
Subject: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [saraa](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 17:30:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You will have a party once you come and after that you need to have a rest as you will have apcked day after

Then you take your positions as per experience

on the air

on the ground

or inside base for logistic support

You must be ready to receive stinger rockets

on the ground you will have more troubles
you should be ready always for the mines & RPG

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [saraa](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 17:34:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

maybe you will be catch

for back home will be as per the

following:

Injured & Disabled
[/ALIGN]

or by Coffins covered by USA flag

What beautiful backing home !!!!

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 20:12:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 20:22:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

saraa wrote on Thu, 23 November 2006 11:30 You will have a party once you come

LMAO, every wank is a party, whoopee doooooooo

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 21:25:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

are you on drugs or something what kind of sick topic is this?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 21:49:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

look at the flag

he comes from Kuwait, which is close to Iran/Iraq

he registered just to post this, need i say more?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Fri, 24 Nov 2006 01:31:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can see that, but...why?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Fri, 24 Nov 2006 02:04:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, it's Al-Qaeda Online! They've finally made it to the Renegade forums.

saraa wrote on Thu, 23 November 2006 11:34[
maybe you will be catch

LMAO

This picture = G.I. Joe

Propaganda count + 10

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Fri, 24 Nov 2006 05:53:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ban that fucking terroist loving bastard then share his IP to all.

I dare you to show them cards to a Military member in the face, then hiding behide a computer like a fucking coward you are.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Fri, 24 Nov 2006 17:58:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, I'm a U.S. military veteren and I have a couple of things to say to you:

- (1) We saved your ass from the Iraqis years ago you ungreatful bastard.
 - (2) I would throw myself in any of those pictures ... including the ones of death and destruction. Because liberating nations from psychopaths and terrorists like you is the right thing to do. I wouldn't expect you to understand.
 - (3) Kinda funny that you showed a handful of images of casualties, yet you mention nothing of our victories in the middle east. We liberated you in a matter of weeks. We took over Afghanistan and Iraq in a matter of months. I'll bet your pro faction could do that.
 - (4) Edit: I decided not to post this because it could be portrayed as racist. -_-
 - (5) I'll bet after you made this post you went to McDonalds with your friends and had a cheeseburger.
-

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:28:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Fri, 24 November 2006 12:58Hi, I'm a U.S. military veteren and I have a couple of things to say to you:

Wouldn't a veteran be able to spell veteran correctly?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Sat, 25 Nov 2006 01:38:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Veterans fought for their country.

Veterens believe they did.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Sat, 25 Nov 2006 01:44:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And Allah Akbar.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sat, 25 Nov 2006 05:31:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Unless you type as much as I do in a day and you made a mistake.

Anyone who has any doubts on the validity of my service can ask Crimson to verify. She knows a lot of personal things about me as well as a few other "technical proofs" that I'd rather not get into right now. And if that wasn't enough I'd even send her a copy of my DD214. I was honorably discharged with eligibility for the VFW. I have put my life on the line in a combat zone, and I am offended that a fellow American would think that I made that up.

PS, I also forgot the apostrophe in "McDonald's" - so I apologize for my lack of a perfect post.

Quote:

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sat, 25 Nov 2006 22:19:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry fellow veeteeeeeereen.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:05:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What is USSA? That is the location specified in you profile.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [runewood](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:10:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He should /spit on you people for treating him badly. He fought the good fight which is more then we can say for any of you people.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:33:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm sure there are other veterans in this community. Unfortunately I'm taking heat because I often

have poor spelling. -_-

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 20:56:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He is right. There are other veterans in this community and they have received far greater respect and maturity than this. Please stop acting immature guys.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 23:45:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Veteran != auto-respect.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 00:10:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

runewood wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 00:10He fought the good fight

Lawl.

fl00d3d wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 00:05What is USSA? That is the location specified in your profile.

A parody of the USA. Think USSR.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 00:33:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 18:45Veteran != auto-respect.

But apparently it is auto-disrespect.

I would also give more respect by default to any honorably discharged military veteran than a civilian. That is not to say the person is more honorably or to be respected more - but it is a good quick assessment. To be honest I think you have it out for me from previous arguments (which is fine, everyone has their opinions). I just think it was very disrespectful what you did.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 00:39:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just think you're a fucking moron. Nothing personal.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 00:44:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Give me a reason and I'll consider the possibility you may not be ignorant.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 00:48:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d, in a fit of severe retardation, wroteveteren

This and this.

Please evaluate my ignorance and post your scientific conclusion below.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 02:49:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 19:33I would also give more respect by default to any honorably discharged military veteran than a civilian. That is not to say the person is more honorable or to be respected more - but it is a good quick assessment.

You really think so? O_o

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 03:25:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Fri, 24 November 2006 23:31Unless you type as much as I do in a day and you made a mistake.

Anyone who has any doubts on the validity of my service can ask Crimson to verify. She knows a lot of personal things about me as well as a few other "technical proofs" that I'd rather not get into

right now. And if that wasn't enough I'd even send her a copy of my DD214. I was honorably discharged with eligibility for the VFW. I have put my life on the line in a combat zone, and I am offended that a fellow American would think that I made that up.

PS, I also forgot the apostrophe in "McDonald's" - so I apologize for my lack of a perfect post.

Quote:

Thank you for your time in our military. I honestly appreciate it.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 03:46:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doesn't your tax money say that?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 04:21:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think we're losing track of who is actually the ass tard in this thread. That would be the person who started it.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 05:22:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah. He has no right to bash the Army for an asinine move taken by our leaders -- that's like blaming models for being too skinny.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 05:28:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think that was the best topic rebound I've ever seen.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 05:37:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310 wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 00:22Yeah. He has no right to bash the Army for an asinine move taken by our leaders -- that's like blaming models for being too skinny.

Uh, the Army and the model both have control over their actions. Bashing them in both cases is perfectly acceptable.

icedog90 wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 00:28I think that was the best topic rebound I've ever seen.

Roofgnomes?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 06:20:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 00:37z310 wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 00:22Yeah. He has no right to bash the Army for an asinine move taken by our leaders -- that's like blaming models for being too skinny.

Uh, the Army and the model both have control over their actions. Bashing them in both cases is perfectly acceptable.

So you're saying it is acceptable to bash soldiers of the Army for not rebelling on their orders to fight in the War on Terrorism? Oh c'mon, few think the consequences are worth it. If you were in the Army, would you rebel? The only real way for them to go is a consensus. But that won't happen -- we both know it.

As for the models, money v. making a political standpoint that will do virtually nothing because the heads will just bring in some new models from a third-world country, who you can't ethically blame, to silence the opposition. Hrmm.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 07:13:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310 wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 01:20So you're saying it is acceptable to bash soldiers of the Army for not rebelling on their orders to fight in the War on Terrorism? Oh c'mon, few think the consequences are worth it. If you were in the Army, would you rebel? The only real way for them to go is a consensus. But that won't happen -- we both know it.

As for the models, money v. making a political standpoint that will do virtually nothing because the heads will just bring in some new models from a third-world country, who you can't ethically blame, to silence the opposition. Hrmm.

No, I'm saying you have a really shitty analogy and in both cases it's up to the individual.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 13:35:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

shrugs

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 20:31:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 19:48:fl00d3d, in a fit of severe retardation, wrote:veteren

This and this.

Please evaluate my ignorance and post your scientific conclusion below.

Rather than me throwing my "obvious bias" into a response, why don't you ask anyone else who cares to read those two posts read through the discussion in its entirety.

You are suggesting that:

- (1) A misspelling is a 'fit of severe retardation'
- (2) I am a 'fucking moron' because I was involved in two threads that were against people of low character.

You are the 'fucking moron' for calling someone who makes a simple misspelling error a 'severe retard'. No other way to look at it. And I think anyone in the entire community with half a brain would agree.

Also, both of those threads were regarding cheaters and thieves of which I do not tolerate. I refused to just "give up and accept it" like a few people suggested because I know what is right and I refuse to give in. Maybe some day you'll learn more about courage.

You're starting to sound like another character around these forums.....oh, what was his name? AIRCRAFTKILLER????!

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 20:59:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Also, both of those threads were regarding cheaters and thieves of which I do not tolerate. I refused to just "give up and accept it" like a few people suggested because I know what is right

and I refuse to give in. Maybe some day you'll learn more about courage.

HURR ARGUE WITH 16-YEAR-OLD KIDS. DON'T BACK DOWN. I SALUTE YOU VETEREN.

Quote:You're starting to sound like another character around these forums.....oh, what was his name? AIRCRAFTKILLER????!

Hahahahaaaaaaaahaha.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 21:17:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 15:59Quote:Also, both of those threads were regarding cheaters and thieves of which I do not tolerate. I refused to just "give up and accept it" like a few people suggested because I know what is right and I refuse to give in. Maybe some day you'll learn more about courage.

HURR ARGUE WITH 16-YEAR-OLD KIDS. DON'T BACK DOWN. I SALUTE YOU VETEREN.
Oh, give me a fucking break, Ty. You bitch about people attacking others for no other reason than disliking them, yet you do the same thing. Quit being a hypocrite. Don't respond with your "hurr internet serious biznez" shit. That just makes you even more of a hypocrite.

Yes, veteran = auto-respect. The person may not be respectable, but their commitment and sacrifice sure is. For that alone I give them respect, whether or not I agree with them or think they're an idiot.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 21:19:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Respect the position, not the man maybe?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 21:50:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

To be completely honest I've served with a lot of idiots, morons, and people with "less than adequate character" (in my opinion) but they still managed to get out "honorably" somehow. Go ahead and try to use that against me and see if I care.

I got off my ass at the age of 18 (17 when I signed) and did something for my nation. I felt it was the right thing to do. I don't want to turn this thread into a debate on whether joining the military is the right thing to do for everyone - but anyone that has joined any military service can tell you that

it takes a little bit of testicular fortitude to sign your life away.

This thread was about an ignoramus saying foolish things - and when I stood my ground here comes xptek to save the day with his backwards truths. He's just as ignorant as the topic starter in many regards.

XPTEK: Are you a military veteran yourself? You've said "to a fellow veteran" so I'd like to know. Because to be honest I have NEVER run across a fellow veteran who was as disrespectful to his brother in arms as you ... so I'm starting to think you're full of shit.

Further, I would still like to know how I'm a 'fucking moron' for standing up to some punk 15 (not even 16yrs old yet) kid who tried to steal from my business and from my community. How does that make me a 'fucking moron'? He was adult enough to put up his own site and run his own servers ... so if he wants to play like the big boys, let him be one of the big boys. Not to mention Justin Schultz (sm0ke) is well over 18 with a wife and kids. You must be one of those PUSSIES in life who just watches when bad things happen then blame other people. Well let me remind you of something child, "Karma is a bitch" and I hope that God spansks the fuck out of you someday for being a twat.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 23:47:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f100d3dXPTEK: Are you a military veteran yourself? You've said "to a fellow veteran" so I'd like to know. Because to be honest I have NEVER run across a fellow veteran who was as disrespectful to his brother in arms as you ... so I'm starting to think you're full of shit.
The arguing with a 16 year-old comment is talking about you arguing with HIM. HE is 16. Obviously, he hasn't served in the military.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [f100d3d](#) on Mon, 27 Nov 2006 23:52:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't know that. It makes more sense now.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [U927](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 04:11:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Any suggestions/warnings about Basic Training for an Air Force puke? (I ship to Basic on March 6th)

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 04:34:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:19 Respect the position, not the man maybe?

Holy shit. One person on this forum understands this concept.

f100d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50 This thread was about an ignoramus saying foolish things - and when I stood my ground here comes xptek to save the day with his backwards truths. He's just as ignorant as the topic starter in many regards.

Please elaborate. I'm not seeing how I'm "ignorant." I refuse to automatically put veterans on a pedestal simply because they did their job. Big fucking deal.

f100d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50 XPTEK: Are you a military veteren yourself? You've said "to a fellow veteran" so I'd like to know. Because to be honest I have NEVER run across a fellow veteran who was as disrespectful to his brother in arms as you ... so I'm starting to think you're full of shit.

lol

f100d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50 Further, I would still like to know how I'm a 'fucking moron' for standing up to some punk 15 (not even 16yrs old yet) kid who tried to steal from my business and from my community. How does that make me a 'fucking moron'? He was adult enough to put up his own site and run his own servers ... so if he wants to play like the big boys, let him be one of the big boys. Not to mention Justin Schultz (sm0ke) is well over 18 with a wife and kids. You must be one of those PUSSIES in life who just watches when bad things happen then blame other people.

Step one: You are old.

Step two: You interact with children over a text-based medium.

Step three: You make important deal with children over a dead game.

Step four: You fight with children over a text-based medium.

Step five: You become fucking moron.

Step six: Aforementioned gaming children laugh at you for being a fucking moron.

Step seven: You fight with children over a text-based medium.

Step eight: Cycle repeats. f100d3d rage ensues.

f100d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50 Well let me remind you of something child, "Karma is a bitch" and I hope that God spansks the fuck out of you someday for being a twat.

What about all the starving Ethiopian children?

Venetian Snares - Duffy

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 14:42:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310 wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 21:46Doesn't your tax money say that?

no

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 18:51:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

U927 wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 22:11Any suggestions/warnings about Basic Training for an Air Force puke? (I ship to Basic on March 6th)

Well I have yet to go to basic(I am in my first year with Army ROTC at my school) but from watching the Air Force ROTC cadets do their PT session(which is in the afternoon...which infuriates me since the Army's is at 6:30 AM) that it is not as strenuous as ours. So I would expect the same about basic, hard but not as hard as the army or marines. I am guessing the hard part is after basic where you have to learn all the technical details of your job.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 19:32:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That is why 30% of the Air Force PT test is a waste measurement score. For males, if you have less than, I think, a 32 inch waste you get the full 30 points.

The reason is that the Air Force is mainly technical jobs now and they don't want to get rid of smart people just because they can't run a 6 minute mile. Of course PJ, CCT, SF, etc require much higher physical fitness levels to join up.

AFROTC right here.

edit* We have 4 PT sessions a week. Wed and Fri at 7am, 5:30 on test days, and Tues and Thursday at 3:30pm. I can only go to the ones on Wed and Fri because I have a class during the other ones. And for some people it is the opposite and they hold their own PT session at 6am Wed or Fri.

We need to make at least 2 per week at if we have less than 80% attendance over the semester we fail and can never go back into ROTC.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 21:45:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Waste?

They measure your shit?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Tue, 28 Nov 2006 23:15:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm tired, unlike you, I'm actually doing something with my life.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 01:24:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 23:34Crimson wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:19Respect the position, not the man maybe?

Holy shit. One person on this forum understands this concept.

fl00d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50This thread was about an ignoramus saying foolish things - and when I stood my ground here comes xptek to save the day with his backwards truths. He's just as ignorant as the topic starter in many regards.

Please elaborate. I'm not seeing how I'm "ignorant." I refuse to automatically put veterans on a pedestal simply because they did their job. Big fucking deal.

fl00d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50XPTEK: Are you a military veteren yourself? You've said "to a fellow veteran" so I'd like to know. Because to be honest I have NEVER run across a fellow veteran who was as disrespectful to his brother in arms as you ... so I'm starting to think you're full of shit.

lol

fl00d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50Further, I would still like to know how I'm a 'fucking moron' for standing up to some punk 15 (not even 16yrs old yet) kid who tried to steal from my business and from my community. How does that make me a 'fucking moron'? He was adult enough to put up his own site and run his own servers ... so if he wants to play like the big boys, let him be one of the big boys. Not to mention Justin Schultz (sm0ke) is well over 18 with a wife and kids. You must be one of those PUSSIES in life who just watches when bad things happen then blame other people.

Step one: You are old.

Step two: You interact with children over a text-based medium.

Step three: You make important deal with children over a dead game.

Step four: You fight with children over a text-based medium.

Step five: You become fucking moron.

Step six: Aforementioned gaming children laugh at you for being a fucking moron.

Step seven: You fight with children over a text-based medium.

Step eight: Cycle repeats. fl00d3d rage ensues.

fl00d3d wrote on Mon, 27 November 2006 16:50Well let me remind you of something child, "Karma is a bitch" and I hope that God spansks the fuck out of you someday for being a twat.

What about all the starving Ethiopian children?

Venetian Snares - Duffy

xptek the reason you can say "big fucking deal" is because of veterans. Spend a week in an authoritarian government and see how you hold up. You'll be begging for veterAns like ME to come liberate you. Downplaying self sacrifice to protect your renegadeforums.com post is proof of your ignorance.

In review of your 8 step program I found the following 8 errors:

(1) I am not old. Perhaps in relation to your 1-sprouted curly self?

(2) I interact with many people over a text-based medium. As do you. So.....where were you going with this one? Oh wait, nowhere.

(3) If this game was so dead and unimportant why do YOU waste your breath arguing with "useless people like me"?

(4) <See error in #2>

(5) This has not be proven and I have the respect of MANY more people than you do here. I guess that confirms that you are, in fact, the 'fucking moron'?

(6) There are no children laughing at me. Maybe you? But I do not count you for anything because I've never heard an intelligent word out of your mouth.

(7) <See error #2 and #4>

(I kept my cool and continue to make you look like a fool. Better luck next time.

GAME OVER

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army

Posted by [z310](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 03:23:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 09:42z310 wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 21:46Doesn't your tax money say that?

no

Why doesn't it? Because people who don't support the troops pay them too? Point taken. *shrugs*

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 04:34:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24xptek the reason you can say "big fucking deal" is because of veterans.

Hahahaha. Yeah. Vietnam could have invaded us or something.

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24(1) I am not old. Perhaps in relation to your 1-sprouted curly self?

If you're over 30 you're decrepit and rotting from the inside, sir.

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24(2) I interact with many people over a text-based medium. As do you. So.....where were you going with this one? Oh wait, nowhere.

See "You interact with children."

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24"useless people like me"?

Why are you fabricating quotes?

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24(5) This has not be proven and I have the respect of MANY more people than you do here. I guess that confirms that you are, in fact, the 'fucking moron'?

How?

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24(6) There are no children laughing at me.

<Fat Chance, The Donut Finder> HahahaahahahAHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHA.

^ Child.

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:24 I kept my cool and continue to make you look like a fool. Better luck next time.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [f100d3d](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 04:38:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am not over 30 years of age.

I think that is the only thing I need to clarify because the rest of your counter arguments were kinda sad. I don't think there is anyone here that agrees with you, so save yourself some face time and quietly retreat.

Accept the fact that you are young and naive and do not know what you are talking about in this discussion. At that point I will have a little more respect for you.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 04:43:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 23:38I am not over 30 years of age.

Uh, which war were you in?

The Gulf War ended in 1991. The minimum age you can join the armed forces at is 18. This puts you at a minimum age of 33.

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 23:38... think that is the only thing I need to clarify because the rest of your counter arguments were kinda sad. I don't think there is anyone here that agrees with you, so save yourself some face time and quietly retreat.

You should respond to them instead of responding with a very fallacious statement. If they're so sad it shouldn't be hard to address them.

f100d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 23:38Accept the fact that you are young and naive and do not know what you are talking about in this discussion. At that point I will have a little more respect for you.

See above!

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 06:18:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310 wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 20:23gbull wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 09:42z310 wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 21:46Doesn't your tax money say that?

no
Why doesn't it? Because people who don't support the troops pay them too? Point taken. *shrugs*

Yeah, GBull is right. Tax money is something that we are forced to pay anyway.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:10:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310 wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 21:23gbull wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 09:42z310 wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 21:46Doesn't your tax money say that?

no
Why doesn't it? Because people who don't support the troops pay them too? Point taken. *shrugs*

Simply handing someone money doesn't mean you appreciate the work they've done for you. I doubt the soldiers of Vietnam felt appreciated when they came home to a rabble of degenerates of whom took it upon themselves to spit and curse at them. Just because those soldiers got paid doesn't mean they felt as if their work was appreciated. I hold a soldier in higher regard than even myself when I think of respect, and the reason for that is he/she takes a chance of sacrificing everything for his/her country.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 13:38:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 23:43fl00d3d wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 23:38l am not over 30 years of age.

Uh, which war were you in?

The Gulf War ended in 1991. The minimum age you can join the armed forces at is 18. This puts you at a minimum age of 33.
Hint: The war we've been in for nearly 4 years...

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:41:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The US is always in some sort of war. Though to be considered a "veterAn of foreign war" you have to earn one of a few select medals or ribbons. I have earned such an award. I spent close to 6 months in the Persian Gulf working 18+ hour days for the duration of that period. Weekends included.

What have you accomplished with your life, xptek? You seem to know everything and have a world of experience behind you. And you feel you're wise enough to take on someone that actually knows what they're talking about. So tell me: what is it that you have experienced that I have not? I'd really love to hear your stories.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:34:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[quote title=f100d3d wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 09:41]The US is always in some sort of war. Though to be considered a "veterAn of foreign war" you have to earn one of a few select medals or ribbons. I have earned such an award. I spent close to 6 months in the Persian Gulf working 18+ hour days for the duration of that period. Weekends included.
quote]

Sorry to come in at the end of all this.

Is it true that the American Army receive a Northern Ireland medal, if they fly below 2000feet and above Northern Ireland,UK? Its true.

Like wise a medal for having the best polished boots? Its true.

A medal for having the best, bed-box in the billet? Its true.

Medals for the shiniest dinner set in the field? True.

It seems the Americans get medals for nothing and if you dare to reply to the above statement in the negative, then you my friend are certainly NOT serving in the US Military.

I have did my time in the British Army and WE laugh at the stupidest things that YOU can receive a medal for in the American Army.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:41:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f100d3d wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 09:41The US is always in some sort of war. Though to be considered a "veterAn of foreign war" you have to earn one of a few select medals or ribbons. I have earned such an award. I spent close to 6 months in the Persian Gulf working 18+ hour days for the duration of that period. Weekends included.

The American Army gets a medal for flying over Northern Ireland, UK, if they dip below 2000 feet as its considered a war zone.

Medals for having the shiniest boots, best bed box, shiniest field eating kit. Its all true.

Dont even consider attempting to deny the above or you my friend are most certainly NOT a serving member of the US Military.

Ive served in the British Army and we laugh at the stupidest things that the US Military gives its troops a medal for.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are they official medals, or are they simply awards?

I do know that in Cadets (slightly different, I know) you could get things for perfect attendance at all parades, top drill, etc. All those did were increase morale. Some were pins, some were trophies and plaques.

The point being, is that they were simply awards, not actual medals to wear honourably over your heart.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 18:20:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

puddle_splasher wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 12:41f100d3d wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 09:41The US is always in some sort of war. Though to be considered a "veterAn of foreign war" you have to earn one of a few select medals or ribbons. I have earned such an award. I spent close to 6 months in the Persian Gulf working 18+ hour days for the duration of that period. Weekends included.

The American Army gets a medal for flying over Northern Ireland, UK, if they dip below 2000 feet as its considered a war zone.

Medals for having the shiniest boots, best bed box, shiniest field eating kit. Its all true.

Dont even consider attempting to deny the above or you my friend are most certainly NOT a serving member of the US Military.

Ive served in the British Army and we laugh at the stupidest things that the US Military gives its troops a medal for.

Actually, no...we don't.

<http://www.defenselink.mil/specials/ribbons/>

Also, the Air Force no longer has the good conduct medal.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 18:40:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I will make it very easy for you.

Google US Military Medals.

A medal for every country served in that is considered a war zone. Dip below 2000 feet from the the US en-route to Europe and you can easily pick up 6 medals. Thats only for being in that countries airspace.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 19:21:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

puddle_splasher wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 19:40I will make it very easy for you.

Google US Military Medals.

A medal for every country served in that is considered a war zone. Dip below 2000 feet from the the US en-route to Europe and you can easily pick up 6 medals. Thats only for being in that countries airspace.

Could I get a actual link please? I don't want to sift through 1,830,000 results when you can just post a link.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 20:13:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And Google > pentagon.mil

LOL

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 21:32:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

puddle_splasher wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 13:40I will make it very easy for you.

Google US Military Medals.

A medal for every country served in that is considered a war zone. Dip below 2000 feet from the the US en-route to Europe and you can easily pick up 6 medals. Thats only for being in that countries airspace.

<http://www.defenselink.mil/specials/ribbons/>

I already made it easy for you when I posted this link.

How do you expect pilots to get any medals? Did pilots not serve in every major war just because they didn't crash?

And no, that is wrong.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [z310](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 23:37:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 05:10z310 wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 21:23gbull wrote on Tue, 28 November 2006 09:42z310 wrote on Sun, 26 November 2006 21:46Doesn't your tax money say that?

no
Why doesn't it? Because people who don't support the troops pay them too? Point taken. *shrugs*

Simply handing someone money doesn't mean you appreciate the work they've done for you. I doubt the soldiers of Vietnam felt appreciated when they came home to a rabble of degenerates of whom took it upon themselves to spit and curse at them. Just because those soldiers got paid doesn't mean they felt as if their work was appreciated. I hold a soldier in higher regard than even myself when I think of respect, and the reason for that is he/she takes a chance of sacrificing everything for his/her country.

Yeah. I realized that right after you said no. I was just being an idiot. Notice my message toward your "no."

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 00:43:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ah, my mistake.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 02:32:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 10:41 What have you accomplished with your life, xptek? You seem to know everything and have a world of experience behind you. And you feel you're wise enough to take on someone that actually knows what they're talking about. So tell me: what is it that you have experienced that I have not? I'd really love to hear your stories.

I tripped on acid for 72 hours straight and nobody but my best friend knew.

Also stole medals from veteran and am now a veteran.

Quote: Simply handing someone money doesn't mean you appreciate the work they've done for you. I doubt the soldiers of Vietnam felt appreciated when they came home to a rabble of degenerates of whom took it upon themselves to spit and curse at them. Just because those soldiers got paid doesn't mean they felt as if their work was appreciated. I hold a soldier in higher regard than even myself when I think of respect, and the reason for that is he/she takes a chance of sacrificing everything for his/her country.

I should clarify: I have nothing against veterans, but I don't let the status cloud my judgement when people are being complete morons.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 04:44:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

puddle_splasher wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 12:34 fl00d3d wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 09:41 The US is always in some sort of war. Though to be considered a "veterAn of foreign war" you have to earn one of a few select medals or ribbons. I have earned such an award. I spent close to 6 months in the Persian Gulf working 18+ hour days for the duration of that period. Weekends included.

Sorry to come in at the end of all this.

Is it true that the American Army receive a Northern Ireland medal, if they fly below 2000 feet and above Northern Ireland, UK? Its true.

Like wise a medal for having the best polished boots? Its true.

A medal for having the best, bed-box in the billet? Its true.

Medals for the shiniest dinner set in the field? True.

It seems the Americans get medals for nothing and if you dare to reply to the above statement in the negative, then you my friend are certainly NOT serving in the US Military.

I have did my time in the British Army and WE laugh at the stupidest things that YOU can receive a medal for in the American Army.

News to me. I've worked with foreign officers of your military and others - and never once did they laugh about how our military is run. We run an extremely efficient military so obviously we're doing something right. I'm pretty sure we did a NATO exercise as well teaching your navy how to stay afloat for more than a month at a time - and spain how to use an aircraft carrier.

Also I wasn't in the Army, I was in the Navy (CTM/Intel/Comms) and I HIGHLY doubt that half of the things you listed earn a medal. Perhaps you can point out which ribbons they get from this ribbon/medal listing? <http://www.tioh.hqda.pentagon.mil/Awards/Ribbons/OrderofPrecedence.htm> Because I do not see any such ribbons or medals for polishing boots or silverware.

I'd also like to point out that in my post I said that only a few medals earn you the right to be eligible for the post-service veterans group known as the VFW (Veterans of Foreign Wars). (Reference: <https://www.vfw.org/index.cfm?fa=mbr.levele&eid=189>) I received such an award (Armed Forces Expeditionary) for my service in the Persian Gulf.

UNIT awards are awarded to units that are in what is to be considered a "combat zone" (where they receive special additional combat pay as well as 'tax free' pay). Some awards such as "National Defense Ribbon" are awarded to all active duty military that serve during peak war periods or are deployed for extended periods of time.

Warranto: You asked about my ribbons. My DD214 only listed 6, I believe; but I know that I earned 8 -- which is what I actually wore on my dress uniform under the approval of my chain of command. They were (in no particular order):

- Joint Service Achievement Medal (earned @ NSA) - personal award
- Navy "E" Ribbon (Battle E) (Earned on CG-60)
- Good Conduct Metal (Earned @ NSA) - personal award
- Armed Forced Expeditionary Award (Earned on CG-60)
- National Defense Service Ribbon (Earned on CG-60)
- Sea Service Ribbon (Earned on CG-60) - personal award
- Joint Meritorious Unit Award (Earned @ NSA)
- Navy Unit Commendation (Earned on CG-60)

Some "ribbons" (the colorful items shown on your chest) are considered medals and when you're in full dess uniform you wear the "medal version" of the ribbon on the opposite side of the ribbons. But normally they're shown off in ribbon-form. I hope that clears up any questions.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 13:35:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I ask again that you Google, "US Military Medals".

There are medals for being in just about every country.

As regards teaching the "Brits" a lesson. You are probably correct but at least our Marines, Paras + Special Forces are not all bulked up with muscle and cant "TAB".

They are the ones that will show "The US" what can be done.

Anyway go on, check out the website.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 13:58:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Heh, I didn't actually ask about your medals, specifically, I was wondering if puddle_splasher was misinterpreting moral-boosting awards for actual medals.

puddle_splasher, I went ahead and googled "US Military Medals", and came up with this link (I can only assume you meant the first link): <http://members.aol.com/veterans/warlib6h.htm>

I see nothing about having the best boots, or flying over a country as requirements for a medal. Admittedly, I clicked on random ones, but all required some stay of usually 30 consecutive days or longer.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 15:19:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

puddle_splasher wrote on Thu, 30 November 2006 07:35 I ask again that you Google, "US Military Medals".

There are medals for being in just about every country.

As regards teaching the "Brits" a lesson. You are probably correct but at least our Marines, Paras + Special Forces are not all bulked up with muscle and cant "TAB".

They are the ones that will show "The US" what can be done.

Anyway go on, check out the website.

Why can't you just post a link to the website that proves your assumptions to be true? Everyone

who claims you are wrong has posted a link proving they were correct. Enough of the bullshit we shouldn't have to "google" anything...the burden of proof is on you.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 15:55:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Proof of burden is on no one.

Im stating what I was told. Yes we thought it was a leg puller also.

But the Northern Ireland one appears to be a campaign medal.

Proof? When were the sites last updated?

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 16:04:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The burden of proof is on you.

YOU were the one stating it was fact. Now prove it.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 18:13:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't ever ask a US sailor to defend a US marine (rofl). But seriously, in case you didn't know, the only thing that the US and UK share are some special forces training. I am grateful for the alliance that our nations have and I don't want to make an argument out of something like this about who is better. I have my opinions and you have yours. I'm trying to be the bigger person here and prove you wrong where you were wrong and leave it at that. Why argue over who has a better military? It's probably not an argument you want to get into. And I'd have a hard time fighting for my side because I'd be accused of being biased.

This thread was created by an idiot. He was proven wrong and made to look like a fool. It was then followed up by someone disrespecting a veteran - and that has been silenced. I wouldn't take this thread any further. All points have been made in my opinion.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 18:22:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Besides, everyone knows that Canada's JTF2 is the far superior special forces team.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 18:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh Canada

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 19:08:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

New life achievement: I learned my polycythemia isn't going to advance to leukemia so that's pretty cool.

Pretty ribbons btw.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Thu, 30 Nov 2006 20:11:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Don't ever ask a US sailor to defend a US marine (rofl).

Adopt a Marine, rofl.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:23:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 20:32I tripped on acid for 72 hours straight and nobody but my best friend knew
That doesn't surprise me.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 04:32:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 01 December 2006 03:23xptek wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 20:32I tripped on acid for 72 hours straight and nobody but my best friend knew

That doesn't surprise me.

Zany and hilarious!

(Educate yourself about drugs fine sir.)

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Berkut](#) on Sun, 03 Dec 2006 01:48:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Just so everyone's clear, this picture is of a doll. The designer recognized it in the video and adressed it on the news some time ago.

Pretty funny attempt, though.

Heh. They called him "John Adam." Not suspicious.

RIP Special Ops Cody, you served us well.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 04 Dec 2006 19:05:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Fri, 01 December 2006 23:32MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 01 December 2006 03:23xptek wrote on Wed, 29 November 2006 20:32I tripped on acid for 72 hours straight and nobody but my best friend knew
That doesn't surprise me.

Zany and hilarious!

(Educate yourself about drugs fine sir.)
I don't believe you saw what I was getting at.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 04 Dec 2006 20:24:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, I do.

The comment just sounds really stupid if you know that someone tripping doesn't show any noticeable effects most of the time.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 04 Dec 2006 22:18:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Except in how they act.

EVERY person that has been taken something, that I have come into contact with, has acted differently than they usually do.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 04:10:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Mon, 04 December 2006 17:18 Except in how they act.

EVERY person that has been taken something, that I have come into contact with, has acted differently than they usually do.

With LSD you are able to "fight" the trip and perceive things normally if you really want to.

Also depends on how experienced the user is, but generally it's pretty hard to tell.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 12:10:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Mon, 04 December 2006 23:10 warranto wrote on Mon, 04 December 2006 17:18 Except in how they act.

EVERY person that has been taken something, that I have come into contact with, has acted differently than they usually do.

With LSD you are able to "fight" the trip and perceive things normally if you really want to.

YOU ARE A FUCKWIT!!!

Why take drugs and then "fight the trip"?

Stupid, are they, that take drugs, but to abuse it for the sake of "fighting the trip" proves how incredibly braindead that you really are.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 20:17:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

puddle_splasher wrote on Tue, 05 December 2006 07:10xptek wrote on Mon, 04 December 2006 23:10warranto wrote on Mon, 04 December 2006 17:18Except in how they act.

EVERY person that has been taken something, that I have come into contact with, has acted differently than they usually do.

With LSD you are able to "fight" the trip and perceive things normally if you really want to.

YOU ARE A FUCKWIT!!!

Why take drugs and then "fight the trip"?

Stupid, are they, that take drugs, but to abuse it for the sake of "fighting the trip" proves how incredibly braindead that you really are.

Uh, so the effects aren't apparent to people that don't need to know.

I thought that would be fairly obvious.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 21:16:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow just got done reading through all the pages of this thread. Never seen a thread jump so much and end with something so far off the original topic, but then again I'm not on these forums that much.

Lets see started with a terrorist putting down U.S. troops with pictures, to taking away from someone their commitment to fighting for their country all because they can't spell to well or you don't like our ribbons, to now talking about getting high.

Wonder what the next spin will be. Maybe me since I support our troops, but I made sure I checked my spelling before posting. Grammar on the other hand

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [xptek](#) on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 04:36:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Darkknight wrote on Tue, 05 December 2006 16:16Never seen a thread jump so much and end

with something so far off the original topic, but then again I'm not on these forums that much.

Welcome to Renforums!

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Spetz5](#) on Fri, 05 Jan 2007 19:02:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you don't stand behind our troops, feel free to stand in front of them. That's how I see it

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Fri, 05 Jan 2007 19:10:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good point... and nice 1 mo. bump.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [Spetz5](#) on Sat, 06 Jan 2007 17:07:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ahh crap, sorry I didn't check when the last post was, my bad.

Subject: Re: ::sorry:: the USA army
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sun, 07 Jan 2007 04:31:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spetz5 wrote on Fri, 05 January 2007 13:02 If you don't stand behind our troops, feel free to stand in front of them.

They haven't seen their wives etc for a while, so my bum could hurt if I did that

Btw, wow what a great thread/section. I'm gonna come here more often
