

---

Subject: Religious - God

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 03:04:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Let's see if any of you can prove if he exists or doesn't.

Don't say that he exists because a lot of people think it. Don't say "there isn't enough proof", supply your sources and be descriptive.

I'm personally a christian, and I believe in god. The proof: The bible, and many stories who others think are "coincidental", that a person would pray during a severe sickness, and then miraculously cured.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 03:13:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Whether or not a higher being exists can neither be proven nor disproven.

It's silly to think that you have an absolute answer to that question. Just because it's your truth doesn't mean it's everyone's truth.

As far as my beliefs, I feel that the odds we just existed are too small to convince me that we just happened by accident. I feel that a being set in motion the Big Bang. I'm not sure if it's the Christian god or not. I was brought up as a Christian, but after a couple years out of a Christian education, I started to have more of an open mind about the possibility that the Bible is just a story book. However, people that claim that it's ONLY a story book are just as ludicrous as those claiming that it's the ABSOLUTE "Word of God".

What makes me think there's something higher? I've had too many close calls while driving that I can't attribute to anything but coincidence or something higher. However, it's happened so often that I can't believe in it being coincidental. For instance, me not paying close enough attention of those driving around me that I accelerate and end up missing the car passing in front of me by a fraction of a second. This has happened several times.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 03:35:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Let's see if any of you can prove if he exists or doesn't.

Cogito ergo sum.

Many of the Enlightenment thinkers give an insight into this question, especially Descartes, who in his "Meditations" instead of starting at the top, started at the lowest point of thinking about the

subject...am I really here at all? And gradually used reason to point to the theory of a benevolent god. Anyway I was raised Christian and still remain so even though I believe that I am very very far from what I would like to be.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 05:23:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

First, the first 3 posts are made by believers of different degrees...as such I expected and got the biased reply I was looking for.

BlueThen wrote on Thu, 04 October 2007 22:04Let's see if any of you can prove if he exists or doesn't.

Don't say that he exists because a lot of people think it. Don't say "there isn't enough proof", supply your sources and be descriptive.

It never will because it is a belief. God cannot be proven to exist and God cannot be proven to not exist. Because it is a belief; you believe there is a god.

cheesesoda wrote on Thu, 04 October 2007 22:13

What makes me think there's something higher? I've had too many close calls while driving that I can't attribute to anything but coincidence or something higher. However, it's happened so often that I can't believe in it being coincidental. For instance, me not paying close enough attention of those driving around me that I accelerate and end up missing the car passing in front of me by a fraction of a second. This has happened several times.

You cannot use everyday reality to justify the presense of a protective force from above. And just how many people have "lost it" at that fraction of the second? So were they undeserving of God's mercy? It's about timing and Physics...and the reality of everyday life.

So, the innocent people on the hijacked 9/11 airliners were all bad? Were they deemed bad by God? It is a tragedy and death does not discriminate it's victims.

You must understand that good fortune and bad fortune befalls everyone regardless of religious beliefs. The believers' collective failure to understand this basic concept is why they still ask "why are evil people doing so well" and "why does God punish the righteous." Please think about it carefully.

Life is so simple, please don't make it much more complicated that it already is.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 09:12:57 GMT

Quote:

So, the innocent people on the hijacked 9/11 airliners were all bad? Were they deemed bad by God? It is a tragedy and death does not discriminate it's victims.

You must understand that good fortune and bad fortune befalls everyone regardless of religious beliefs. The believers' collective failure to understand this basic concept is why they still ask "why are evil people doing so well" and "why does God punish the righteous." Please think about it carefully.

Life is so simple, please don't make it much more complicated that it already is.

Believers don't collectively fail to understand this concept. Just because you may have good fortune or bad fortune in life does not mean you will or won't in the afterlife. Recommended reading is Luke 19-25(less than 5 minutes if you have the time.).

As for proving he exists. If you want hard tangible proof in this day and age that God exists. There is none. However, if you want hard tangible proof that he doesn't exist. There is none.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 09:30:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cheesesoda wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 05:13As far as my beliefs, I feel that the odds we just existed are too small to convince me that we just happened by accident.

This is the only thing that I feel can be proven, there is overwhelming evidence to support the idea that we have evolved, tracing it all the way back to the first unicellular life, eukaryotic cells, multicellular organisms, mammals, primates, apes and eventually man - there is evidence in the fossil record, embryology, physiological similarities that clearly demonstrate evolution in action for ALL living things, ourselves included.

We simply were not put on this earth as human beings and in a few million years we will be as different from what we are today as we currently are from our ancestors such as australopithecus and the chimpanzees. Anybody who spends the time to really look at a chimpanzee or bonobo and refuses to admit the connection is simply kidding themselves.

Of course none of this will come close to disproving the existance of "God" as, as has been stated, it can't be done - for me though it disproves "that" argument which religious types often cling on to.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 12:36:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

MexPirate wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 05:30cheesesoda wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 05:13As far as my beliefs, I feel that the odds we just existed are too small to convince me that we just happened by accident.

This is the only thing that I feel can be proven, there is overwhelming evidence to support the idea that we have evolved, tracing it all the way back to the first unicellular life, eukaryotic cells, multicellular organisms, mammals, primates, apes and eventually man - there is evidence in the fossil record, embryology, physiological similarities that clearly demonstrate evolution in action for ALL living things, ourselves included.

We simply were not put on this earth as human beings and in a few million years we will be as different from what we are today as we currently are from our ancestors such as australopithecus and the chimpanzees. Anybody who spends the time to really look at a chimpanzee or bonobo and refuses to admit the connection is simply kidding themselves.

Of course none of this will come close to disproving the existence of "God" as, as has been stated, it can't be done - for me though it disproves "that" argument which religious types often cling on to.

Uhh... do you even know what my beliefs are? I am a believer of the Big Bang. My whole point is that how life was sustained here in such a perfect balance that I can't view it to be anything other than some higher being's design.

Look at it this way: Rome had a creator. Nobody's going to argue that the founder just spoke the city/empire into existence. It evolved into the mighty empire that it was. I'm saying that there's a higher power that basically did the same thing. Except, the higher power is, obviously, more powerful than us and KNEW what was going to happen.

Starbuzz:

You COMPLETELY missed my point. I'm not talking about good or bad fortune and being "worthy" if a higher power's grace. I'm talking about how these incidents were as such that I feel are more than consequences. You can either look at everything one of two ways: everything's a miracle or nothing's a miracle. You, obviously, believe in the latter.

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 15:18:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If life on earth is the result of a higher being's creation after the Big Bang, was that higher being also created by the Big Bang? If so, how was it created and why did it not need to be created--it surely is/was more complicated than the simple life that began on Earth? If not, does it really make any sense for something to have existed before (for lack of a better word) time and the physical universe?

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 15:39:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

THERE IS NO GOD!!!! (but there is luck)

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 15:44:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I dont believe in god, or anything else for that matter.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 15:51:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mrpirate wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 11:18 If life on earth is the result of a higher being's creation after the Big Bang, was that higher being also created by the Big Bang? If so, how was it created and why did it not need to be created--it surely is/was more complicated than the simple life that began on Earth? If not, does it really make any sense for something to have existed before (for lack of a better word) time and the physical universe?

^^ Love it.

I know that in some way you don't think our existance is an accident, I disagree with that completely and think it's complete 100% pure luck.

God surely didn't create a damn thing if all he did was blow up a big bomb and let everything do it's thing, in rome everything was designed each structure was created by humans following that design. I find arguments such as "it's too hard to comprehend" or "the odds are too slim" so therefor God must have done it to be laughable tbh - for me God is a way of people explaining things they don't understand, things that we never used to understand such as evolution God used to be responsible for I think the discovery of these sorts of things and the shift in beliefs of a lot of religious types shows, to me at least, evidence to reinforce my view.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 15:58:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a total waste of time even trying to answer this type of question, you will never do it, why start?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 16:17:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mrpirate wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 11:18 If life on earth is the result of a higher being's creation after the Big Bang, was that higher being also created by the Big Bang? If so, how was it created and why did it not need to be created--it surely is/was more complicated than the simple life that began on Earth? If not, does it really make any sense for something to have existed before (for lack of a better word) time and the physical universe?

To answer your post, I repost my response in the "are we alone?" thread:

my brilliant self you can't mock someone for believing in the opposite and not consider yourself to be a hypocrite because it comes down to the same principle belief. Something always existed. Whether it was the matter, the matter's creator, the matter's creator's creator, and so on... That's what's up for debate. It's, also, quite silly for people to be calling each other idiots because one believes in a creator for the matter, or just the matter.

For any of us to even attempt to claim that a god can't exist is laughable. If no god exists, where did the matter come from? If it always existed, how is that any less ludicrous than a god always existing?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 16:49:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think saying that "something always existed" isn't really correct since my understanding is that time was created with the Big Bang. What this means for whatever was "before" it I don't know.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 16:55:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mrpirate wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 12:49 I think saying that "something always existed" isn't really correct since my understanding is that time was created with the Big Bang. What this means for whatever was "before" it I don't know.

All the more reason to believe or concede that the possibility that our universe is a creation of some being. If everything was created in that instant then isn't it more logical that our existence, our universe is entertainment for some higher power?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 17:16:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nothing resembling our notion of consciousness or "creation" could exist without time.

---

EDIT: Unfortunately, I'm going home for Thanksgiving in an hour so I won't be able to continue this thread for a few days... hope it doesn't totally degenerate in that time.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 17:35:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mrpirate wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 13:16 Nothing resembling our notion of consciousness or "creation" could exist without time.

EDIT: Unfortunately, I'm going home for Thanksgiving in an hour so I won't be able to continue this thread for a few days... hope it doesn't totally degenerate in that time.  
So stop thinking about the higher being having our notion of consciousness, then. Just because we can't imagine that it exists doesn't mean that it can't exist.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 17:40:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Last post before I leave:

Being a materialist I have trouble seeing how any kind of consciousness could exist without some kind of time-dependent brain processes going on. Anyway, talking about what happened before the Big Bang is silly because anything you (or I) say is totally unfounded.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 19:07:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm religious, but I have a skewed way of looking at life.

It's said God made us in his image, right? Well humanity is friggin' lazy as hell.

So God created the Earth, but then he got bored, so he has us on some 'set-it-and-forget' scheme where we evolve, think for ourselves, etc. Humans love that kind of stuff, where we don't have to micromanage everything. So why should God?

I love my theory, because it ties in creationism, and evolution, which both have quite a few similarities.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 19:10:47 GMT

---



[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nikki6ixx wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 15:07 I'm religious, but I have a skewed way of looking at life.

It's said God made us in his image, right? Well humanity is friggin' lazy as hell.

So God created the Earth, but then he got bored, so he has us on some 'set-it-and-forget' scheme where we evolve, think for ourselves, etc. Humans love that kind of stuff, where we don't have to micromanage everything. So why should God?

I love my theory, because it ties in creationism, and evolution, which both have quite a few similarities.

Uhh, we were created in His image. God is a spirit. Our spirit is made in that image, not the laziness.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 00:53:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I find this thread amusing.

Mere mortals trying to explain the existance or non existance of a being who can fling a star with just his finger.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 00:58:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's just god.

Who actually gives a fuck? I don't care if he does/doesn't exist, he doesn't stop me from enjoying life, And hopefully the same goes for ya'll, So why argue about a question we all know the answers to?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 03:32:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, I agree with Ryu. We may all have different opinions and we have no idea what will happen after we die (and we have opinons on that too!).

Eitherway, what we do know is that our bodies will decay and perish after we die and I am not sure if we will get it back. And the world has many good things (like Renegade and Burger King



and F1 racing) and we should not fail to enjoy the life while we can.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Renerage](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 05:41:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

These Religious threads have been overdone a million times.  
Give it up, or revive the old threads.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 20:02:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Starbuzz wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 22:32  
Eitherway, what we do know is that our bodies will decay and perish after we die and I am not sure if we will get it back.

I thank our ancestors, and the dead dinosaurs for allowing me gas up my V8 trucks.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Matix101](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 20:04:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You thank them with \$\$ every time you do.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 20:36:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Matix101 wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 15:04You thank them with \$\$ every time you do.

You got a point. Not all the dinosaurs are dead.

Like the former CEO of Exxon for example.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 21:50:09 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Darkknight wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 17:53I find this thread amusing.

Mere mortals trying to explain the existance or non existance of a being who can fling a star with just his finger.

Can god create a rock that he can not lift?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 07:39:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Does God lift rocks?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 08:35:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

PlastoJoe wrote on Sun, 07 October 2007 02:39Does God lift rocks?

He simply orders it to life itself! ROFL

Let the imagination flow!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [puddle\\_splasher](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 13:15:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Renerage wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 00:41These Religious threads have been overdone a million times.

Give it up, or revive the old threads.

Glad to see that someone has the same idea as me.

Be original and not a fool. Start your own idea in a topic and not one that causes so much controversy. Your question cannot be answered truthfully as there is no right or wrong.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 14:23:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

puddle\_splasher wrote on Sun, 07 October 2007 09:15Reneger wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 00:41These Religious threads have been overdone a million times.  
Give it up, or revive the old threads.

Glad to see that someone has the same idea as me.

Be original and not a fool. Start your own idea in a topic and not one that causes so much controversy. Your question cannot be answered truthfully as there is no right or wrong. I would expect that an adult, especially of your age, would see things a little differently than that. Just because there's no "right or wrong" answer doesn't mean that it's pointless to debate.

Politics is a bunch of opinions that are neither right or wrong, but it's still debated... and for a reason.

Discussing these types of subjects only become pointless when it's just a bunch of thick-headed idiots claiming to hold the absolute truth on the matter.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 15:53:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Debates on theology need not be a trip down idiocy lane.

Ad Hominem should never be brought to the forefront of any discussion where intellect and reason is supposedly being used.

But I think that this is where these debates break down in places like this.

One cannot expect children who haven't experienced anything beyond themselves in the responsibility and critical thinking arenas to be able to logically reason in a non-confrontational way.

The measure of a man is in the way he interacts with and respects others. Not in his "correctness" or ability to "win" a discussion.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [The Elite Officer](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 15:55:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OMG you people have it all wrong! Just stop, just stop all of you....

## File Attachments

1) [arnoldnr3.jpg](#), downloaded 590 times



---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 16:03:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I remember why I stopped coming here now.

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [The Elite Officer](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 16:11:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 12:03I remember why I stopped coming here now.

And why is that?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 22:51:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Take a guess.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 22:53:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well it was right below your post, so...  
Just think about it. Your small and insignificant brain may eventually discover the answer.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 14:05:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 15:50Darkknight wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 17:53I find this thread amusing.

Mere mortals trying to explain the existance or non existance of a being who can fling a star with just his finger.

Can god create a rock that he can not lift?

Yes, he can. And?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [jimmyny](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 14:17:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

does god get pissed off if someone calls him a fucking retard?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 15:06:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jimmyny wrote on Tue, 09 October 2007 10:17does god get pissed off if someone calls him a fucking retard?  
That's like a 5 year old calling a 30 year old a child. It doesn't have the potential to anger you, just humor you.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [The Elite Officer](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 15:54:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cheesesoda wrote on Tue, 09 October 2007 11:06jimmyny wrote on Tue, 09 October 2007

10:17does god get pissed off if someone calls him a fucking retard?

That's like a 5 year old calling a 30 year old a child. It doesn't have the potential to anger you, just humor you.

This is true, and funny

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 23:26:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 11:03I remember why I stopped coming here now.  
cus gey n bible lover

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 23:52:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 16:50Darkknight wrote on Fri, 05 October 2007 17:53I  
find this thread amusing.

Mere mortals trying to explain the existance or non existance of a being who can fling a star with  
just his finger.

Can god create a rock that he can not lift?

Don't know don't care

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 10 Oct 2007 01:31:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 16:50

Can god create a rock that he can not lift?

Yes, it's called Rocko

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 04:27:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jecht wrote on Tue, 09 October 2007 20:31Crimson wrote on Sat, 06 October 2007 16:50

Can god create a rock that he can not lift?

Yes, it's called Rocko  
no it's your mom

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 14:40:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 11:03

I remember why I stopped coming here now.

cus gey n bible lover

No silly.

It's because you and your elite grammar skills represent the higher end of the intelligence quotient here.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Renerage](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 14:54:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No,  
It's beacuse the state of these forums is atrocious.  
I'm on multiple forums, And I've never seem forums with more spam then this one.  
Better moderation is needed.  
And stricter rules.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 18:21:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---



The blame is on the people posting, not on the moderators.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 22:25:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 09:40Rocko

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 11:03

I remember why I stopped coming here now.

cus gey n bible lover

No silly.

It's because you and your elite grammar skills represent the higher end of the intelligence quotient here.

care more about grammar geek.

criticize my purposely bad grammar more and it still won't make you any less of a tool. go read a bible faggot.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 23:10:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So it's not that you're ignorant, you're just stupid...

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 23:50:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jecht wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 18:10So it's not that you're ignorant, you're just stupid...  
In the face of every coward burns a straw dog.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 00:32:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 18:50Jecht wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 18:10So it's not that you're ignorant, you're just stupid...  
In the face of every coward burns a straw dog.

Well that about says it all

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 02:29:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 17:25KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 09:40Rocko

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 11:03

I remember why I stopped coming here now.

cus gey n bible lover

No silly.

It's because you and your elite grammar skills represent the higher end of the intelligence quotient here.  
care more about grammar geek.

criticize my purposely bad grammar more and it still won't make you any less of a tool. go read a bible faggot.

Remember wise young scholar: Homosexuals will not inherit the kingdom of God. So clearly your two oh-so-well thought out comments are mutually exclusive.

Do you own a banjo by any chance?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 02:50:09 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 21:29

Do you own a banjo by any chance?

That just killed me.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 02:57:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 02:59:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nah... more like this.

Man, that kid could play.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 04:48:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 21:29Rocko wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007  
17:25KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 09:40Rocko

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 11:03

I remember why I stopped coming here now.

cus gey n bible lover

No silly.

It's because you and your elite grammar skills represent the higher end of the intelligence quotient here.

care more about grammar geek.

criticize my purposely bad grammar more and it still won't make you any less of a tool. go read a bible faggot.

Remember wise young scholar: Homosexuals will not inherit the kingdom of God. So clearly your two oh-so-well thought out comments are mutually exclusive.

Do you own a banjo by any chance?

i own many.

also gj with the intolerance of homos

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 14:29:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 23:48KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 21:29Rocko wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 17:25KIRBY-098 wrote on Thu, 11 October 2007 09:40Rocko

KIRBY-098 wrote on Mon, 08 October 2007 11:03

I remember why I stopped coming here now.

cus gey n bible lover

No silly.

It's because you and your elite grammar skills represent the higher end of the intelligence quotient here.

care more about grammar geek.

criticize my purposely bad grammar more and it still won't make you any less of a tool. go read a bible faggot.

Remember wise young scholar: Homosexuals will not inherit the kingdom of God. So clearly your two oh-so-well thought out comments are mutually exclusive.

Do you own a banjo by any chance?

i own many.

also gj with the intolerance of homos

That's an ironic comment coming from a kid who cannot even give them enough respect to stop calling them "homos".

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 15:34:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He just keeps owning himself. What a sore monkey.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:04:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY-098 wrote on Fri, 12 October 2007 09:29  
That's an ironic comment coming from a kid who cannot even give them enough respect to stop calling them "homos".

Don't forget faggot!

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 18:47:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Maybe Rocko shouldn't be allowed to post in the Hot Topics subforum?

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God  
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 20:24:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Fri, 12 October 2007 13:47 Maybe Rocko shouldn't be allowed to post in the Hot Topics subforum?

He should be banned from the forums TBH. Useless and worthless is what he is.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [KIRBY-098](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 20:47:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko in the heated discussion forum is like giving a pyromaniac a lighter in a chemical plant and saying: "You be good now!"

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 00:26:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Fri, 12 October 2007 13:47 Maybe Rocko shouldn't be allowed to post in the Hot Topics subforum?

Not really necessary. Some of my nonsense posts add about as much as these other people do even when they're trying to be serious.

KIRBY-098 wrote on Fri, 12 October 2007 15:47 Rocko in the heated discussion forum is like giving a pyromaniac a lighter in a chemical plant and saying: "You be good now!"  
you try too hard.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 04:45:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, calling someone a faggot, as everyone knows, adds so much to an intelligent discussion.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 20:41:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko needs to evolve more from monkey to human.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 20:43:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Starbuzz wrote on Sat, 13 October 2007 15:41 Rocko needs to evolve more from monkey to

---

human.

I'd edit that, really, really fast, if I were you.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 20:58:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why? Because you choose to see it in a racial and negative tone?

I did not so the statement stands.

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 01:45:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

are you calling me a monkey because im black??? wtf

---

---

Subject: Re: Religious - God

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 04:02:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rocko wrote on Mon, 15 October 2007 20:45are you calling me a monkey because im black??? wtf

Read my last post above.

---