

---

Subject: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [mrÅ£ÄŞÄ-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 16:37:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Its now allowed to visit Chernobyl !!! Would you make a Trip to Chernobyl when you have time or are you to scared? I really want to meet Chernobyl when i have enough time.

Look heres a pic of a Guy near to the Powe Plant:

KLICK

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 16:42:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In some areas there's still lots of excess radiation, underground and all, You would probably have two hours max before you need to get the fuck out and avoid radiation poisoning.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 17:20:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No way I'd want to be near that plant without protective gear.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 17:37:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Canadacdn wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 18:20No way I'd want to be near that plant without protective gear.

Your exposed to radiation 24/7, You can be near excess radiation for some time before it becomes a health hazard.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [mrÅ£ÄŞÄ-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 17:38:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The workers there die after 2-3 Years

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 17:42:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I would definately go. Also tours of Chernobyl have been allowed for many years. This is nothing new. The amount of radiation you receive is slightly above the normal dosage any human receives on Earth. For this reason it is recomended that you minimize any medical procedures that use radiation such as X-rays, CAT scans and MRIs.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 17:53:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 19:42I would definately go. Also tours of Chernobyl have been allowed for many years. This is nothing new. The amount of radiation you receive is slightly above the normal dosage any human receives on Earth. For this reason it is recomended that you minimize any medical procedures that use radiation such as X-rays, CAT scans and MRIs. CAT or MRI (not sure which, maybe both) use magnetic fields, and those are as currently known not dangerous for (human)life. X-rays are indeed rather dangerous, as that is so called Gamma radiation, which will go through about anything, presuming it has enough energy.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 18:36:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

X-rays have thier own, oddly named part of the EM spectrum... "X-ray" lol  
They're towards the high energy gamma end though.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [cmatt42](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 18:56:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'd visit Chernobyl. Why not, other than the radiation?

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 18:56:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If you like Chernobyl and stuff related to it, visit this site.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [mr£Ä\\$Ä-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 19:00:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wow that would be awesome to drive with a Bike there, no Police, no Traffic... anyways finding some mutants wont be cool :S

Im not really scared about the radiation, i really want to visit chernoyl

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [mr£Ä\\$Ä-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 19:02:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Chernoyl Reactor inside Video, good Quality with Color

KLICK

Chernoyl Reactor outside Video, Awesome Quality with Color

KLICK

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 19:36:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

there, no Police, no Traffic... anyways finding some mutants wont be cool :S

Im not really scared about the radiation, i really want to visit chernoyl

Make sure to shack up on shotgun shells because them fucking mutants and zombies won't be killing themselves.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [mr£Ä\\$Ä-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 19:55:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

that would be awesome to drive with a Bike there, no Police, no Traffic... anyways finding some mutants wont be cool :S

Im not really scared about the radiation, i really want to visit chernoyl

---

Make sure to shack up on shotgun shells because them fucking mutants and zombies won't be killing themselves.

LOL Thats the Post of the Year XD

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 20:05:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Chernobyl has become a place where nature has begun to reclaim one of mankind's biggest fuck-ups. Plant life is growing (not glowing), and it's an area where the endangered Przewalski's Horse is thriving.

In terms of radiation and such, it's really quite safe now. The biggest worries are dust, and so vehicles have to travel a couple hundred feet behind each other so the occupants don't breathe it in.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [mr£ÄŞÄ-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 20:26:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah but the last Video i posted looks Beautiful

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernobyl?  
Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 22:46:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In a word: no.

In two words: hell no.

It's not just because of the radiation, either, though that's certainly a factor. There are some radioactive sites I would like to visit, like the Trinity test site in New Mexico. The main reason why I would never visit Chernobyl is because I find the whole trend of turning sites of accidental tragedies or major disasters into tourist traps disgusting. That's what it is, too. It's a place where a really fucked up series of mishaps led to a disaster which displaced thousands of people and changed the way most people look at nuclear power- but there have been worse disasters which were completely overlooked in which entire towns were evacuated and many people died because of an accident- many of them here in the US, even. You might as well go halfway around the world to ogle at a car wreck, for fuck's sake. An accident is an accident; it means somebody fucked up somewhere and it has no greater significance. Everything that can be learned from Chernobyl already has been learned- there is simply nothing there for future generations to ponder; there is no element of "we shall remember" to stick in your mind. It was an accident- one brought about by poor quality control and human error, not by design. Think Exxon Valdez, Space

Shuttle Challenger, RMS Titanic. Chernobyl is fundamentally no different in the nature of the event than any of the above. While we shouldn't by any means let it fade from our collective memory, we shouldn't be packing our bags and our best dumb tourist outfits just to say we've seen where it happened; that's just stupid. Learning about events and places of historical significance is one thing; turning them into vacation trophies is quite another.

If you think any of that is false, check out the guy in the photo and tell me that isn't his best "I'm a cool dude who's been to more cool places than you" pose. That's a pose you'd strike while standing in front of Niagra fucking Falls, for cryin' out loud. If you're gonna go there to learn about it, fine, be my guest... but if your motivation is "I've got the money and I think Chernobyl is a cool place to go" then you're fucking kidding yourself- there are thousands of places far more worth your time.

This earns an epic fail for cultural rubbernecking.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernobyl?

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 22:58:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 23:46In a word: no.

In two words: hell no.

It's not just because of the radiation, either, though that's certainly a factor. There are some radioactive sites I would like to visit, like the Trinity test site in New Mexico. The main reason why I would never visit Chernobyl is because I find the whole trend of turning sites of accidental tragedies or major disasters into tourist traps disgusting. That's what it is, too. It's a place where a really fucked up series of mishaps led to a disaster which displaced thousands of people and changed the way most people look at nuclear power- but there have been worse disasters which were completely overlooked in which entire towns were evacuated and many people died because of an accident- many of them here in the US, even. You might as well go halfway around the world to ogle at a car wreck, for fuck's sake. An accident is an accident; it means somebody fucked up somewhere and it has no greater significance. Everything that can be learned from Chernobyl already has been learned- there is simply nothing there for future generations to ponder; there is no element of "we shall remember" to stick in your mind. It was an accident- one brought about by poor quality control and human error, not by design. Think Exxon Valdez, Space Shuttle Challenger, RMS Titanic. Chernobyl is fundamentally no different in the nature of the event than any of the above. While we shouldn't by any means let it fade from our collective memory, we shouldn't be packing our bags and our best dumb tourist outfits just to say we've seen where it happened; that's just stupid. Learning about events and places of historical significance is one thing; turning them into vacation trophies is quite another.

If you think any of that is false, check out the guy in the photo and tell me that isn't his best "I'm a cool dude who's been to more cool places than you" pose. That's a pose you'd strike while standing in front of Niagra fucking Falls, for cryin' out loud. If you're gonna go there to learn about it, fine, be my guest... but if your motivation is "I've got the money and I think Chernobyl is a cool place to go" then you're fucking kidding yourself- there are thousands of places far more worth

your time.

This earns an epic fail for cultural rubbernecking.

Wow calm down your nerd rage meter is off the fucking hook man.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [mrÄÅ\\$Ä-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 23:03:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Imao Nukelt, i just want to visit because im interessted, i respect that many peoples died there but i still want to visit, and please dont make to big posts because nobody will read it. Now stfu

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernobyl?  
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 23:06:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 17:46In a word: no.

In two words: hell no.

It's not just because of the radiation, either, though that's certainly a factor. There are some radioactive sites I would like to visit, like the Trinity test site in New Mexico. The main reason why I would never visit Chernobyl is because I find the whole trend of turning sites of accidental tragedies or major disasters into tourist traps disgusting. That's what it is, too. It's a place where a really fucked up series of mishaps led to a disaster which displaced thousands of people and changed the way most people look at nuclear power- but there have been worse disasters which were completely overlooked in which entire towns were evacuated and many people died because of an accident- many of them here in the US, even. You might as well go halfway around the world to ogle at a car wreck, for fuck's sake. An accident is an accident; it means somebody fucked up somewhere and it has no greater significance. Everything that can be learned from Chernobyl already has been learned- there is simply nothing there for future generations to ponder; there is no element of "we shall remember" to stick in your mind. It was an accident- one brought about by poor quality control and human error, not by design. Think Exxon Valdez, Space Shuttle Challenger, RMS Titanic. Chernobyl is fundamentally no different in the nature of the event than any of the above. While we shouldn't by any means let it fade from our collective memory, we shouldn't be packing our bags and our best dumb tourist outfits just to say we've seen where it happened; that's just stupid. Learning about events and places of historical significance is one thing; turning them into vacation trophies is quite another.

If you think any of that is false, check out the guy in the photo and tell me that isn't his best "I'm a cool dude who's been to more cool places than you" pose. That's a pose you'd strike while

standing in front of Niagra fucking Falls, for cryin' out loud. If you're gonna go there to learn about it, fine, be my guest... but if your motivation is "I've got the money and I think Chernobyl is a cool place to go" then you're fucking kidding yourself- there are thousands of places far more worth your time.

This earns an epic fail for cultural rubbernecking.

But....your username is nukeit!

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernobyl?  
Posted by [mr£ÄšÄ-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 23:12:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Canadacd wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 18:06Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 17:46In a word: no.

In two words: hell no.

It's not just because of the radiation, either, though that's certainly a factor. There are some radioactive sites I would like to visit, like the Trinity test site in New Mexico. The main reason why I would never visit Chernobyl is because I find the whole trend of turning sites of accidental tragedies or major disasters into tourist traps disgusting. That's what it is, too. It's a place where a really fucked up series of mishaps led to a disaster which displaced thousands of people and changed the way most people look at nuclear power- but there have been worse disasters which were completely overlooked in which entire towns were evacuated and many people died because of an accident- many of them here in the US, even. You might as well go halfway around the world to ogle at a car wreck, for fuck's sake. An accident is an accident; it means somebody fucked up somewhere and it has no greater significance. Everything that can be learned from Chernobyl already has been learned- there is simply nothing there for future generations to ponder; there is no element of "we shall remember" to stick in your mind. It was an accident- one brought about by poor quality control and human error, not by design. Think Exxon Valdez, Space Shuttle Challenger, RMS Titanic. Chernobyl is fundamentally no different in the nature of the event than any of the above. While we shouldn't by any means let it fade from our collective memory, we shouldn't be packing our bags and our best dumb tourist outfits just to say we've seen where it happened; that's just stupid. Learning about events and places of historical significance is one thing; turning them into vacation trophies is quite another.

If you think any of that is false, check out the guy in the photo and tell me that isn't his best "I'm a cool dude who's been to more cool places than you" pose. That's a pose you'd strike while standing in front of Niagra fucking Falls, for cryin' out loud. If you're gonna go there to learn about it, fine, be my guest... but if your motivation is "I've got the money and I think Chernobyl is a cool place to go" then you're fucking kidding yourself- there are thousands of places far more worth your time.

This earns an epic fail for cultural rubbernecking.

But...your username is nukeit!

Nice One :D

Canada i love your Posts & your Avatars :D

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [mrÃ£ÄŠÄ-z](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 23:17:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Trip to Chernobyl:

<http://www.tourchernobyl.com/>

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 23:23:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd go because I want to see how nature has started to reclaim the town. I don't want to go so much to see the remnants of a disaster, but the idea of a modern ghost town is intriguing.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 08 Jun 2008 23:35:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Valdez has some of the best salmon I have ever caught.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Mon, 09 Jun 2008 01:08:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i respect that many peoples died there but i still want to visit, and please dont make to big posts because nobody will read it. Now stfu

I find ALL of Nuke's posts very interesting and read them all. And he has a point.

I HATE when tragedies are turned into money-making tools. Look at what they did to the Titanic.

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Mon, 09 Jun 2008 18:07:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If something gets under my skin, I'm gonna post about it. I'm gonna not only post about it, I'm gonna speak my mind- all of it, until I'm satisfied that I've said everything I have to say on the subject. It just so happens that 'what I had to say on the subject' consisted of more than just a yes or no answer. Too fucking bad; I don't like giving simple answers. Unlike most of the world, I'd rather give a reason for my answers- and I like to do so in detail.

If the post is too long for you, don't read it. If the words are too big for you, don't read it. If you don't agree with me, well, that's your choice, but 'stfu' is not a reply that's going to get your point across- that's not an argument, it's a placeholder; something you say when you don't have anything to say. All it will do is make me laugh a bit and tell you what you're doing wrong. I will do that not because I honestly believe you'll listen, but because I find it funny that I managed to piss somebody off by speaking my mind. If I'm getting under your skin, use the damned ignore feature- that's why it's there. It's better than posting the equivalent of "you're a big poopyhead."

Anyway, there was a topic buried here somewhere. Some general information might be useful:

[Wikipedia](#)

[World Nuclear Association](#)

[World Health Organization](#)

Information is always helpful because the hows and whys of the event often get lost behind the "OMG meltdown!" factor. Most folks don't know the difference between the various types of nuclear reactor, and even fewer realize that there actually are different types of nuclear reactor. Lots of folks assume that the exact same set of conditions that led to Chernoyl could occur at any nuclear power plant, hence the general squeamishness regarding nuclear power. Yes, any reactor can melt down, but a repeat of Chernoyl is vanishingly unlikely even among power plants using the same type of reactor.

Incidentally, for the sake of historical accuracy, the plant itself was the V.I. Lenin Nuclear Power Plant, not Chernoyl. Oh, and in case anybody's wondering... yes, they've made a movie of it. Probably more than one, but that was the first one that came up and I didn't feel like digging any deeper.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [mr£ÄŞÄ-z](#) on Mon, 09 Jun 2008 20:54:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Are you a teacher?

---

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Mon, 09 Jun 2008 23:51:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://www.englishrussia.com/?p=293>

There's a lot of other crazy shit on that website, including images of a "secret brain lab".

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Tue, 10 Jun 2008 02:13:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 12:53Doitle wrote on Sun, 08 June 2008 19:42I would definately go. Also tours of Chernobyl have been allowed for many years. This is nothing new. The amount of radiation you receive is slightly above the normal dosage any human receives on Earth. For this reason it is recomended that you minimize any medical procedures that use radiation such as X-rays, CAT scans and MRIs. CAT or MRI (not sure which, maybe both) use magnetic fields, and those are as currently known not dangerous for (human)life. X-rays are indeed rather dangerous, as that is so called Gamma radiation, which will go through about anything, presuming it has enough energy.

You typically get 4-20 times as much radiation from a CT Scan as you do from a standard X-ray.

Also when you claim that the MRI uses magnetic fields and so it does not emit radiation that is a falsehood. This is incorrect. MRI has everything to do with EM radiation. MRI relies on detecting radio waves emitted from protons as they move and try to realign themselves inside your body. The magnet is only used to initially shift the protons in one way or another. Radio waves at the resonant frequency of the protons are used to push the proton spins out of alignment with the main magnetic field. When the RF pulse is turned off, the proton spins gradually realign with the main magnetic field, and emit radio waves in the process. These radio waves are detected and used to form the image.

Microwaves, Radio waves, Visible Light, Cosmic Rays, they are all radiation. So you can't say that there is no radiation involved in an MRI.

---

Subject: Re: Would you make a Trip to Chernoyl?  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Tue, 10 Jun 2008 02:27:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm curious how much radiation is zapped through us by wireless routers. They could probably worse for us than Three Mile Island or Chernobyl.

---