
Subject: Falling damage
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Mon, 02 Feb 2009 15:33:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's really annoying that when u fall u get damaged not by a number but by % for example u have 1000 health and jump off wf, u have like 600.. or when u jump of wf with a low grav scale (jump slow) u still get damaged.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Mon, 02 Feb 2009 15:34:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep it is, but it's intended and thus won't be changed.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Mon, 02 Feb 2009 15:36:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

at least the grav scale thing i mean u fall like 5 seconds, from wf and still get damage

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Mon, 02 Feb 2009 15:42:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wouldn't try falling for 5 seconds... Without aerodynamic drag you'll have a speed of 180 km/h when you hit the ground...

But no, it's all intended and not bugged so we won't touch it. If you want it changed you should make a mod or modded map or something.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Wiener](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 10:52:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why is it intended to lose health based on percent and not on falling height?

EDIT: an example...

I jumped down wf on a flying map.

soldier (100) lost 18 = 18%
patch (200) lost 36 = 18%

havoc (250) lost 44= 17,6% (maybe jumped a tad higher)

So why is it intended to lose more health from the same free fall just because I have a heavier character?

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 18:45:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Because it's explicitly coded that way? It would be really weird if they would have accidentally done that.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Wiener](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 18:46:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I added some to the first post... could you check that plz

EDIT: an example...

I jumped down wf on a flying map.

soldier (100) lost 18 =18%
patch (200) lost 36 = 18%
havoc (250) lost 44= 17,6% (maybe jumped a tad higher)

So why is it intended to lose more health from the same free fall just because I have a heavier character?

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 20:40:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i see both of you having a good point

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Wiener](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 21:17:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, Seye sais it was coded like that on purpose. He thinks it looks like a quick solution to make char die from falling from a certain height or something like that. Its obviously wrong but maybe due to the forced rushed release of ren, westwood coders were "encouraged" to find quick

solutions to finish the game

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [RTsa](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 21:27:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It would take like 30secs to change it. Not any quicker to do it like that.

As for the falling damage..IMO, it's fine the way it is. If it was changed, you could (for example) wallhop the canyon windows very easily with advanced chars, so it does definitely change gameplay.

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 21:40:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just doing a fixed amount of damage would actually be simpler. But its a bit silly to think that people will survive different fallheights .

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [candy](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 22:38:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well trained people (havoc) would survive a higher fall then a not trained person (regular soldier) you could also add a limit so that a havoc wont survive ridiculously high falls

edit: when you are already hit by a bullet you also have less chance of surviving a fall, with this the % amount doesn't make any sense unless i'm getting it wrong and it does #% based on the max health, not on the health your char has

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 23:06:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's a lot wrong with the current method, but it was probably done to make suer soldiers fall to death from distance > a and soldiers don't get hurt if distance < b. Any distance between a and b is scaled. I can think of many possible reasons why they could have done it that way, it doesn't really matter though because the current way is not really broken; it works just fine, even though it's not really realistic. I see no reason to change it.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Craziac](#) on Tue, 03 Feb 2009 23:18:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It is indeed intended as StealthEye has said. This is the same formula as used by server.dat:
Damage = (MaxHealth / 15) * (FallingHeight - 5);

I know why there is concern about it and I don't think it's a very good system but it is how Westwood made it and doesn't appear to be an error.

You could make your own hook to determine the falling damage if you were really keen to.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [TruYuri](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 06:12:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"The bigger they are, the harder they fall."

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [bisen11](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 15:15:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another interesting thing involving falling damage and teleporters. If you have a teleporter at say z = 10 and you jump into it and it puts you at say z = -20, the game thinks you fell that whole way and will kill you.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 17:30:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wiener wrote on Tue, 03 February 2009 11:46l added some to the first post... could you check that plz

EDIT: an example...

I jumped down wf on a flying map.

soldier (100) lost 18 =18%
patch (200) lost 36 = 18%
havoc (250) lost 44= 17,6% (maybe jumped a tad higher)

So why is it intended to lose more health from the same free fall just because I have a heavier character?

Oh come on guys, slow down and think about it, let say I jump off a building and die, you know,

splatter on the pavement, you actually think that someone else could jump off the build and walk away from it perfectly fine (excluding Chuck Norris). Percentage makes sense because it keeps everyone on a level playing field, that way if you being chased when you jump off a cliff with a soldier with 100 health and the other guy has 200, you don't end up with 10 health and he is left with 110.

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [ErroR](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 17:41:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jerad Gray wrote on Thu, 26 February 2009 19:30Wiener wrote on Tue, 03 February 2009 11:46I added some to the first post... could you check that plz

EDIT: an example...

I jumped down wf on a flying map.

soldier (100) lost 18 =18%

patch (200) lost 36 = 18%

havoc (250) lost 44= 17,6% (maybe jumped a tad higher)

So why is it intended to lose more health from the same free fall just because I have a heavier character?

Oh come on guys, slow down and think about it, let say I jump off a building and die, you know, splatter on the pavement, you actually think that someone else could jump off the build and walk away from it perfectly fine (excluding Chuck Norris). Percentage makes sense because it keeps everyone on a level playing field, that way if you being chased when you jump off a cliff with a soldier with 100 health and the other guy has 200, you don't end up with 10 health and he is left with 110.

my post was about grav scale too, how to understand that

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [ErroR](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 17:58:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

can't show an example from game (BECAUSE IT CRASHES)so here's another.

File Attachments

1) [Example.gif](#), downloaded 407 times

If setting high jump
velocity then



Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 18:23:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, I'd like to see that get fixed. It's really annoying for mods, but for normal ren, it doesn't exactly affect it. Therefore, certainly not a priority.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Canadacd](#) on Thu, 26 Feb 2009 23:53:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What I'd love to see done is the ability to have separate walk speeds for every character. As it is right now, walk speed for every soldier in your mod/map is controlled by changing the 'general' settings in LevelEdit, and the soldier's walk speed is only determined by slowing down their running speed with whatever number you use in 'general'. That's retarded.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Mon, 02 Mar 2009 16:11:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Canadacdn wrote on Fri, 27 February 2009 00:53 What I'd love to see done is the ability to have seperate walk speeds for every character. As it is right now, walk speed for every soldier in your mod/map is controlled by changing the 'general' settings in LevelEdit, and the soldier's walk speed is only determined by slowing down their running speed with whatever number you use in 'general'. That's retarded.

Some mutant does have a different walking speed. Not sure which but...

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [ErroR](#) on Mon, 02 Mar 2009 17:38:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 02 March 2009 18:11 Canadacdn wrote on Fri, 27 February 2009 00:53 What I'd love to see done is the ability to have seperate walk speeds for every character. As it is right now, walk speed for every soldier in your mod/map is controlled by changing the 'general' settings in LevelEdit, and the soldier's walk speed is only determined by slowing down their running speed with whatever number you use in 'general'. That's retarded.

Some mutant does have a different walking speed. Not sure which but...
the initiate

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [RTsa](#) on Mon, 02 Mar 2009 21:33:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How's it done and can't the same thing be done to all units?

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Tue, 03 Mar 2009 05:50:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wrong. The initiate's walk speed is slightly faster because his running speed also is. The rest of the infantry units have the same running speed, and therefore the same walk speed.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Wed, 04 Mar 2009 17:50:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Canadacd wrote on Mon, 02 March 2009 22:50Wrong. The intiate's walk speed is slightly faster because his running speed also is. The rest of the infantry units have the same running speed, and therefore the same walk speed.

This is correct, but he phrased his original question wrong, he was the ability to specify the specific walk speed multiplier for each infantry.

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 06:00:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why worry about falling damage when you can just <lalalala> and receive no damage at all? It's a great side effect of <lalalala>

Edit by Goz: sorry, this can indeed be considered a cheat.

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 09:12:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpain wrote on Sat, 07 March 2009 07:00<lalalala>

This is SSGM "feature".

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 16:37:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sat, 07 March 2009 10:12

This is SSGM "feature".

Since when do you allow cheats to be posted Goz? I expected better from you...

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 16:42:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It was posted before, when people asked for TT to solve it. But I'll censor it a bit now.

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 21:09:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So, if this is a "cheat" why isn't there a scripts update that "undoes" the "cheat" that was added by the same people working on all the wonderful changes now... and what exactly does that say?

I was simply pointing out yet another reason why the community shouldn't be anxiously awaiting with open arms a forced modification to the game created by reverse engineering the code...

^^ shit like this will always pop up..

I guess the nod tanks that just magically "appear" under the bridge in city when they're being purchased should be considered a "cheat" too... and what cool feature was it that caused that to happen again?

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 21:27:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's lag.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Sun, 08 Mar 2009 10:13:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Any server owner can just remove the said cheat himself.

Subject: Re: Falling damage
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 08 Mar 2009 11:58:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpain wrote on Sat, 07 March 2009 22:09So, if this is a "cheat" why isn't there a scripts update that "undoes" the "cheat" that was added by the same people working on all the wonderful changes now... and what exactly does that say?

I was simply pointing out yet another reason why the community shouldn't be anxiously awaiting with open arms a forced modification to the game created by reverse engineering the code...

^^ shit like this will always pop up..

I guess the nod tanks that just magically "appear" under the bridge in city when they're being purchased should be considered a "cheat" too... and what cool feature was it that caused that to happen again?

No server owner is forced to install SSGM. And they can disable said "feature" which is absolutely useless anyway.

Your second point is a Renegade lag related bug, nothing to do with any scripts.

Subject: Re: Falling damage

Posted by [ErroR](#) on Sun, 08 Mar 2009 13:58:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why bump this topic so many times with different stuff o.0
