
Subject: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [thrash300](#) on Sun, 22 Oct 2006 18:59:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Seriously people how can you fight a war on terrorism with all of your borders open!

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sun, 22 Oct 2006 19:47:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Post count +1

Intelligence count -1

Propaganda count +10

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:10:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SpyGuy246 has owned this topic.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [thrash300](#) on Mon, 23 Oct 2006 04:09:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SpyGuy246 wrote on Sun, 22 October 2006 15:47Post count +1

Intelligence count -1

Propaganda count +10

Please tell me how I'm spreading propaganda. JACKASS.

O By The Way He Hasen't Owned Anything.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [thrash300](#) on Mon, 23 Oct 2006 04:25:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SpyGuy246 wrote on Sun, 22 October 2006 14:47Post count +1

Intelligence count -1

Propaganda count +10

Hey SPYGUY246, go fuck yourself.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Mon, 23 Oct 2006 05:24:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thrash300 wrote on Sun, 22 October 2006 23:09SpyGuy246 wrote on Sun, 22 October 2006 15:47Post count +1

Intelligence count -1

Propaganda count +10

Please tell me how I'm spreading propaganda. JACKASS.

O By The Way He Hasen't Owned Anything.

Quote:thrash300

Quote:SpyGuy246 wrote on Sun, 22 October 2006 14:47

Post count +1

Intelligence count -1

Propaganda count +10

Hey SPYGUY246, go fuck yourself.

Yes, He HAS owned this topic.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:51:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So I presume your solution to terrorism is killing all moslims?

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:52:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

American Heritage Dictionary

Propaganda:

1. The systematic* propagation of a doctrine or cause or of information reflecting the views and interests of those advocating such a doctrine or cause.
2. Material disseminated by the advocates or opponents of a doctrine or cause

*considering how many of these you post on here, I would say it's systematic.

Quote:JACKASS

Quote:go fuck yourself

You are so threatened by dissenting opinion...

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [thrash300](#) on Tue, 24 Oct 2006 02:07:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SpyGuy246 wrote on Mon, 23 October 2006 15:52American Heritage Dictionary

Propaganda:

1. The systematic* propagation of a doctrine or cause or of information reflecting the views and interests of those advocating such a doctrine or cause.
2. Material disseminated by the advocates or opponents of a doctrine or cause

*considering how many of these you post on here, I would say it's systematic.

Quote:JACKASS

Quote:go fuck yourself

You are so threatened by dissenting opinion...

HA, MAN! Seriously you should just think this:
How can you fight a war on terrorism, with your borders wide open! LOL!

Man you are iether overprotective, or in denial.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Tue, 24 Oct 2006 02:17:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do you even think about what you're saying? Or do you blindly repeat some slogan you've been indoctrinated with?

Tell me, what does that mean?

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Tue, 24 Oct 2006 04:56:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 23 October 2006 13:51 So I presume your solution to terrorism is killing all moslims?

Good idea there, tell Bush to hurry up on the job, hes taking way too long.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Tue, 31 Oct 2006 13:48:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IWarriors wrote on Mon, 23 October 2006 23:56 EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 23 October 2006 13:51 So I presume your solution to terrorism is killing all moslims?

Good idea there, tell Bush to hurry up on the job, hes taking way too long.

Sounds like an excellent strategy

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [Renerage](#) on Tue, 31 Oct 2006 22:49:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Makes perfect sence to me.

I dont see americans doing suicide bombs, or hijacking airplanes and ramming them into WTC or even any world trademark like that.

I know it sounds racist, but i dont give a shit. Kill them all.
Except, the ones who live here, most of them are aight.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 31 Oct 2006 23:17:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You sure about that?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samuel_Byck

He attempted to Hijack a plane and crash it into the White House.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Norman_Mayer

Not with the use of an aircraft, but still targeted the Washington Monument.

But, to get to your point directly:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abdul_Rahman_Yasin

American citizen who helped make the bombs that were used in the 1993 World Trade Centre bombing

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 31 Oct 2006 23:36:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Prejudice against Muslims because some extremists are wanting to destroy America is as stupid as prejudice against Baptists because the KKK has traditionally been of Baptist denomination.

You can't judge groups because of a small minority in those groups.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Wed, 01 Nov 2006 00:30:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Tue, 31 October 2006 16:36Prejudice against Muslims because some extremists are wanting to destroy America is as stupid as prejudice against Baptists because the KKK has traditionally been of Baptist denomination.

You can't judge groups because of a small minority in those groups.

Agreed.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Robo](#) on Thu, 02 Nov 2006 00:09:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bush is just a monkey that people tell what to do
he is idiot exTreME

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:55:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

exTreME liKe thIs poSt??/?

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [Robo](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 22:47:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that's pretty damn extreme

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 10:32:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 23 October 2006 13:51 So I presume your solution to terrorism is killing all moslims?

Yes, I do

Its that simple.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:44:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Robo wrote on Wed, 01 November 2006 18:09 bush is just a monkey that people tell what to do
he is idiot exTreME
Robo calling someone an idiot.

Now I've seen everything

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 18:32:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thrash you may be the dumbest person on the internet.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [U927](#) on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 19:20:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://cuppycake.ytmnd.com/>

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Robo](#) on Thu, 16 Nov 2006 22:30:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Wed, 08 November 2006 16:44Robo wrote on Wed, 01 November 2006

18:09bush is just a monkey that people tell what to do

he is idiot exTreME

Robo calling someone an idiot.

Now I've seen everything

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Custom998](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 00:27:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Robo wrote on Wed, 01 November 2006 18:09bush is just a monkey that people tell what to do

he is idiot exTreME

Curious George.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [w0dka](#) on Sat, 18 Nov 2006 22:25:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheekay77 wrote on Tue, 31 October 2006 16:49Makes perfect sence to me.

I dont see americans doing suicide bombs, or hijacking airplanes and ramming them into WTC or even any world trademark like that.

I know it sounds racist, but i dont give a shit. Kill them all.

Except, the ones who live here, most of them are aiight.

You Sir are an Idiot.

you want to kill some of my friends? They also think that islamioc terrorism is stupid. Why do you want to kill someone who you didn't know? Or who never done something against you. Or even ever meet you.

just think about it:

would you push a button who kills the worlds second largest religion? just because you little idiot in your little village are afraid that Osama bin laden bomb down your supermarket?

some Americans:

- throw Nuke bombs (no other country in history use it against a other counntry)

- invade independend countries

- bomb civilican citys

- ignore UN

- give a shit about the planet they are living at

- kill nearly all "true american" (indians)

- ignore their own constitution (guantanamo bay)

- support terrorism (sowjets + afghanistan, yes bin ladens education of terrorism is fresh from US and A)

some Muslims:

- Terrorism

- thats all -

ssso think? who is the bigger thread?

Oh ... prejudice... i'm german... you should kill me to.... maybe i'm a nazi who plan to kill israel or better start world war 3

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [f100d3d](#) on Sun, 19 Nov 2006 04:48:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

w0dka wrote on Sat, 18 November 2006 17:25cheekay77 wrote on Tue, 31 October 2006 16:49Makes perfect sence to me.

I dont see americans doing suicide bombs, or hijacking airplanes and ramming them into WTC or even any world trademark like that.

I know it sounds racist, but i dont give a shit. Kill them all. Except, the ones who live here, most of them are aiight.

You Sir are an Idiot.

you want to kill some of my friends? They also think that islamioc terrorism is stupid. Why do you want to kill someone who you didn't know? Or who never done something against you. Or even ever meet you.

just think about it:

would you push a button who kills the worlds second largest religion? just because you little idiot in your little village are afraid that Osama bin laden bomb down your supermarket?

some Americans:

-throw Nuke bombs (no other country in history use it against a other country)

-invade independend countries

-bomb civilican citys

-ignore UN

-give a shit about the planet they are living at

-kill nearly all "true american" (indians)

-ignore their own constitution (guantanamo bay)

-support terrorism (sowjets + afghanistan, yes bin ladens education of terrorism is fresh from US and A)

some Muslims:

- Terrorism
- thats all -

ssso think? who is the bigger thread?

Oh ... prejudice... i'm german... you should kill me to.... maybe i'm a nazi who plan to kill israel or better start world war 3

Erm, I normally stay out of these sorts of arguments, but I wanted to say something in defense of my nation. A lot of people criticize the United States for the things that they do wrong, but fail to give praise for the things they do right. It seems to be a matter of convenience in debate. For example, one would say that we stick our noses into other people's buisness (ie. world wars) yet the U.S. played a HUGE role in the liberation of nations in both world wars.

-Nuking other countries: we invented the technology and used it after numerous warnings ... and we used it only to end a world war.

-Ignoring the U.N.: We ARE the U.N. - at least the only nation that has the military power to enforce anything.

-Igoring planet-wellness: we probably have more tree hugging hippies here than anywhere else in the world.

-Killing "true Americans": Like germans are one to speak. Germans were originally barbarian tribes that conquered most of their lands (stolen from natives). "Taking land" is a part of human history and human nature. It happens. And I hate when people are like "omg you stole our land".

-Support terrorism: Where'd you get your information from? The soviets? A lot of these problems *WERE* initiated by poor decisions of U.S. leadership back in the 80s but I wouldn't go so far as to say we intentionally trained or sponsored terrorists. Taht's a bit extreme.

I agree that stereotyping muslims isn't the best idea because I comprehend the fact that there are similarities in any religion.

Finally, adding to your comment at the end -- if someone were to say "you're german you're the most likely for starting WWII" would you find that offense? Yes, you probably would. But based on factual history is it actually ignorant for someone to make such an assumption? I know that I'm probably side-tracking here ... but for anyone with the intellectual capacity to follow my thought process, consider the message here.

People come from all walks of life. There is no such thing as "in reality" because reality is nothing but the perception of TRUTH. And that could be anything. You can base things off facts, laws,

standards, trends, etc. and say "the reality of the situation is..." but even at that you are basing it on your interpretation of those facts, laws, standards, and trends. People say things differently and have different beliefs because they experience life differently. Though one person may be wrong and the other right, the true ignorance is not considering this difference.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [w0dka](#) on Sun, 19 Nov 2006 09:20:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f100d3d wrote on Sat, 18 November 2006 22:48

....

First, sorry about my last post. I fall a little bit into rage about the statement from cheekay. (but to judge him from his post... he's an idiot)

One of the best post ever i read on this forum @ f100d3d...
You're right... prejudices are wrong.

My post wasn't really that serious... only to show cheekay if someone else would follow this logic there are enough reasons to kill every western civilisation (like some of the muslim Mullah's argue)

(The nuke-thingy: personally i think all in all nuklear weapons are if you look back a good thing... they give us nearly 50 jears nearly global peace ... but if anytime anyone use them... this would be a big big mistake. Nuklear weapons are good for threat but not for attack...thatswhy we need something else for peacekeeping. and no more A-weapons in this case US and A and some other countries are wrong i think)

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 19 Nov 2006 16:31:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: but I wouldn't go so far as to say we intentionally trained or sponsored terrorists. Taht's a bit extreme.

Soviet invasion of Afganistan.

US gives CIA-based training to a group of insurgents to help fight the Soviet Union.

The most notable person in this group? Osama Bin Laden. The name this CIA-trained group developed into? Al Qaeda.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sun, 19 Nov 2006 19:20:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:intentionally

'Twasnt intentional. 'Twas merely bureaucratic fuck-ups.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 19 Nov 2006 19:23:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As I said in my post I knew that we were involved in that. Which is why I mentioned it. But I made sure to clearly explain that I would not go as far as to say we directly sponsored terrorists. At that point, it was more of a guirilla warfare and an army movement (regardless of their motivations at the time) ... not giving "I wanna kill Americans" kind of terrorists money and weapons. The objective and motivation for then and now are much different.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sun, 19 Nov 2006 22:31:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Sun, 19 November 2006 14:23I would not go as far as to say we directly sponsored terrorists.

Why? We did.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?
Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 15:43:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe not terrorists who are against America, but people who will use terrist-like acts against America's enemies? Why not?

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 19:30:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So I guess you guys think that the size, objectives, overall mission, etc. of 'Al Queda' and Bin Laden were the same then as they are now? If so, you're sorely mistaken.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [futura83](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 19:47:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:-Ignoring the U.N.: We ARE the U.N. - at least the only nation that has the military power to enforce anything.

expand on that point.

from what you said at the moment, that is a bunch of bullshit

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 20:03:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fl00d3d wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 12:30So I guess you guys think that the size, objectives, overall mission, etc. of 'Al Queda' and Bin Laden were the same then as they are now? If so, you're sorely mistaken.

What does size, objectives, mission etc. of late have to do with anything?

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 20:22:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 13:47Quote:-Ignoring the U.N.: We ARE the U.N. - at least the only nation that has the military power to enforce anything.

expand on that point.

from what you said at the moment, that is a bunch of bullshit

I believe there are over 100 countries in the U.N. and the United States makes up 25% of the U.N. budget.

Majority Holder according to money.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 05:47:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 14:47Quote:-Ignoring the U.N.: We ARE the U.N. - at least the only nation that has the military power to enforce anything.

expand on that point.

from what you said at the moment, that is a bunch of bullshit

It's not a bunch of bullshit. (1) League of Nations failed because the U.S. wouldn't participate. (2) UN was formed to be an equal peace force but only survived its missions and purpose over the last 20+ years because of troop majority provided by the United States. (3) The U.S. pumps the most money AND military forces into "peace keeping" and UN policy enforcement hands down. When was the last time you saw Germany, the UK, Russia, China, etc. say "fuck ya'll I'll just do this alone"? Never, not because of principle - but because they can't. A sad, but true, reality here is that the U.S. has become built for war over the last few decades. They are quite literally the UN enforcers and the only ones capable of achieving objectives alone if needed. The UK is usually close to follow as well. Other nations are too passive to get involved in affairs that they should be involved in.

warranto wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 15:03fl00d3d wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 12:30So I guess you guys think that the size, objectives, overall mission, etc. of 'Al Queda' and Bin Laden were the same then as they are now? If so, you're sorely mistaken.

What does size, objectives, mission etc. of late have to do with anything?

Everything. My point was that they weren't similar and that the US didn't sponsor terrorism; at least not in the context you made it out to be in. Everything was different then. Some poor decisions? Yes. Sponsoring terrorism as we know it now, no.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 12:04:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i haven't completely read all of this topic, i dont have time. i would just like to say this:

in england, yea yea, tony is doing a bad job etc etc... but this is about the borders. it seems to me

now, that the people at the border are looking at the race of a person and if they are not english, they can go straight into the country.

some towns are completely dominated by imigrants, we have had polish people come into my home town. and not too far away, one set up a shop selling polish food and on the door was "no english allowed" IN OUR OWN COUNTRY!!! i say deport em all. imigrants

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 13:15:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Everything. My point was that they weren't similar and that the US didn't sponsor terrorism; at least not in the context you made it out to be in. Everything was different then. Some poor decisions? Yes. Sponsoring terrorism as we know it now, no.

What context would that be? All I've said was that the USA has supported terrorism, and that it occurred in the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. The only thing different between then and now is that the US is on the receiving end, rather than the giving end.

But, apparently, that makes all the difference in the world.

Quote:Sponsoring terrorism as we know it now, no.

Terrorism has not changed. It remains the same as it has always been.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 18:17:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[quote title=f100d3d wrote on Tue, 21 November 2006 21:47

When was the last time you saw Germany, the UK, Russia, China, etc. say "fuck ya'll I'll just do this alone"?

They are quite literally the UN enforcers and the only ones capable of achieving objectives alone if needed.

The UK is usually close to follow as well.

[quote]

The Falklands? UK went alone.

Only ones capable? Total Bullshit.

The UK shows as much support per head of population as the Yanks do.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [ron paul](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 20:16:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

help-linux wrote on Wed, 22 November 2006 06:04i haven't completely read all of this topic, i dont have time. i would just like to say this:

in england, yea yea, tony is doing a bad job etc etc... but this is about the borders. it seems to me now, that the people at the border are looking at the race of a person and if they are not english, they can go straight into the country.

some towns are completely dominated by imigrants, we have had polish people come into my home town. and not too far away, one set up a shop selling polish food and on the door was "no english allowed" IN OUR OWN COUNTRY!!! i say deport em all. imigrants

Tony Blair isn't necessarily a bad Prime Minister or doing a bad job. He's been getting a lot of bad press though which too many people take to heart without questioning. Also remember everyone makes mistakes.

You're too young and stupid to make decisions about immigrants or how the country is run in general. In fact you're just generally stupid and a worthless troll with little understanding of economics and politics. You're also quite arrogant as you seem to think you're a legend with C++ and Linux.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 21:47:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Erm, that's considered discrimination here in the U.S. (the whole polish shop thing).

Also, I don't want to argue over who threw which stone first with terrorism. It's wrong. It's extremist. And the United States would never willingly donate money and arms to a cause that was hell bent on "terrorizing" anyone - even the soviets. It was an operation designed to cause instability in the region and hold back aggression using our money (no different than korea or vietnam). But it wasn't about terrorism, it was about funding local groups to do our bidding. I just see it as two completely separate things, but I do see your point as well.

As for the UK being able to hold their own weight, let me clarify something: I never said that no other military in the world was competent. There are plenty of wars going on and many of them do not include the U.S. (hard to believe, eh, lol). But the U.S. does have more people, it does have a larger military force, and it does have a much larger "coverage" than any other nation (thus

it being considered the only current superpower remaining). This isn't about egos or who's dick is bigger. I was just illustrating the point that I feel too much time is spent at the UN trying to convince the world to do things that they are not capable of doing alone. For example, you can't possible say that the UK or any other UN nation could have invaded Iraq alone. It would never happen. But on the flipside, the UK did play a very important role in those operations as they are a close ally of ours and share intelligence.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 22 Nov 2006 22:06:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Meh, terrorism amounts to what side of the act you are on. To the Soviets at the time, I'm sure the acts of the Afghan resistance were terrorist-like in nature.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 13:55:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[quote title=tzarwind wrote on Wed, 22 November 2006 14:16]help-linux wrote on Wed, 22 November 2006 06:04i haven't completely read all of this topic, i dont have time. i would just like to say this:

in england, yea yea, tony is doing a bad job etc etc... but this is about the borders. it seems to me now, that the people at the border are looking at the race of a person and if they are not english, they can go straight into the country.

some towns are completely dominated by imagrants, we have had polish people come into my home town. and not too far away, one set up a shop selling polish food and on the door was "no english allowed" IN OUR OWN COUNTRY!!! i say deport em all. imigrants

Come to Glasgow, Scotland, UK.

We have Indians, Chinese, Pakistani, Italian, Polish, Africans and on goes the list.

The one thing that I am not is STUPID and yet I would send every single one of them back home, including Ma,Pa,Granny, Granda,aunty,uncle and every other little brat that they have ever spawned.

At the current rate the UK WILL be overrun.

Send them all back before its too late. Thats the way to protect our border.

Yeah Puddles a racist, thats what you will say. If thats what it needs then so mote it be.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 15:37:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There are nothing wrong with people from other countries living together. What even I have a problem with, however, is ignoring that countries have official languages, and set ways of doing things.

My way of thinking is, you knew what you were getting into when you moved to a different country, so stop trying to change things to make it more like the country you just left.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Fri, 24 Nov 2006 16:42:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Thu, 23 November 2006 09:37: There are nothing wrong with people from other countries living together. What even I have a problem with, however, is ignoring that countries have official languages, and set ways of doing things.

My way of thinking is, you knew what you were getting into when you moved to a different country, so stop trying to change things to make it more like the country you just left.

I couldnt agree more. In Glasgow, Scotland, the ethnics want their kids educated in the language of their home country.

Well tough shit!!

You came to the UK and you will abide by our rules and speak our language.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:17:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This INFESTATION is absolutely horrible in the United States as well. I think it is a global "epidemic". I think tolerance could be sustained in any geographical region of the world if the followed the advice above (I agree).

The thing that drives me nuts about living in the US right now is the fact that all of the immigrants flood into our country demanding their freedom, but make up a huge percentage of our violent

crime. They also hurt our economy and the stability of our culture through inconsidering and aggressively ignorant acts such as having 10 children when they can even afford to live properly.

If you want to move to the US, speak english as your primary language. I shouldn't have to say "what?!" twenty times when I go to Dunkin Donuts. I shouldn't have to have raised taxes to pay for the welfare of illegal immigrants and families that have more children than they can care for. And I shouldn't be discriminated against because of the fact that I am a intelligent white person that has less "quota space" than a minority --and thereby become a backup pick in a position that I am more qualified.

These problems are PROBLEMS. And the United States (if not the world) is become to fucking politically correct to have the balls to do anything about it. And I fear war will develop from it. I really do.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [*Metal Vixen*](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:25:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I question whether humans have the capability to form a revolution again, though? If enough people are getting sick of "political correctness" and immigration, why aren't they speaking up? Why aren't they doing anything about it? Over 150 years ago, America had the audacity to commence a Civil War in order to make change. I sincerely hope that that would happen again, if need be. In this world of terrorism and uncertainty, I think it should be every country for themselves.

It's predicted that in America, the influx of Mexican immigrants will cause all other races and ethnicities to become the minority within 50 years. Hence, our official language would change among other things. This would potentially be the death of a presently powerful nation. A country that can't take care of itself immigrates to a more "promising" country and then taints it's already rocky (formerly solid) structure.

Barring a revolution, America is pretty much screwed. I'll move to Scandinavia before that happens!

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:39:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would die for my country ... to fight an oppressing enemy -- even if it were my own passive government.

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [*Metal Vixen*](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 05:41:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, but it becomes someone else's country if it is taken over by another faction, correct? Or can it be considered that your birth country is your "country" no matter who controls it?

Subject: Re: WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Posted by [fI00d3d](#) on Sun, 26 Nov 2006 06:18:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My country is the democracy and what the nation stands for. If it is corrupted or has poor leadership that is the fault of the government which is not necessarily the same thing as "my country".

Governments change all the time, but I think the constant fighting is over whose government is in the best interest of "the nation" which I guess is technically only a matter of opinion.
