

---

Subject: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [The Party](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 19:29:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

lol What would you do if this had happened to you

Quote: Kentucky man who claims his penis was removed without his consent during what was supposed to be a circumcision has sued the doctor who performed the surgery.

Phillip Seaton, 61, and his wife are seeking unspecified compensation from Dr. John M. Patterson and the medical practice that performed the circumcision for "loss of service, love and affection." The Seatons also are seeking unspecified punitive damages from Patterson and the medical practice, Commonwealth Urology.

A woman who answered the phone at Commonwealth Urology would not take a message for the doctor Thursday. But the Seaton's attorney said the doctor's post-surgical notes show the doctor thought he detected cancer and removed the penis. Attorney Kevin George said a later test did detect cancer.

"It was not an emergency," George told The Associated Press on Thursday. "It didn't have to happen that way."

Seaton was having the procedure on Oct. 19, 2007, to better treat inflammation.

The lawsuit filed earlier this month in state court claims Patterson removed Seaton's penis without consulting either Phillip or Deborah Seaton, or giving them an opportunity to seek a second opinion.

The couple also sued the anesthesiologist, Dr. Oliver James of Shelbyville, claiming he used a general anesthesia even though Seaton asked that it not be administered.

A message left at Commonwealth Urology's corporate office in Lexington was not immediately returned Thursday. A message left for James also was not immediately returned.

The Seatons' suit is similar to one in which an Indianapolis man was awarded more than \$2.3 million in damages after he claimed his penis and left testicle were removed without his consent during surgery for an infection in 1997.

SOURCE

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 21:15:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good riddance.

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [RTsa](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 21:44:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hmm..

They did detect cancer on it. Still...

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [\\_SSnipe\\_](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 22:03:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That doctor needs to lose his license, and goto jail, cancer or not not his choice

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [AngelFaN](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 01:06:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wow.. I'd probably go crazy and  
try and glue it back on.  
I feel sorry for this guy.

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 01:26:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'd find that doctor and perform a little malpractice on him!

Seriously though, he should have at least told the guy he had cancer in his dick before removing it.

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 07:24:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He likely gave consent to such a decision before he underwent surgery. This is a fairly common thing that happens with hysterectomies. Most women go in for a partial hysterectomy but because it is impossible to really know what's in there without getting in there and looking around there are cases where a doctor decides to perform a full hysterectomy completely removing the uterus if he thinks that there may be some danger to the patient.

I'm not sure he gave such consent, but he probably did.

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 12:06:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SSnipe wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 01:03That doctor needs to lose his license, and goto jail, cancer or not not his choice  
he could die cuz of it.. the cancer could spread, doctor did right in my opininnion, altho wouldn't want this to happen to anyone

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 13:30:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ErroR wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 14:06SSnipe wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 01:03That doctor needs to lose his license, and goto jail, cancer or not not his choice  
he could die cuz of it.. the cancer could spread, doctor did right in my opininnion, altho wouldn't want this to happen to anyone  
It's not as if it would have mattered if he had waited another day or two.

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 14:01:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

They are not going to have you go under anesthetic and into surgery twice when you could only go once. That is doubling your risk for complications with the anesthetic or infection or a rejected blood transfusion. There are many reasons they would try to do all they can in a single surgery.

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 14:35:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 17:01They are not going to have you go under anesthetic and into surgery twice when you could only go once. That is doubling your risk for complications with the anesthetic or infection or a rejected blood transfusion. There are many reasons they would try to do all they can in a single surgery.  
yeah, what i wanted to say too

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 14:46:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of

---

the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

For the record, it turned out that in this case, there was no cancer all along.

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 17:20:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 09:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

Yeah, except your life potentially. I already stated why they would not want to put someone under twice when they could put them under once. The risks are too high.

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 17:24:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 17:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

For the record, it turned out that in this case, there was no cancer all along.  
lol, poor man.

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Muad Dib15](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 23:43:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ErroR wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 12:24Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 17:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

For the record, it turned out that in this case, there was no cancer all along.  
lol, poor man.  
+1

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Dover](#) on Thu, 30 Apr 2009 03:31:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 10:20Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 09:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

Yeah, except your life potentially. I already stated why they would not want to put someone under twice when they could put them under once. The risks are too high.

Perhaps, but the choice was not the doctor's to make.

Again, let me note that in this case there was no cancer. In the persuit of prolonging this man's life, his doctor has essentially destroyed this man's quality of life. Which of the two is more important?

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [zeratul](#) on Thu, 30 Apr 2009 03:34:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The one thing ductape cant fix...

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [The Party](#) on Thu, 30 Apr 2009 13:52:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 22:31Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 10:20Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 09:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

Yeah, except your life potentially. I already stated why they would not want to put someone under twice when they could put them under once. The risks are too high.

Perhaps, but the choice was not the doctor's to make.

Again, let me note that in this case there was no cancer. In the persuit of prolonging this man's life, his doctor has essentially destroyed this man's quality of life. Which of the two is more important?

Go jump off a cliff zertual for your random posts. Also Dover how did they destroy his ability to live life really buy taking his penis away at age 70 or whatever his age is. I mean your not going to use it for well one of its main pruposes after such an old age.

Just meaning he has to sit now when he goes to the bathroom I guess.

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [Dover](#) on Thu, 30 Apr 2009 22:23:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

MasterEvolution wrote on Thu, 30 April 2009 06:52Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 22:31Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 10:20Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 09:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

Yeah, except your life potentially. I already stated why they would not want to put someone under twice when they could put them under once. The risks are too high.

Perhaps, but the choice was not the doctor's to make.

Again, let me note that in this case there was no cancer. In the persuit of prolonging this man's life, his doctor has essentially destroyed this man's quality of life. Which of the two is more important?

Go jump off a cliff zertual for your random posts. Also Dover how did they destroy his ability to live life really buy taking his penis away at age 70 or whatever his age is. I mean your not going to use it for well one of its main pruposes after such an old age.

Just meaning he has to sit now when he goes to the bathroom I guess.

Old people have sex too, believe it or not. And even if they don't it's something with a lot of sentimental value. People who have stuff like this happen to them (Even when they actually do have cancer) often feel like less of a man.

---

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [futura83](#) on Thu, 30 Apr 2009 23:48:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Thu, 30 April 2009 23:23MasterEvolution wrote on Thu, 30 April 2009 06:52Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 22:31Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 10:20Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 09:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

Yeah, except your life potentially. I already stated why they would not want to put someone under twice when they could put them under once. The risks are too high.

Perhaps, but the choice was not the doctor's to make.

Again, let me note that in this case there was no cancer. In the persuit of prolonging this man's life, his doctor has essentially destroyed this man's quality of life. Which of the two is more important?

Go jump off a cliff zertual for your random posts. Also Dover how did they destroy his ability to live life really buy taking his penis away at age 70 or whatever his age is. I mean your not going to use it for well one of its main pruposes after such an old age.

Just meaning he has to sit now when he goes to the bathroom I guess.

Old people have sex too, believe it or not. And even if they don't it's something with a lot of sentimental value. People who have stuff like this happen to them (Even when they actually do have cancer) often feel like less of a man.

Legendary discription of an old man's cock.

---