fortune on public transport and waste hours of my time travelling on buses.... or waiting for buses that don't ever turn up... when it's so much easier to drive. Public transport has failed in this instance.

Public transportation outside major cities -everywhere- is a joke. Public transportation is only feasible with a high population density (read: major cities). Public transportation in Los Angeles is a joke too, but it's pretty hard for it to be any other way since the greater LA area covers tens of thousands of square miles.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Sun, 25 Apr 2010 11:44:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Sat, 24 April 2010 23:27

Public transportation outside major cities -everywhere- is a joke. Public transportation is only feasible with a high population density (read: major cities). Public transportation in Los Angeles is a joke too, but it's pretty hard for it to be any other way since the greater LA area covers tens of thousands of square miles.

give a specific number for high population density.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Mon, 26 Apr 2010 02:09:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ziggy Sobotka wrote on Sun, 25 April 2010 04:44Dover wrote on Sat, 24 April 2010 23:27 Public transportation outside major cities -everywhere- is a joke. Public transportation is only feasible with a high population density (read: major cities). Public transportation in Los Angeles is a joke too, but it's pretty hard for it to be any other way since the greater LA area covers tens of thousands of square miles.

give a specific number for high population density.

Can't be bothered.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by papaelbo on Tue, 27 Apr 2010 12:56:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

None of the parties have all the answers but i find "i agree with Nick" on more things than not.

1st Debate http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u0QsSoV0SRo

2nd Debate http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rqis1mkS2CE

LibDems all the way.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by danpaul88 on Wed, 28 Apr 2010 18:13:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I see Gordon Clown has deployed the foot gun....

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Starbuzzz on Wed, 28 Apr 2010 19:08:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ouch...that's gotta hurt with the elections so near...!

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by snpr1101 on Thu, 29 Apr 2010 08:47:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You brits would of heard about what Gordan Brown said in the car after talking to that old lady?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jFI\_evwML2M

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:13:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That was what Spoony's Danpaul's link was, goodbye Labour!

Edit - I'm going to go hide in corner now.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Thu, 29 Apr 2010 14:53:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

thats no spoony... thats a danpaul!

edit: can i see the second debate online anywhere?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Thu, 29 Apr 2010 19:40:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message i have an odd vision of cameron making a quick video saying gordon brown ought to be ashamed of himself... then getting into a car and driving off. we then hear "did you really think that david?" "whatever, i struggle to mask my contempt when i talk to the ordinaries"

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Barry Scott on Sun, 02 May 2010 23:00:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bnp

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Mon, 03 May 2010 16:30:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lib Dems have some funny ideas about jail terms. Sentences under 6 months to be scrapped? I know that there is material that suggests that these 6 months sentences are counter productive, but how can you justify letting so many people escape jail time? There's a few other policies I do not like about the Lib Dems (EU anyone?).

Labour breaks more promises than it keeps, I cannot trust them. Plus, I hate this top heavy tier of free loaders in my country that Labour have created and supported.

Conservatives would scrap allot of police jobs, and I feel we need more, and not just these hippy youth "community support officers", I mean real police officers. I don't like their anti union ideas, and they seem to have it in for the NHS.

I have real concerns about all of the top three parties policies, therefore I think I'm seriously going to go for a "protest vote".

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Mon, 03 May 2010 18:17:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

protest vote for who, then?

is there a smaller party you prefer? UKIP, perhaps?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Mon, 03 May 2010 18:46:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Mon, 03 May 2010 14:17 protest vote for who, then?

is there a smaller party you prefer? UKIP, perhaps?

Probably, I really like most of their policies, especially on crime, the economy, welfare, education and the defense. Specifically on immigration, I think they've nailed it. Their policies are what I would like to see happen, however unlikely...

If UKIP gained a significant enough share of the vote, then the party that gained power might take them seriously and actually look at what they're saying.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Mon, 03 May 2010 23:13:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh, you guys still have smaller parties? Vote for one of them if you dislike both, it's not that hard...

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Tue, 04 May 2010 00:44:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Patrick Stewart, greatest Englishman to ever live, supports the Labor Party. I think that's enough said.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nopol10 on Tue, 04 May 2010 00:55:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If Patrick Stewart supported the Labor Party, it should be high time he started caring more about Brit politics.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Wed, 05 May 2010 14:15:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 03 May 2010 18:13Oh, you guys still have smaller parties? Vote for one of them if you dislike both, it's not that hard... yes. i've said many times that america has a better political system than we do, but in this regard, we're better off.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Thu, 06 May 2010 16:12:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Back from the polling station.

Any Brits not voting?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by danpaul88 on Thu, 06 May 2010 16:53:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You only just voted today Spoony? Shame on you, I voted by post last week

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Thu, 06 May 2010 17:00:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

my parents did that too cos they're on holiday now. trouble with that is something colossal might happen between then and the election.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Herr Surth on Thu, 06 May 2010 17:36:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

so who'd you vote for? lib dems i assume?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Thu, 06 May 2010 19:31:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I voted around 6 o'clock, it's hard to grab time to go out the house at the moment, so very pleased I was able to make the time.

I voted for UKIP in the general election, but couldn't bring myself to vote for anyone in the local

election. Shame really, I was there with the paper in my hand and a pencil, but none of them was worth the effort.

I was tempted to chuck a vote in the local One to the BNP just as a Two fingers to the rest, but I couldn't live with myself if I did.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Thu, 06 May 2010 20:07:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

reborn wrote on Thu, 06 May 2010 21:31I was tempted to chuck a vote in the local One to the BNP just as a Two fingers to the rest, but I couldn't live with myself if I did. YOU SHOULD HAVE :[

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Thu, 06 May 2010 21:27:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They're fundamentally racist, and biggots. They try hard to appear as a decent party, but underneith it they really are racist. What they stand for isn't what I believe in. I would feel like I betrayed myself if I voted for them.

Immigration is bad in this country, but it's not worth wasting a vote on the BNP for just One issue trying to get the subject more stern attention.

Besides, I believe any amount of votes they get would be ignored by the other parties (for various reasons), but they may take note of votes for UKIP.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by TORN on Fri, 07 May 2010 01:20:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would have voted UKIP. Seems they're very similar to the Constitution party here in the U.S.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Fri, 07 May 2010 07:59:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

reborn wrote on Thu, 06 May 2010 16:27They're fundamentally racist, and biggots. They try hard to appear as a decent party, but underneith it they really are racist. What they stand for isn't what I believe in.

I would feel like I betrayed myself if I voted for them.

Immigration is bad in this country, but it's not worth wasting a vote on the BNP for just One issue trying to get the subject more stern attention.

Besides, I believe any amount of votes they get would be ignored by the other parties (for various reasons), but they may take note of votes for UKIP.

Oh, obviously. But it'd still be hilarious to see an obviously racist party even get more than 3 votes.

On a serious note though, I hope more parties come into play for England. Maybe America would follow suit. It'd be nice to not have the same old two important parties, and every other party is just "a third party"

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by danpaul88 on Fri, 07 May 2010 09:13:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

And yet again we see how screwed up the UK electoral system is. The Lib Dems (at time of writing this, 36 seats are yet to declare results) have 23% of the total votes, yet they have less than 10% of the actual seats (51 / 650). The Labour party, with just 6% more of the vote (29%), has 500% more seats than the Lib Dems, with 245 (over 35%). Absolutely ridiculous.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Goztow on Fri, 07 May 2010 09:15:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

reborn wrote on Mon, 03 May 2010 18:30Lib Dems have some funny ideas about jail terms. Sentences under 6 months to be scrapped?

I know that there is material that suggests that these 6 months sentences are counter productive, but how can you justify letting so many people escape jail time?

In Belgium, sentences under 3 years are hardly ever done simply due to a lack of jails. So 6 months seemsvery reasonable to me . But then again we have no waiting lines at all for healthcare .

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by danpaul88 on Fri, 07 May 2010 09:19:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Goztow wrote on Fri, 07 May 2010 10:15reborn wrote on Mon, 03 May 2010 18:30Lib Dems have some funny ideas about jail terms. Sentences under 6 months to be scrapped? I know that there is material that suggests that these 6 months sentences are counter productive, but how can you justify letting so many people escape jail time? In Belgium, sentences under 3 years are hardly ever done simply due to a lack of jails. So 6 months seemsvery reasonable to me. But then again we have no waiting lines at all for healthcare. Well it's hard to form a waiting line in a non-country which clearly has a non-population xD

(For anyone who doesn't understand this comment, it's a joke made in reference to this video - http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uFRFA4wIVj8)

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Goztow on Fri, 07 May 2010 09:39:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, I live in a non country, that must mean I'm a non person!

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by danpaul88 on Fri, 07 May 2010 09:40:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Goztow wrote on Fri, 07 May 2010 10:39Yeah, I live in a non country, that must mean I'm a non person!

And therefore a non-moderator.... oh no, don't let the spammers know, we will be drowned under a sea of spam Oo

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Fri, 07 May 2010 09:49:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Fri, 07 May 2010 00:59Maybe America would follow suit. It'd be nice to not have the same old two important parties, and every other party is just "a third party"

lol.

danpaul88 wrote on Fri, 07 May 2010 02:19 (For anyone who doesn't understand this comment, it's a joke made in reference to this video http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uFRFA4wIVj8)

Wow, that guy's a dick. >:[

least the greens got one in brighton. apart from that - disaster.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Kimb on Fri, 07 May 2010 13:24:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

is this true? then i vote for him

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Fri, 07 May 2010 15:19:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Fri, 07 May 2010 10:13And yet again we see how screwed up the UK electoral system is. The Lib Dems (at time of writing this, 36 seats are yet to declare results) have 23% of the total votes, yet they have less than 10% of the actual seats (51 / 650). The Labour party, with just 6% more of the vote (29%), has 500% more seats than the Lib Dems, with 245 (over 35%). Absolutely ridiculous.

mmhmm, and the other house isn't even elected at all.

spreading democracy in the middle east is all very well, but i wouldn't have minded if tony blair decided spreading democracy in the UK would be worth doing too.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Carrierll on Fri, 07 May 2010 16:18:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

All bar one result now declared - major parties seeking to form a coalition gov't, not likely to last IMO.

Danpaul is correct, the system is completely flawed, to make it worse, the Lib Dems (despite losing 5 seats) gained 1% more of the popular vote, whilst Labour lost 6.2% of the popular vote (compared to 2005). The Lib Dems are currently very short of the number of seats the populace thinks they should hold.

I hope there is another election. This will never last.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Spoony on Fri, 07 May 2010 18:53:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

about the same proportion of brits voted lib dem as the proportion of americans that voted for bush :/

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nikki6ixx on Fri, 07 May 2010 20:30:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You should write your media to abstain from using the term 'hung parliament' because it implies that nothing gets done.

Brown seems intent on courting the Lib Dems, and that means that they can play the 'electoral reform' card. It's not just limited to Brown; Cameron will need their help as he'll inevitably have to cut services and raise taxes, or for when he introduces initiatives that will cause England to tangle with the EU.

I do hope Cameron will have a slight change of heart and co-operate with the EU, if only on the subject of the Canadian/European Free Trade Talks. If he's a good conservative, he'll realize how beneficial it'll be for his country to have near unfettered access to ours(and America, by proxy of NAFTA).

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Tiesto on Tue, 11 May 2010 14:28:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I hope we don't get any more involved with EU than we already are, its quite evident that this single currency isn't working.

If we get PR, it will be a hung parliament every election, and nothing will ever get done.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Tue, 11 May 2010 14:30:04 GMT Tiesto wrote on Tue, 11 May 2010 09:28I hope we don't get any more involved with EU than we already are, its quite evident that this single currency isn't working. I kinda have to agree. I might not be European, but I can't help but feel the UK gets fucked in the ass at numerous angles because of the EU.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Tiesto on Tue, 11 May 2010 17:12:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We do, and the last thing i want is for us to hold up a failing union.

Theres even rumours that British taxpayers money is being put into this euro bailout fund. If this is true, its fucking ludicrous. It really is.

Why should we prop up a dead currency?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by reborn on Wed, 12 May 2010 07:11:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lib Dems got 6% less of the vote than Labour, yet had approx 5 times less seats than them. That's pretty retarded...

But now... The lib dems who came Third in the election are now jointly in power with the winners due to the hung parliament and the coalition they formed.

This means that 1st and 3rd in the election are the winners, and second place got bugger all. Infact, the leader of the party that came Third is now deputy prime minister.

That's even more retarded!

What the hell happens if Cameron is killed while war breaks out? We've got the dude who's party came Third in-charge?!?! WTF?

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Wed, 12 May 2010 07:33:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Does the % of popular vote matter? In any election, anywhere?

No, as previously stated:

Labour got 256 seats with 29% of the popular vote (ignoring constiuency boundaries, which is how the system works, one constiuency is one seat).

The Lib Dems got 57 seats with 23% of the popular vote.

Fair much?

Reborn, I see your point, but bear in mind two things:

1) The Lib Dems gained 1% of the popular vote compared to the previous election. (Given that the # of voters also increased, this means they were more popular, just not with voters in the right constituencies).

2) Labour lost 6% of the popular vote compared to the last election. Again, given that #voters increased, this means that not only did previous supporters abandon them, but new voters didn't vote for them, either.

Labour came third in terms of public support, when you do a more thorough analysis.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by EaZiE on Wed, 26 May 2010 10:28:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The current government is complete wank, as is Labour. I say we have a good ol' civil war or complete Guy Fawkes mission. Only then will be be able to impliment true democracy.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Hypnos on Wed, 26 May 2010 14:36:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As you can imagine, no Scotsman voted for the Tories.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nikki6ixx on Wed, 26 May 2010 19:53:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Tiesto on Fri, 28 May 2010 00:39:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No one is angry enough in England to do it.

And Elizabeth II hasn't really got the pare face & moustache to do the Charles role in it.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by IAmFenix on Sat, 29 May 2010 15:57:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Wed, 26 May 2010 21:53You guys should do the whole Cromwell thing again. That shit was awesome.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Muad Dib15 on Sun, 30 May 2010 17:29:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Spoony wrote on Fri, 23 April 2010 01:38Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 23:56lt's about 3 USD/gallon now, yeah. At it's peak it was 4.50 or so, but a decade ago it was barely over a dollar. I don't know what gas prices in the UK are now compared to what they are then Tiesto is correct. It's about £1.20/litre now, was about £1 at the time (the £1/litre mark seemed to be a kind of symbolic marker they didn't want to break, but once they did, they didn't fucking stop).

Quote:but from what I understand absolute wages are higher there than they are here I don't really doubt that.

Quote:and the British Pound is so much stronger than the US Dollar, so I'm sure it all balances out in the end.

If the pound is stronger than the US dollar then this is probably quite a recent thing... not long ago the pound was in the gutter (but so was the dollar).

Quote:No, we don't have a real national health service. And most of our immigrants work, yeah. well, that's two huge differences right there.

Quote:Also -normal-. In the US we play three seperate taxes on gas at the local, state, and national level.

But neither the tax rate nor the tax proportion, are anywhere near as high, I'll wager.

Quote:Nobody notices or cares because all they see is the price on the big sign outside the station.

Interesting point, I'll come back to that very shortly...

Quote:And from what I understand health care is free in the UK, while here in the US we have people going broke because of doctors bills.

Free in the sense that it's paid for by huge levels of tax. I think I read a while ago that it works out as something like £2000/year per adult (I'd be interested to hear how much does the average American adult pay for health insurance?). So your earlier point... all they see is the price on the sign? well, you're not seeing the enormous cost of UK healthcare. I might add that it's also in a terrible state. When the American healthcare debate really started to kick off, I was talking to an American friend about it. Coincidentally we both had a similar operation recently - in my case arthroscopic knee surgery, in his case it was a leg tendon or something. In his case he said something like: i saw the doctor on monday, had an x-ray on tuesday, booked the operation on thursday, and we were making love on friday and saturday, we chilled on sunday (sorry, went a bit off track there).

in my case it was more like: saw a doctor in january, booked an x-ray in march, saw another doctor in june, booked another x-ray in december, had surgery the next june.

now that was for a condition which meant i couldn't run or do anything particularly active, and walking was a rather slow affair (though oddly enough, driving was fine)... admittedly not lifethreatening though. imagine if you had a lifethreatening disease, cancer or something. where would you rather get treatment?

Your problem is precisely why many if not all conservatives don't want state sponsored healthcare. If you want health insurance you should have to work to pay for it. I don't want other people giving me a free ride if it is going to take forever to get a problem fixed.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by nikki6ixx on Wed, 02 Jun 2010 01:26:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Muad Dib15 wrote on Sun, 30 May 2010 12:29 Your problem is precisely why many if not all conservatives don't want state sponsored healthcare. If you want health insurance you should have to work to pay for it. I don't want other people giving me a free ride if it is going to take forever to get a problem fixed.

But your system is inefficient, and wastes money. Canada's 'single-payer' health system is about 10% of total GDP, whereas America's non-public is 15%. So your country is already paying substantially more for much less.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Hypnos on Wed, 02 Jun 2010 02:00:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message The only decent thing about Private Health Care is, you're immediately looked after, with likes of Bupa etc. they provide a very efficient, top class service. Plus, no 24 year waiting list for that liver you need.

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by Dover on Wed, 02 Jun 2010 07:31:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Muad Dib15 wrote on Sun, 30 May 2010 10:29Spoony wrote on Fri, 23 April 2010 01:38Dover wrote on Thu, 22 April 2010 23:56It's about 3 USD/gallon now, yeah. At it's peak it was 4.50 or so, but a decade ago it was barely over a dollar. I don't know what gas prices in the UK are now compared to what they are then

Tiesto is correct. It's about £1.20/litre now, was about £1 at the time (the £1/litre mark seemed to be a kind of symbolic marker they didn't want to break, but once they did, they didn't fucking stop).

Quote:but from what I understand absolute wages are higher there than they are here I don't really doubt that.

Quote:and the British Pound is so much stronger than the US Dollar, so I'm sure it all balances out in the end.

If the pound is stronger than the US dollar then this is probably quite a recent thing... not long ago the pound was in the gutter (but so was the dollar).

Quote:No, we don't have a real national health service. And most of our immigrants work, yeah. well, that's two huge differences right there.

Quote:Also -normal-. In the US we play three seperate taxes on gas at the local, state, and national level.

But neither the tax rate nor the tax proportion, are anywhere near as high, I'll wager.

Quote:Nobody notices or cares because all they see is the price on the big sign outside the station.

Interesting point, I'll come back to that very shortly...

Quote:And from what I understand health care is free in the UK, while here in the US we have people going broke because of doctors bills.

Free in the sense that it's paid for by huge levels of tax. I think I read a while ago that it works out as something like £2000/year per adult (I'd be interested to hear how much does the average American adult pay for health insurance?). So your earlier point... all they see is the price on the sign? well, you're not seeing the enormous cost of UK healthcare. I might add that it's also in a terrible state. When the American healthcare debate really started to kick off, I was talking to an American friend about it. Coincidentally we both had a similar operation recently - in my case arthroscopic knee surgery, in his case it was a leg tendon or something. In his case he said something like: i saw the doctor on monday, had an x-ray on tuesday, booked the operation on thursday, and we were making love on friday and saturday, we chilled on sunday (sorry, went a bit off track there).

in my case it was more like: saw a doctor in january, booked an x-ray in march, saw another doctor in june, booked another x-ray in december, had surgery the next june.

now that was for a condition which meant i couldn't run or do anything particularly active, and walking was a rather slow affair (though oddly enough, driving was fine)... admittedly not lifethreatening though. imagine if you had a lifethreatening disease, cancer or something. where would you rather get treatment?

Your problem is precisely why many if not all conservatives don't want state sponsored healthcare. If you want health insurance you should have to work to pay for it. I don't want other people giving me a free ride if it is going to take forever to get a problem fixed.

Yeah! In fact, why stop with health care? People should buy their own fire and police protection! And public schooling should be abolished! And people should pave their own roads! Down with the amenities of civilization!!1!

Subject: Re: my fellow brits... who're you gonna vote for? Posted by CarrierII on Wed, 02 Jun 2010 08:03:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The issues with the implementation of our public health care system are completely seperate from any hypothetical flaws in the concept of the public health care system.

Or: Too much red tape.

Page 40 of 40 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums