
Subject: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 08:20:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

White men have been banned from fire brigade recruitment sessions because bosses want to hit their diversity targets.

Four out of five "open" days held by Avon Fire Service were restricted to women and ethnic minorities.

Avon's bosses insist their ban on white men is simply "positive action".

Where do we start here?

What a sad detour our society has taken. If the ban was on black men becoming firefighters everyone would see it for what it was: racism.

What's even sadder is how condescending this must seem to non-white firefighters who actually got the job based on their skills and experience.

Worst of all, when you have a job which involves saving the lives of the public, and responsibility for your crewmates, the idea of selecting the firefighters based on anything other than their suitability is insane.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 09:51:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's life. I always wondered what happened if an employer never filled their quota...

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 10:55:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Old news, in England we have many shops where "No English allowed".

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 12:14:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But the minute someone opens a shop saying 'No foreigners allowed' they would be arrested and imprisoned for discrimination. Typical politicians and their one way view of discrimination...

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 12:38:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People totally dont get the concept of immigrating today. Instead of IMMIGRATING the Immigrants into the society, they let them build up their own. People come to this state, which means they accept the rules of THIS state. Why do they get their own rules? :/

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 15:47:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is why I'm glad a majority of voters in Michigan banned affirmative action. It's a complete crock of shit. Reversing the racism is still... RACISM.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 16:06:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL HEY GUI I HAS GUUD IDEAS, WHITE MEN NOT GET JOB ERE CUS THEIR WHITE
LOL, WE WNT SEEM RACIST ROFLZ

SIR, PROMOTION TIMEZ FOR YOU!

That's what went through my head.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 20:16:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm very happy we don't have a policy like this my way... at least not yet.

If I have to be saved from a burning building, while I am choking on ash, and my back is beginning to melt, the last thing that will be on my mind will be 'affirmative action' .

Plus, I have no problem with promoting diversity, but this involves the safety of citizens; the best candidates might be left out, and that could mean the difference between saving a life, and losing it. Is the guy who was picked solely on race going to spend the extra minute in a firefight, and risk his own hide to save another, or will he bow out?

Some people will also be miffed about women being recruited, but I will admit that the girls are more than capable of doing the job. However, once again, hiring purely on sex is discriminatory.

When I was a young'un, I was taught about Equality: that everyone has an equal opportunity, and

their skin, race, and sex have no bearing. I subscribe to that idea, but this policy flies right in the face of Equality.

This is exactly what happens when our society becomes too politically correct. Luckily for me, being 'PC' is not on my agenda, and although I've been called a racist, a sexist, and a 'misogynistic pig' plenty of times in my life, I will continue to point out the PC bullshit that is neutering our society.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 20:21:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The tables have been turned!

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [renalpha](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 20:30:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol
Ban on black men for teh internet!!
APPROVE THE APPROVAL

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 22:53:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is still racism against Black men people.

They are banning White men so only women and black people are in harms way.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [\[NE\]Fobby\[GEN\]](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 23:56:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sat, 26 January 2008 03:20 White men have been banned from fire brigade recruitment sessions because bosses want to hit their diversity targets.

Four out of five "open" days held by Avon Fire Service were restricted to women and ethnic minorities.

Avon's bosses insist their ban on white men is simply "positive action".

Source?

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [u6795](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 00:25:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Give it a few years and all the white dudes and chicks will be enslaved in a crazy ancient-modern slavery mix..

That is, if aliens don't enslave us all first.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 02:43:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 03:40:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm glad you view diversity as it really is... a crock of shit. It's a liberal view hellbent on destroying individuality and equality of opportunity.

Also, welcome to the dark side of vulgarity. I love being blunt and crass on the views that mean the most to me.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 08:32:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think it's actual racism, at least by most peoples definition. There are laws that say their workforce has to be X percent minorities. They apparently were majorly not hitting the numbers and had too many white guys, so they had to temporarily stop hiring any more until the ratio would allow it.

I think that is a better solution than firing some of the existing employees just to shuffle the race ratio around.

Same goes if they had to temporarily ban hiring black men. "Racism" has nothing to do with it. It's simple math and them following the law of keeping the proper ratio of minorities in their workforce.

Of course like I said, people will still say its "racism" just because race is a factor. IMO "racism" is due to some sort of discrimination based hatred or dislike, not because of a law that is meant to *protect* minorities against those that may discriminate by not hiring them.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 08:38:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, don't tell me you actually believe that drivel. You cannot possibly be serious.

It doesn't matter if they're trying to fill a diversity ratio. The fact of the matter that there should be no ratio. It should be all about the equality of opportunity, not equality of outcome. If a woman or minority is the perfect candidate for the job, then hire them. If not, don't make it so that one has to be hired to fill some bullshit quota.

Even if it's not "racism", it's prejudice. It's discriminating against a specific group (in this case, white males). It should not be tolerated.

I understand the whole premise of being fair and not wanting race to play a factor, but FORCING race to be a factor isn't the way to do it.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 08:49:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Starbuzz [ELITE wrote on Sat, 26 January 2008 19:43]Surth wrote on Sat, 26 January 2008 06:38People totally dont get the concept of immigrating today. Instead of IMMIGRATING the Immigrants into the society, they let them build up their own. People come to this state, which means they accept the rules of THIS state. Why do they get their own rules? :/

I completely agree with you.

It's amazingly ridiculous to see immigrants coming to Western countries and then like fucking selfish idiots, setting up their own communities and mimicking the cultures they just so desperately wanted to leave! They segregate themselves all the while greedily enjoying the milk and honey and opportunites the land has given them.

Deep inside they are full of criticism for the country they live in. I know this.

I agree with both of you. In the time I have lived in Arizona, I have gotten a first hand look at illegal immigration, and it's sad that most of your words are dead on.

I believe that people have a right to hold onto their heritage, and they should. BUT this can be done without isolating yourself. Theres no reason you cannot hold onto your culture and beliefs without scorning the place where you currently live.

Here in Arizona, illegal immigration is obviously a big deal. Don't get me wrong, I have *NO* problem with Mexican people. In fact, I have *NO* problem with *any* race of people. I like or dislike persons individually based upon their personality and actions, not their race.

Anyhow I have seen how lots of Mexicans will come here (and other states), and on the one hand they are SO glad to have "escaped" from the apparent shithole that Mexico is, and are now in a land of great opportunity...it's like having a new lease on life, you would think they would gladly embrace all America has to offer.

But yet, I mostly see them set up their own communities and trying to recreate Mexico here in the US. They have total disregard for US law, and pretty much think of Americans as "fat gringos" that they want to take advantage of.

Again, let me state that I do not dislike Mexican people in any way, and I'm not saying that they are all this way. There are plenty of Mexicans who come to the US properly, and legally, and abide by our laws and contribute to society. Sadly though they are not in the majority. Just last week an illegal immigrant ran over and killed a border guard with his Hummer, and then retreated back to Mexico.

When people legally come to a new country and acclimate themselves to their new environment, that's immigration.

When people illegally come to a new country to plunder its resources, meanwhile full of disdain for its laws, sovereignty, and citizens, while setting up an isolated "camp" of their own people...that is an invasion.

I'd say reward the immigrants who come here legally, and the illegal ones should be treated harshly. We are way too soft on them, which is why they are flooding in like ants after a rain shower. It's sad that we have some laws that are so hardcore, like going to prison for downloading an MP3, yet some guy can climb over the fence from Mexico, steal a US citizen's social security number, leech off of resources meant for legal citizens, break the law, etc, and all that happens if he gets caught is "deported", which means maybe 2 days before he climbs the fence and comes right back again.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:00:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 02:32 I don't think it's actual racism, at least by most people's definition. There are laws that say their workforce has to be X percent minorities. They apparently were majorly not hitting the numbers and had too many white guys, so they had to temporarily stop hiring any more until the ratio would allow it.

I think that is a better solution than firing some of the existing employees just to shuffle the race

ratio around.

Same goes if they had to temporarily ban hiring black men. "Racism" has nothing to do with it. It's simple math and them following the law of keeping the proper ratio of minorities in their workforce.

Of course like I said, people will still say its "racism" just because race is a factor. IMO "racism" is due to some sort of discrimination based hatred or dislike, not because of a law that is meant to *protect* minorities against those that may discriminate by not hiring them. Turning somebody down from a job based on their skin colour is racism.

You can't excuse it by saying we need 15% ethnic minority employees or w/e. Simple question: Why do we need a "proper ratio of minorities" in any particular job? Nobody has ever, ever answered that question successfully.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 02:32IMO "racism" is due to some sort of discrimination based hatred or dislike, not because of a law that is meant to *protect* minorities against those that may discriminate by not hiring them. You know the saying two wrongs don't make a right? You're basically saying "one almost certainly fictional wrong excuses a genuine wrong".

Aside from that, white people are fast becoming the minority in many English cities.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:00:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheesesoda wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 01:38It doesn't matter if they're trying to fill a diversity ratio. The fact of the matter that there should be no ratio...

I understand the whole premise of being fair and not wanting race to play a factor, but FORCING race to be a factor isn't the way to do it.

Hey, I agree with you that it sucks that we have to have laws to force equal rights, but let's face it...the real world isn't like movies or star trek, where everyone gets along and are judged only upon their own merits. The human race as a whole is still in the dark ages, and nobody wants to admit how barbaric and prejudiced we still are.

We are TRYING though, which means that laws have been passed to FORCE racial diversity in the work place. These laws are to PROTECT the minorities, not discriminate against them. If not for the law, there would be nothing to stop them from hiring ALL white guys and no black guys, and next thing you know we are in the next civil war.

These guys are just following the LAW. Simple as that. I don't know why people have to try to stir the pot by crying racism, and let's not forget the other favorite-reverse racism!

Again, they were following the LAW. If the LAW says they must 4 black guys out of 10 people, and due to people quitting, getting promoted, whatever, they somehow ended up with 8 white guys out

of 10 people, well guess what, THEY CANT HIRE ANY MORE WHITE GUYS FOR AWHILE, OR THEY GET SLAPPED WITH A BIGASS FINE (similar situation could apply if they had too many black employees-temp ban on hiring black guys).

It's as simple as that. It's not "racism", it's following the law. A law that was put in place, in an attempt to stop racism!

I would think if you were going to be angry about something, it should not be the company that is trying to follow the law, you should be angry about the law itself, or the human race in general for being a bunch of barbaric hate-mongers

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:03:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You keep talking about it being law as if it detracts at all from my point... which it doesn't. The law should not exist. I AM bitching about the law.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:06:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Again, I hear you man, and I agree. What I'm trying to get through to you is...if not for the law, the minorities would be fucked, because "we" are not yet as race-tolerant as you apparently think we are.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:07:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 03:00the real world isn't like movies or star trek, where everyone gets along and are judged only upon their own merits. Indeed not, and this thread is a good example.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 03:00If not for the law, there would be nothing to stop them from hiring ALL white guys and no black guys
So? If a company hires white people when there are MORE QUALIFIED black people, they'll suffer. And if you don't like the fact a company doesn't hire enough black people for your tastes, take your business somewhere else. No need for government intervention.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 03:00and next thing you know we are in the next civil war. That's a little insane.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 03:00It's as simple as that. It's not "racism", it's following the law.

Yes, it is racism. Following a bullshit law does not stop it being racism.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 03:00A law that was put in place, in an attempt to stop racism!

A feeble attempt which has failed at every turn and vastly increased tensions in society.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 03:00I would think if you were going to be angry about something, it should not be the company that is trying to follow the law, you should be angry about the law itself

Yes.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:17:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

See, here's the thing about Capitalism... it fixes its own problems. If people don't like racism, they'll take their business elsewhere.

The fire station here is a public service, so it should be anti-discriminatory. HOWEVER, they should still hire based on qualifications, but be unable to discriminate based on gender or race.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 09:37:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paradoxically the law has forced them to.

They're a public service and they save lives. If they should be forced to hire based on something, the only thing that should be is suitability.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Dover](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 11:37:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheesesoda wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 01:17See, here's the thing about Capitalism... it fixes its own problems.

I lol'd.

If this were true, you'd like after capitalism has been around so long it would have fixed all our problems by now.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [reborn](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 14:20:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It really should be a case of the best man for the job, especially in dangerous jobs like this one. If I was a fireman (black or white) I would want the man who was best for the job covering me whilst my life was in danger. You're a team, you put your life in your teams hands. I wouldn't feel safe knowing that one of the team got his job even though he was not best for it, just because of the color of his skin.

It's especially important in jobs that provide such an important life saving role. Imagine losing a partner, a loved one, a mum or dad, a child to a fire that could of been handled allot better, but wasn't because the right man didn't get the job. I would be pretty pissed.

Same goes for any job really, I don't want to "carry" some asshole who isn't good at there job, they only got there position because my employer needed to fill there quota of ethnics or women or handicap people.

Why the hell should I work harder, get more stressed and have more crap dumped on me because the dumb-ass next to me isn't up to scratch?

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 16:18:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This infuriates me- Why the fuck is it that white people are oh-so-racist, yet it's perfectly a-ok to put lives in danger because you don't want to offend people?

Uh oh, I'm offended! Oh wait, I'm just a white person, pay no mind.

I find it almost hilarious how apperently black people are soo "repressed" and how "the man brings them down" and shit.

I'm willing to bet that if it were any other race than black that's being offended, no one would care.

This better be some sort of joke, because I can't see how people can be THAT retarded and hypocritical.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 17:55:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Positive" discrimination is still discrimination!

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [cnc95fan](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 22:41:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People are taking racism too seriously. People are afraid to say anything out against the Muslims incase they do whatever to you. About a year ago, several newspapers (in Europe) had to give an apology to the Muslims for drawing an image of Muhammad with a bomb in his turban. If it was Jesus with.. poison wine noone would give a shit. GTFO you UBER sensitive people.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 23:21:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nearly everyone wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 07:20It really should be a case of the best man for the job

I totally agree, but the problem is, how do you "police" that? Say I am a racist bastard, and I own a company, and there are no affirmative action laws...I will just hire all white guys and say that "sadly, every black man we interviewed was not qualified". Which is exactly what happened in the past, so the govt stepped in (at the request of THE PEOPLE), and passed a law saying that everyone, including mister racist bastard, must hire at least X number of minorities. This ensures they have a chance of getting the same jobs that white people do, without being discriminated against because of their race.

Yes, I agree its sad and stupid that we have to have such a law just for human beings to treat each other equally, but as I keep saying, such is the nature of the human race, and laws like this will continue until we evolve into a more civilized race instead of the hate-mongers that we are now.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 08:01:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 17:21I totally agree, but the problem is, how do you "police" that? Say I am a racist bastard, and I own a company, and there are no affirmative action laws...I will just hire all white guys and say that "sadly, every black man we interviewed was not qualified".

So? If people perceive you as obviously racist, they'll take their business elsewhere and you'll suffer for the choice you made. Government intervention here is stupid and counterproductive.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 17:21This ensures they have a chance of getting the same jobs that white people do, without being discriminated against because of their race.

Yep, the only people who need fear about being discriminated against because of their race are white people.

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 17:21Yes, I agree its sad and stupid that we have to have such a law just for human beings to treat each other equally, but as I keep saying, such is the

nature of the human race, and laws like this will continue until we evolve into a more civilized race instead of the hate-mongers that we are now.

And the more racial tension caused by ridiculous, counterproductive and hypocritical laws like this, the longer that'll take.

I also object to the generalisation that we're all hate-mongers. I dislike racism, but I reject the idea that it's a crime. If you don't wanna hire a black person, I won't try to force you to, I'll just buy whatever the fuck you sell from somewhere else.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 09:54:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why do people have to talk about "the government" like its some out of control evil conglomerate that is bent on controlling us all? What you call "government intervention" is what is also known as "laws".

These "laws" are not arbitrarily handed down by some shadow authority, they are conceived by, and voted upon by, THE PEOPLE. That's right...these laws that you consider "intervention", are actually an extension of the will of the majority. If you do not agree with the law, you can vote against it...but if it passes you should accept that it's the way your fellow peers want things run.

Instead of blaming the big bad govt for forcing businesses to not have racist hiring practices, consider what all has to happen for a law to go into effect. We the people run the government, not the other way around.

Also don't forget that "you cannot please all of the people, all of the time". So you and quite a few others may be violently against something, and have very valid reasons, but if ten thousand times your number think otherwise, yes it sucks for you and you are just out of luck.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 10:18:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Mon, 28 January 2008 03:54Why do people have to talk about "the government" like its some out of control evil conglomerate that is bent on controlling us all? What you call "government intervention" is what is also known as "laws".

These "laws" are not arbitrarily handed down by some shadow authority, they are conceived by, and voted upon by, THE PEOPLE. That's right...these laws that you consider "intervention", are actually an extension of the will of the majority.

It would be nice if that were true, wouldn't it?

Blazer wrote on Mon, 28 January 2008 03:54Instead of blaming the big bad govt for forcing businesses to not have racist hiring practices, consider what all has to happen for a law to go into

effect. We the people run the government, not the other way around.

Also don't forget that "you cannot please all of the people, all of the time". So you and quite a few others may be violently against something, and have very valid reasons, but if ten thousand times your number think otherwise, yes it sucks for you and you are just out of luck.

I'd be very surprised if you could find ten thousand British people who agree with affirmative action. I wouldn't be surprised at all to find at least half the population - thirty million, say - who see it for the bullshit it is.

We do not live in a proper democracy here. The three major parties all support the nonsense that is affirmative action. Off the top of my head I can only think of one party who doesn't, and the three major parties conspire to make sure they never get elected.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 10:36:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TBH I'd guess there is a LOT less racism where you live than in the US. I forgot that you were in the UK, now I understand why your stance is as so. I usually find it amusing when people from outside of the US criticize US laws and govt when they do not have a full understanding of them...not saying that you don't, but you obviously have a skewed idea of what racism is like here. Sad to say but it's a lot worse than you think, thus the laws.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 16:39:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Mon, 28 January 2008 04:36TBH I'd guess there is a LOT less racism where you live than in the US. I forgot that you were in the UK, now I understand why your stance is as so. I usually find it amusing when people from outside of the US criticize US laws and govt when they do not have a full understanding of them...not saying that you don't, but you obviously have a skewed idea of what racism is like here. Sad to say but it's a lot worse than you think, thus the laws.

Why would you think I was talking about US laws rather than UK?

And no, there isn't less racism in the UK than over there, I daresay a full 50% of the mosques over there aren't funded or run by terrorists.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Romaner](#) on Wed, 30 Jan 2008 22:29:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the thing that pisses me off the most is in states that adopt this law that requires companies to have a certain percentage of minorities, the people that they hire know that they are there in part

to make the company meet its racial quota. thus they know its going to be alot harder for the employer to fire them if they do not perform their tasks since that employer will now have to hire another member of a minority in order to still meet the necessary racial mix percentage. what im trying to say is that since this is implemented it sort of protects the minorities from getting fired, thus giving them more freedoms do get away with more than any white person could. so some people end up doing half of the work of a what a normal employee does because they have higher job security and they are not scared to get fired for taking a 30 minute break instead of the 15 allowed, and so on.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Wed, 30 Jan 2008 23:47:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 11:55"Positive" discrimination is still discrimination!

QFT!

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Dante](#) on Tue, 19 Feb 2008 18:44:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about this for the flip side.

In Orange County, California, white people are the minority. But guess what, those affirmative action laws don't reflect when that happens.

It is an outdated law that was made at a time when it was needed, today it is a slap in the face to any honest business. "I can't hire JOe because i need a black guy", even though Joe might be the #1 person in his field, do you fire Tim so you can hire Joe?

If people crawled out of thier small towns around the country, they would see that racism is generally happening to the stereotypes of the country. YES there are many exceptions where people get in bad situations, but there are groups in all races that will attack another (Black Gangs, Mexican Gangs, Asian Gangs, White Gangs, etc... "Ese and Homeboy" are still being treated like so while the regular guys (i say this case that is what they are to me) are getting good jobs regardless or race. My boss, the VP of Software Development, is mexican. Our #3 salesman is black. You know what they are to everyone else, except the "good ol boys"? People.

The problem is, the people with money in this country, who end up running for office, are still "good ol boys", and until that changes, these assinine laws that keep racism in our brains are going to stay.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters

Posted by [Renx](#) on Tue, 19 Feb 2008 19:50:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Same thing happens in the military today. If a white male wants to drop out during the recruitment or training process, they get the boot no questions asked. If a female or visible minority wants to drop out they meet with the CO and he pleads with them to stay, or even offers incentives.

Now I'm not racist, nor am I saying that every white male was perfect during some of my courses, but majority of these visible minorities visibly sucked at what they were doing, and it sucked that they got better treatment. I guess sooner or later they'll meet a CO that doesn't give a shit about numbers though.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters

Posted by [Ryan3k](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2008 01:08:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cheesesoda

See, here's the thing about Capitalism... it fixes its own problems.

Listen to talk radio much?

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters

Posted by [Muad Dib15](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2008 02:31:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ryan3k wrote on Tue, 19 February 2008 19:08

Cheesesoda
See, here's the thing about Capitalism... it fixes its own problems.

Listen to talk radio much?

Read Newsweek much?

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2008 03:16:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Karl Rove writes for Newsweek.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters

Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Thu, 28 Feb 2008 07:30:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

New law: Everyone must marry and have kids from someone in a different race, that way in 800 years there won't be any diversity. *wakes up from his dream*

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Thu, 28 Feb 2008 22:13:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jerad Gray wrote on Thu, 28 February 2008 01:30New law: Everyone must marry and have kids from someone in a different race, that way in 800 years there won't be any diversity. *wakes up from his dream*

No need for that law as interracial marriages are on the rise but I doubt they will make a big difference.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 07 Mar 2008 06:59:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 28 February 2008 15:13Jerad Gray wrote on Thu, 28 February 2008 01:30New law: Everyone must marry and have kids from someone in a different race, that way in 800 years there won't be any diversity. *wakes up from his dream*

No need for that law as interracial marriages are on the rise but I doubt they will make a big difference.

Oh I am sure it will make a difference in a couple thousand years, but there will still be that one no mixed kid teasing or being teased.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [kikiller9](#) on Fri, 07 Mar 2008 21:55:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well you got to think in about 10-30 years in america whites will become a minority.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [Muad Dib15](#) on Sat, 08 Mar 2008 03:25:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kikiller9 wrote on Fri, 07 March 2008 15:55Well you got to think in about 10-30 years in america whites will become a minority.

I have to agree with you there. The way the intercity is going, that will be the case.

Subject: Re: Ban on white men becoming firefighters
Posted by [noman](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 02:31:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 06:37cheesesoda wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 01:17See, here's the thing about Capitalism... it fixes its own problems.

I lol'd.

If this were true, you'd like after capitalism has been around so long it would have fixed all our problems by now.

Thats because the government interferes in economics and several other reasons.

but really the way some of you talk makes me think you have never taken a class on economics and government.

btw I agree with the majority ex, "Positive" discrimination is still discrimination!
