

---

Subject: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 17:11:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Justin Schultz (aka {WG}sm0ke) is up to his bullshit again, apparently.  
Let me explain:

About a month ago I recognized that Crimson had cut Justin a break and gave him a chance to clean up his act. She gave him access to the private server owners forum (which he still has to the best of my knowledge). I figured that was enough for me ... plus his persistent promises that he was done flooding. He said that his clan was interested in joining my community. When I explained the details of the community structure he said he would discuss it with his members, vote on it, and get back to me with a decision.

About a week ago Nightma12 and I pursued an option to silence "teh pwnerer" who owns RenCorner and try to get the 04 nickname that he's been using. We spoke with Kamuix and worked out a deal. The deal was as follows:  
"The 04 nickname will be property of The Tsunami-Alliance for no less than six months. Kamuix will be compensated with a dedicated server."

Justin said "don't worry I have this covered" and volunteered to cover the expenses of this server. He already had a dedi paid for and just handed it over to Kamuix so that we could get this rolling quickly. He said he was doing it because of his mutual hatred for "teh pwnerer". He also said his clan would be joining The Tsunami-Alliance.

This past week went by, no apparent problems ... pretty quiet on all fronts, actually. I had created the forums for {WG} to use as well as a teamspeak channel for them to use on our community teamspeak. I gave sm0ke SA.

A day or two ago {WG} played #GW clan and there was some drama between them saying "{WG} cheated" and "#GW flooded our server". I never saw proof of either but I warned Justin that cheating would not be tolerated in Tsunami. Though I do tend to believe #GW more than I would trust {WG} all things considered. It was also at this time that I confronted sm0ke and said something close to "look man you need to have your members using our forums and teamspeak otherwise it defeats the purpose of joining the community" - cuz they were still using their old forums. He told me that he wouldn't force them to do anything, would not shut down their forums, etc.

I said, you guys voted on this and agreed if you can't hold your end of the bargain I will shut down your forums and teamspeak channel (took his SA) and when you're ready ... you can join. I made it VERY clear that it was not personal. I just cannot compromise the structure of my community by making exceptions. He seemed OK with it and said he would talk to his

members.

Yesterday, Cpt.Howdy of \_OZ\_ who has been helping him with their website and is also my new webmaster told me that Justin said I "did him wrong". I had no idea wtf he was talking about so I asked him. Justin assured me that he never said that and that there were no problems.

Today, I wake up to find that Justin told Kamuix to reset the password so that he could use it on his server -- or he'd shut down the box he gave him. So now 04 is running on {WG}The Wargrounds AOW/CCM.

This nickname is property of my community and I am declaring it as STOLEN. The original agreement is that the nick is property of Tsunami Alliance in exchange for a server. Under NO circumstances was it said that if Justin decided to pull his funding/box that the nick would become his. Nightma12 and I will provide Kamuix with a box since Justin has decided not to. But that does NOT make the nickname his.

I gave him a chance to be a part of our community. I gave him confidence where many others would not. And this is how he "does me wrong".

Tell me, Justin ... where did I do YOU wrong? What justifies:  
--You lying to me about everything being ok  
--You stealing a nickname that you know is not your property

I have a feeling this was premeditated and done intentionally. Justin has always been looking for a way to forward his community/server and I think this was just another plot to do just that. Why else would he want to join the community - but not actually join it ... at the same time that this nickname deal was going down? Why else would he volunteer hundreds of dollars "just cuz"? This is really low and unexpected.

I have countless MSN conversations and IRC logs backing up every conversation him and I have had. I also have Crimson as my witness to the 04 nickname agreement.

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 17:58:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

not to worried about it f100d3d, you wanna go after Howdy. go for it. You tried burning me, but it didnt work. Go for revenge, Now after seeing the crap you pull, your no better then matix(teh-pwnerer)

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:28:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oh, please do explain. You stole a nick and have a history of flooding people's servers.

I've never stolen a nick and I've never flooded anyone's servers. I spoke with Cpt.Howdy yesterday because I knew you were scheming something.

Be thankful that I haven't made my post on these forums about your shit yet. Cuz if I do, you'd be flamed as bad as Matix. I gave you a chance to be honest with me and instead you chose to lie. You're in a poor situation right now and it would be in your best interest to make things right. I never did you wrong - you did yourself wrong. And I have plenty of logs and Crimson to prove that.

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans  
Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:36:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Justin, can you please PM me with what the hell has happened??

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:36:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well considering Ive already spoken to crimson fl00d3d. I dont foresee that being of much help.

1. I paid for the box that got the nick.
  2. I setup the deal with Kam.
  3. I didnt get what was suppoed to be given to me from you guys.
  4. I took the nick back.
- 

Subject: Re: To all the clans  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:40:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 14:36Well considering Ive already spoken to crimson fl00d3d. I dont foresee that being of much help.

1. I paid for the box that got the nick.

2. I setup the deal with Kam.

3. I didnt get what was suppoed to be given to me from you guys.

4. I took the nick back.

1. The deal that CRIMSON WITNESSED was that Tsunami-Alliance owns the 04 nickname for no less than six months and Kamuix would be compensated with a dedicated server.

2. You volunteered to pay for the box. Thanks. Now you don't want to - fine, but thats not YOUR nick. Sorry, pal. It was VERY clearly worded to be property of Tsunami in front of Crimson and Kamuix. You did not set up the deal, you were a part of the deal. And now I see why.

3. What didn't you get from us? Please share with the community. Also, while you're at it, please explain why you told me just yesterday that you never said "I did you wrong" and that everything was OK. I'd like an answer for that. ALSO please tell me when you EVER had a complaint about something or tried to resolve it with Nightma12 or I? Oh wait, you didn't.

4. You stole the nick. The nick it Tsunami's and you grabbed Kamuix's nuts by threatening to shut down his server if he didnt reset the nick. Kamuix will be getting the box we promised him and we will be getting OUR nickname back.

Thief.

---

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans

Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:42:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why are you guys posting this here?  
And this is all over a nick? Lol?

---

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans

Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:46:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What was you promised?

28 player server hosted for free under the 0e nick (as far as i was aware)

you asked me on MSN to set u up FTP a few days ago, which i did, what else was offered that i was unaware of?

EDIT: and yes, this needs to be put into another topic

---

---

Subject: Re: To all the clans  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:48:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lee, even IF there was something that he wasn't happy with ... why didn't he say something? I gotta hear this reponse, its going to be good.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [Dave](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 18:56:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

its like he didnt got what he wanted and then because he didnt got what he wanted took TSUNAMI'S 04 NICK away. Thats low by the ground. Lame action of you. But thats not my problem although i play on that server often. You are fucking people who like to play on renunderground server ! Thanks these guys (sm0ke, Matix etc) are fucking up renegade for people. I hope you will get punished. Because i heard this is not the only thing you have done to people.

Little thief get friends ...

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:17:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

First post edited

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:23:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

in order for it to be TSU nick, they have to pay for the box. Which fl00ded didnt do. I pay for the box, I was being nice trying to help fl00d3d out.

I will not turn this into a flame fest like it did with matix, Fl00d3d you can pull are your little TSU guys in ehre flame me all you like, Threaten to make me look bad by Sending me PMS on here.

I DO NOT CARE. You want the nick 04 so bad? You used me to get it from matix. It just didnt work out the way you wanted it to. Now your pissed.

Get over it. I broke no Rules what so ever. Theres no LEGAL binding Contract between you and Kam. Theres a deal, a box for a nick. I own the box, he owns the nick. Plain and simple. I was being nice trying to help you out.

Quote:I never did you wrong and you fucking know it. I reccommend you get your ass on MSN

and explain what the hell all of this is about before it makes you look bad. I never made the post I intended to make because I got a hold of Crimson and I was trying to deal with it silently. I've been asking you for days if something was wrong and you said no. Now I found out that was a lie and you're backstabbing me by stealing Tsunami's property. This will not turn out pretty for you if you're trying to make a run for it. Trust me. I gave you my trust and now you're spitting on it just like the little kid "matix". YOU are the one that is no different. You need to speak with me liek I've been trying to do for the last couple of days, come clean, say what is on your mind, and do what is right. The nickname is property of Tsunami. Crimson witnessed that. And we will hold our end of the bargain. But since you gave us NO warning, you pressured Kamuix into working with you -- and everyone sees that. It will all be cleared up very soon...

Your little PM doesnt worry me fl00d3d. Like I said fl;ame me all you like, Bring up the past. I dont give a shit.

Quote:Today, I wake up to find that Justin told Kamuix to reset the password so that he could use it on his server -- or he'd shut down the box he gave him. So now 04 is running on {WG}The Wargrounds AOW/CCM.

I never once gave kam an option of that sort  
I simply spoke with him, told him the situation and had him reset the password for the server.

The owner of the nick, changed the password and handed me the nick in trade for the box I gave him. So where u stand in this situation is nowhere fl00d3d.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:40:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Based on what I've seen posted here, is that the nick goes back to Kamuix.

Quote:Theres no LEGAL binding Contract between you and Kam.

Consideration was exchanged, so there was a legal contract.

From what I've seen the contract was among TA, jshultz and kamuix. TA gets use of the nick, in exchange for the box, which was to be provided by jshultz. The person who pays for the box does not get the nick, as it was not part of the agreement.

jshultz, you may have been doing something nice for fl00ded, but you screwed yourself here. As long as Kamuix has the box, TA gets the nick; as per that "deal" (all deals are contracts, by the way) you stated.

The only two problems now, is whether or not Kamuix gets any of the blame for (innocently or not) breaking the contract, and how any correction of this breach will be enforced.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:43:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I am inclined to agree with you, warranto. I "witnessed" the agreement and Kamuix specifically said that the deal was made between himself and Tsunami. If jschultz is unwilling to pay for the server, then Kam should allow Tsunami a reasonable amount of time to replace the server from their end of the deal before breaking it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:46:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Little snip from a convo with Crimson:

Quote: 15 [ Crimson 15 ] but if the deal with you and fl00ded doesn't work out then you are the one with the power since you are paying for the box  
15 [ sm0ke 15 ] I didnt wanna get screwed, and use the nick, then get banned for "breaching" a contract fo some sort  
15 [ sm0ke 15 ] lol  
15 [ sm0ke 15 ] k  
15 [ sm0ke 15 ] thats what I thought  
15 [ Crimson 15 ] There's no legal binding

Crimson can of course check her logs to see if its edited, which it isnt.

There is no legal binding contract. Kam was trading the nick for a box, which is Controlled by me. Kam and I and Lee will be getting together this afternoon to work this all out.

Since fl00d3d cant maintain a simple conversation with me and Lee in a MSN confrenece.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:52:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfortunately, there is a legally binding contract.

Consideration was exchanged; TA got the nick, Kamuix got the box. That is what makes it a contract. Unless you can prove someone was mentally challenged, or there was no meeting of the minds, then it is legally binding.

Quote:Kam was trading the nick for a box

Kamuix was trading a nick TO TA, for a box. removing the parties involved doesn't make you

---



more right.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:55:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oct 18 15:28:43 <Kamuix> Me and tsunami are making a deal for the A00000004 nickname , I have promised him the nickname for at least 6 months, as he promised me a server and a few other things

He said the deal was with Tsunami. Tsunami should have the opportunity to pay for the dedicated server in the deal if jschultz is no longer willing to do so.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:56:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

exactly, so you cant remove me from it either warranto.

because without me there was no deal period. This situation wouldnt even be here. The host name would still be in the hands of Matix(teh-pwnerer)

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:59:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well end result is that its up to Kam, ill respect Kams decision. Its his nick. So he will have to choose who gets the nick.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:03:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 15:56 exactly, so you cant remove me from it either warranto.

because without me there was no deal period. This situation wouldnt even be here. The host name would still be in the hands of Matix(teh-pwnerer)

---



The contract is with Kamuix and Tsunami. The agreement you and Tsunami had was NOT directly related to the deal with Kamuix and Tsunami. Therefore, you are NOT entitled to the nickname because you paid for it.

However, I'm wondering that if you took the server out from underneath Kam if it would then break the contract between him and Tsunami, allowing for you to make a deal with Kam for the nickname.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:07:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jshultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 13:56 exactly, so you cant remove me from it either warranto.

because without me there was no deal period. This situation wouldnt even be here. The host name would still be in the hands of Matix(teh-pwnerer)

Exactly, which is why I said that the nick should go back to Kamuix. TA is no longer able to provide the box, therefore the contract is void. You don't automatically get it simply because you are paying for the box.

Quote:However, I'm wondering that if you took the server out from underneath Kam if it would then break the contract between him and Tsunami, allowing for you to make a deal with Kam for the nickname.

Correct. Providing that what we see here is the entire story.

Underhanded, yes... but very much able.

Edit: just to make a clarification:

So long as TA (through jshultz) is providing Kamuix with a box, they get the use of the name. That's what the arguement is about: Kamuix is still getting the use of the box that TA agreed to provide, but no longer has the nick.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jshultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:12:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK heres how the whole MSN deal went down.

I approached Kam. While I was in with fl00d3d. I made the deal with Kam for the box. Kam came

back to me and said fl00d3d isnt offering him a box, hes offering him webhosting and some other misc. stuff. I said I would trade the box for the nick, so fl00d3d can use it for Renunderground. This is all in connection with me and fl00d3d and Nightmas agreement. Which Was a0000000e, and a 28 player server, and Admin with TSU, and A part in the hosting services with TSU and aohost, as well as fl00d3d making the next payment.

Sean was told it was due on the 24th. He made no payment for the box thats is used to trade for the nick. I did not get admin, did not get in with the hosting.

I approached Kam, as well as Crimson. had lengthy discussions with them both. fl00d3d did not hold up to his end of the deal with me. SO Kam and I spoke, and he likes the server hes on, wants to keep it. SO since Kam was witness to the original deal, where I was involved. Kam knows I made the original deal.

fl00d3d and TSU did not offer him a server box. I did, and I allowed fl00d3d to use the nick for renunderground. I asked fl00d3d if he wanted me there to make the deal with Kam in front of Crimson, he said no. Now I see why.

But end result like I said before. Its up to Kam, you may have scammed and ran Matix in the ground fl00d3d, all for this nickname. But you wouldnt have ever gotten this nick if it wasnt for me.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [Dave](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:12:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

but you guys made a deal that it goes to TA ... And they didnt forced you to give kamuix a box. You did it yourself. So if i watch from that point of few i think the nick belongs to TA...

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If fl00d3d didn't hold up his end of the agreement with you, then he voids your contract. In doing so, you have no agreement to keep letting Kamuix use the box, allowing you to take it from him, thus voiding his and TA's contract. If both of those incidents took place, then you having the nick is neither morally or legally wrong, in my eyes.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:16:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d failed to make his payment on the box, I kept the box online, made the payment, kam was able to keep his servers and everything online. Without having to switch everything to a new box.

Kam agreed to this, as it was in his best interest since fl00d3d didn't make his payment to me for the box.

I could have shut down the box, and left everyone sitting high and dry, but I didn't, I made things easier for Kam and good for me. Sorry fl00d3d, but I don't fork out cash of 200 a month to get screwed over. You don't pay me, I'll let the box go offline, Kam loses his stuff, you lose nick. I get Kam a new box, I get nick.

All has the same end result. Just without all the extra leg work.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:20:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:but you guys made a deal that it goes to TA ... And they didn't force you to give kamuix a box. You did it yourself. So if I watch from that point of view I think the nick belongs to TA...

Considering you're a member of the renunderground team. It's no wonder you're here to defend your leader.

But I'm not gonna bring members of {WG} here to argue my case. Because I don't need them to. I know where I stand. That is that I did the right thing by letting Kam keep the box, making the payment for the box. Taking over the nick instead of going through all the shit of shutting the box down, making Kam move his files, and install everything on a new box.

Unlike fl00d3d, I'm not gonna have guys from my community come run and argue a case they weren't involved in.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:25:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfortunately, missing the extra leg work is where his argument holds up.

Now, I agree that what you did, essentially, was the shortcut to what would have happened/will happen. Unfortunately, it's the "wrong" way of going about it.

Now, I'm sure people can understand why the average person is told to consult a lawyer before entering into a contract. Just based on what was posted here I've found numerous holes available to both sides.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:27:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:25 Unfortunately, missing the extra leg work is where his argument holds up.

Now, I agree that what you did, essentially, was the shortcut to what would have happened/will happen. Unfortunately, it's the "wrong" way of going about it.

Now, I'm sure people can understand why the average person is told to consult a lawyer before entering into a contract. Just based on what was posted here I've found numerous holes available to both sides.

That's what's annoying about law. Semantics are very very important. One small hole can ruin someone's argument whether or not they're morally correct. In the eyes of government, morality means nothing.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:36:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oh, morality means something, as long as you go about it the right way.

(spoiler alert)

Had jshultz informed TA about him no longer officially supporting the use of the box by Kamiux for TA's benefit, but was going to keep it up due to the hardship that would have been placed on Kamiux to have to take everything down, only to put it back up again, things would be different.

Jshultz had no reason to keep the box up for TA, other than reasons of being nice (on of those holes I was talking about... nothing to prevent him from backing out), but technically the box involved in the agreement was still being used as part of the agreement, so simply walking away would not have done it.

But then, this is simply my opinion. Don't expect it to be a valid defense. I'm not a lawyer after all.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:40:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I kept the box online for Kam to amke things easy for him. I wasnt gonna put Kam in a bad way just to suit fl00d3d or anyone else. this wasnt Kams fault, therefore he shouldnt be punished for something he didnt do.

Thats my way of looking at it. If you dont like it, im sorry. Im not gonna punish Kam for renting a

nick.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:43:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Unfortunately, or rather, legally, that should have been taken care of in the initial agreement.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:45:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:40I kept the box online for Kam to amke things easy for him. I wasnt gonna put Kam in a bad way just to suit fl00d3d or anyone else. this wasnt Kams fault, therefore he shouldnt be punished for something he didnt do.

Thats my way of looking at it. If you dont like it, im sorry. Im not gonna punish Kam for renting a nick.

We don't think what you've done is morally wrong. However, in the eyes of law, what you did was just keep the agreement between TA and Kamuix valid. In order for it to have been voided, you would have had to let it "hurt" Kamuix for a short period of time. Hell, even one minute probably would be sufficient, if you didn't have any agreements as to how long the box could be down for until it voided the contract.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:50:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The agreement dont matter now  
he lost the box.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:54:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 15:23in order for it to be TSU nick, they have to pay for the box. Which fl00ded didnt do. I pay for the box, I was being nice trying to help fl00d3d out.

I will not turn this into a flame fest like it did with matix, f100d3d you can pull are your little TSU guys in ehre flame me all you like, Threaten to make me look bad by Sending me PMS on here.

I DO NOT CARE. You want the nick 04 so bad? You used me to get it from matix. It just didnt work out the way you wanted it to. Now your pissed.

Get over it. I broke no Rules what so ever. Theres no LEGAL binding Contract between you and Kam. Theres a deal, a box for a nick. I own the box, he owns the nick. Plain and simple. I was being nice trying to help you out.

Quote:I never did you wrong and you fucking know it. I reccommend you get your ass on MSN and explain what the hell all of this is about before it makes you look bad. I never made the post I intended to make because I got a hold of Crimson and I was trying to deal with it silently. I've been asking you for days if something was wrong and you said no. Now I found out that was a lie and you're backstabbing me by stealing Tsunami's property. This will not turn out pretty for you if you're trying to make a run for it. Trust me. I gave you my trust and now you're spitting on it just like the little kid "matix". YOU are the one that is no different. You need to speak with me liek I've been trying to do for the last couple of days, come clean, say what is on your mind, and do what is right. The nickname is property of Tsunami. Crimson witnessed that. And we will hold our end of the bargain. But since you gave us NO warning, you pressured Kamuix into working with you -- and everyone sees that. It will all be cleared up very soon...

Your little PM doesnt worry me f100d3d. Like I said fl;ame me all you like, Bring up the past. I dont give a shit.

Quote:Today, I wake up to find that Justin told Kamuix to reset the password so that he could use it on his server -- or he'd shut down the box he gave him. So now 04 is running on {WG}The Wargrounds AOW/CCM.

I never once gave kam an option of that sort  
I simply spoke with him, told him the situation and had him reset the password for the server.

The owner of the nick, changed the password and handed me the nick in trade for the box I gave him. So where u stand in this situation is nowhere f100d3d.

Wrong again. As Crimson will verify ... the agreement was between Kamuix and Tsunami. NEVER were you involved other than you volunteering to give Kamuix your box in exchange for us hosting your server and the E nick. Which you did get. What you should have done is told us that you were not happy with something, and given us a chance to give Kamuix the box we promised him. That was the agreement and I still intend to stand by it ... even though you put Kamuix in a poor situation ... we will make this situation right. The nick was given to Tsunami in exchange for a box which you so kindly volunteered to pay for. And now you're taking it back so that you can pressure Kamuix into giving YOU the nick. But what you do not realize is, the agreement which was witness by a XWIS administrator is between Tsunami-Alliance and Kamuix. Not you.

I have proven to Crimson that the deal was between our community and Kamuix. I have proven to Crimson that you offered to give him your server that you already had paid for and up and

running to 'help us out'. And that all you wanted in return was the E nickname and a 28 player server - which you got. If you decided that you weren't happy with something, the adult thing to do would be to tell "Tsunami" and give them time to find Kamuix a new box since you didn't want to offer yours anymore. Instead you saw an oppportunity to exploit Kamuix.

No one is dumb here. And I also offered you numerous changes to discuss this with me privately on MSN before and after all of "this" happened and you refused. I think we both know why ... thief.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:55:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That may be, but as per the original argument that we were interceding on,

Quote:This nickname is property of my community and I am declaring it as STOLEN. The original agreement is that the nick is property of Tsunami Alliance in exchange for a server. Under NO circumstances was it said that if Justin decided to pull his funding/box that the nick would become his. Nightma12 and I will provide Kamuix with a box since Justin has decided not to. But that does NOT make the nickname his.

is still correct.

Edit: Fl00ded, if Kamuix is willing to accept the replacement box, then more power to you. You can keep the nick. If, however, he decided not to accept the replacement box (for whatever reason - nothing is forcing him), then the nick reverts back to him to deal with as he chooses.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:03:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

well your whole deal with him is Void fl00d3d.

So your arguement is to. He doesnt ahve a box anymore. Im not gonna fight about it.

Kam has a choice to make. Me or you. I have another box, brought online yesterday. He can have it. Or he can go with the one you guys are offering.

Up to Kam, no hard feelings either way Kam.

---

---



Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:04:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:14 If fl00d3d didn't hold up his end of the agreement with you, then he voids your contract. In doing so, you have no agreement to keep letting Kamuix use the box, allowing you to take it from him, thus voiding his and TA's contract. If both of those incidents took place, then you having the nick is neither morally or legally wrong, in my eyes.

Justin doesnt have the power to void our contract with Kamuix. He only has the power to cause problems between Tsunami and Kamuix ... which he has done. As long as Tsunami provides Kamuix the box he was promised, our end has been filled. We had no chance or warning to make things right with Kamuix. Which we are in the process of doing right now.

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:16 fl00d3d failed to make his payment on the box, I kept the box online, made the payment, kam was able to keep his servers and everything online. Without having to switch everything to a new box.

Kam agreed to this, as it was in his best intrest since fl00d3d didnt make his payment to me for the box.

I could have shut down the box, and left everyone sitting high and dry, but I didnt, I made things easier for Kam and good for me. Sorry fl00d3d, but I dont fork out cash of 200 a month to get screwed over. You dont pay me, ill let box go offline, Kam looses his stuff, you loose nick. I get Kam a new box, i get nick.

All has the same end result. Just without all the extra leg work.

- (1) It was never part of the agreement that I would pay you for this box. It was only agreed that Kamuix would get \*A\* box. Which he did. And now that you're tkaing that from him he will get \*A\*nother box.
- (2) You kept Kam running because you had him by the balls and you wanted to keep his favor. I would do the same thing if I were him ... just to keep my shit up until I found out what was really going on. As I write this Kamuix was thrown off your server because he chose to do the right thing. Youre response? Kicked him off ... rather than let him back up his things and leave peacefully. How generous.
- (3) How could your claims possibly be true if I am going to "fork over" just as much money for that box you were running .. to Kamuix ... right now? We are paying just as much money for his box right now as you were paying for yours. The only difference is, now we're smart enough to realize that bringing 3rd parties into agreements was a dumb thing to do.

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:10:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

But see fl00d3d where your mistake is, is lying.

Explain why I would pay 200 a month for a box that more or less doesnt benefit me.

Just out of the kindness of my heart? Not a chance in hell.

So your saying You never agreed to make the payment on the box. Then your a bold face liar.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:14:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

f100d3d wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 17:04j\_ball430 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:14If f100d3d didn't hold up his end of the agreement with you, then he voids your contract. In doing so, you have no agreement to keep letting Kamuix use the box, allowing you to take it from him, thus voiding his and TA's contract. If both of those incidents took place, then you having the nick is neither morally or legally wrong, in my eyes.

Justin doesnt have the power to void our contract with Kamuix. He only has the power to cause problems between Tsunami and Kamuix ... which he has done. As long as Tsunami provides Kamuix the box he was promised, our end has been filled. We had no chance or warning to make things right with Kamuix. Which we are in the process of doing right now.

No... as warranto agreed (and he's a law student), he would simply have to cut Kamuix's access to the box to void the agreement between you and Kamuix, since there is no defining of what would happen if Kamuix's access was taken away. By the agreement, it looks as if as long as Kamuix has the box Justin gave to him, the agreement is valid, but as soon as it's taken away, it'd be void. The devil is in the details.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:21:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

eh... just for clarification on my part, I'm not a law student.

Legal Assistant, yes. Law student, not yet.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:24:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Technically the box was paid for up until the 31st. Payment was due on the 24th to keep the box up after the 31st.

But, seeing as how the agreement was between Kam and TA, only Kam and TA can break the deal. The deal where js paid for the server that TA was offering to Kam was a separate deal that has been broken. If TA is unable to pay for a server on their own, then the TA/Kam deal breaks. TA should be given a reasonable amount of time to procure a replacement box to keep the deal alive before it's declared dead.

---

---

Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:57:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The situation has been resolved the responsible way: Kamuix is letting us fulfill our end of the bargain -- which we are doing -- and we will stay in agreeance as originally negotiated.

04 will remain property of Tsunami-Alliance. Please lock this thread.

---