Subject: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Canadacdn on Thu, 22 May 2008 01:32:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/politics/article3964693.ece

Oh God! What has Science done!? Now we will have ACTUAL FURRIES.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by nikki6ixx on Thu, 22 May 2008 01:37:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The comments about this article are hilarious.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by mrãçÄ·z on Thu, 22 May 2008 16:22:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

omg we already have to many humans, now they want to make monsters? wow

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Memphis on Thu, 22 May 2008 17:06:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am seeing some correlation between the type of posts and geographical location. I'm not quite sure what it is telling us here...

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Ryu on Thu, 22 May 2008 23:12:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's science, if they can fuse the two together it tells a lot about human DNA and what not, soon we'll be growing human body parts.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Rocko on Fri, 23 May 2008 05:16:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

im actually for this fuck christianity

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Fri, 23 May 2008 15:30:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Wed, 21 May 2008 20:37The comments about this article are hilarious.

This is a good comment:

Quote:This is a good decision. I'm glad we're getting a step closer to finding cures for these terrible diseases.

I apologize for the scientifically ignorant, knee-jerk comments coming disproportionately from the USA.

Steve, Bloomington, Indiana, USA

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by warranto on Fri, 23 May 2008 17:21:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: I have two rare " orphan" diseases, and I oppose this research. Science has brought more misery than help. It is absurd to think this won't be used to grow living hybrids. The a-bomb was supposed to stop here; it didnt; it exceeded its bounds.

Margaret, Los Alamos NM, US

Another great quote... especially the stuff in bold.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Fri, 23 May 2008 17:41:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warranto wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 12:21Quote: I have two rare " orphan" diseases, and I oppose this research. Science has brought more misery than help. It is absurd to think this won't be used to grow living hybrids. The a-bomb was supposed to stop here; it didnt; it exceeded its bounds.

Margaret, Los Alamos NM, US

Another great quote... especially the stuff in bold.

Being sarcastic or serious?

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Ryu on Sat, 24 May 2008 04:31:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 18:41warranto wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 12:21Quote: I have two rare " orphan" diseases, and I oppose this research. Science has brought more misery than help. It is absurd to think this won't be used to grow living hybrids. The a-bomb was supposed to stop here; it didnt; it exceeded its bounds.

Margaret, Los Alamos NM, US

Another great quote... especially the stuff in bold.

Being sarcastic or serious?

obviously sarcastic, science is what gives you a tetanus shot after being bitten by an animal, that needle injection that saves your life from meningitis.

science that figured out how to pump your stomach from alcohol before your kidneys fail to filter it and you lose two kidneys.

yup, science fucked this world over!

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 24 May 2008 06:39:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Science also brought us the tools with which to completely destroy ourselves.

The problem isn't the tool, it's the motivation and the use.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by CarrierII on Sat, 24 May 2008 10:09:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

And my twin had the menigitis vaccine, then got menigitis. So hey...

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Ryu on Sat, 24 May 2008 14:17:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message CarrierII wrote on Sat, 24 May 2008 11:09And my twin had the menigitis vaccine, then got menigitis. So hey...

There are roughly four different ones, his vaccine must have worn out or he got seriously unlucky.

The one you get treated for as a baby is the mild version, the rest are fairly serious.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by GoArmy44 on Sat, 24 May 2008 19:33:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I say we start putting terminator genes like those in genetically modified plants into people like Rocko who after posting retarded messages just keels over and dies...that would be a true miracle of science.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Sun, 25 May 2008 22:33:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Funny how my pro-Rocko post was silently deleted. Good job mods.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by warranto on Mon, 26 May 2008 14:31:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ryu wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 22:31Starbuzz wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 18:41warranto wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 12:21Quote: I have two rare " orphan" diseases, and I oppose this research. Science has brought more misery than help. It is absurd to think this won't be used to grow living hybrids. The a-bomb was supposed to stop here; it didnt; it exceeded its bounds.

Margaret, Los Alamos NM, US

Another great quote... especially the stuff in bold.

Being sarcastic or serious?

obviously sarcastic, science is what gives you a tetanus shot after being bitten by an animal, that needle injection that saves your life from meningitis.

science that figured out how to pump your stomach from alcohol before your kidneys fail to filter it and you lose two kidneys.

yup, science fucked this world over!

Right, sarcastic.

It's tough to qualify whether "more" harm than good came from science. Has harm come from science? Yes. But as Aircraftkiller said, it's the motivation and the use (but to add one, the results of the use) that carries the blame, rather than the tool.

I say results because it may just be that the motivation and the use were correct, but the result is harm. Hollywood is always good for examples: I Am Legend shows the result of harm when motivation and use were pure.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by nikki6ixx on Mon, 26 May 2008 17:40:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If it weren't for science, you guys wouldn't be able to be debating here about whether science has helped us or hindered us.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Romaner on Mon, 26 May 2008 18:33:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

that woman that is being quoted by warranto says she has two rare diseases, how would she know wtf she has if it were not for science. and im sure if there was treatment to her diseases she would be praising science and flaming the next person to post her exact post.

got to love hypocrites

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by warranto on Mon, 26 May 2008 19:03:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

She's not being hypocritical.

She's not saying science has ONLY harmed, just that it has done more harm than good.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Mon, 26 May 2008 20:26:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 27 May 2008 02:43:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A topic can't "fail", please stop being an idiot by saying things like that. I'm sure you could come up with a more reasonable reply that includes what you think the discussion of science and its contributions to the world without coming off as a 4chan user.

nikki: That isn't the point whatsoever. The point is that the tool is neither "good" nor "bad". It's just like anything else in life: the usage determines the connotation. If nukes were able to clear up the world's trash problem without dangerous side-effects I bet everyone would love them, but that's simply not going to happen because nukes were primarily designed as a weapon for massive destruction.

That's an example of what I mean. It doesn't matter that people created the means by which we convey information today. It does matter what's presently happening with scientific advancements. I really don't care if it's possible to create hybrids. I fear the day that research will be used for eugenics or for creating weapons.

If you think the benefits outweigh the costs, I hope I don't have to live with the consequences.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 27 May 2008 02:52:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know that. The internet can be used for horrible things too, but one can not write off the amount of usefulness it provides us.

It's not as if I'm not agreeing with you. Anything can be used for 'good' or 'evil', whether it's a scientific development, a religious scripture, or a rock.

As for my opinion on this embryonic stuff, I don't like it much at all. Frankly, I think we humans have bigger problems to solve. Less time should be spent on curing horrible illnesses, and more time should be spent on preventing them. Lifestyle plays a major part of whether you get cancer, or you live to be 100.

Edit: Like the Impala by the way.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 27 May 2008 03:21:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message True, but there's a large difference between the Internet and human-animal hybrids being used for biological research. I don't agree with slippery slopes but I honestly can't see it being anything else. Where does the research stop, and at what point do the vices begin to outweigh the gains?

I don't think any of us are qualified to try and arbitrate that, so I can only hope that our future is determined by those with a middle ground morality rather than either extremes. Pushing forth with research with no regard for the value it removes from human life is just as bad as the other extreme; blocking all scientific advancements that don't agree with the majority.

Thanks btw. I'm upgrading to a 2005 Super Sport asap.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Tue, 27 May 2008 03:48:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Mon, 26 May 2008 21:52As for my opinion on this embryonic stuff, I don't like it much at all. Frankly, I think we humans have bigger problems to solve. Less time should be spent on curing horrible illnesses, and more time should be spent on preventing them. Lifestyle plays a major part of whether you get cancer, or you live to be 100.

Try telling that to the kids who got deadly hereditary diseases and die at age 6 or 8 or 19. Somewhere along the line, a medical breakthrough must come in.

Another person's life should not be decided by the limitations of your primordial beliefs.

What takes priority? A zygote or a person who has evolved beyond that stage to full life, individual identity and unique personality?

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 27 May 2008 04:01:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Both do, there is no reason why resources can't be directed to care for the ill and prevent new illnesses. Don't oversimplify things that can't be.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 27 May 2008 04:06:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Primordial beliefs?...

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos

Yeah, your beliefs are long standing and have existed since the age of the dinosaurs. I think he meant to say archaic or backwards instead.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Tue, 27 May 2008 12:52:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 01:26Yeah, your beliefs are long standing and have existed since the age of the dinosaurs. I think he meant to say archaic or backwards instead.

That is correct. But I always throw in the word "primordial" for added effect.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 27 May 2008 15:27:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You used it incorrectly, thus there was no effect. I'm fairly confident that nikki and I both read that and looked at it as if you had a hard time trying to figure out what you were saying, like you were in a fit of rage.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Tue, 27 May 2008 15:28:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I see.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by extrabaggage on Tue, 27 May 2008 15:51:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am sick of scientists playing god. Why can't they just play civilization 4 or something and do something constructive for once. jeez. leave god stuff to god for gods sake.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by CarrierII on Tue, 27 May 2008 16:00:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, but that leads us to question whether God exists (which you should not do on these boards,

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by extrabaggage on Tue, 27 May 2008 16:13:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 11:00Yeah, but that leads us to question whether God exists (which you should not do on these boards, StarBuzz is quite... animated over the issue)

starbuzz isn't exactly the brightest candelabra in the synagogue, so no sweat

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Tue, 27 May 2008 16:17:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

extrabaggage wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 10:51I am sick of scientists playing god. Why can't they just play civilization 4 or something and do something constructive for once. jeez. leave god stuff to god for gods sake.

You are a simple minded idiot.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by extrabaggage on Tue, 27 May 2008 16:23:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 11:17extrabaggage wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 10:51I am sick of scientists playing god. Why can't they just play civilization 4 or something and do something constructive for once. jeez. leave god stuff to god for gods sake.

You are a simple minded idiot.

<unwell thought out insult>.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Tue, 27 May 2008 16:52:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

extrabaggage wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 11:23Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 11:17extrabaggage wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 10:51I am sick of scientists playing god. Why can't they just play civilization 4 or something and do something constructive for once. jeez. leave

god stuff to god for gods sake.

You are a simple minded idiot.

<unwell thought out insult>.

Not an insult given the original context of your post. If everyone in the world thought like you do, then we would be one big happy Amish community.

Your turn today to milk the cow.

EDIT: And most of your posts are insults in one form or another, hypocrite.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by CarrierII on Tue, 27 May 2008 21:30:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 17:52extrabaggage wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 11:23Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 11:17extrabaggage wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 10:51I am sick of scientists playing god. Why can't they just play civilization 4 or something and do something constructive for once. jeez. leave god stuff to god for gods sake.

You are a simple minded idiot.

<unwell thought out insult>.

Not an insult given the original context of your post. If everyone in the world thought like you do, then we would be one big happy Amish community.

Your turn today to milk the cow.

I'm going pick on one very small point:

We'd all be happy though ...

Better than what most of us have most of the time.

Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos Posted by Starbuzz on Thu, 29 May 2008 14:52:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

True.

These aren't human-animal embryos, they use the egg of an animal and dump the human nucleus in it. It's not known if they can actually grow into a human being as there appears to be an energy problem and so it stops replicating cells after a few days. If it would actually grow it would just turn out as a human being.

Page 11 of 11 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums