
Subject: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 02:06:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I got win xp laptop, its for my g/f you guys think i should upgrade it to vista?

it only has 512 ram and she only needs it for basic shit, internet school work and the ocastioanl listen to music

but vista is more secure and compatible with most software new hard wares correct?

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 02:22:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Keep it XP, and make sure it's updated using Windows update.

Then download Firefox, and grab AVG Antivirus. Get AVG updated, and scanning on a daily basis, and it should be no problem.

I'd upgrade the RAM though, if she has a bit of money to spare to at least 1GB.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 03:49:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Im try to et some for her, if i do then do you think its a good idea to upgrade to vista?

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [Lone0001](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 03:52:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Upgrading that computer would be a very bad idea, Vista with less than 2GB(1.5GB minimum probably) is hell and another fact: Vista + a laptop = slow.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 03:53:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SSnipe wrote on Sun, 15 March 2009 21:49Im try to et some for her, if i do then do you think its a good idea to upgrade to vista?

Not really. Vista's good, but for her needs, XP will do the job just fine. Buying Vista would just be a waste of money, and time.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 03:54:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Keep XP. Vista eats too much RAM. It needs 1GB minimum just for basic use.

And don't use AVG anti-virus. I used to use it... it's a good program, but it hogs a lot of memory and slows down your computer to a crawl.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [The Party](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 04:05:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Best free anti virus I ever found and I use it today, is Avast home edition, and it is FREE.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [Lone0001](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 04:08:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Avast imo doesn't do that good of a job, but now that I use Nod32 I think all other Anti-Viruses are bad so don't listen to me if you don't want to.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 04:46:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Sun, 15 March 2009 21:54And don't use AVG anti-virus. I used to use it... it's a good program, but it hogs a lot of memory and slows down your computer to a crawl.

I use it on my netbook, and it's OK. The speed decrease is noticeable, but then again, the inconvenience offsets the possible shitstorm that occurs when you get a virus.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [TD](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 06:17:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lone0001 wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 05:08Avast imo doesn't do that good of a job, but now

that I use Nod32 I think all other Anti-Viruses are bad so don't listen to me if you don't want to.
Word, Nod32 > Kaspersky > ALL.

And yeah, stick with XP unless you got no other choice but to upgrade.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 06:41:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for help

heres something unrelated in way

is wpa2-psk better then normal wpa2?

in the xp laptop im trying to connect it to my network and when i choose wpa2 the part to type the encrypt key is shaded only when i selected wpa2-psk it lets me type in the password for network

I dumb question i know but no other thing ever asked me for psk just normal wpa2 so wondering if its the same thing or not

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [The Party](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 07:13:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The wpa2 TKIP is the strongest connect you can get, unless you go ahead and code your one.
Which you can do I might add.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 07:19:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol thanks, but damn xp cant get it working, it can detect other networks but cant findmine even when i turn broadcasting back on a
so i type to put it Manuel and i put the 63 long char. for wpa2 and once i save it and go ack i see only like 6 or 7 stars, does that mean it will only take my pass if its 6 or 7 chars long?

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 07:31:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Please start making more readable sentences. I'm getting a headache reading your questions.

Try connecting it without security first.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 08:01:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 00:31 Please start making more readable sentences. I'm getting a headache reading your questions.

Try connecting it without security first.

srry im typing on a laptop including the fact is 1 am and im falling asleep on the keyboard

what i was saying is my network wont show up in windows xp but other networks will, even if i try to type it u Manuel and with broadcasting on

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [renalpha](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 11:31:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Install filseclab firewall, it fucking owns.

Btw,

XP is way better then Vista, why do you think, that they already start making windows 7.0 ?

Vista was a flop, and every experienced desktop user knows it.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [Dreganius](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 11:55:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On a better computer, Vista is amazing in some ways. My friend and I decided to test and compare on his spare computer. The most noticable difference with Vista is file transfer time. It took 3 hours to copy about 10gig onto his External using XP, but Vista took less than 45 minutes. I was amazed.

But yes, for 90% of people, Vista sucks. And I use XP.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [Omar007](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 12:37:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I should choose for XP. I have VISTA also but that is because Halo 2 won't work on XP. That's

only why i have VISTA installed

And for Anti-Virus i would take Nod32 or AVG

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [rrutk](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 12:42:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

with only 512 MB RAM Vista will not even work.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [Omar007](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 12:47:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uhm yes it does

I did it on my old PC for Halo 2.

Halo 2 wouldn't work though

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [Ma1kel](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 14:03:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

linux

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp
Posted by [Lone0001](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 19:24:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dreganius wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 06:55 On a better computer, Vista is amazing in some ways. My friend and I decided to test and compare on his spare computer. The most noticable difference with Vista is file transfer time. It took 3 hours to copy about 10gig onto his External using XP, but Vista took less than 45 minutes. I was amazed.

But yes, for 90% of people, Vista sucks. And I use XP.

Funny how you notice that, I noticed the File transfer rate was slower than XP and my low spec(much lower than my Vista computer) is in a many ways much faster than my Vista computer.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 20:05:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lone0001 wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 12:24Dreganius wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 06:55On a better computer, Vista is amazing in some ways. My friend and I decided to test and compare on his spare computer. The most noticable difference with Vista is file transfer time. It took 3 hours to copy about 10gig onto his External using XP, but Vista took less than 45 minutes. I was amazed.

But yes, for 90% of people, Vista sucks. And I use XP.

Funny how you notice that, I noticed the File transfer rate was slower than XP and my low spec(much lower than my Vista computer) is in a many ways much faster than my Vista computer.

Same here...

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [Genesis2001](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 20:12:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Windows 7.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 16 Mar 2009 22:12:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dreganius wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 07:55On a better computer, Vista is amazing in some ways. My friend and I decided to test and compare on his spare computer. The most noticable difference with Vista is file transfer time. It took 3 hours to copy about 10gig onto his External using XP, but Vista took less than 45 minutes. I was amazed.

But yes, for 90% of people, Vista sucks. And I use XP.

That doesn't have much to do with the OS...

Different hard drives have different transfer times, not to mention the type of connection it uses is also a factor in it's transfer speed. (PATA / SATA).

If you simply used a "spare computer" it was likely to have an older model Hard drive with a lower RPM than that of a more modern one.

Subject: Re: Vista Or Xp

Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Tue, 17 Mar 2009 01:26:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Zack wrote on Mon, 16 March 2009 14:12 Windows 7.
