Subject: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by prasp on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 03:40:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

How the fuck does the retarded monkey in the white house pay for the Iraq War? Considering the war is costing 2 billion a month, how come inflation hasn't even begun to fully take hold of the US economy?

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Zion on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 03:43:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

'He' doesn't pay for it, you do, which is why you pay tax.

Don't pay tax, end of war. Everyone happy ^\_^

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by nikki6ixx on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 05:45:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's called 'debt'. Your parents do it all the time by borrowing on credit.

The government essentially does the same thing. If you would like to see how much the United States is in debt, follow this link:

http://www.brillig.com/debt\_clock/

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Ryu on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 06:10:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Not Even Bill Gates.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Memphis on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 07:27:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

To put that into perspective a while ago I saw a program that said \$8 trillion would buy EVERYTHING in the UK, France, Germany and Italy. I'm not sure if this is entirely accurate today but even so it's pretty mind boggling.

I'm pretty sure we borrow quite a bit of the money from the Chinese. Which is why if we pulled the plug on their imports, they'd pretty much have our nuts in a vice.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by IronWarrior on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 16:16:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pretty much every country is in debt, but you gotta think how much money USA and other allies countrys are making out the War over there.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by sadukar09 on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 16:23:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IronWarrior wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 11:16Pretty much every country is in debt, but you gotta think how much money USA and other allies countrys are making out the War over there. Switzerland is pretty close to no debt.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 01:38:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IronWarrior wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 10:16Pretty much every country is in debt, but you gotta think how much money USA and other allies countrys are making out the War over there.

The United States isn't making ANY money out there. Companies, like Halliburton, who seemed to profit from the war, aren't doing that great either.

And the Chinese hold trillions in US Currency and T-Bills. However, their economy is so entangled with America's, that any American economic slump will drastically affect the Chinese.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by prasp on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 02:46:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I didn't know that the US's national debt had hit 9 trillon dollars.

Damn, Bush must really like this, he's emptying the public coffers and placing them into his fatass

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Sn1per74\* on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 04:00:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 20:46I didn't know that the US's national debt had hit 9 trillon dollars.

Damn, Bush must really like this, he's emptying the public coffers and placing them into his fatass pockets, and nobody's doing anything about it.

Don't say stuff you don't know are true. If he was pocketing money he would have been impeached. It's not Bush's fault. You are an ignorant fool. American has been in debt for YEARS. Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by prasp on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 04:57:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: If he was pocketing money he would have been impeached.

No, actually the media is afraid to criticize his dumbass past facts are public knowledge.

Quote:It's not Bush's fault.

Ok, so Bush is Cheney's puppet, yeah, I'll admit that, but he still is a neo-mean lady.

Quote: Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by R315r4z0r on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 05:29:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 23:57 Quote:Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight. A war is a war, what does it matter which one? Since Clinton obviously payed off some portion of the Debt, that goes against what you said that it is Bush's fault for the US to even be in debt... Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by PlastoJoe on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 06:31:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you had an idea of how the economy really works, I'd think you'd be able to answer your own question...or at least search for an answer instead of posting here.

Do you even play Renegade anymore, or are you going into politics or something?

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 21:00:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

praspNo, actually the media is afraid to criticize his dumbass past facts are public knowledge. Since when is the media too afraid to criticize Bush and his administration? They've been doing it for the past 7 years.

praspOk, so Bush is Cheney's puppet, yeah, I'll admit that, but he still is a neo-mean lady. You're a mindless drone.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Jecht on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 21:49:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"prasp"

No, actually the media is afraid to criticize his dumbass past facts are public knowledge.

LMAO

Yes...truly all you here in the media is how everyone agrees with Bush right now.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by DeAd3yE11 on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 22:41:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Inflation will end in the U.S. once the soldiers in Iraq are back here.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 23:03:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

DeAd3yE11 wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 16:41Inflation will end in the U.S. once the soldiers in Iraq are back here.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Ethenal on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 23:34:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 17:03DeAd3yE11 wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 16:41Inflation will end in the U.S. once the soldiers in Iraq are back here.

Perhaps a basic economics course will prove to you otherwise.

Wow, you make yourself seem more ignorant with every post...

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by R315r4z0r on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 02:12:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ignorant, maybe, but he is right... Just because money is being spent on the war, doesn't mean when the war is over, money will start growing on trees.

The economy is based on the market and how the people react to products in the market. How is some conflict in the middle east going to effect the demand of people who want an iPhone in the US?

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Muad Dib15 on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 02:17:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

prasp wrote on Sun, 25 November 2007 21:40How the fuck does the retarded monkey in the white house pay for the Iraq War? Considering the war is costing 2 billion a month, how come inflation hasn't even begun to fully take hold of the US economy?

How did a retard like you stay on this forum without getting kicked off?

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 02:22:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

razorblade001 wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:12ignorant, maybe, but he is right... Just because money is being spent on the war, doesn't mean when the war is over, money will start

growing on trees.

The economy is based on the market and how the people react to products in the market. How is some conflict in the middle east going to effect the demand of people who want an iPhone in the US?

Actually, the weapons industry is huge. Of course, our government buys from American military goods corporations. So a lot of what our government is spending overseas is coming back into our nation.

Inflation isn't about the demand and supply of a market. Inflation is how saturated the economy is. The more people spend, the better, but not when the government keeps forcing money into the economy.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by R315r4z0r on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 02:27:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry, I phrased it wrong.

What I meant to say is what does soldiers in the middle east have to do with Apple setting a price for the demand of the iPhone in the US.

Cause that is strictly how many people want to buy it in comparison with how much they have to sell. Not how much money they have to pay the government. ..

... or DO they? \*thinks about random government conspiracy\*

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 02:36:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What are you talking about?

Money that the government spends on the war is fed back into our economy. While some government spending is good (ie. WWII for America in the Great Depression), too much causes the inflation because our government seemingly likes to just create money out of thin air.

The supply and demand side of the economy is affected by inflation, not the other way around.

## Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures

Kinda-sorta.

Now I shall explain something you probably already know:

-Due to the War, oil imports ceased

-supply went down

-price went up

-since people need gas, personal income money went down

-less money = less demand

-less demand = more left over (more supply)

-Company of product needs to balance the price at an equilibrium, thus using the quantity demanded compared to the quantity supplied to calculate the highest price people would willingly buy at.

-People buy product & pay tax

-tax goes to government to fund war effort.

Like I said, cheesesoda, you probably already knew that, but I was explaining it to the other people I originally had a response to.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by prasp on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 03:26:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Quote:

Since when is the media too afraid to criticize Bush and his administration? They've been doing it for the past 7 years.

Well, let's see, before the Iraq War nearly every newspaper was parroting Bush's reasons for invading Iraq, and few people criticized or bothered to check to see if what was being said was true for fear of being called a "traitor", despite the fact that there were mass protests in the US against the war, and then afterwards when public opinion shifted they finally admitted that was a mistake.

And even now newspapers are refusing to report several members of Congress support and filed a resolution to impeach Cheney.

Quote:You're a mindless drone.

Funny, you support Bush.

Quote: How did a retard like you stay on this forum without getting kicked off?

Why the fuck are you such a hypocrite?

Quote: If you had an idea of how the economy really works, I'd think you'd be able to answer your own question...or at least search for an answer instead of posting here.

Do you even play Renegade anymore, or are you going into politics or something?

Actually I do, I just wanted to turn this into a bash Bush thread as soon as I heard that the middle and lower classes are paying 1/3 of the their income to support this illegal occupation, whereas the wealthy only pay 12-16%.

And I still do play Rengade-only about 1 hour per week.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 03:35:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Wealthy pay 12-16%? Nice numbers. Too bad you're way off.

Sorry, but EVERYBODY supported the Iraq campaign. Even Edwards, Kerry, and Clinton. It's hard to go against Bush when everybody agrees with him.

I support Bush? Hardly. I just don't support liberal idiocy.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Sn1per74\* on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 03:58:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 22:57 Quote:Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight. I'm pretty sure it was the Civil War, get YOUR facts straight.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Starbuzz on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 04:46:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sn1per74\* wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:58prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 22:57 Quote:Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight. I'm pretty sure it was the Civil War, get YOUR facts straight.

WTF? What fucking Civil War?

## Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Canadacdn on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 04:51:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 22:46Sn1per74\* wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:58prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 22:57 Quote:Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight. I'm pretty sure it was the Civil War, get YOUR facts straight.

WTF? What fucking Civil War?

Fail.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Starbuzz on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 05:11:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:35 Sorry, but EVERYBODY supported the Iraq campaign. Even Edwards, Kerry, and Clinton. It's hard to go against Bush when everybody agrees with him.

Yes, they supported it only because they were so convinced by the lies and false intelligence. Heck, they were told Iraq had unmanned drones that could attack the American eastern seaboard with chemical and biological weapons!

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 05:25:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Wed, 28 November 2007 00:11cheesesoda wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:35

Sorry, but EVERYBODY supported the Iraq campaign. Even Edwards, Kerry, and Clinton. It's hard to go against Bush when everybody agrees with him.

Yes, they supported it only because they were so convinced by the lies and false intelligence. Heck, they were told Iraq had unmanned drones that could attack the American eastern seaboard with chemical and biological weapons!

I don't see how Democrats that are filled with such hatred towards Bush would be so easily tricked.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures

Posted by Jerad2142 on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 18:45:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

prasp wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 20:26Actually I do, I just wanted to turn this into a bash Bush thread as soon as I heard that the middle and lower classes are paying 1/3 of the their income to support this illegal occupation, whereas the wealthy only pay 12-16%.

Maybe you didn't notice, but it was a "bash Bush thread" in the first place.

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by R315r4z0r on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 20:19:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 23:46Sn1per74\* wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:58prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 22:57 Quote:Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight. I'm pretty sure it was the Civil War, get YOUR facts straight.

WTF? What fucking Civil War? I'm not very sure if this is a serious comment or not...

But just in case it is... North vs South? The Confederacy? Abe Lincoln? Slavery? Ringing any bells? Iol...

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Starbuzz on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 21:22:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

razorblade001 wrote on Wed, 28 November 2007 14:19Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 23:46Sn1per74\* wrote on Tue, 27 November 2007 21:58prasp wrote on Mon, 26 November 2007 22:57

Quote:Clinton payed off the last bit of the Civil War debt in his term.

Actually, it's the Vietnam War, get your facts straight. I'm pretty sure it was the Civil War, get YOUR facts straight.

WTF? What fucking Civil War? I'm not very sure if this is a serious comment or not...

But just in case it is...

North vs South? The Confederacy? Abe Lincoln? Slavery? Ringing any bells? Iol...

I know that but I just found it hard to believe that Clinton payed off the Civil War debt knowing that war was fought like over a century ago. Anyway, I thought it was the Vietnam War debt he payed

off. So he payed off the Civil War debt?

I don't think he payed off the American Civil War debt or did he? I tried to find information on this but to no avail.

So may I ask for a link or something to clarify your previous statement?

BONUS! Correct me and I will not post for 1 whole day! (12 hours)

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Jecht on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 00:38:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Wed, 28 November 2007 15:22 I know that but I just found it hard to believe that Clinton payed off the Civil War debt knowing that war was fought like over a century ago. Anyway, I thought it was the Vietnam War debt he payed off. So he payed off the Civil War debt?

I don't think he payed off the American Civil War debt or did he? I tried to find information on this but to no avail.

So may I ask for a link or something to clarify your previous statement?

BONUS! Correct me and I will not post for 1 half of a whole day! (12 hours)

Corrected. Well, I did my troll post for the day

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Starbuzz on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 02:03:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jecht wrote on Wed, 28 November 2007 18:38Starbuzz wrote on Wed, 28 November 2007 15:22 I know that but I just found it hard to believe that Clinton payed off the Civil War debt knowing that war was fought like over a century ago. Anyway, I thought it was the Vietnam War debt he payed off. So he payed off the Civil War debt?

I don't think he payed off the American Civil War debt or did he? I tried to find information on this but to no avail.

So may I ask for a link or something to clarify your previous statement?

BONUS! Correct me and I will not post for 1 half of a whole day! (12 hours)

Corrected. Well, I did my troll post for the day

Ahhh...well if you were inside my brain when I was writing that, I was thinking about the sunny day part and not the night cuz I am asleep then.

Anyway, so did President Bill Clinton pay off the debt from the American Civil War as Razorblade says? And if he did, I would really like to know to who the hell he paid it too! Razor where are ya man? Or are you hiding cuz you made a bad-ass dumb shit mistake post?

INCREASED BONUS! If I am corrected, I put Santa hat on my astronaut for 3 days AND stay away for 24 HOURS!

Subject: Re: A question on Iraq expenditures Posted by Rocko on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 05:32:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Page 12 of 12 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums

imagine all the weed i could buy with that money