
Subject: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 02:46:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

{WG}, you can quit whinning now, since ya really wanted the CW, I have managed to set something up. Our best player vs your best player, catch, the leaders of both clans cant play (me, and sm0ke).

1 aow game, we will have to agree on the map, and server and other details.

Agreed? Should happen sometime nextweekend, will set a date when I see some interest:P

another other clan wanna 1vs1, just say so here.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 03:13:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why not play just me vs. you?

Why not play an actual clan war, 5 vs. 5 or 6 vs. 6

But if you really want me to put stereosquirrel or Toofer against one of your guys, I can do that.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 05:50:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh Man, stereosquirrel will Eat [+TPRM+] Alive.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 07:19:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

3/4 of my guys I know I can put against TRPM and it will be no contest.

I could make it a little more fun and atleast make it a challenge, but I just dont really see any reason to make it last longer then necessary.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 09:21:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ok, we played a 1 vs 1.

First map I lost, second map I came back, took his base, he had ref left. I had hand.

I kill him, make it into his ref, throw my cr on him, he asks for a test after being pistol whipped a few times.

I take his test, as hes coming through to test me, he repairs the ref so my remotes wont finish the building.

I take his test pass with flying colors.

Then after the map he leaves and doesnt finish the clan war.

GG TRPM

Dont get mad cuz you lost man, you beat me the first round, with some very questionable things going on, but I didnt whine. Came up with a game plan and beat you, then you pull some bogus shit.

You lost fair and square kid. You had point lead but your base was gone and you know it. So you leave and dont finish clan war.

TRPM quit coming on these forums acting like your all bad ass, then you finally play, your boy gets beat, and he cries about it.

Now if you ever decide you actually wanna finsh this clan war. let me know.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 11:39:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wouldn't you have had at least over 500 credits if you got all your c4 off and still be able to kill a building? Or for some reason he did not have his refinery repaired all the way, because that doesn't seem to be very likely :\

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 13:46:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Sat, 04 November 2006 20:46TRPM only has one decent player and it isn't me

fixed!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 16:12:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why did you take the other screenshot off? the one with the sniper zoom? that makes it very suspicious...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 17:47:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry about that wiggles, I had edited my post, didnt realize I delted 1 to many lines.

I didnt blow my remotes cuz he wanted to test me. If I would have neded the map he would have whinned and said I just removed the cheats or some other bullshit. SO I did his little bighead test. Then he wanted me to get a sniper, I got 400 and some odd credits from my timed going off, My remotes were still on there, and would have destroyed the building, but while We were heading to the test site, he repaired his ref far enough the remotes wouldnt take out the ref.

Then he came and tested me.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:10:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TRPM descends further into the shitpit.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:17:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just think its sad that he wins the first map, gets beat the second then gets all pissy.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 19:50:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol

GG

I think it's safe to say I finished my pop-corn.

I wanted to see more! (Joking)

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 21:09:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My question is why on earth would TRPM clan have mr.Wiggles play as a troopm guy, named troopm19 when kimveer is troopm19?

Ip address for troopm19 and Mr.Wiggles match.

So why would that be?

Could it be because troopm02 found someone that wanted to beat me, and thought they could. So troopm02 has him where another clanmates tag and play me. Still to come out looking like an idiot.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 21:16:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lmfao

Thanks, This is the "More" I wanted to see, Rofl.

TPRM = Owned, Badly.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 21:29:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow sm0ke your retard'd

First game, he RAPES you, huge point lead, 2nd game, still has more points than you, you start

the 3rd game, you quit saying you have to restart the server (ROFL), and you restart the server a total of 4 times, if not more. Its it only by chance that you kept "restating" the server when he was betting ya again(walls flying)?

19 took some SS's, so dont take my word for it, they will be posted soon And its even evident in sm0kes own posts that he is losing ROFL

there ya go, we 0wN3d {WG}, whose next? any from the #GW clan?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 21:42:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troop, he lost the 2nd match, he accused me of cheaintg, Im clean as the screen shots prove.

He comes back 15 minutes later wanting to play? He joins with no rg, so clanwar hes is naturally forced to use RG.

The server was restarted trying to fix a password problem cuz people kept joining.

Once it was fixed, I paged him 4 times, which got no response which would make sense, hes using renip because he dont have access to troopm19's WOL account. So there fore he didnt get the pages.

So why is a non trpm player playing under a clan members tag troop?

you totally dodged the question at hand here. Also have the logs of your boy admitting he lost the second match on Field.

So if troopm19 wants to finish this clanwar, tell him to catch me online tonight ad we will. Make sure its a troopm clan member this time.

Mr.Wiggles wants to play me, not as a ringer, im more then happy to play him.

But if troopm19 is gonna play me, make sure its actually him as in kimveer.

troopm02 you got caught dude, face it.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 22:14:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Haha, 1 person not even from TRPM beats 1 person from {WG} in a 1 vs 1, this clearly makes TRPM better than {WG}!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 22:53:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

how does brining in a guy from clanwars.cc to play for you, make them better?

not to mention right now its 1 map to 1 map.

So how you figure he won is beyond me.

That would be like me bringing in Spooky to play as {WG} when he isnt in the clan to play in a clan war.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 23:08:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

schultz, the only reason i wanted to play you, is because you dodged your face off back when i was in ILL, you talked soooo much shit and never played. so i come to renegade forums and hear you calling trpm nubs, i find that kinda sad considering where its coming from. so i figured since you'd probably dodge me on my other name, id play for trpm and get you off your high horse.

not to mention, ive played a lot of clanwars against actual good clans, and nobody's pistol has ever been that good. you killed me in under 1 clip every time, i dunno what you were using but its quite sad. and yeah, even IF your pistol is THAT good, that doesnt explain why somebody with such a remarkable pistol seems to suck so drastically at every other aspect of the game. your tactics were shit, your tank skills were even worse.

and please dont try to make it seem like you accomplished anything, i mean, i beat you nod field by almost 4000 points, not to mention the second i was still leading the game even after my only my ref was alive. then when we started playing walls fly, i got your airstrip, THEN you RG me. why didnt you just check at the start of the game? so then i come back, get your airstrip again and you cry about a blue screen. then you come back again and say your going to put a password on the server and neglect to tell me what it is. i even logged into your IRC to come ask you what it was but you went afk or ignored me... so yeah, if you wanna play some more games, id love to sit all over you again.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 23:31:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You were renguarded after your extremely odd shot from your orca,

So after you call me a cheater, and leave all pissed off.

You come back about 10-15 minutes later wanting to play again, and your not running RG.

If you wanted to play me, all you had to do was ask.

Like you did here, which was before the TRPM deal, and as you can see I accepted.

<http://www.thewargrounds.com/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&t=110>

You repaired your ref so it wouldnt blow during the test. It was gone if you hadnt repaired it during the testing.

You admitted the map was mine, you admitted you lost.

You admitted you lost the map, to a cheater that turned out to be clean.

So trpm got a clanwars.cc guy, who isnt affiliated with thier clan to play a clanwar for them. Why would you do that troopm02 unless you know you couldnt win.

You were paged the password to the server proly 4 times, maybe 5, you never came in.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 23:44:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

theres no way you were clean that game, no way. btw you have time to post here, but ignore me in IRC when i ask for a game? seems i was right about not being able to play you any other way...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 23:49:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wiggles = troopm19? Does that include the games yesterday?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 00:08:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

we have family over sorry im not sitting on my computer all day to suit you.

The way I understand it spooony TRPM got him to play me under one of thier members names cuz TRPM has dodged a real clanwar for awhile now.

They said that I cant be the one that plays. I got on thier server and troopm02 says he has a guy that will play me.

Then when I checked his ip against thers, It came up as wiggles.

So what is actually going on I dont know, other then TRPM using a non clan member in a clan war.

troopm19 is actually a guy named kimveer actually. NOT WIGGLES!

.so i figured since you'd probably dodge me on my other name, id play for trpm and get you off your high horse

as said on my forums, i accepted your challenge, a day or two before this game you played for trpm

so saying I would dodge as your reason for playing is obviously a load of shit.

Truth would be more like TRPM knew they didnt have a guy that could beat us. So they brought in a non-clan member to play.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 00:39:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tests dont' nearly catch all cheats, there are some cheats that can't be detected. But I mean it is sort of odd if a guy can get a headshot consecutively and then do really bad with a tank :\ Just saying that's how I caught a lot of cheaters because there was this game where this one guy was getting headshots and I didn't knwo what the cheat was but I could tell he was cheating because the guy manages to get headshots every time but he didn't even know the movement on how to dodge decently. It kind of goes hand in hand... guy can aim.. guy can dodge or at least know how to warp so it's harder to hit :\

just find it odd that someone who doesn't play clanwars or played competitively would be good at pistoling. I mean, being good at a pistol is derived from playing clanwars, and just a bit odd that GW thought you were getting abnormal cheaters. I don't know what happened exactly but usually there's a gut instinct of a cheater and truth be told the word of people like GW who've played this game and played tons of clanwars can prettye asily tell. I would rather people would just admit they were cheating and then stop. Like some douche I caught cheating with an APC hack I gave him a chance to admit it and he turned it down and I had a video of him killing my APC twice as

fast.. Truth is it doesn't bother me when a guy cheats to get some kind of orgasm or something, but if a guy pretends he's good and then cheats it's really pathetic, especially on a game like renegade where it's so easy to be good :\

I'm not trying to be mean, but if a group of good players think you're bullshit it's pretty conclusive that it's going to be true. Besides.. if you want to be considered remotely good, get good in tanks, that'll show skill...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:15:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The guy got mad because he lost a map to a person he doesn't like.

You can accuse me of cheating all you want Wiggles Fact is I wasn't cheating, you killed me several times with infantry. Considering you had no barr, I had hand.

WG1BOT 15] 04{WG}sm0ke killed trooprm19 (Nod Technican/Pistol 04vs GDI Minigunner 04)
WG1BOT 15] 14Host: [BR] Visit the technical support forums at www.thewargrounds.com
WG1BOT 15] 04{WG}sm0ke killed trooprm19 (Nod Technican/Remote C4 04vs GDI Shotgun Trooper 04)
WG1BOT 15] 04{WG}sm0ke killed trooprm19 (Nod Stealth Black Hand/Laser Rifle 04vs GDI Shotgun Trooper 04)
WG1BOT 15] 08trooprm19 killed {WG}sm0ke (GDI Shotgun Trooper/Shotgun 08vs Nod Stealth Black Hand 08)
WG1BOT 15] 04{WG}sm0ke killed trooprm19 (Nod Engineer/Pistol 04vs GDI Shotgun Trooper 04)
WG1BOT 15] 08trooprm19 : lol yeah
WG1BOT 15] 04 {WG}sm0ke : wanna test me?
WG1BOT 15] 08trooprm19 : yes
WG1BOT 15] 04 {WG}sm0ke : k

I killed his shotgunner, threw my c4 on it, the ref was down several bars from my last rush, down enough a reg. engie could take it out. I threw my timed c4 on it, and my remotes. Timed went off, it was down for the two remotes, I was willing to take his test in order to prove I wasn't cheating.

But either way what this really comes down to is TRPM clan not having the balls to actually play and go get someone outside thier clan to play. Then when hes caught, he dodges the subject totally.

Goes into some lame shit that I will dodge him, when Ive accepted his challenge publicly in the WG forums.

TRPM anytime you actually wanna send one fo YOUR ACTUAL clan mates to a game, let us know. We will be happy to play.

Wiggles you can have your clan war with WG like Ive stated before. We will setup a time and

date for it and we can play.

So now no more of your shit I will dodge you, because I wont. We will play your clan war.

Good game TRPM, but by doing this, in alot of peoples eyes, made you look even more cowardice then you started out as.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:25:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But im done arguing about it, troop, next time use one of your regular guys.

Wiggles post on the forums and we will setup the clan war.

GG TRPM!!!!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:27:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wow, sm0ke I see you can't read either?

19 has been in the clan for about a month now, even before the so called "dodging" on our part (which proved to be a good thing because {WG} has been getting quiet a few cheater reports so I hear). Ask anyone in my clan, they were all on ts when he passed the tryout...

Anyway, so your claim of "hes not in your clan, but just wanted to play us" is total bullshit, even fl00d3d knew you'd pull the same shit if you lost (which you did), and im sure 19 would do it again, for the hell of it 2vs2/3vs3?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:30:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah lets schedule it, 3 vs. 3

We will setup a time and day for it.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:03:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 17:53how does brining in a guy from clanwars.cc to play for you, make them better?

not to mention right now its 1 map to 1 map.

So how you figure he won is beyond me.

That would be like me bringing in Spoony to play as {WG} when he isnt in the clan to play in a clan war.

It was clearly a joke.. please read my sentence again..

Edit: But I'll believe Wiggles on this one. :\

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:12:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 17:49Wiggles = trooprm19? Does that include the games yesterday?

^^ answer this please

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 12:31:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wiggles is kimveer - they are both the same person for sure. Wow man, you diss PA because you are too good, but you play for TRPM, wow wow wow.

Considering the knowledge and experience wiggles has and what I know of sm0ke I really wouldn't disregard cheating accusations, there are all sorts of cheats aren't disproved by that ss.

Either way, troop02 proving that wiggles > sm0ke doesn't really prove a lot, anybody who knows them knows that already.

PA will play you 3v3 if you are interested, hey why not get registered on the league and gg everybody and prove how cool you are by getting to the top of the only ladder that matters?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:04:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 19:30Yeah lets schedule it, 3 vs. 3

We will setup a time and day for it.

get it done.

[PA], 3vs3?

And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him, althought he said he thought you were better than him, but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 19:02:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wiggles = kimveer = l0n3st4r. i wasnt talking about you dodging me when i asked for the war on your forums schultz, i was talking about before when i was playing under l0n3st4r and you talked out your ass about how easily you could beat me but still refused to play. and its not like i joined TRPM just to get even with you, i joined them before i even knew about your little feud. i joined to try to help them get better, like when i joined BBF for about 1 month awhile ago.

since i really can't clanwar much (working 3 jobs atm) i dont really have time to play too many clanwars, so i play in TRPM's public server whenever i can for fun. but my term ends on the 17 at my f/t job so ill probably start playing more clanners for Poison after that. TRPM doesnt seem that interested to get better anyways, so i gave 02 the best advice i could: sign up on clanwars.cc, make a post on the forums saying you want games, give out your msn and just play. of course i told them to be prepared to get shit all over the first month or 2, but eventually they'll get the hang of it, unlike schultz who seems to have the best pistol in renegade but the worst in everything else. as ive said ive played some of the best players in renegade and even Kill from h20 doesnt pistol any1 in 1 clip, everytime. and btw, just because you had a hand and i didnt, doesnt mean thats the reason you were raping my infantry, you were only buying engi's and techs ffs, oh sorry 1 SBH.

and yes spoony, that was me playing under trooprm19.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 19:05:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 09:04jschultz9 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 19:30Yeah lets schedule it, 3 vs. 3

We will setup a time and day for it.

get it done.

[PA], 3vs3?

And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him, although he said he thought you were better than him, but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

omg dude stfu, i killed his apache like twice, thats not getting owned. spoonys a lot better than me, i told you that and i wasnt kidding. first of all, i had orcas, he had apaches, orcas outrange apaches by quite a bit... stop acting like you know shit, im not gunna play in any of your clanwars, you completely ignore w/e i seem to tell you anyway...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 19:15:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 07:04jschultz9 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 19:30Yeah lets schedule it, 3 vs. 3

We will setup a time and day for it.

get it done.

[PA], 3vs3?

And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him, although he said he thought you were better than him, but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

sure thing, date/time?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 20:15:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him
I was "Own3d" by him? Sorry, when did that happen?

troopr02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

Again, another sentence which could use some explanation...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 20:31:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[PA]Pirate wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 11:15]trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 07:04jschultz9 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 19:30Yeah lets schedule it, 3 vs. 3

We will setup a time and day for it.

get it done.

[PA], 3vs3?

And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him, although he said he thought you were better than him, but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

sure thing, date/time?

idk, doesnt matter to me, we could make it an informal 1vs1 anytime, you vs me.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 22:46:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol pirates gunna beat up on you, just to let u kno, hes got a lot more clanwar experience than you..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 22:54:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 14:15trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him

I was "Own3d" by him? Sorry, when did that happen?

trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

Again, another sentence which could use some explanation...

Kindly don't dodge my questions trooprm...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 04:31:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, there is a lot of shit going on in this thread that wants to make me straight up vomit all over your faces then feed you to aligators.

I am going to strip myself of all bias right now (my recent arguments with sm0ke, the fact that TRPM is a clan in my community, and that I have very high standards of ethic/skill) --and just state some things that need to be stated. If I offend anyone, please consider that I'm not doing this to piss anyone off I'm just doing it to come out with some facts...

[1]

TRPM is not a good clan. This is my opinion, and I have seen a lot of them play both on their server and in other servers. I have also tried to lead them in a 4v4 on their server where it was me and 3 other trpm against 4 pubs and they lost because of horrible teamwork. I have given them plenty of advice which included playing more clanwars and getting their asses beat more often so they grow. troopr02 knows this, but I think he's going about it wait to ignorantly.

[2]

When {WG} played #GW a week or so ago there was a huge argument about cheat accusations and server flooding. When the argument moved to my community teamspeak here were the things that I considered:

1-I have known #GW for awhile and have a good relationship with most of their members. I respect the clan.

2-WG's leader has a history of flooding servers.

3-Some of WG's members don't have the cleanest slates.

4-Neither side could PROVE that anyone was cheating or flooding the other persons server.

* So, my deduction was that they should simply not play eachother and stand clear of eachother.

I was leaning more towards the belief that {WG} may have cheated as I tend to believe #GW more than {WG}, but since there was no proof I didn't act on it and left it between them. Anyway, my whole point for item #2 is that ever since then I've had a cautious eye on {WG}.

[3]

Troopr02, you're fucking *STUPID* if you think that TRPM can even survive base destruction on all maps in a clanwar, let alone win a single map against them. You're a nice guy and you've never given me any reason not to make you feel welcome in our community but please stop embarassing me. If you want to play someone, do it - and regardless of outcome accept the results and move forward.

[4]

If this guy was known to be a full member and genuinely was interested in being a member (regardless of his motivation) then he's a member. But if TRPM just used him (and he used TRPM) for some kind of self-gratification then both of you are living low.

[MY CONCLUSION]

I would like to see TRPM get some more experience and start closing their mouth on the renforums. I would also like to see sm0ke back off of TRPM and acting like there is something to prove by beating a clan that talks shit but is known to be not as good as yours. And finally, I

would like to say that if anyone cheats they deserved to be burned alive inside a salt factory.

Good day, sirs.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 04:39:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fI00d3d wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 21:31 I have also tried to lead them in a 4v4 on their server where it was me and 3 other trpm against 4 pubs and they lost because of horrible teamwork.

That actually made me laugh in real life.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 06:08:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TRPM 1v1?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 13:05:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 16:54 MaidenTy1 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 14:15 trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04 And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wN3d by him
I was "Own3d" by him? Sorry, when did that happen?

trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04 but I'm surprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

Again, another sentence which could use some explanation...
Kindly don't dodge my questions trooprm...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 15:37:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Apparently people believe clans on cw.cc are better, well recently me and trooprm07 played a 2vs2 (informal) against c4u in their 500 sniping server. I was beating them, but 07 (who doesn't even play sniping) was weighting me down, although I was personally beating them both. c4ubot

and some "Mac" guy played, also i heard that their not the best people from that clan, but still. Quite funny actually, first 2 kills were HS's by me, then they accused me of cheating because "it was an impossible shot at that angle". I got SS's if ya'lls wants to see em..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [DaN#GW](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 17:28:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 18:11:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Tue, 07 November 2006 10:37 Apparently people believe clans on cw.cc are better, well recently me and troopr07 played a 2vs2 (informal) against c4u in their 500 sniping server. i was beating them, but 07 (who doesnt even play sniping) was weighting me down, although i was personally beating them both. c4ubot and some "Mac" guy played, also i heard that their not the best people from that clan, but still. Quite funny actually, first 2 kills were HS's by me, then they accused me of cheating because "it was an impossible shot at that angle". I got SS's if ya'lls wants to see em..

LOL! you really prove a point well.

I can't play right now because I have coursework due tomorrow but sure will 1v1 and 3v3 you - add me on msn: themexicanpirate@yahoo.com and will give you a shout when I am free.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [troopr02](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 20:08:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[PAPirate wrote on Tue, 07 November 2006 12:11]troopr02 wrote on Tue, 07 November 2006 10:37 Apparently people believe clans on cw.cc are better, well recently me and troopr07 played a 2vs2 (informal) against c4u in their 500 sniping server. i was beating them, but 07 (who doesnt even play sniping) was weighting me down, although i was personally beating them both. c4ubot and some "Mac" guy played, also i heard that their not the best people from that clan, but still. Quite funny actually, first 2 kills were HS's by me, then they accused me of cheating because "it was an impossible shot at that angle". I got SS's if ya'lls wants to see em..

LOL! you really prove a point well.

I can't play right now because I have coursework due tomorrow but sure will 1v1 and 3v3 you - add me on msn: themexicanpirate@yahoo.com and will give you a shout when I am free.

ok sounds good, will add ya tommorrow, busy atm

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 07 Nov 2006 23:15:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Tue, 07 November 2006 10:37 Apparently people believe clans on cw.cc are better, well recently me and troopr07 played a 2vs2 (informal) against c4u in their 500 sniping server. i was beating them, but 07 (who doesnt even play sniping) was weighting me down, although i was personally beating them both. c4ubot and some "Mac" guy played, also i heard that their not the best people from that clan, but still. Quite funny actually, first 2 kills were HS's by me, then they accused me of cheating because "it was an impossible shot at that angle". I got SS's if ya'lls wants to see em..

You won 1 game against a sniper clan and then claim to be all that, quality

Why not actually regisiter and play some of the better clans on there for a full month, and then you will be in a position to comment on how you and your clan rates against cw clans, hmm?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 00:35:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y dodge my post

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 00:36:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Tue, 07 November 2006 09:37 Apparently people believe clans on cw.cc are better, well...

May I suggest you play H2O, DGAFF, Taiwan, -*RA*- or Poison at some stage?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Atomicway](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 02:38:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lets just end it here and say the Trpm clan got Ownt Ftw because he keeps making himself look stupid. Lets not make him self embarrassing him self it just doesn't seem nice but may be fun lol

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 03:18:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I kind of thought it was over when TRPM and myself agreed on a 3 vs. 3, Thats pretty much why I quit posting. Thats why I quit dogging them about it, just see if they actually hold up to it.

As far as im concerned the shit between myself and Wiggles, whatever happends happends, lets play and do it.

TRPM lets play, and get it settled.

Arguing about it like a bunch of little kids isnt getting us anywhere.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 05:20:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well beating you would be a whole lot easier if you didnt pistol me in 1 clip whenever you need to...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 13:23:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Get off the whole me cheating thing man, cuz it didnt happen.

So if you wanna play, then we will play, same goes for TRPM, if you dont want to, thats fine to. But dont keep going with this whole im cheating shit, when screen shots are clean and you have ZERO proof of anything.

I DO NOT CHEAT!!!!

accept it and move on Wiggles.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 14:13:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, a screenshot is full proof against cheating now!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 15:18:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Im adding Pirate to setup s CW, justin, pick a date time etc, you take care of it

And we were already on cw.cc, check it out, im not sure if the account is still there, and I was an individual player too, I didnt play a single game because I figured it useless for me to report ever single match, and then get points for it....whats the point? I still see it that way, altho Ill sign up sometime soon just to have some more cw's, against people that we average on wol.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 18:01:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 07:23Get off the whole me cheating thing man, cuz it didnt happen.

So if you wanna play, then we will play, same goes for TRPM, if you dont want to, thats fine to. But dont keep going with this whole im cheating shit, when screen shots are clean and you have ZERO proof of anything.

I DO NOT CHEAT!!!!

accept it and move on Wiggles.

shut up geek, i know you were cheating, you know you were cheating. but it doesnt matter, if we play, it'll be on base defence maps where your pistol wont make a drastic effect on the game. because lets face it, your tank skills are about as good as good as a below avergae public server player.

btw i added you 2 msn, do you not use it or is it not the same one as in your profile anymore?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 19:04:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 16:18we were already on cw.cc

Thats it, give up everyone! It's blindly obvious we can't beat anyone like him who is on such a high skill level.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 19:33:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 10:18Im adding Pirate to setup s CW, justin, pick a date time etc, you take care of it

And we were already on cw.cc, check it out, im not sure if the account is still there, and I was an individual player too, I didnt play a single game because I figured it useless for me to report ever single match, and then get points for it....whats the point? I still see it that way, altho Ill sign up sometime soon just to have some more cw's, against people that we average on wol.

Only the loser reports 'every single match'. You'll have to to setup an account for the clan and pick someone to manage it in your absence. I must warn you, however, if you're not going to play a game every day or so ... at the least ... there is no point in registering (other than to get practice, I guess) cuz you'll never earn enough points to get to the top of the ladder. Glad to see you're moving forward with your clan, though. Now all you have to do is get some wins under your belt.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 20:35:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EVERDAY?!?!?! Wow, appearently some people here have alot of time on there hands...

now Im just wondering how long it must take to report all those games and etc just to get some worthless points. A good idea, which im sure would make things worthwhile is to like add prizes or something..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:33:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote:I must warn you, however, if you're not going to play a game every day or so ... at the least ... there is no point in registering (other than to get practice, I guess) cuz you'll never earn enough points to get to the top of the ladder.

I wouldn't go so far as to say there's no point. Pretty much everyone at CW knows who the best clan is right now, but all the other clans, clans who are 90% sure they aren't about to win a cup (my own clan included) compete in the league nonetheless. There's so much more to a ladder than simply being at the top of it.

trooprm02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 14:35now Im just wondering how long it must take to report all those games and etc just to get some worthless points.
About 30 seconds?

trooprm02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 14:35A good idea, which im sure would make things worthwhile is to like add prizes or something..

We've been offering prizes for some time. Free games as sponsorship from Electronic Arts, all manner of C&C collectable stuff (soundtrack CDs, Renegade mouse pads, etc...)

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 23:04:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:shut up geek, i know you were cheating, you know you were cheating. but it doesnt matter, if we play, it'll be on base defence maps where your pistol wont make a drastic effect on the game. because lets face it, your tank skills are about as good as good as a below avergae public server player.

btw i added you 2 msn, do you not use it or is it not the same one as in your profile anymore?

geek, wow thats original. Anyways

When you accused me of cheating we was on a base defence map, Field to be exact.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 23:38:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 15:33fl00d3d wrote:I must warn you, however, if you're not going to play a game every day or so ... at the least ... there is no point in registering (other than to get practice, I guess) cuz you'll never earn enough points to get to the top of the ladder.

I wouldn't go so far as to say there's no point. Pretty much everyone at CW knows who the best clan is right now, but all the other clans, clans who are 90% sure they aren't about to win a cup (my own clan included) compete in the league nonetheless. There's so much more to a ladder than simply being at the top of it.

trooprm02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 14:35now Im just wondering how long it must take to report all those games and etc just to get some worthless points.
About 30 seconds?

trooprm02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 14:35A good idea, which im sure would make things worthwhile is to like add prizes or something..

Ok, thats sounds more interesting, the only things is:

1)the ads, im used to like these forums and etc, where it doesnt have to be ad sponsered.

2)not dedicated to renegade only, im sure others will agree, althought this is maybe currently the "best" ladder system for renegade, the old WOL was better, and in anycase, a ladder system dedicated soley for renegade would obviously fair much better than a system that incorporates many other games, and maybe doesnt focus on ren as much as it could

We've been offering prizes for some time. Free games as sponsorship from Electronic Arts, all manner of C&C collectable stuff (soundtrack CDs, Renegade mouse pads, etc...)

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [mripate](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 01:00:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WARNING: troopr02 is a retard.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 08:56:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 17:38Ok, thats sounds more interesting, the only things is:

1)the ads, im used to like these forums and etc, where it doesnt have to be ad sponsered. The google banner takes up a very small portion of the league page... they don't pop-up at you or anything.

troopr02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 17:382)not dedicated to renegade only, im sure others will agree
derm, the Renegade league is dedicated to Renegade only (actually, I tell a lie, it supports Red Alert: A Path Beyond too, but they're very rarely played anyway)

What's the issue here with there being other leagues on the same site? They don't interfere with the Renegade league on any level whatsoever, even the other league(s) aside from Renegade I'm personally involved with.

troopr02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 17:38althought this is maybe currently the "best" ladder system for renegade, the old WOL was better
Was it fuck. The points system was ridiculously primitive, it was completely unmoderated, it had fuck all functionality compared to the Clanwars.cc league, and it didn't even work half the time. I'll give you an example: December 2004, my clan LIGHT was BY FAR the best competitive clan at the time, we raped EVERY other clan who played, and none of our games reported at all - resulting in a much inferior clan (whose record against us was about 40-3 in our favour, and two of those "wins" were when we were winning and they kicked us - of course, on the WOL ladder there was nothing you could do if a clan did that to you, or cheated against you, or ob walked or whatever, unlike the Clanwars.cc league) winning the ladder that month.

What else? Well, a significant chunk of the games registered on the ladder were 0-pointers, half the players joined but someone didn't have a start button or something so they left to find a different server... yet the game reported anyway and counted as a win, and of course since we're still in unmoderated territory nobody could remove them, so clans got wins and losses for games that weren't even played. I could go on, but the fact is every clan worth a shit knows the WOL clan ladder was a complete joke.

troopr02 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 17:38and in anycase, a ladder system dedicated soley for renegade would obviously fair much better than a system that incorporates many other games, and maybe doesnt focus on ren as much as it could

I fail to see why you perceive the Renegade league at CW focuses on Renegade less than it could just because the same website has leagues for other games.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 12:28:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's like saying a community is better if it's only renegade, lol stupid.

How can you even preach about the WOL clan ladder when you never even played in it?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 15:07:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sniper_De7 wrote on Thu, 09 November 2006 06:28How can you even preach about the WOL clan ladder when you never even played in it?

Wow.....gj

Funny how you know nothing about something yet talk to much. Anyway, indeed i have played on it, OFCOURSE, and Im saying when it DID work, it was the best, i also ofcourse admit it wasnt all that realibe but thats because of EA and thier loyalty issues

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 18:16:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Based on how much you dodge any clans that ask you, that are good, I assumed you didn't play at all (A good assumption I say). What clan were you in, then?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 22:44:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

+ILL+, when they used to be around, so was wiggles (I0n3st4r), so was trooprm1. Any most players have been in previous clans.

+ILL+ is no longer active on WOL, but I know they are still on cw.cc, or atleast henry and some other guy are.

ROFL, a {WG} member is now accusing me of "hacking"

<http://www.thewargrounds.com/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&p=712>

btw, that same member was a "friend" of the +ILL+ clan, was hanging around them ever since they began, always asked for a tryout, always denied, I come along, with a rec my another member who say me playing, instant tryout, I make it, Atomic gets all pissed, no longer hangs around, goes makes the +ADD+ clan, which was nothing to begin with, finally relizes it, quits the clan he started, but makes it in {WG}, ROFL

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 23:07:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Trpm, you suck.

You have no idea what you're talking about in this thread. NO IDEA.

Remove yourself

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 00:05:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote: Funny how you know nothing about something yet talk to much. Anyway, indeed i have played on it, OFCOURSE, and Im saying when it DID work, it was the best

1. It didn't work all the time
2. Even when it did work it still sucked

trooprm02 wrote on Thu, 09 November 2006 16:44i also ofcourse admit it wasnt al that realibe but thats because of EA and thier loyalty issues

...and you don't think the fact it was completely unmoderated and barely reliable is something of a problem, considering the fact those two are 100% solved on the Clanwars.cc league?

trooprm02 wrote on Thu, 09 November 2006 16:44+ILL+, when they used to be around, so was wiggles (I0n3st4r), so was trooprm1. Any most players have been in previous clans.

Ah, good old ILL. Wasn't there a month or two when a few of them pointpushed out their ass on the WOL ladder, simply by making dummy clans and getting fake wins off them? Didn't they actually win the WOL ladder by doing that? That would never happen on the Clanwars.cc league... not in a million years.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 03:17:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lets not even start on henry, he runs around asking for clan wars, then runs and gets the h20 guys to play for him.

Quote:ROFL, how is troopr19 a cw.cc guy? he hasn't been their in like months, joined the clan like 2 months ago, wayyyy b4 u even asked for a CW, he owns ur ass, and "gives" you the 2nd map, as shown on renforums, which you even posted SS's of him just giving you the map, so to sum up, u got RAPED

Actually he didnt give it to me, he admitted he had lost the map, if you wanna get real picky troop.

And getting accused of cheating, kinda sounds like when you accused Atomic and everyone was supposed to believe you. So whats to stop everyone from thinking you cheat.

OMFG some one said some douche bag is cheating, OMFG it must be true.

troop, you got accused of cheating, which wouldnt suprise me.

Oh by the way, that 3 on 3, this weekend, we will make sure the whole thing is on fraps, so if theres any accusations of cheating it will be on video. Just so theres no crying on here or anywhere else for that matter. about someone cheating.

So Saturday or Sunday, pick your time, and lets get it settled.

P.S. troop, dont dodge, cuz you made the challenge.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 03:49:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey troopr02, Can I ask,

Why the hell do all of your clan members have to have a retarded troopr then a number?

I mean, Most clans have something easy like {WG} or [DG] (For example)

Why do you make your clan members waste a nick?

Atleast change it to [TM] or something..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 06:36:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would like to add that I am still waiting for a reply to this.

MaidenTy1 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 14:15trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04And yes spoony, when you were in the server, he was in the clan...and you were 0wn3d by him

I was "0wn3d" by him? Sorry, when did that happen?

trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 06 November 2006 10:04but Im suprised the "leader" of a ladder system, for a game could play so questionable..

Again, another sentence which could use some explanation...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TTBasM92](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:54:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i love this topic rofl

and oh yeh make sure you don't take TM, it's from an old clan..

but he is right about that part, or just dont take a clantag

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoonny](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 15:18:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mind if I take page four?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 16:28:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 10 November 2006 09:18Mind if I take page four?

Not at all!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Atomicway](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 21:06:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.thewargrounds.com/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&t=113>

See how pro Trpm is now

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sat, 11 Nov 2006 22:24:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tbh {WG} is just as bad for drawing this argument out.

How many clanwars have you played since this argument between +TRPM+ and you have started arguing? Who were they? How many wins/losses?

Like I suggested a few posts back, you guys should just back off (as should he) and go your own ways -- and play some other clans for the love of God. This has become a spam thread.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sat, 11 Nov 2006 23:05:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He suggested a 3 vs. 3 Sean, so unless you have a reply to add from them, why dont you just mind your own business.

And for your information we played the no.11 ranked clan on clanwars.cc called l33t if you must know.

Oh and we won also since your so curious.. I believe it as Stereo and TsKizzle that played them.

Any more information you think you need to know Sean?

So if your so worried about this thread dragging out, why dont you just quit reading or quit posting on it, then it wont effect you.

I do recall you having a little spam thread with rencorner, You just kept it going and going and going as alot of us others did as well, kinda like this topic.

So try following your own advice before offering anymore to anyone else.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 03:10:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Btw sm0ke, nice job failing the *removed name of cheat* test in a cw LOL!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 03:13:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I dont understand what you guys were trying to prove, i truned around faced him and typed what I was told to type, he told me to move away from the wall, and type it I did.

I didnt fail any test, thank you.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 04:33:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Sat, 11 November 2006 22:13i truned around faced him and typed what I was told to type
It was asked of you not to turn or move at all, Gj.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 04:45:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He told me to back away from wall turn and type something, thats what I did.

Either way I could careless. They lost the map, all there was to it. The guy stood still like a n00b and got killed, sorry but you dont need a cheat to shoot someone stading still like a retard.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:58:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Sat, 11 November 2006 18:05He suggested a 3 vs. 3 Sean, so unless you have a reply to add from them, why dont you just mind your own business.

Because I frequent these forums and have the respect of a lot more people here than you ... so when I say its enough it seems to carry more weight than when you say it. Since you wanted to be so fucking rude about it - I'll just throw that back in your face. Also +TRPM+ is my business as they are a part of my community. And regardless of how good they are, I feel a slight obligation to stand up to the bullies (in this case YOU and {WG}) to tell them to pick on someone their own size.

jschultz9 wrote on Sat, 11 November 2006 18:05And for your information we played the no.11 ranked clan on clanwars.cc called l33t if you must know.

Oh and we won also since your so curious.. I believe it as Stereo and TsKizzle that played them.

Any more information you think you need to know Sean?

You should be spending more time playing clanwars than arguing with some clan about a war that will never happen. And to make my point perfectly clear I would like to also point out that I first asked TRPM to stop this nonsense.

jschultz9 wrote on Sat, 11 November 2006 18:05 So if your so worried about this thread dragging out, why dont you just quit reading or quit posting on it, then it wont effect you.

TRPM is my business. I'm not going anywhere.

jschultz9 wrote on Sat, 11 November 2006 18:05 I do recall you having a little spam thread with rencorner, You just kept it going and going and going as alot of us others did as well, kinda like this topic.

So try following your own advice before offering anymore to anyone else.

It wasn't a spam thread. That was a "community versus community" issue that was surrounded by challenges of character and gaming ethic. Not which clan has bigger balls. It was a valid flamewar thread, unlike this. The first 10 posts ... maybe. But now you're just itching for any taste of blood you can get. Just pack up your "we beat the 11th ranking clan on clanwars.cc" clan ass and go practice for #10 and leave TRPM alone. FFS this is gay!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 06:27:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Just pack up your "we beat the 11th ranking clan on clanwars.cc" clan ass and go practice for #10 and leave TRPM alone. FFS this is gay!

that wasnt a bragging comment Sean, it was answering your question smart ass.

You think your opinon carrys weight here, thats your opinon, and franky couldnt give a shit either way. Until Crimson tells me otherwise, Ill post in this thread as much as I damn well please, and there isnt shit that YOU can do about it, so save your little fingers the trouble of typing.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 07:16:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Sat, 11 November 2006 23:45 He told me to back away from wall turn and type something, thats what I did.

Either way I could care less. They lost the map, all there was to it. The guy stood still like a n00b and got killed, sorry but you don't need a cheat to shoot someone standing still like a retard. Are you retarded? I said at least 3 times do NOT turn around or move. And it wasn't about 1 time where someone was killed. Throughout the whole series we were in ts talking about your pistol. The only thing was that you would never miss a shot on someone's head with a pistol, yet you were pretty terrible at every other aspect of the game. We were pretty much molesting you every other map, on field we were killing your arties with hummers and tib sydneyes, and we were chem trooper rushing on Under. You wouldn't hit anyone for a while, and then suddenly you'd get 3-4 headshots at once.

I'm pretty sure standing still and not turning while making a smiley face is not very hard for other people, dunno why it was so hard for you.

Its also funny how you suddenly decided to get angry and leave the game after I tried to explain the test to you, then came back 5 minutes later willing to take the test.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [GoTWhiskÉY](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 07:58:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

removed link to post that mentions name of cheat
Jshaltz cheats...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 08:02:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

correction:
removed link to post that mentions name of cheat
removed link to post that mentions name of cheat

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [DaN#GW](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:21:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It was pretty obvious he was using that against us when he played us on Gamespy..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 12:03:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're a real dick jschultz. The fact that you got caught 100% proof and you're still unwilling to admit you cheated. Just like the guy I caught. Why don't you just save some dignity (What's left of it after being caught cheating, flooding servers [just for your server to get more people, LMFAO])

Troop02 is dumb, but you're just an asshole. I don't know how much more pathetic you can get besides flooding a server just to get a few people on your server, to saying you're good at this game and it turns out you have to cheat to be decent at such a pathetically easy game to learn. Even then, the only thing you're good at is pistoling and every thing else you're just utterly terrible at, but as we now know (well, we knew/guessed it before, but this is the final icing on the cake), that your pistol isn't good, it's just your cheating. Didn't you say you were quitting renegade, by the way? I have an idea, why don't you grow up and just admit it, least ways you'll gain some small amount of credibility for at least people to start trusting you (which I wouldn't recommend to anyone)

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 13:24:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So much for jschultz going clean. :\

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 13:40:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HAHA you fucking n00b - more bs from {WG} and sm0ke, looks like your clan really is more gay than TRPM, at least they can still play clanwars if they actually wanted to.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 13:50:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow.

My Pistol skills > Sm0ke's.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 14:43:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 07:23Get off the whole me cheating thing man, cuz it didnt happen.

So if you wanna play, then we will play, same goes for TRPM, if you dont want to, thats fine to. But dont keep going with this whole im cheating shit, when screen shots are clean and you have ZERO proof of anything.

I DO NOT CHEAT!!!!

accept it and move on Wiggles.

LOL!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 14:58:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Does something like this get you banned from RG?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:10:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ofcourse it does.

TRPRM > jschultz9

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [JPNOD](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:29:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wow, how low can you go..

And its unbelievable that there is still people trying to kill this game by creating cheats and stuff, like it isnt dead enough already.. sad realy.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:50:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What makes me laugh is they went 1-4 that series. Hi, we played the 11th best clan at clanwars.cc, used cheats, and managed to win one game out of five. Put that on your CV.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 16:00:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so how many games is that total?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 16:06:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Sun, 05 November 2006 16:53 That would be like me bringing in Spoony to play as {WG} when he isnt in the clan to play in a clan war.
How about you don't say my name from this point onward, hmmm?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [GoTWhiskÉY](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 16:21:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

5

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:32:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.thewargrounds.com/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&t=122>

Another clan bites the dust?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:45:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sad part is he still denies it :\

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [troopm02](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 18:23:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow this is gold, another disgrace towards {WG}, I always knew they cheating althou even some of you in this thread denied it *cough*alex*cough*, frist it was atmoic, then another clan brought up some more names, now the leader? ROFL

btw, this was just posted on thier forums:

Reply with quote

PostPosted: Thu Nov 09, 2006 6:49 pm Post subject:

who gives a fuck on wat goes there all that matters is wat happens in game and wat happens is ur claned gettin owned and btw i hope u love cheatin on ur server cause i have proof of u doing it a nice video of my flamer blowing up thx to on or u members yet no one decided to care to look it up on IRC but ill show it on renforums when i get the video finished (combine it with other times i cause TRPM cheating) then we will c whos clan is more respected

^2nd in command posted that, ROFL, GG {WG}

Any way I think we can all say that {WG} truely was ren's worst clan EVER

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 20:20:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wouldn't get on your high horse, troopr02.

Just let this one go, and you keep your nose clean -- and your affairs in order.

As for {WG}: Any of the non-cheaters are always welcome to hang out in tsugaming like you were before my argument with sm0ke.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 01:33:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't worry, schultz will be back under a new name which we will know very quickly.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 10:24:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Troop, your a idiot, Atomic was banned from a server, But why did I see him in that server he was supposedly banned from? Maybe he wasn't cheating after all?

I talked to him on msn and he pretty much explained everything, I think you should do the same.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 13:34:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Sun, 12 November 2006 19:33 Don't worry, schultz will be back under a new name which we will know very quickly.
is he going to be banned from RG?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 15:25:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alex wrote on Mon, 13 November 2006 06:24 Troop, your a idiot, Atomic was banned from a server, But why did I see him in that server he was supposedly banned from? Maybe he wasn't cheating after all?

I talked to him on msn and he pretty much explained everything, I think you should do the same.
go talk to the people at xphaze they got the logs..

and appearently he left this game completly, but he has said that before so..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:52:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MrWiggles wrote on Mon, 13 November 2006 14:34 Crimson wrote on Sun, 12 November 2006 19:33 Don't worry, schultz will be back under a new name which we will know very quickly.
is he going to be banned from RG?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 21:04:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 13 November 2006 11:52 MrWiggles wrote on Mon, 13 November 2006 14:34 Crimson wrote on Sun, 12 November 2006 19:33 Don't worry, schultz will be back under a new name which we will know very quickly.
is he going to be banned from RG?

Bearing in mind this hack comes as part of a renguard bypass I cannot see why not.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 21:07:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr02 wrote on Mon, 13 November 2006 09:25 Alex wrote on Mon, 13 November 2006 06:24 Troop, your a idiot, Atomic was banned from a server, But why did I see him in that server he was supposedly banned from? Maybe he wasn't cheating after all?

I talked to him on msn and he pretty much explained everything, I think you should do the same.

go talk to the people at xphaze they got the logs..

and apparently he left this game completly, but he has said that before so..

Tbh I've said "omg i'm leaveing Ren" a few times, I still play becuae Mabey I just miss the Stank soo much?

Don't believe everything you see, Troop.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Dave](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 21:51:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lets stop this now! We have our proof that he/she cheats. quit it just play the game without cheats makes it much funneR

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TskizZL3](#) on Wed, 15 Nov 2006 22:09:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troop how are u still talking shit after you got a member who wasnt even in your clan to play against smoke that says alot.

i take it u feared playin him well its ok we know the truth now u truley are the biggest clanwar dodger in the game of renegade today ! everyone give it up for the troop clan the biggest mouths with no game play to back it up!

wow and u talk about disgrace if i played ur your clan i would have slit my own throat by now you dodging wars everytime is about as disgracing to your clan as smoke cheating was to wg so man up! how u like them apples u clan war dodger!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Wed, 15 Nov 2006 22:52:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah how u like em?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Wed, 15 Nov 2006 23:13:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TsKiZzL3 wrote on Wed, 15 November 2006 17:09: troop how are u still talking shit after you got a member who wasnt even in your clan to play against smoke that says alot. i take it u feared playin him well its ok we know the truth now u truley are the biggest clanwar dodger in the game of renegade today ! everyone give it up for the troop clan the biggest mouths with no game play to back it up! wow and u talk about disgrace if i played ur your clan i would have slit my own throat by now you dodging wars everytime is about as disgracing to your clan as smoke cheating was to wg so man up! how u like them apples u clan war dodger! So... You're saying that dodging clanwars is just as bad as cheating in clanwars? Hmm.. I think trooprpm is a tard, yeah, but I'm starting to think you might be more of one than he is. ;\

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TsKiZzL3](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 02:04:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i said almost i know its a bigger disgrace but so is dodging every clanwar you are offered. and then you challenge someone but get someone else to play for you. you do not find this messed up?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprpm02](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 02:33:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TsKiZzL3 wrote on Wed, 15 November 2006 16:09: i would have slit my own throat

^you need help you emo n00b, but this time sm0ke's cheating self cant help ya, ur clan is disgraced (AGAIN, ROFL) and dead, so just move on

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TsKiZzL3](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:30:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troop your a straight up faggot i dont listen to emo. im actually in a hiphop group. and if u dont think your disgracing your self by talkin all this shit n not bakin it up your the noob u had someone else play for you cause you know you couldnt win plain n simple. your clan is a joke i could mop the floor with every one of you goofs! no fuckin man up and play my team you friggin dodger grow some balls anytime any server and i dont care get clanwars guys cause i know u wont play players from your real clan cause there all

NOOBS! bring it homo!

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:46:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ill cw u, tonight sound good?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 15:26:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TsKiZzL3 wrote on Thu, 16 November 2006 06:30every one of you goofs!

wow...now thats offensive

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 19:49:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Thu, 16 November 2006 03:33TsKiZzL3 wrote on Wed, 15 November 2006 16:09i would have slit my own throat

^you need help you emo n00b, but this time sm0ke's cheating self cant help ya, ur clan is disgraced (AGAIN, ROFL) and dead, so just move on

He hasn't got a clan. WG is dead.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 20:07:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Thu, 16 November 2006 09:26TsKiZzL3 wrote on Thu, 16 November 2006 06:30every one of you goofs!

wow...now thats offensive

tbh, it does seem to be taking things too far:

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TsKiZzL3](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 21:36:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wiggles did i say i wanted to clan war you no i called out troop are u his protector or butt buddy? fuckin man up troop quit gettin other people to fight your battles your rep is shit anyways what u got to loose?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 21:49:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TsKiZzL3 wrote on Thu, 16 November 2006 22:36wiggles did i say i wanted to clan war you no i called out troop are u his protector or butt buddy? fuckin man up troop quit gettin other people to fight your battles your rep is shit anyways what u got to loose?

His clan members?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:31:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wiggles = troopr member...

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:44:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

actually im not in troopr anymore, i was just asking him for a cw.. he's calling 02 a dodger, so i wanted to see what he'd say if someone else asked him for a cw, hypocrit much?

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 15:26:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MrWiggles wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 14:44 actually im not in trooprpm anymore, i was just asking him for a cw.. he's calling 02 a dodger, so i wanted to see what he'd say if someone else asked him for a cw, hypocrit much?
But you know 02 is all mouth and no action, so don't back him up.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 19:10:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

11/16/2006 6:40:30 PM -1 fl00d3d we are 10/2 atm
11/16/2006 6:40:35 PM -1 fl00d3d how do u not win CW's?
11/16/2006 6:40:46 PM -1 fl00d3d ive got all the SS to prove we are 10/2...

This is a conversation between myself (fl00d3d) and trooprpm02 (-1) yesterday.

I've asked trooprpm02 to keep his cool and he still stands to the fact that he's pro and told me that they're 10 wins 2 losses; so.....since I think this has got retarded and since I dont like being lied to.....here is a fact I'd like to be shared so that it can be discussed. If its true, then more power to ya. If not, I don't like being lied to -_-

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TskIzZL3](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 19:46:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i gotta say one more thing yes wiggles i will play you 1 on 1 anytime. i added you to msn but doesnt seem your on my msn is tskillz36@hotmail.com add me. i would love to play you or troop or anyone else 1 on 1 anytime. i dont dodge wars cause in the end this is a video game and i know for a fact all of us have lost games before so i aint got shit to loose lets do this.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 20:21:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 20:10Quote:
11/16/2006 6:40:30 PM -1 fl00d3d we are 10/2 atm
11/16/2006 6:40:35 PM -1 fl00d3d how do u not win CW's?
11/16/2006 6:40:46 PM -1 fl00d3d ive got all the SS to prove we are 10/2...

This is a conversation between myself (fl00d3d) and trooprpm02 (-1) yesterday.

I've asked trooprm02 to keep his cool and he still stands to the fact that he's pro and told me that they're 10 wins 2 losses; so.....since I think this has got retarded and since I dont like being lied to.....here is a fact I'd like to be shared so that it can be discussed. If its true, then more power to ya. If not, I don't like being lied to -_-

Flood3d, give up while your still ahead. All i said was stop hiding behind wiggles and i get this.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [TsKiZzL3](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 20:27:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

man dont you get it they ban everyone who is better than them from there server so it makes them look better plain n simple.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 20:49:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

even im banned from the trooprm server... i beat up on 18 and 15 all the time and they get mad and ban me, this time i think its for good

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [JPNOD](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 22:11:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nice sfps..

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 23:00:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey you were banned for saying we a dodgy clan, =clan disrespect=ban, what do ya expect? some ice cream?

btw, fl00d3d, we are not 10/2 anymore, we are 12/2 after betting R*R, another WOL clan that is part of TSU

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 23:31:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JPNOD wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 16:11Nice sfps..

According to Troop 30 sfps is better than 60.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Atomicway](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:00:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sniper_De7 wrote on Sat, 18 November 2006 00:31JPNOD wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 16:11Nice sfps..

According to Troop 30 sfps is better than 60.

According to Troop and number lower than another is better.

Eg: Oh You have 90 fps. LOL mine is better, Mine is 30 hehehehehehehheheheeeheheheheheh

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 03:20:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.tsunami-alliance.com/trpmclan/Intro.swf>

I thought that was funny as hell, Rofl.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 04:48:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 18:00Acey you were banned for saying we a dodgy clan, =clan disrespect=ban, what do ya expect? some ice cream?

btw, fl00d3d, we are not 10/2 anymore, we are 12/2 after betting R*R, another WOL clan that is part of TSU

Oh, so you count it per map? So that means you've won 12 maps and lost 2 maps (not 12 wins

against a clan and 2 losses against a clan). I see...

Plus I thought I told you not to clanwar R*R? They just formed their two-man clan like a day ago (literally) and they did it for fun. Not to mention the leader (which is the only guy you played in your clanwar, aka a 1v1) hasn't played Renegade regularly for almost 2-3 years. I told you R*R wasn't a good idea for a clanwar, yet you set them up for loss then bragged about it. Not very nice. Not very pro. -_- And definitely not worth bragging out.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [MrWiggles](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 07:34:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alex wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 21:20<http://www.tsunami-alliance.com/trpmclan/Intro.swf>

I thought that was funny as hell, Rofl.
renegade requires the upmost bravery

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 10:41:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Sat, 18 November 2006 00:00Acey you were banned for saying we a dodgy clan, =clan disrespect=ban, what do ya expect? some ice cream?

btw, fl00d3d, we are not 10/2 anymore, we are 12/2 after betting R*R, another WOL clan that is part of TSU
Ummmm, you obviously don't understand english then.

Dan asked for a 2v2, you dodged saying you were busy..although you're in the server. Then you run in a straight line at me so i can pistol you pretty easily. I say why don't you stop hiding behind others(wiggles)and play us. Then the ban comes.

Its hardly disrespect, considering NO CLAN respects your sorry excuse for a clan.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 18:56:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MrWiggles wrote on Sat, 18 November 2006 08:34Alex wrote on Fri, 17 November 2006 21:20<http://www.tsunami-alliance.com/trpmclan/Intro.swf>

I thought that was funny as hell, Rofl.
renegade requires the upmost bravery

Indeed.

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [mripirate](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 19:16:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that fucking animation is depressing

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 19:20:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

note on the animation its STRATEGIES not stratagies

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 20:12:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just watched the intro [that I never knew was there]...

Interesting cinematics, but the game is made to look like you're volunteering for WWII.

Btw, using a sbh to steal tanks in a clanwar requires a lot of bravery tbh. (that was a joke, btw)

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 21:13:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes of course we have our record by maps, to me its seems weird to have a statistic on how many different clan you played vs how maps you won/lost.

Acey, wow...apparently your english skills are not the best, if you read the server rules="admin/mod/clan disrespect=ban"...

I didn't even say anything at first, you start your shit and didn't expect to be banned?

btw, fl00d3d they asked us first about it, 2vs2 tho, 14 went afk, so i asked them for 1vs1 And those games i wassn't playing with gunner (the guy who has been away for like 2/3 years), but with renplaya, who says he is better than gunner

Subject: Re: [+TRPM+] VS {WG}

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 22:12:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Sat, 18 November 2006 22:13

Acey, wow...apparently your english skills are not the best,

haha.

You are an idiot.
