Subject: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Goztow on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 06:44:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson and the moderators took some time to update the rules of conduct. Please read the updated version here.

If you have any questions, then ask them in this topic.

Thanks.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by BlueThen on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 14:10:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for. Finally.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Carrierll on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 16:41:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, we knew we had to change the policy on that, but we didn't know what to, and therefore we had to discuss before we could act, and that got silly...

Anyway, READ THEM!

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 16:46:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: Swearing: We will not be censoring any "bad words" here. But please still keep it within reason, and use the Hot Issues forum for anything too intense.

exofail still remains 'creatively edited'?

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by jnz on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 16:49:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Carrierll on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 16:53:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Neither Goztow nor I have access to the word filter.

I do not have the required access to PM control, and what is done by the administration is not my fault.

Contact Crimson.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by havoc9826 on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 17:37:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Has the warez rule been changed at all? I'm still not 100% sure whether it's supposed to cover the NON-cracked Renegade ISOs that Blazer posted a link to somewhere on the forum.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Goztow on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 18:43:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

havoc9826 wrote on Tue, 11 August 2009 19:37Has the warez rule been changed at all? I'm still not 100% sure whether it's supposed to cover the NON-cracked Renegade ISOs that Blazer posted a link to somewhere on the forum.

The rule didn't change, there's some kind of tolerance towards those ISO's.

And the censoring concerns swearing. AFAIK the word that's auto replaced there is not a swearword. And as Caveman is also right.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by raven on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 21:22:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Goztow wrote on Tue, 11 August 2009 13:43And the censoring concerns swearing. AFAIK the word that's auto replaced there is not a swearword. And as Caveman is also right. It might not be a swearword but it conflicts with this:

Quote: Advertising: forum members can advertise their Renegade or Command and Conquer

related community, clan or business via a small line in their signature.

They are not able to do so because of the word being censored.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 11 Aug 2009 21:38:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

raven wrote on Tue, 11 August 2009 16:22

They are not able to do so because of the word being censored.

I think it could be used no problem in a signature, but my issue is that what happens when say, another community does something deemed 'terrible' by the higher ups? Will Jelly become 'Failly'? Will n00bless become 'n00bfull'?

It's one thing to censor out cheats or things that are actually debilitating towards the game, but this is just pettiness.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Cunin on Wed, 12 Aug 2009 02:11:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

this is so lol.

Btw i know that you forum mods have no powers over that, so I don't blame you at all.

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Ethenal on Wed, 12 Aug 2009 02:14:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:General Complaints/Problems: If you happen to have a problem with a member of these forums, or with how these forums are managed, feel free to contact any member of the administration or moderation staff and we will work to solve it as quickly and efficiently as possible. The "Report Message" and Private Message features are there for a reason. You are encouraged to use them when needed.

How ironic, I see no such thing!

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by raven on Wed, 12 Aug 2009 02:17:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Tue, 11 August 2009 16:38raven wrote on Tue, 11 August 2009 16:22

They are not able to do so because of the word being censored.

I think it could be used no problem in a signature, but my issue is that what happens when say, another community does something deemed 'terrible' by the higher ups? Will Jelly become 'Failly'? Will n00bless become 'n00bfull'?

I tried it, it still censors it

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by slosha on Wed, 12 Aug 2009 02:41:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

BlueThen wrote on Tue, 11 August 2009 09:10Quote:Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for. Finally.

yay!

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by masterkna on Wed, 12 Aug 2009 11:36:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

edit: nevermind

Subject: Re: The rules of conduct have been updated Posted by Carrierll on Wed, 19 Aug 2009 17:38:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In response to some minor confusion, I clarified the signature size rules, hence the further update.