
Subject: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 01:32:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what would the world be like today?

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 01:34:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They will never win. Ever. And also another retarded topic by you.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 02:02:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Never, North is in a higher position.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 03:38:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline-191>

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 04:02:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matix101 wrote on Sat, 20 October 2007 20:36lol

sadukar09 wrote on Sat, 20 October 2007 20:34And also another retarded topic by you.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 04:05:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Heart disease would be far more prevalent. Have you ever eaten down south

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 09:13:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The US would be filled with people like this:

Which would be totally awesome.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 09:43:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rocko would be a slave.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 10:16:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Isn't this topic just another version of the "bring back slavery" topic?

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 18:18:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PlastoJoe wrote on Sat, 20 October 2007 22:38<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline-191>

Thats a pretty good book series, I would recommend it to anyone who likes alternative history because its amazing how the author ties in people who are not in the spotlight but normally would have been. Another book by that author is "The Guns of the South" which is more sci fi but still brings in a lot of history.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 21:55:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Sat, 20 October 2007 20:34And also another retarded topic by you.
Ok...

What makes this thread retarded? Is it the fact that the person who made it is "retarded"? Is it because you think the question is "retarded"?

The only retarded questions I know of, are questions asking obvious things. Rocko is curious, and wanted to know your opinions. But all you do is flame him for a dumb reason. "It's retarded."

So tell me... in what way is this thread retarded? I see none. Usually what makes a thread retarded is when retarded people reply, and that is what you are doing.

So if you think this thread is retarded or dumb, then don't reply. Cause mostly, all I see from you is flame. So stfu and stay out of the "retarded topics," which from you, would probably stay away from every topic, because most topics are just about as retarded as this one.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sun, 21 Oct 2007 22:39:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Most likely because he ask stupid questions. (see what he posted earlier too if you don't believe me)

The south had less factories to produce the stuff needed for the war. It also had less population, the North Navy was also doing a blockage. So in NO WAY South would've EVER won.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Mon, 22 Oct 2007 01:34:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Sun, 21 October 2007 17:39Most likely because he ask stupid questions. (see what he posted earlier too if you don't believe me)

The south had less factories to produce the stuff needed for the war. It also had less population, the North Navy was also doing a blockage. So in NO WAY South would've EVER won.

did you just learn that in class today?

i didn't ask if the south could have won the war, just what the world might be like if it did? I was curious what others thought about it.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Mon, 22 Oct 2007 02:30:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Sun, 21 October 2007 17:39 Most likely because he ask stupid questions. (see what he posted earlier too if you don't believe me)

The south had less factories to produce the stuff needed for the war. It also had less population, the North Navy was also doing a blockage. So in NO WAY South would've EVER won.

Dude, read "How Few Remain", it shows how close the South was in winning the war at certain points in the conflict. The drop of a package by a Confederate messenger let McClellan see Lee's order of battle before Antietam allowing him to put resources where they needed to thus ending Lee's first invasion of the North. But if the message was picked up by Confederates instead of Union soldiers the whole tide of history could have been turned.

The points you just stated sound almost word for word what I am being taught in my college history class. But just because you have more resources and men does not make you an automatic victor, history has proven it time and time again. (the Graeco-Persian Wars, Vietnam, Afghanistan, Germania) Its more about a country's will and determination to pull the trigger than it is about the quantity of triggers there are to pull.

In my opinion the South lost by a small margin because of the political loses it suffered in the battles of Antietam and Gettysburg. These battles made Europe turn away from helping the South and allowed Lincoln to recover his image and popularity and thus to continue the war.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Mon, 22 Oct 2007 18:38:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rocko wrote on Sat, 20 October 2007 21:32 what would the world be like today?

I have a feeling it would have taken a little longer but it wouldn't be much different than today.

A little education and civilization goes a long way

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Mon, 22 Oct 2007 19:38:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People would be fatter.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Mon, 22 Oct 2007 19:53:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BlueThen wrote on Mon, 22 October 2007 14:38: People would be fatter.
People are already fat.

Subject: Re: if the south won the civil war
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Mon, 22 Oct 2007 20:06:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Mon, 22 October 2007 14:53: BlueThen wrote on Mon, 22 October 2007 14:38: People would be fatter.
People are already fat.
