
Subject: Goztow!

Posted by [Di3HardNL](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2009 21:25:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Since the building bars are released on Jelly-forums I'd like to know if you are willing to enable my PM rights again as there is no more reason from keeping me to send personal messages

I never even shared any buildingbars through PM's here anyway I was just joking in that topic.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [slosha](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2009 21:43:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I vote yes. I see no point in keeping them blocked for you.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Lone0001](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2009 22:01:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Imo, if you enable one person's pm that you disabled because they have the building bars, you should enable all that you have disabled. Makes perfect sense to me.

Though it sounds to me Goztow is not the right person to ask, Crimson would probably be the one you have to ask.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Tupolev TU-95 Bear](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2009 22:08:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

!vote yes

besides if its a joke the PM should be re-enabled

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2009 22:12:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I reenabled, but NOT because it's available at Jelly. This forum shall still not be used for spreading building bars and I hope you'll respect this and not undermine the trust I give you at this moment.

You just had a terrible timing to make jokes, tbh.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2009 02:03:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stealth skins can be pretty nasty; you should remove all of the ones that aid the user in finding stealthed units from renforums because it's a stupid advantage like building bars

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Killgeak](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2009 12:21:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

didnt expect jelly to be so dumb.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2009 23:09:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

how is jelly dumb

the person who released it isn't speaking on behalf of jelly or the jelly community

continue

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 00:52:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 19 November 2009 15:09how is jelly dumb

the person who released it isn't speaking on behalf of jelly or the jelly community

continue

Yet, by allowing it, they're condoning it.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 03:51:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why would we care if people use building bars?

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 04:52:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

as long as they don't go on servers that disallow them with the building bars what's the issue?

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 06:09:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 19 November 2009 20:52as long as they don't go on servers that disallow them with the building bars what's the issue?

The issue is, it's a cheat, and I doubt everyone who plays at Jelly stays out of cheat-free servers.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [reborn](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 06:31:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Was the source code included?

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 14:02:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

reborn wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 07:31Was the source code included?

No, so the release is also illegal. But i prefer it being an illegal release without source code than a legal release with source code.

Between brackets: IIRC the person who released this doesn't even have the source code as it isn't him who created it.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:22:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 00:09The issue is, it's a cheat, and I doubt everyone who plays at Jelly stays out of cheat-free servers.

we allow the use of building bars and GPS huds and different reticles and different colored skins and models and clearscope and ugly sbh skins; not a big deal to us

we do not allow all skins or models, but probably over 95% of them are allowed players who don't want to use them do not have to, but it's an option for them

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:26:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 14:22Dover wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 00:09The issue is, it's a cheat, and I doubt everyone who plays at Jelly stays out of cheat-free servers. we allow the use of building bars and GPS huds and different reticles and different colored skins and models and clearscope and ugly sbh skins; not a big deal to us

we do not allow all skins or models, but probably over 95% of them are allowed players who don't want to use them do not have to, but it's an option for them

Right. It isn't a big deal to you, but it is a big deal to the greater Renegade community. Do all Jelly players only play on Jelly? Do they clean out their Data folder every time they go on other servers? Jelly has every legal right to run his server the way he sees fit, but condoning the spread of a cheat is irresponsible.

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:44:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that's kind of like saying people who sell guns are irresponsible because some people use those guns to kill other people
is that any reason for the selling of guns to become prohibited? i don't think so

there was no mention or warning that it may be disallowed on other servers so i'll add that now;
thanks for pointing that out

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:44:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

End of the day they don't see it as a cheat, and they don't care that the scripts license is being broken. We can't really do anything about that, it's their choice. As I always say: I try to moderate this forum the way I (and the administration) see fit, I really don't have the time and courage to attempt to tell others what to do . As long as it's still clear it should not be released here, than I made my point.

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:46:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 14:44there was no mention or warning that it may be disallowed on other servers so i'll add that now; thanks for pointing that out

Thank you.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [raven](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:50:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I could release the source code if you'd like to make it a legal release. Most of you probably don't want that though.

At the end of the day, we (Jelly) do not take responsibility for any posts our users make, just like any forum. They are free to post as they wish as long as it's within our forum rules. Currently, our forum rules do not have anything stating they are not allowed to post anything of that nature, so they are perfectly within their right to do so.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:53:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I thought the forum owner was juridically responsible for everything posted on their forum. I'm not sure if this applies in all countries, though.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:53:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 14:50At the end of the day, we (Jelly) do not take responsibility for any posts our users make, just like any forum. They are free to post as they wish as long as it's within our forum rules. Currently, our forum rules do not have anything stating they are not allowed to post anything of that nature, so they are perfectly within their right to do so.

Of course. We're talking about two different things here. You're talking about being within a person's "legal" (For lack of a better term) rights, and I'm talking about the morality of the issue. It's your server and your forums. You can do whatever the hell you want with it.

Please don't release the source, though. It'll only make the situation worse. And if some kind of situation were to arise where the release has to be taken down for not being legal, then so be it.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [raven](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:55:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 16:53 I thought the forum owner was juridically responsible for everything posted on their forum. I'm not sure if this applies in all countries, though.

Technically, everyone that joins signs a "digital waver" releasing the site owner from any liability. All liability is then transferred to the poster.

I won't ever release the source to this, I was just trying to make a point. You can't have your cake and eat it too.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:58:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not sure you can release your liability that easily. E.g. if someone agrees that you kill them, then it'll still be considered a murder and you will be punished for this although said person agreed. This is an extreme example to show what I mean: I don't think you can allow posters to post illegal things on your forums just by transferring liability.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 22:59:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 14:55 I won't ever release the source to this, I was just trying to make a point. You can't have your cake and eat it too.

In this situation, the cake is something disgusting like tiramisu. I don't want to eat it, nor do I want to have it. I just wish it never existed in the first place.

Subject: Re: Goztow!

Posted by [raven](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:07:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're comparing apples and oranges. Obviously you would still be charged with murder.. for one the person signing the contract to be killed was obviously not well mentally...

Release of liability IS that easy. Just like signing an accident waver.. xxx will not be held responsible if you get injured during your time on our property blah blah. If they tick the box agreeing to it, they agreed to it and all liability is transferred to them.

This is true under the law where our website is hosted.

Dover wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 16:59 In this situation, the cake is something disgusting like tiramisu. I don't want to eat it, nor do I want to have it. I just wish it never existed in the first

place.
I laughed pretty hard. Tiramisu is disgusting

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:15:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm really not convinced of that, Raven. I googled a bit and found only one specific examples:

<http://cyberlaw.stanford.edu/node/5426> (Germany)

Quote:the court found that the forum operator could be found co-culpable by merely providing a platform for an inadmissible comment. In other words, the operator does not have to agree with the content or have entered the content directly. Furthermore, it does not matter whether the content came from the operator or from external parties, nor does it matter whether the forum provider has any knowledge of the contents. All that matters is that the forum operator provide its own website for the propagation of said content. The judges did, however, say that liability could be prevented if the operator of the website specifically states that the content of a particular comment does not represent its own opinion; it does not suffice if the forum provider generally states that the opinions in user comments are not its own."

It's German and German law may be stricter than American law. But what about e.g. Warez forums. How would they be shut down if there wasn't any liability of the forum?

I'm not an expert, maybe you can give me some more info on the issue?

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:21:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if Tiramisu makes other people happy then why can't you just ignore its existence and let them be selfish jerk!1

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [raven](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:21:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:The judges did, however, say that liability could be prevented if the operator of the website specifically states that the content of a particular comment does not represent its own opinion; it does not suffice if the forum provider generally states that the opinions in user comments are not its own."

Quote>Please take a moment to review these rules detailed below. If you agree with them and wish to proceed with the registration, simply click the "Register" button below. To cancel this

registration, simply hit the 'back' button on your browser. Please remember that we are not responsible for any messages posted. We do not vouch for or warrant the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any message, and are not responsible for the contents of any message. The messages express the views of the author of the message, not necessarily the views of JELLY-SERVER.COM.

German Law varies quite a bit from USA law. I'm no expert but I have taken many internet law and "e-law" classes for my University studies and know a thing or two

As far as I know, Warez forums usually get busted for them hosting the content rather than just links being posted to the content. Also, being hosted in countries where stuff like that is outlawed will obviously get them shut down.

Anyways, just for your information, I have decided to take down the BB release on Jelly specifically because it does violate the GNU/GPL and I'm a big supporter of open source software.

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:24:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i honestly do not care if people choose to use them but if distributing them is somehow not legal then by all means get rid of them

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [raven](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:24:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Fri, 20 November 2009 17:24i honestly do not care if people choose to use them but if distributing them is somehow not legal then by all means get rid of them

Which is what I did :>

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 23:24:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

good bird ^-^

Subject: Re: Goztow!
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 21 Nov 2009 00:14:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What the fuck?! Tiramisu's an awesome dessert, right up there with cheesecake.
