Subject: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:45:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Suit: McDonald's lied about ingredients in french fries that are harmful to autistic children

It's an interesting read. At first I though it was a bogus lawsuit, but after reading it, it may be something that's not as bad as suing for making people fat, or buring themselves on the coffee.

There are actually some relevant things to consider. Now, whether or not it demands the damages being sought, I won't comment on. It's interesting nonetheless.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by cheesesoda on Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't know. I see some merit to it, but a lot of it people are just asking too much. Sure, I can see where they're coming from, but this is still a (mild) case of people doing as they want as carelessly as they want until something happens, and now they want retribution. People don't want to be held responsible for their actions.

If the FDA cannot make restaurants provide information on allergens, I see no reason to put blame on McDonald's.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:41:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The reason I'm stating it may make sense, is that the alledgedly lied about what the frying oil contained.

It's one thing to not mention something, it's another to state and advertises it doesn't exist (check the copy of the letter they have), when it does exist.

Quote: "The real issue here is that McDonald's put these items on a list of gluten-free menu items," says Florida attorney Brian Smith, who currently represents about 50 individuals, mostly children, who suffer from milk allergies, celiac disease and autism.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Matix on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:38:10 GMT

McDonalds rule!

Quote:If the FDA cannot make restaurants provide information on allergens, I see no reason to put blame on McDonald's.

Couldn't agree more. Also, McDonals were in business for a long long time, and for this first time (afaik) this has happened. Put the blame on the person who ate there, everyone else who eats there doesn't have any problems.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by xptek on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 03:33:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Matix wrote on Mon, 16 October 2006 20:38Put the blame on the person who ate there, everyone else who eats there doesn't have any problems.

So if Dairy Queen tells people their food doesn't contain peanut products and someone allergic to peanuts eats there and gets sick/dies, we're supposed to put the blame on the person allergic to peanuts?

I'm not following your logic.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by EvilWhiteDragon on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 09:51:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: "The real issue here is that McDonald's put these items on a list of gluten-free menu items," says Florida attorney Brian Smith, who currently represents about 50 individuals, mostly children, who suffer from milk allergies, celiac disease and autism.

From what I've heard about such gluten allergy is that just a tiny amount of non gluten free food can "poison a whole gluten-free meal, in such a way that people that are allergic t oit can simply die because of it. So I feel that is would be a strong case.

Quote:

Earlier this year, McDonald's acknowledged that its hash browns and french fries, which the restaurant chain historically had reported as allergen-free, in fact may contain wheat and milk ingredients from the oil they are cooked in.

They are even admitting it, so some kind of compesation would be in it's place.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:59:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It doesn't have much to do with allergies, as it does that it appears to aggrivate the autism condition.

Quote: The culprits, according to the suit, were gluten and casein — ingredients found in milk and wheat products — and which some parents of autistic children believe can exacerbate the condition.

They do mention a valid defence though:

Quote: "No scientific research has consistently shown a connection," says Dr. Ami Klin, one of the country's leading autism experts and an associate professor at Yale University's School of Medicine. "It's a popular hypothesis, and something that is circulated among a group of individuals that have a grip on parents as to the importance of those things. But the reviews of that subject have not turned up any solid evidence."

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by fl00d3d on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 17:06:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ROFL, my gf said, fl00d3d's GF

So now McDonald's is supposed to test all of its food on handicipped children, like lab rats?

Ahhh, ha ha ... classic.

I just think this is another case of people being retarded (regardless of whether there is validity to it or not). People are always looking for attention and money. Not to mention they'll probably declare that water causes cancer in a few years.

Also, if you have a mentally handicapped child (which is almost an oxymoron term for an autisitc child, but you get the point) shouldn't you be spending your extra money on healthy food rather than clogging your kids arteries?

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 17:20:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Meh, I think xptex sums it up quite nicely.

xptek wrote on Mon, 16 October 2006 21:33Matix wrote on Mon, 16 October 2006 20:38Put the blame on the person who ate there, everyone else who eats there doesn't have any problems.

So if Dairy Queen tells people their food doesn't contain peanut products and someone allergic to peanuts eats there and gets sick/dies, we're supposed to put the blame on the person allergic to

peanuts?

I'm not following your logic.

It's not that retarded if you are told there is nothing there, and believe the company, only to find out the company lied to you.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:34:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I agree that McDonald's should have retribution for their lying, but it is not their responsibility to hold the consumer's hand. If the consumer has any food alergies, they should ask about that being in their food prior to their ordering and consuming the product. McDonald's, or any company/restaurant, should not expect to know everything about their individual customers. Their main responsibility is to provide their product within federal quality guidelines and sell them to their customers. Other than that, they shouldn't be held responsible. People have allergies to certain foods, but that is not the fault of any restaurant, nor the consumer, but it IS the CONSUMER's responsibility to ensure that they don't aggitate their allergies.

Edit: Would you blame a cat for aggitating someone's allergies? No, you would blame the person for having a cat. The same goes for this situation. You don't blame the company for selling their product, you blame the person for not being smart about their decisions to purchase the goods being sold.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:15:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: If the consumer has any food alergies, they should ask about that being in their food prior to their ordering and consuming the product.

They did. Which is the point of me saying that this lawsuit may actually make sense.

http://www.courttv.com/news/misc/docs/mcdonaldsletter.html

http://www.courttv.com/news/misc/docs/mcdonaldsletter.html?p age=2

http://www.courttv.com/news/misc/docs/mcdonaldsletter.html?p age=3

http://www.courttv.com/news/misc/docs/mcdonaldsletter.html?p age=4

(Those pictures were in a link provided in this sentance, if it was missed the first time:)

Quote:Smith says some of his clients received literature from McDonald's, before the February announcement, touting its gluten-free items.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:19:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh, I know, and I agree. I was just adding my comments on where the line should be drawn.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:30:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Heh, ah. I thought you were stating that THIS case fell under that statement.

This case aside, I agree completely.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:34:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think with all of the frivilous lawsuits against McDonald's, I think it was destined that there'd be, at least, one legit lawsuit.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Matix on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 20:38:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

McDonalds should get sued and should lose the case because they lied in the first place, and if the parents of the kid, or even the kid would know himself, he is allergic to something in the food, but the company lied about it, he wouldn't know, he would just go eat it. McDonalds lied = shame

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by fl00d3d on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:30:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Matix (teh-pwnerer) what are you alergic to so I can feed it to you and watch you die. Oops, was that too harsh? Fucking faggot - man I get worked up just seeing your name on these forums. Lying, cheating, piece of shit. Seriously ... just go die in a corner already.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Robo on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

view i ordin wessage <> reply to wessage

Well McDonalds is way too unhealthy anyway. But besides that; yeah I highly doubt the parent or anyone asked if that would happen at all, but then again I highly doubt McDonalds even knew that..

Just quit eating there, makes you fat anyway.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Crimson on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:59:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Seriously, McDonald's is some gross-ass shit. I don't think I've eaten there in like 3-4 years. Their burgers just stopped resembling actual cow meat and I got disgusted by it.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:31:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I haven't eaten there in approximately 11 years.

I had a cheeseburger one night before cadets because I was running late, got sick, and never ate there again. (My assumption is that standing at ease/attention for half and hour + McDonalds is not a good combination)

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by terminator 101 on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 22:23:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.2flashgames.com/f/f-Ronald-McDonald-Shot-3296.htm

I could not resist.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Robo on Thu, 19 Oct 2006 01:32:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bad enough everything on the menu just makes you super-fat and can fuck you over.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Sniper_De7 on Thu, 19 Oct 2006 10:55:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why would it be lying if this were true?

Quote: "No scientific research has consistently shown a connection," says Dr. Ami Klin, one of the country's leading autism experts and an associate professor at Yale University's School of Medicine. "It's a popular hypothesis, and something that is circulated among a group of individuals that have a grip on parents as to the importance of those things. But the reviews of that subject have not turned up any solid evidence."

If it's true that there is no real solid evidence, then I'm pretty sure McDonalds doesn't have to say "Well there's no solid evidence to support that such and such foods interacts with autistis folk. If a leading autism expert says that there is no solid evidence to suggest that it does anything bad, then I can't see why McDonalds would be at fault, if they were just listening to a leading autism experts statement.. I may be reading the whole thing wrong, but that's what I get out of it.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Thu, 19 Oct 2006 12:14:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They'd still be lying.

The only difference is that they wouldn't be to blame for what they are ultimately accused of.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Robo on Thu, 19 Oct 2006 21:35:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

McDonalds doesn't lie about anything what-so-ever. The fact of the matter is it's bad to eat there period. I highly doubt some autistic child ate there and all of the sudden had a stroke; if so I bet there would be a lot more people getting sick there.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by warranto on Thu, 19 Oct 2006 21:48:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:McDonalds doesn't lie about anything what-so-ever

Proof has been provided.

Quote: highly doubt some autistic child ate there and all of the sudden had a stroke

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Berkut on Fri, 20 Oct 2006 17:49:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Their burgers are toxic, to me, at least. Then again, I'm not exactly "normal." I guess normal people are able to digest steroids.

Anyway, I've heard some people actually have withdrawal symptoms similar to quitting heroin if they quit Mickey D's after eating it for a year or more (Not three squares a day, duh. They'd be dead long before that.).

I think it's funny that Mc D's started sponsoring sports events only to release the McGriddle on an 'innocent' public.

PS: If you are legitamately trying to quit McDonalds, watch "SuperSize Me" and skip to the scene where they explain how nuggets are made. That'll do it for any human.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 20 Oct 2006 18:56:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

LOL I never had withdrawals from not eating McD's burgers... I did have moderate withdrawal headaches from ceasing consuming NutraSweet aka Aspartame.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Berkut on Fri, 20 Oct 2006 19:15:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson wrote on Fri, 20 October 2006 11:56LOL I never had withdrawals from not eating McD's burgers... I did have moderate withdrawal headaches from ceasing consuming NutraSweet aka Aspartame.

Strange, certain people in my... family, have neurological damage caused by phenylalanine and aspartame. Some kind of degeneration of nerve groupings, or something stupid like that. Is that normal?

PS: The withdrawal thing was something my biology teachers mentioned, so it probably isn't true.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense?

Posted by havoc9826 on Fri, 20 Oct 2006 19:24:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you're asking about phenylketonuria, yes, it's a common disease. As for aspartame, it's under debate by many health officials whether or not it's harmful, and to what degree.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phenylketonuria

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aspartame_controversy

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 20 Oct 2006 22:49:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was a Diet Pepsi addict for like 8 years or so. I read about the "controversy" and decided I would take their suggested 60-day challenge. I am about 40 days into it. I have noticed that my lower back pain is significantly reduced, my minor acne is even less, my appetite is significantly reduced... I also used to have problems with my blood glucose level getting low several times a day. This doesn't happen anymore.

Other people have mentioned feeling like they were in a "brain fog" and were better when they stopped using aspartame, but I don't feel any different mentally.

The reduced appetite and reduced back pain alone are good enough reasons not to drink that shit anymore.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Berkut on Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:07:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I did something I now regret. I quit drinking soda. Every soda. Well, except sprite, because it's not completely bad for you.

Anyway, I lost like 40 pounds, and lost my immunity to caffeine. Also, every time I try to drink any soda other than sprite or Sundrop, it tastes like glue.

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Sniper_De7 on Sat, 21 Oct 2006 09:36:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

How do you know what glue tastes like?

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense? Posted by Berkut on Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:13:30 GMT

Sniper_De7 wrote on Sat, 21 October 2006 04:36How do you know what glue tastes like?

You've never met a bully, have you?

Nah, but I recently learned that raw caffeine has a bland, bitter, down-right nasty taste. It MUST be good for you!

I don't really know what glue tastes like, but I imagine it tastes like this.