
Subject: CarrierII is stupid!

Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Wed, 13 Jan 2010 19:01:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Face it, this looks shit. I'm not sure, but I think only mentally challenged people find this good!

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 13 Jan 2010 19:09:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir Kane wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 13:01Face it, this looks shit. I'm not sure, but I think only mentally challenged people find this good!

Well I must be mentally challenged, because I liked... actually I must be really reall retarded as I am the one that made it. I didn't make this because I thought everyone that plays Renegade would love it so much and use it forever. I made it for myself, then when I was about to make some new GDI tanks I thought I could post it here for 2 reasons: 1. Maybe someone else will find enjoyment using it. 2. If I ever need to find it again for whatever reason, I know that it is here.

So thank you for your opinion anyways, next time maybe try not to sound like such a douchebag though.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks

Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 13 Jan 2010 21:56:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SK, this is rapidly heading towards a warning for your seemingly endless desire to hate anything anyone else creates.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Wed, 13 Jan 2010 22:00:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir Kane wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 19:01Face it, this looks shit. I'm not sure, but I think only mentally challenged people find this good!

Normally I agree with you, but I think it's fine. I PERSONALLY don't like it, but it's not the flamboyant bullshit we normally have to suffer through. I honestly PREFER simple recolors most of the time.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks

Posted by [Good-One-Driver](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2010 01:25:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 15:56SK, this is rapidly heading towards a warning for your seemingly endless desire to hate anything anyone else creates.

I lol'd he has the right to an opinion

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2010 01:55:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good-One-Driver wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 19:25CarrierII wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 15:56SK, this is rapidly heading towards a warning for your seemingly endless desire to hate anything anyone else creates.

I lol'd he has the right to an opinion

I think Carrier is talking about SK's way of expressing his opinion in such a manner in more than just this thread...

I don't mind people not liking my skins, they don't have to use them... I don't like when people think they have to go into douche mode to express their dislike. It is not necessary. I don't make skins for others, I make them for myself.

Bottom line, if you don't like don't use, no need to be a asshat.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2010 02:09:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 21:00Chew wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 19:55Good-One-Driver wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 19:25CarrierII wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 15:56SK, this is rapidly heading towards a warning for your seemingly endless desire to hate anything anyone else creates.

I lol'd he has the right to an opinion

I think Carrier is talking about SK's way of expressing his opinion in such a manner in more than just this thread...

I don't mind people not liking my skins, they don't have to use them... I don't like when people

think they have to go into douche mode to express their dislike. It is not necessary. I don't make skins for others, I make them for myself.

Bottom line, if you don't like don't use, no need to be a asshat.
In all fairness though, when you post skins on renforums, you're going to get negative comments in some form or another; it's pretty much inevitable.

However, I feel like if you're going to be an asshat about someone's work, do so while saying WHY it's shit.

I know that very well by now, and tbh I am not personally bothered because some people don't like them. I just don't like douchbags.

As I said in first post:
Chew wrote on Tue, 05 January 2010 18:25 I don't expect a whole lot of people here to love this theme...

Remember this skin pack was made for fun and lols, not claiming it to be professional grade artwork.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2010 12:59:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Wed, 13 January 2010 15:56 SK, this is rapidly heading towards a warning for your seemingly endless desire to hate anything anyone else creates.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 09:37:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir kane further proves he's merely a negative, hateful loser who gets off on treating people like crap. If he had no talent at coding whatsoever, not a single person in renegade or the renforums would bother to care at all about what he has to say due to his incredible lack of personality.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 12:27:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They simply get what they deserve. I'm not afraid of stating the truth about what people create, if it looks shit I will say so. If they made something good, I wouldn't call it shit.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 12:28:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Except for the fact it wasn't needed as the creator indicated it wasn't "professional grade artwork", rather "a quick job done for laughs", and you seem to hate everything...

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 12:53:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir Kane wrote on Thu, 14 January 2010 06:59

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 19:18:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The overwhelming logic in the preceding post force me to concede defeat...

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [F1r3st0rm](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 23:28:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 14:18The overwhelming logic in the preceding post force me to concede defeat...
he's got you there..

@OP make some walmart or home depot skins

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Mon, 25 Jan 2010 05:59:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 13:18The overwhelming logic in the preceding post

force me to concede defeat...
Take a closer look at the image!

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Mon, 25 Jan 2010 08:42:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 13:18The overwhelming logic in the preceeding post force me to concede defeat...
I wouldn't feed the robot's ego by giving him any sense of satisfaction, be it sarcasticly done or not. A loser who spouts out images and internet memes only further proves they have no personality or creativity to make their own jokes.

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Mon, 25 Jan 2010 12:53:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

... what internet memes?

Subject: Re: [Skin pack] John Deere GDI tanks
Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 04:49:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, he sure knows how to draw in retaliation replies.
And how to derail topics.

Subject: SK's dislike of nearly everything - meta
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 20:46:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hardstyle and alike is fucking retarded.

Subject: Re: Hardstyle <3
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 21:24:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There you go again hating on everything like a douchebag... even though he ask that people not post if they don't like.

Subject: Re: Hardstyle <3
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 23:35:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He 1.) shouldn't make that so tiny then and 2.) should figure that nobody cares about that anyways.

Subject: Re: Hardstyle <3
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 23:47:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is still other people on this forum that might like these type of music. Although I am not a fan myself... unlike you I realize that other people have their own taste and my opinion is not always the most agreed upon. You just seem to hate everything, as a matter of fact... we should just automatically assume that you do... then if you actually like something you can surprise us by posting then to say you do.

Subject: GO AWAY STUPID FORUM SOFTWARE
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 23:53:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, we've been through this, it surprises me that SK still seeks out human contact as it always seems to result in him getting angry, which one would hope is an experience he doesn't enjoy.

SPLIT, along with the John Deere tanks thread which I should have split when SK disregarded my moderator remark.

Incidentally, have a warning SK, let's see if that can get you to be nice to other people...

Edit - I merged to lower topic spam, so this won't make much sense: those of you wondering, SK seems to hate nearly everything and this has created a great deal of discussion.

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 00:37:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ever since the conservifags like Cheesesoda and Maud went away, we have a lack of people who hate everything. SK is just filling that void. No harm done.

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 00:49:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 18:37Ever since the conservifags like Cheesesoda and Maud went away, we have a lack of people who hate everything. SK is just filling that void. No harm done.

So you have an empty wall in your house, you either:

1. Put pictures on it and decorate it nicely.
2. Go to the nearest dumpster and find dirty diapers to fling at it.

The only "holes" that need to be filled with trash are landfills. Replacing assholes with more assholes is just a stupid concept.

Would you go weed your garden then plant more weeds?

Need I come up with any more comparisons?

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 01:12:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Would you go weed your garden then plant more weeds?

Maybe Dover is implying that he misses the "weeds" somewhat, for what they brought to the "garden?"

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 01:54:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 19:12Quote:Would you go weed your garden then plant more weeds?

Maybe Dover is implying that he misses the "weeds" somewhat, for what they brought to the "garden?"

Then Dover obviously doesn't know what a garden is supposed to look like... if that were the case he prolly thinks that Jackson Pollock painting that was sold at a yard sale for like \$2 or w/e, is really worth the \$50,000,000 that lady sold it for...

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 02:04:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Man when did this place get so serious effin' business?..

B-|

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 05:13:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SK just actually voices his opinion when he thinks something isn't made well. Pretty sure he doesn't hate everything, he just doesn't often post to show his approval of things(from what I have seen).

Subject: Re: GO AWAY STUPID FORUM SOFTWARE

Posted by [Sean](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 06:17:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 17:53Yeah, we've been through this, it suprires me that SK still seeks out human contact as it always seems to result in him getting angry, which one would hope is an experience he doesn't enjoy.

SPLIT, along with the John Deere tanks thread which I should have split when SK disregarded my moderator remark.

Incidentally, have a warning SK, let's see if that can get you to be nice to other people...

Edit - I merged to lower topic spam, so this won't make much sense: those of you wondering, SK seems to hate nearly everything and this has created a great deal of discussion.

Why can't there be more brits like us on the forums, we're the only civil people online

Stupid American/German e-trolls.

<http://www.collegehumor.com/video:1926079>

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [Canadacd](#)n on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 07:14:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BOO HOO I CAN'T HANDLE CRITICISM OF MY SHITTY SKINS

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 09:48:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tunaman wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 23:13SK just actually voices his opinion when he thinks something isn't made well. Pretty sure he doesn't hate everything, he just doesn't often post to show his approval of things(from what I have seen).
Finally someone who figured it out!

Also,
I don't give a shit about CarrierII's warning(s).

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 09:53:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

grawww.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 10:08:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Then why put more effort into being negative?!

I can't understand that, and it makes the forums look like we've got a raging troll infestation.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 10:16:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 04:08Then why put more effort into being negative?!

I can't understand that, and it makes the forums look like we've got a raging troll infestation.
we do.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 10:35:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

surth? / surth! wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 09:53gawwww.

i giggled

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:43:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chew wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 16:49Dover wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 18:37Ever since the conservifags like Cheesesoda and Maud went away, we have a lack of people who hate everything. SK is just filling that void. No harm done.

So you have an empty wall in your house, you either:

1. Put pictures on it and decorate it nicely.
2. Go to the nearest dumpster and find dirty diapers to fling at it.

The only "holes" that need to be filled with trash are landfills. Replacing assholes with more assholes is just a stupid concept.

Would you go weed your garden then plant more weeds?

Need I come up with any more comparisons?

Everything in it's place, Chew. Dipers go in the trash, Pictures go on the walls, and BADASSES WHO HATE EVERYTHING go on Renforums. That's just the way things work. Things wouldn't be the same around here if everyone was happy and nice and complimenting each other all the time. It just wouldn't be the same Renforums we all come back to for reasons unknown. You faggot.

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:50:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not all of us comeback, maybe for the reason of the forum trolls? Obviously the ones still here have all comeback, but many have left, and not comeback, for whatever reason.

Subject: Re: Sk's dislike of nearly everthing

Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:52:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 07:50 Not all of us comeback, maybe for the reason of the forum trolls? Obviously the ones still here have all comeback, but many have left, and not comeback, for whatever reason.

You're right. If it wasn't for the trolls, we'd still have Westwood-era participation on these forums and Renegade would be a much more successful game! It's all the troll's fault!!1!

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [SPIKDUM](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:55:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ban dover = curing the cancer that is killing renforums

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 16:12:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SPIKDUM wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 07:55 Ban dover = curing the cancer that is killing renforums

>:[

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 17:50:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

???

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 19:24:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Some of you are still missing the fucking point... obviously can't read, but you visit forums?

I honestly didn't give a flying rat's ass that SK didn't like the skins (as I said in original post, I figured most would not like them)... I just think the way he goes about being so negative towards seemingly everything is getting rather old.

To say that this place wouldn't be the same Renforums without the assholes and trolls would be correct (imo, it would be better)... but to think that is why people should come here is stupid.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 19:33:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chew wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 11:24Some of you are still missing the fucking point... obviously can't read, but you visit forums?

I honestly didn't give a flying rat's ass that SK didn't like the skins (as I said in original post, I figured most would not like them)... I just think the way he goes about being so negative towards seemingly everything is getting rather old.

To say that this place wouldn't be the same Renforums without the assholes and trolls would be correct (imo, it would be better)... but to think that is why people should come here is stupid.

You don't speak for everyone Chew. This place's unofficial tagline is "We know drama", and it's not that for no reason. If it wasn't for bullshit like this, the Nodstradamus crowd would probably be right and this would be a dead place.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 19:39:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mothafuckers be hungry, yo.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 19:42:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I AGREE WITH SURTH.

ALSO, COCKS

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 19:53:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I know I don't speak for everyone... that is why I say "imo".

There was no need for him to come into my skin thread and be an asshole... I was well aware that

prolly mosy would not like them.

The was no reason for him to go into that thread about hardstyle music, as the OP said "please no replies about that kinda music sucking"... as he was aware other would not like it. He asked for people that actually like that music to recommend stuff, not for flaming douches to invade and and say how much they hate it.

So imo he post were not really warranted in either thread.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 20:33:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On account of both posts being derailing (And yes I'm guilty, but I owned up and cleaned up) I split them.

The lack of any intelligent response to my earlier point concerning why anyone would bother to seek out things they dislike, for the purpose of indicating they dislike them and being as rude as possible in the process, is quite... interesting.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 22:23:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

silent kane is a hack compared to jonwil

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 10:41:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rocko is jealous of my skills!

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [InternetThug](#) on Sat, 30 Jan 2010 01:42:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i get more vaginal intercourse than everyone here combined

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sat, 30 Jan 2010 02:07:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Sat, 30 Jan 2010 17:25:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ralph wrote on Sat, 30 January 2010 01:42i get more vaginal intercourse than everyone here combined

If you have the time to post this on a forum for an old game, I sense a certain degree of dishonesty...

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Mon, 01 Feb 2010 12:40:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ralph wrote on Sat, 30 January 2010 01:42i get more vaginal intercourse than everyone here combined

This comment is a lie. He only has anal intercourse.

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Tue, 02 Feb 2010 20:22:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He only specified "vaginal"... he did specify species...

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [InternetThug](#) on Wed, 03 Feb 2010 00:58:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

my post is proven valid just by the fact that you guys are trying to dissect it and make it retarded

gg no re

Subject: Re: CarrierII is stupid!
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 03 Feb 2010 17:12:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Topic has been dragged offtopic - locked.
