

---

Subject: Fire fox 3

Posted by [LR01](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 08:16:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So, who has it?

and if you have it, do you also like it?

well, I like it a lot

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 08:18:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It is slow, a resource hog, and it will not accept that shockwave flash is installed. I've tried and tried, and restarted and tried. So much for viewing most websites now a days correctly...

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [nopol10](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 08:21:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, it is for some reason slower than FF2 but I have no problems with Flash...yet.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [SlikRik](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 08:25:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

See my post.

Also, I find it to be no less efficient than FF2 at using resources or viewing webpages.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 08:56:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle wrote on Wed, 18 June 2008 09:18It is slow, a resource hog, and it will not accept that shockwave flash is installed. I've tried and tried, and restarted and tried. So much for viewing most websites now a days correctly...

Like any other company, they wanted to have a software download record and got caught up in the hype that they didn't polish their work.

Maybe when FF4 is released and not so much of a memory hog is when I'll use it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 09:35:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's a pile of shite, it won't transfer my old folders and urls to the new one... so am using v2.0 still.

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 10:17:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I use it and find it quite great. Runs perfectly well here.

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Jonty](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 10:51:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I don't have anything negative to say about it over things that I didn't like about FF2...it runs JavaScript a lot faster (evidenced by GMail loading in 3 seconds instead of 10) and things like the phishing/virus/whatever filter are sure to attract people like my dad, who's currently using FF2.

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Veyrdite](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 11:07:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I use FF3 without (many) hitches, but the only reason I don't use Opera is the plugin availability for FF and the fact it's updated regularly.

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 11:40:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Had to re-install Firefox, lost everything. :/

And it's affected Game-Maps.NET too... three guess's on what is wrong. :/

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 12:02:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Wed, 18 June 2008 11:17I use it and find it quite great. Runs perfectly well here.

---

Same. Loads pages faster than FF2, uses less memory, and looks better too.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 12:28:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dthdealer wrote on Wed, 18 June 2008 07:07I use FF3 without (many) hitches, but the only reason I don't use Opera is the plugin availability for FF and the fact it's updated regularly.

Widgets are part of Opera and serve much the same function as those ridiculous "extensions" that FF people whine about. As for regular updates, Opera is updated quite often as 9.5 just came out. And it's definitely better than FF. 9.5 is a lot more than a simple incremental update. Give it a shot and see for yourself.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 13:34:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Widgets unfortunately don't have the capabilities FF extensions have. Although this might change for the 10.0 version which is planned for somewhere in 2009. And anyway, most of the usefull extensions capabilities are in Opera by default (like ad-blocking, mouse gestures). And before anyone starts screaming "thievery" mouse gestures where in Opera before the FF plugin was ever created.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Lone0001](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 15:32:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Works fine here, now if only I could say the same for Vista...

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 22:09:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Works fine, I like the new location bar thing where it matches the text entry with favorites/history. Other than that I hardly notice a difference.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [mr£ÄŞÄ-z](#) on Thu, 19 Jun 2008 14:55:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle wrote on Wed, 18 June 2008 03:18It is slow, a resource hog, and it will not accept that shockwave flash is installed. I've tried and tried, and restarted and tried. So much for viewing most websites now a days correctly...  
I had same prob, it works now

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3  
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Thu, 19 Jun 2008 16:24:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Only 3 differences I noticed:  
-Theme  
-Asks you if you would like to save tabs when closing  
-Removal of "Remove" button in download manager.

I have not noticed any changes in performance or anything.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3  
Posted by [ADM](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 00:48:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Performance has increased for me, especially regarding JavaScript and threaded-downloads.  
Stability is also alot better.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 01:05:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I love the enhanced search bar. Being able to sift through dozens of reviews on Journey's new album to easily find a specific review easily, put a smile on my face.

That smile was quickly wiped off when I learned Toto had dissolved.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3  
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 06:41:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Use acrobat 6 and the back button makes FF 3 crash for me :-S.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3  
Posted by [KristyGirl](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 07:34:47 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Same here, it is indeed a resource hog. Although it does look cool.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 09:29:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Fri, 20 June 2008 07:41 Use acrobat 6 and the back button makes FF 3 crash for me :-S.

Use an up to date version of acrobat then?

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 10:10:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We bought this full version, so we use it .

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 12:11:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I haven't ran into any problems with FF3. If anything, it's better on resources than FF2 ever was. Though, if a lot of people are having problems, perhaps they should have been a little more thorough.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 12:25:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I noticed pretty high CPU peaks (up to 60% on one core and some times even more) when loading a page. Opera and IE never use more then 10% when loading the exact same pages.

---

---

Subject: Re: Fire fox 3

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 14:20:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Fri, 20 June 2008 11:10 We bought this full version, so we use it .

---

So, if you bought Office 95 in 1995, you would still use it even though it's out of date?

---