Subject: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by Goztow on Thu, 08 May 2008 08:32:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Judge Orders TorrentSpy to Pay MPAA \$110,000,000 in Damages

Los Angeles - TorrentSpy, a BitTorrent tracker site that lost a copyright infringement suit filed by the Motion Picture Association of America (MPAA) last year, has been ordered by a federal judge to pay a massive \$110,000,000 in damages. "This substantial money judgment sends a strong message about the illegality of these sites," said Dan Glickman, chairman and CEO of the MPAA. "The demise of TorrentSpy is a clear victory for the studios and demonstrates that such pirate sites will not be allowed to continue to operate without facing relentless litigation by copyright holders."

U.S. District Court Judge Florence-Marie Cooper also issued a permanent injunction against any future copyright infringement by Valence Media, the company behind TorrentSpy.

TorrentSpy had already shut down permanently on March 24, with a note on its website referring to "the legal climate in the USA," and a desire to provide privacy protection for its users.

source

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Blazer on Thu, 08 May 2008 08:40:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

torrent sites only make a few bucks from donations...how in the hell are they expected to pay 110million? That would be a dent in even Google's bank account.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Ghostshaw on Thu, 08 May 2008 08:42:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Blazer they make shiploads of money from advertisements....

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by IronWarrior on Thu, 08 May 2008 12:16:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't think they make that much, anyway, this whole thing is so pointless, there are 1000+ other torrent websites that people can use, shutting down one won't make a dent, it will only send a message to the torrent webmasters to make their sites more secure.

And.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by inz on Thu, 08 May 2008 12:44:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't see what this has done for warez, torrent sites are just going to be hosted in other countrys.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 08 May 2008 14:13:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

These lawsuits do make sense, though. I mean, even if you're not the one committing the crime, allowing someone to commit a crime on your property would make you an accessory, at least, if not a willing participant depending on all that you do.

Torrent sites DO allow its users to infringe on copyrights with their trackers. Sure, they stick a TOS on the site to say that any uploaded copyright content is against site policy, but unless you actively enforce it (to a reasonable extent), then you should be held, at least, partially responsible. Obviously, I don't think you should be punished if you are unaware of illegal activity going on concerning your property, but I'm sure we can all agree, without reasonable doubt, that the torrent sites are well aware of copyright infringement. With that being pretty much indisputable, they should be held accountable, but not for such ridiculous amounts as the \$110 million.

However, what I just said is pretty much a moot point, as countries don't actually hold jurisdiction over the Internet, even if the US government thinks it does. In net neutrality, it's tough luck for the governments and companies because the Internet isn't anything physical. It's not even on the same level as international waters. I'm not defending the illegal activity (although, condemning it would make me a hypocrite, so I won't do that, either), but I am fully defending the right to net neutrality that should be recognized and respected by all nations.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Goztow on Thu, 08 May 2008 18:35:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Didn't you just say the opposite in the renegade cd topic? :-S

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 08 May 2008 19:07:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No. Again, handing someone a gun (referencing the other thread) doesn't automatically call for a gun crime. The transfer of the software/gun/etc... isn't illegal. Yes, the RIAA and MPAA want to make it illegal, but that's just fucking stupid. If someone already owns the copyrighted material and is just downloading an extra copy (as I've found that downloading DVDs is faster than ripping them myself), then that's not illegal. Again, the MPAA and RIAA want to say that it is, but that's just them being blowhards. However, in the case of TorrentSpy, the majority of users didn't do this, and we know damn well that's true.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by BlueThen on Thu, 08 May 2008 20:28:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

110 Million or 110 Billion?

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by nikki6ixx on Thu, 08 May 2008 20:30:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Goztow on Thu, 08 May 2008 21:17:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Originally Blue wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 22:28110 Million or 110 Billion? The one with 6 zero's. I think Americans and English have a different word for it? Or not?

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Ghostshaw on Thu, 08 May 2008 21:24:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No thats the one with 9 zeroes

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 08 May 2008 22:00:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Goztow wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 17:17Originally Blue wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 22:28110 Million or 110 Billion?

The one with 6 zero's. I think Americans and English have a different word for it? Or not?

You put BILLION in the thread title, then said MILLION in the thread. He was just asking which one.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Goztow on Fri, 09 May 2008 06:58:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's because i wrote the title myself, then copy-pasted the article and forgot to change Million in the article with billion. But now I'm confused.

I'll edit the title and article now though...

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by cheesesoda on Fri, 09 May 2008 12:16:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think the terms "million" and "billion" are all agreed upon over any country.

10^6 = 1,000,000 = 1 million 10^9 = 1,000,000,000 = 1 billion 10^12 = 1,000,000,000,000 = 1 trillion

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by Herr Surth on Fri, 09 May 2008 13:00:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Long and short scales

۸۸

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by Ma1kel on Fri, 09 May 2008 16:12:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 10:13These lawsuits do make sense, though. I mean, even if you're not the one committing the crime, allowing someone to commit a crime on your property would make you an accessory, at least, if not a willing participant depending on all that you do.

Torrent sites DO allow its users to infringe on copyrights with their trackers. Sure, they stick a TOS on the site to say that any uploaded copyright content is against site policy, but unless you actively enforce it (to a reasonable extent), then you should be held, at least, partially responsible.

Obviously, I don't think you should be punished if you are unaware of illegal activity going on concerning your property, but I'm sure we can all agree, without reasonable doubt, that the torrent sites are well aware of copyright infringement. With that being pretty much indisputable, they should be held accountable, but not for such ridiculous amounts as the \$110 million.

However, what I just said is pretty much a moot point, as countries don't actually hold jurisdiction over the Internet, even if the US government thinks it does. In net neutrality, it's tough luck for the governments and companies because the Internet isn't anything physical. It's not even on the same level as international waters. I'm not defending the illegal activity (although, condemning it would make me a hypocrite, so I won't do that, either), but I am fully defending the right to net neutrality that should be recognized and respected by all nations. Then why didn't they sue Google too then?

EDIT: Which idiot would host a torrent site in Amerikkka.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110 Billion \$ Posted by BlueThen on Fri, 09 May 2008 20:15:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ma1kel wrote on Fri, 09 May 2008 11:12cheesesoda wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 10:13These lawsuits do make sense, though. I mean, even if you're not the one committing the crime, allowing someone to commit a crime on your property would make you an accessory, at least, if not a willing participant depending on all that you do.

Torrent sites DO allow its users to infringe on copyrights with their trackers. Sure, they stick a TOS on the site to say that any uploaded copyright content is against site policy, but unless you actively enforce it (to a reasonable extent), then you should be held, at least, partially responsible. Obviously, I don't think you should be punished if you are unaware of illegal activity going on concerning your property, but I'm sure we can all agree, without reasonable doubt, that the torrent sites are well aware of copyright infringement. With that being pretty much indisputable, they should be held accountable, but not for such ridiculous amounts as the \$110 million.

However, what I just said is pretty much a moot point, as countries don't actually hold jurisdiction over the Internet, even if the US government thinks it does. In net neutrality, it's tough luck for the governments and companies because the Internet isn't anything physical. It's not even on the same level as international waters. I'm not defending the illegal activity (although, condemning it would make me a hypocrite, so I won't do that, either), but I am fully defending the right to net neutrality that should be recognized and respected by all nations.

Then why didn't they sue Google too then?

EDIT: Which idiot would host a torrent site in Amerikkka. Google is a search engine... the links on it has nothing to do with google.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by jnz on Fri, 09 May 2008 22:55:41 GMT

google links to warez, torrents and other goodies

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by BlueThen on Sat, 10 May 2008 00:03:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

By the way,

http://www.google.com/search?q=google+sued&hl=en&start=0&sa=N it looks like google HAS been sued several times.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by R315r4z0r on Sat, 10 May 2008 19:49:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Torrents suck. IRC ftw.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by cheesesoda on Sat. 10 May 2008 19:57:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Torrents kick so much IRC ass that it's not even funny.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by Herr Surth on Sat, 10 May 2008 20:06:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

seriously, Torrent > IRC

Liberty Bay!

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by trooprm02 on Sat, 10 May 2008 20:38:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Torrentspy will never be forced to actually pay any thing out because of a out-of-court deal the owner made with the MPAA, you should be hearing more about it soon.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by R315r4z0r on Sat, 10 May 2008 20:58:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well I moved from torrents to IRC cause I found it better.

btw, that isn't for pirating stuff.

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by Carrierll on Sat, 10 May 2008 22:12:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You tried to chat using torrents? WTF?

Subject: Re: Torrentspy convicted to pay 110,000,000 \$ Posted by R315r4z0r on Sat, 10 May 2008 22:54:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Who said anything about chat? I barely chat on IRC. :\