

---

Subject: Removed threads

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:29:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Regarding the "admin access" to the old Westwood forums, I will make this clear. Aircraftkiller was mistaken. I can admit that in a way, I had higher access than most people. I'm sure none of you remember back then, but certain people who I have made peace with were attacking the hell out of my sniper server and I was working with one of the guys at Westwood (Steve Tall) to get the exploit patched. (DOM\_TreyD was helping at the time too, as a fellow victim) My work with them on this issue not only earned me a Renegade mousepad and a few t-shirts (which was nice, but I was glad to have my server fixed more), but I also ended up talking to a lot with other employees at Westwood, including Delphi (who got me into the much-coveted Generals beta) and Tim Hempel who worked late and I could call up to get him to k-line these people when they were messing with my server. What I ALSO did was mass email everyone at Westwood that I had contact with when people were spamming the old Renegade Forums. I don't really know which of them was the one who would clean things up, but generally within a couple hours of me contacting them, the spammer would go away (until he changed IPs I guess). So, that's how I had access to admin.

In a related matter, it may have also seemed like we were admins because we used an exploit on that piece of shit UBB to read the raw data of posts, which included their IPs. Later on, we obtained an exploit that allowed you to create posts as someone else. Blazer still has a document with the details, and I'm sure the exploit has been patched, but it involved using the [code] tag and the double pipe (||) delimiter to append another post onto yours, and you could put any user id in there and the post would look like it came from them. You could even put any source IP in there. I'm quite sure that the person who told us about it told other people about it, because I know we did it once, made a post and deleted it, to see if it worked. You could tell when a post was fake because the one before it always had a [code] tag in it.

We told Westwood about it, but at that time they were getting ready to shut 'er down and UBB didn't even have a patch for it, so nothing ever came of it.

As for Kholdstare, I started talking to him a few years ago and we found that we had things in common, such as living in the same town but we haven't met because he's afraid my brother will kick his ass. I found out that it ended up being nice when you were a frequent victim of DDoS attacks to have a friend "in the business" as it were. But, to the best of my knowledge, at the time he just had "friends" with botnets who would do favors for him, and I can't prove or disprove whether people disconnected from my server after pissing him off were attacked or exploited or whatever, because he won't even admit anything to ME, I have done the next best thing and made sure he can't use any elevated access through me to retaliate against people who piss him off. (Oh, and since I hate dogs, I'm pretty sure I would never call someone "my" dog, so I don't know where that came from.) You all know how nice and forgiving I am and whatnot, and though I should ban the hell out of him for some of the things he's done, it's difficult for me to do that to a friend. (For reference on that, just look at how much I let Aircraftkiller get away with for the same reason.) And while I may have twisted the truth to some people in private about my friendship with Kholdstare to try and hide the fact that I have an overabundance of kind-heartedness, the truth is that we are friends, no more, no less.

However, if I find out you're not my friend and have been telling half-truths about me behind my back, knowing they'd be used against me in some unholy crusade, then I will ban the hell out of you.

I hope this clears things up. I'm not given the chance to defend myself over on the other side of this, because when I post there, even the most respectable of posts, I get replies with all sorts of insults and the dislike of me that started when I was associated with the retards of WL with the leaders and old-school members of CW has propagated over the years to people who don't even know why they're supposed to dislike me, they just do. It's amazing how badly the truth gets warped when you add years and rumors and hearsay to things, isn't it?

For the record, I have instituted a 7-day ban against the two who continued to attack me after I said it needed to stop. Yes, it's 7 days. If they don't come back after that, it's not because they're still banned. Anyone who's used FUDForum can attest to the fact that there is a field where you type in the number of days and it automagically unbans them at the end of that time.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [\\$c0p3](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:41:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

heh

edit: ha, im still here!

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:45:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You weren't attacking me. Siding with Spooky, maybe, but not attacking me.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [\\$c0p3](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:45:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Eternal suffering awaits anyone who questions God's infinite love"

why would someone that loves you make u suffer cuz u questioned the person's love?

that's flawed. that's why i stopped believing in religion 5 years or so ago

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:46:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I agree. I'm an atheist.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:49:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 16 September 2006 22:29Oh, and since I hate dogs, I'm pretty sure I would never call someone "my" dog, so I don't know where that came from.

Oh.. my god.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:50:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ugh, I'm not going to turn this into a religious debate thread, but... it's not eternal suffering for those who question His love, but for those who choose to live a life without God. It's not a punishment, it's just a consequence of choices made during one's life.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 03:03:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[removed by request]

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 03:06:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What Renforums needs is some clean burning propane.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 04:24:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey! that was mean bully

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Jonty](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 06:18:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I hope that clears everything up!

Oh, and for the record; it is not eternal suffering awaiting those who question God's love, God will forgive anyone who is really sorry for what they've done. However, it is eternal suffering for those who completely turn their back on God (not particularly Atheists, I mean people who hate God and do evil things, not people who don't believe in him.).

Then again, according to the Bible, eternal suffering and hell aren't firey pits of doom, they are just watching everyone else go to heaven and be happy for ever before you die, physically and spiritually.

P.S. I'm not a vicar

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 10:51:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I don't think Crimson will or is getting any backing from EA anymore (seeing as how clanwars is getting prizes sponsored by them), so what's the point in denying and changing the story all the time? How did this start anyway?

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 10:52:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

well, i am definetly on Crimsons side on this. this forum had really gone to hell lately i hope this is the end of all the flaming.

what does ddos stand for?

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JeepRubi](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 11:04:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Im confused, whats going on?

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [futura83](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 11:06:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

who was the other person that got banned?(i know spoony did)

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Orca](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 11:23:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 16 September 2006 21:45 You weren't attacking me. Siding with Spoony, maybe, but not attacking me.  
Pretty lame for you to ban Spoony for exposing you. Honestly your pathetic.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 11:30:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Orca... just let it go, help-linux is right, this has gone on for long enough now  
and help-linux: DDOS stands for Distributed Deniel Of Service

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Orca](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 11:49:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nightma12 wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 06:30 Orca... just let it go, help-linux is right, this has gone on for long enough now

and help-linux: DDOS stands for Distributed Deniel Of Service

Here you are saying its gone on for long enough, which is what Spoony informed you all about and in return you ban him and back up the accused. Good job.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 11:54:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The average IQ here is 54, so I doubt they even understood what's going on.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [futura83](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 12:07:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JohnDoe wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 12:54The average IQ here is 54, so I doubt they even understood what's going on.

it was higher than that but then you joined...

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 12:34:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Means a lot coming from a 15 year old nerd with autism...

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [futura83](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 12:37:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

what, and that comment is supposed to affect me.

i think i'll be the more mature one here and stop this before we have a flamewar.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [\\$c0p3](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 12:39:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jonty wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 02:18Well, I hope that clears everything up!  
Oh, and for the record; it is not eternal suffering awaiting those who question God's love, God will forgive anyone who is really sorry for what they've done. However, it is eternal suffering for those who completely turn their back on God (not particularly Atheists, I mean people who hate God and do evil things, not people who don't believe in him.).  
Then again, according to the Bible, eternal suffering and hell aren't firey pits of doom, they are just watching everyone else go to heaven and be happy for ever before you die, physically and spiritually.

P.S. I'm not a vicar

moron please, quit acting like u've been through the process.

u need some education. maybe a couple of history lessons, just so u understand that the whole religion thing = (n)

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [\\$c0p3](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 12:41:02 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

the17doctor wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 08:37what, and that comment is supposed to affect me.

i think i'll be the more mature one here and stop this before we have a flamewar.

hahaha

that sounded so corny.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 13:42:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nightma12 wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 12:30Orca... just let it go, help-linux is right, this has gone on for long enough now

and help-linux: DDOS stands for Distributed Deniel Of Service

Quote:

- On the Internet, a distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attack is one in which a multitude of compromised systems attack a single target, thereby causing denial of service for users of the targeted system. The flood of incoming messages to the target system essentially forces it to shut down, thereby denying service to the system to legitimate users.

A hacker (or, if you prefer, cracker) begins a DDoS attack by exploiting a vulnerability in one computer system and making it the DDoS "master." It is from the master system that the intruder identifies and communicates with other systems that can be compromised. The intruder loads cracking tools available on the Internet on multiple -- sometimes thousands of -- compromised systems. With a single command, the intruder instructs the controlled machines to launch one of many flood attacks against a specified target. The inundation of packets to the target causes a denial of service.

While the press tends to focus on the target of DDoS attacks as the victim, in reality there are many victims in a DDoS attack -- the final target and as well the systems controlled by the intruder.

all i had to do was look in google. i keep forgetting to look.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 13:56:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I apologize if anyone interprets this as backpedaling or contradicting myself. I think of it more as a clarification. My being overly nice is looked down upon by some people, and I'm sorry if I gave

anyone the wrong impression. It takes a lot for me to stop being friends with someone... you pretty much have to outright betray me to make it happen, something that doesn't happen often, but something I take very seriously.

So, I invite you all to think what you like about me and act accordingly. If you think I'm a horrible person, then that's fine. If you think I'm doing my best where I can to help keep this game alive, then that's fine, too. Choose to participate in these forums or anything else I run, or don't. I gave you a few days to attack me, now it's ended. I quite honestly do NOT have to time to look back on years-old logs and emails to remember what exactly happened through the twisted lies that have mutated through the years.

Overall, these forums have given me the greatest gift of all, for it is here that I first started talking to Blazer, and on November 6th, we will have been together for 4 years. And nothing that you do or say here will change the happiest part of my life and the best outcome of my involvement in the Renegade community.

So, I'm not going anywhere. Silent Kane started this month on a project to revive the ladders which they will be hosting on XWIS. The collection part is completed and working very well. Our next step is to store the data in a database instead of flat files. After we restore the basic "ladder points" ranking, we will turn our attention to the clan ladder. Given my background working for the Cisco Networking Academy in their Metrics, Reporting, and Evaluation department and also hosting a weekly poker game with a semi-annual "ladder", I am quite qualified to lead the creation of this aspect, and if anyone wants to consult in this process, I will be inviting that within the next few weeks (it will be after the public ladder rolls out).

I will also be finishing the setup of the BHS-VPC and getting the applicants involved in a revitalization project for Blackhand Studios and all the sites that we run and support. I also have another big announcement to make with regards to BHS and its' talent pool, but the details of that are still being finalized. I'm hoping to make that announcement this coming week.

So, instead of focusing on retarded events from the past, let's look to the future, because that's all we can control. Play politics, or shut up and mod/code/play/whatever. These sorts of battles never win anybody any favor.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Jonty](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:11:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\$c0p3 wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 13:39

moron please, quit acting like u've been through the process.

u need some education. maybe a couple of history lessons, just so u understand that the whole religion thing = (n)

Having had to put up with history lessons for the past eight years, maybe you should read a couple of books of the bible.

---

---



Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:28:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Don't get me wrong, Crimson...I appreciate what you and BHS have done for Renegade, but it seems a lot as if have a few skeletons in your closet through your friendship with Kholdstare. Frankly, I don't really care about who DDoSed who, but isn't it only fair to let Spooky make a case against you when you were (don't know if you still are) accusing him of hacking this site? From what I've read, he did it in a civil way, so suspending him and deleting his threads just looks a lot like a coverup.

Offtopic: I'd rather see a working Renguard than anything else.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:39:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I can't control what my friends do or don't do. Especially when those friends don't even tell me what they're doing. He runs or used to run in the same circles as the people attacking me, so I got information and sometimes he even got them to stop. I know damn well that if he gets mad, he'll do anything he can to get back at whoever makes him mad. But Spooky is not one to throw stones when his house is made of glass.

I know you all want RenGuard. I do, too. (Remember, I run a server and still play, too) This ladder project isn't interfering with that (most of the time-consuming but not terribly difficult work is my job and I'm not personally working on RenGuard) -- Silent Kane has only "wasted" a few days getting the server software to collect data and it hasn't interfered with his part of the RenGuard project. My announcement this week will speak to that as well.

Also, I no longer accuse him of the deletion of threads in RenForums. The triumphant and mocking tone of the way he notified me made me think it was him at the time, but now, as I've said before, I know who really did it. But I will not retract my accusation that he was involved with (asked for, performed, or is close friends with the one who performed) a DDoS attack against all of my servers which resulted in a total RenGuard network outage which occurred around that same time. (Something he has not denied or confirmed)

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 15:07:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

wow, i would never of though you would have the time to play renegade. what servers do you play on/what time? (so i can pwn you ).

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 15:13:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson only plays on Noobstories as far as i know.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 15:23:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Correct.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 15:35:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mwhahaha.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Sniper\\_De7](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 16:29:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 09:39But I will not retract my accusation that he was involved with (asked for, performed, or is close friends with the one who performed) a DDoS attack against all of my servers which resulted in a total RenGuard network outage which occurred around that same time. (Something he has not denied or confirmed)

Even if he was (I don't have a clue, just making a point here), what is wrong with being close friends with the person? Aren't you close friends with Khold who's done similar things?

I think some months ago Khold banned roh from playing in your server and you had to go and unban him. He's also abused his powers by !killing them (quite awhile ago, yes, but still), even as such times as to steal vehicles. Tell me what's so different then a person !killing innocent players than someone cheating? They're both doing it to piss people off, I don't know how you can really like someone who does that shit. But I'm not here to tell you who to like or dislike.

I'm still baffled you let silent Kane still be a moderator on n00bstories, though.

I'm not trying to start an argument here and I'm certainly not insulting you. I'm just sort of curious

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 18:19:58 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

abuse of the the !kill commmand is abuse of power.  
cheating is creating a inflated sence of achevement ingame towards the player cheating

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [\\$c0p3](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 19:07:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yeah cuz abusing a command to gain an advantage of some sort in a video game is only abuse of power, so its OK. definitely not cheating!

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Kholdstare](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 19:14:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

To my knowledge, n00bstories servers have never had a !kill command.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 19:14:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

help-linux wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 13:19abuse of the the !kill commmand is abuse of power.  
cheating is creating a inflated sence of achevement ingame towards the player cheating

abuse of power is much worse than cheating - people are supposed to be trusted if they are granted such power.

Quote:Oh, and since I hate dogs

Dogs rule!!

Religion on a national/global scale, imo is just a means to control people - it's all bs, when you die that's it, gone.

the17doctor wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 07:07JohnDoe wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 12:54The average IQ here is 54, so I doubt they even understood what's going on.

it was higher than that but then you joined...

How would you know? he was here before you.

Cimson,

It's good that you have made a response to Spooky's posts - don't see the need to delete all the threads or ban him, he raised an issue and requested a response, it seems there was some truth in what he had to say and I think an open clarification of the truth would have looked better.

I respect you both for what you have done for the community, I find it hard to believe Spooky would be involved in any attacks - seems Spooky accused you of downtalking him and the cw.cc ladder, is there any truth in this?

cw.cc has been the only place for people to play competitively pretty much ever and BHS has done a lot for the community - can't help thinking the community would be better off if the people left that still care would just work together and not bad mouth other peoples efforts.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 19:32:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\$c0p3 wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 20:07yeah cuz abusing a command to gain an advantage of some sort in a video game is only abuse of power, so its OK. definitely not cheating!

it is cheating, from what i remeber cheating is when you play the game at an unfair advantage to you.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 19:33:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

haha @ help-linux for defeating his own arguments.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 20:28:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

you can turn truth into a lie and vice versa simply by hiring a lawyer.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 20:37:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mrpirate wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 15:33haha @ help-linux for defeating his own

---

arguments.

Imfao I was waiting for someone to point that out ^

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 21:47:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mrpirate wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 20:33haha @ help-linux for defeating his own arguments.

show me when?

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 22:25:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

help-linuxabuse of the the !kill commmand is abuse of power.  
cheating is creating a inflated sence of achevement ingame towards the player cheating

help-linuxit is cheating, from what i remeber cheating is when you play the game at an unfair advantage to you.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 22:46:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i didn't say abuse of power wasn't cheating...

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 01:48:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Keep deleting my posts, Crimson. PLEASE! It's not an abuse of power I swear!

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Renx](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 02:04:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson isn't the only mod here.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 02:14:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've already explained all I'm going to explain. If it's not enough for you, then that's just too bad for you. I'm done with it.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 02:48:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jimbo27 wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 21:48: Keep deleting my posts, Crimson. PLEASE! It's not an abuse of power I swear!  
Again, STFU and/or GTFO. Idiot...

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 03:05:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony sez...now she's wrongly accusing me of ddosing Renguard

Not NOW. Accused you quite some time ago. In fact I have accused of it publicly ever since the first packets hit the boxes.

But it's ok, you admit that you did:

Spoony on CW forums n00bstations were hosted by Olddust, and I didn't really feel like being in the middle of a big ddos war so I approached the three parties to try to negotiate a peaceful, non-ddos solution... olddust said yes, n00bstations said yes, crimson de-modded me from n00bstories and wrongly accused me of hacking Renegadeforums.com [Roll Eyes]

Correct me if I'm wrong, but wouldn't "being in the middle of a DDoS war" involve attacks from BOTH SIDES? And wouldn't that then mean that olddust, who is your friend and co-owner of non00bs or whatever you're calling yourself these days... is ALSO guilty of sending DDoS attacks? Maybe he didn't realize that hitting everything Blazer and I own would shut down the entire RenGuard network, but it would certainly be something that should have been considered, no?

So, thanks for admitting to the attacks and totally negating your argument about my friendship with Kholdstare. Unlike you, I don't put my friend into a position of power on my servers or anything else I run because I know how his temper can run. And whether I was friends with him or not would NOT change how he behaves, so I fail to see how it's relevant.

Furthermore, EVEN IF Blazer had hacked the old Westwood forums, (and that's a big IF), I have two questions.

- 1) Why would Westwood give me the forums after they shut down?
- 2) How would that in any way prove that I or anyone I know impersonated some clan members 4 years ago?

4 years ago! Remember this. Think about how lame it is that someone is diving back 4 years to find some dirt on me, just to promote the website he inherited or whatever. And they call me pathetic.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 03:08:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sorry, I forgot to add one thing. Spooky is not permanently banned from here. The message that FUDForum supplies to a banned person implies that it's permanent but I think that's just a holdover from before he added the time-limiting feature.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 04:25:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

considering I ran n00bstations, who the hell is Olddust? Im assuming iccy.

The attacks that happend towards n00bstations, were never directly "tied" to crimson. Although we did know her community members, or some of themwere tied to it, from logs that were given to us from members of the n00bstories community.

Once renguard was taken down by the person that did it, and we spoke with crimson for her to put the word out to leave n00bstations alone, the attacks stopped. But this is all in the past, so why is it being drug up now?

The attacks against n00bstations were supposedly done because n00bstations was to close of a name to n00bstories, and certain people felt n00bstations was taking n00bstories traffic.

What Spooky has to do with this is beyond me, to my knowledge he wasnt involved in it, unless iccy brought him into it, I dont recall speaking with him, but this was quite some time ago, so my memory of who all was involved may be a little foggy.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 08:08:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Haha there goes another of Crimson's accusations...its funny tho how Crimson says that she's finished with this and everyone who continues to argue over it gets deleted or banned...and then she replies to Spooky's continuation on clanwars.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Sniper\\_De7](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 11:07:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 21:14I've already explained all I'm going to explain. If it's not enough for you, then that's just too bad for you. I'm done with it.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 11:47:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I can't just let people lie about me, can I? The public ladder would have been up this week but Spooky wasted all my time on this bullshit and cleaning up vandalism from several websites, so I didn't get to finish the database components. 'Tis a shame.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:27:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 06:47Well, I can't just let people lie about me, can I? The public ladder would have been up this week but Spooky wasted all my time on this bullshit and cleaning up vandalism from several websites, so I didn't get to finish the database components. 'Tis a shame.

Damn you Spooky, you screwed the ladder!!!

I hate those internet vandals.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Sniper\\_De7](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:37:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah well the last time someone posted on behalf of spooony, you deleted it. So what is this going to be? He posts about it on clanwars.cc and then you respond it here and then someone from here tells him what you said so that he can respond again on cw.cc? Why don't you go over there to argue your point? I mean the purpose of banning him was to stop arguing, yet you're still talking to spooony (indirectly), so what was the point in banning him :\

Quote:So, thanks for admitting to the attacks and totally negating your argument about my friendship with Kholdstare. Unlike you, I don't put my friend into a position of power on my servers or anything else I run because I know how his temper can run. And whether I was friends with him or not would NOT change how he behaves, so I fail to see how it's relevant.



"Unlike you" ? By this I assume you mean spoony. How did Spoony put olddust into power? wasn't Olddust the one hosting, and the one who put himself into power? Where does this fit in with him?

about the ddos war, I don't see how it proves anything connecting to spoony or him doing anything wrong, i mean, you never really denied he wanted a peaceful resolution to start with. Why wasn't that route taken? Because you thought spoony hacked the forums?

We're getting off tangent anyways, the purpose of the argument is for a clan ladder. The real question is what is so wrong with clanwars? Spoony would love to hear criticisms that tie into the ladder. If there's something that you think needs fixing, what is it? Is it all because of the fact that there are idiots on the forum? If so, If there are idiots in this new clan ladder, are you going to ban them? Just for being idiotic? That seems unfair, because for one, who is anyone to really judge that? How far does idiotic go to be something to be banned for? Why is it that spoony should ban the idiots, meanwhile there are plenty of people here who joyously take pleasure in insulting ack and others on a personal level. If you think that it's flaming that is the problem, then Ack should be banned (Not that I want him to be banned, I don't really give a shit if someone says so and so maps/mods are bad or calls me names, just making a point here) What \*SPECIFICALLY\* is wrong with clanwars.cc that needs replacing? Isn't that the core of what should be argued about?

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:44:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson just hates the clanwars owners and vice versa because of something that happened over 4 years ago

Quote:Yeah well the last time someone posted on behalf of spoony, you deleted it. So what is this going to be? He posts about it on clanwars.cc and then you respond it here and then someone from here tells him what you said so that he can respond again on cw.cc? Why don't you go over there to argue your point? I mean the purpose of banning him was to stop arguing, yet you're still talking to spoony (indirectly), so what was the point in banning him :\

Exactly...it looks more and more like a coverup.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 13:39:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

A coverup, LOL. I have responded over and over and over and over and over to these accusations, and no one's mind has been changed one way or another. You guys won't be satisfied until I say Spoony was 100% right, and I can't do that because he's not.

I agree that the discussion should have always been about the merits of the CW ladder and the integrity of its leadership, founders, owners. But some people have to resort to ad hominem and

attack the person instead of the argument. I sincerely hope that the CW ladder is run with a lot more integrity than it did when I into clanning. I really do. But given the way that community has treated me in the past, I am not going out of my way to find out if they've changed and support or promote what they're doing. If they (the CW founders, not Spooky) are not going out of their way to listen to me and stop childishly insulting me, then I'm not going out of my way to help them in any way. I'm sorry if you think that's wrong, but that's how I feel about it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [dead6re](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 13:56:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This argument is NEVER going to go anywhere.

Let the past cover itself with dust (You cannot change anything that HAS happened) and look forwards to the present and the future.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 14:24:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I agree. I have tried quite a few times to bring the thread back to the future (haha) but it doesn't always work. I \*think\* we might be getting close to a resolution, though.

I'm sorry if you think it's petty that I have spent a lot of time on this little spat this weekend and didn't get time to work on the ladder. It is really the truth and an unfortunate consequence of when these sorts of things get out of hand.

Just a few more emails between Spooky and I and an understanding or compromise or something might be reached. Until then, enjoy the spam he's spread on all the community's forums.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 17:05:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just drop this grudge against cw.cc and i think everything will die down for at least the foreseeable future.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [IceSword7](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 17:08:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 09:39A coverup, LOL. I have responded over and

over and over and over and over to these accusations, and no one's mind has been changed one way or another. You guys won't be satisfied until I say Spooky was 100% right, and I can't do that because he's not.

I agree that the discussion should have always been about the merits of the CW ladder and the integrity of its leadership, founders, owners. But some people have to resort to ad hominem and attack the person instead of the argument. I sincerely hope that the CW ladder is run with a lot more integrity than it did when I into clanning. I really do. But given the way that community has treated me in the past, I am not going out of my way to find out if they've changed and support or promote what they're doing. If they (the CW founders, not Spooky) are not going out of their way to listen to me and stop childishy insulting me, then I'm not going out of my way to help them in any way. I'm sorry if you think that's wrong, but that's how I feel about it.

It seems to me that the whole thing is over your uncanny ability to hold a grudge. A grudge against players who no longer play the game nor participate in anything that has to do with the game. Playing in both the new league and the old league i can tell you that the league is run a hell of alot better today then it was years ago. And if I remember correctly that all started because someone killed someones hand of nod before everyone had loaded into the game. Honestly, who the fuck cares I wish this stupid feud would just end. Almost everyone I ever played a competitive game with i disliked at one point or another including spooky and a close personal friend of mine. But everyone always apologizes in the end and lets bye gones be bye gones. Maybe you should try the same thing. I know people at CW will always flame you just like i will probably always be flamed by people here but honestly who cares.

Another issue is that if you were to start up clan ladder all it would do is split the communitys more. Some would play on CW and others would play on your ladder and there arent enough people who play clan matchs to split in half.

There are probably alot of spelling and grammer errors in this post so i apologize, i didnt edit it because honestly who cares.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 17:08:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:05Just drop this grudge against cw.cc and i think everything will die down for at least the foreseeable future.

...idiot. The reason why I say that should be so blatantly obvious that I don't need to explain it further.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:28:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 19:08  
Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:05  
Just drop this grudge against cw.cc and i think everything will die down for at least the foreseeable future.

...idiot. The reason why I say that should be so blatantly obvious that I don't need to explain it further.

Oh I see, just because I show support for cw.cc makes me an idiot. You people say that the cw.cc forums are full of retards, the people what post here regulary aren't very receptive here either, just because i have a different opinion to you doesn't warrant an insult.

But hey, keep on with your stereotypical views.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:38:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 14:28  
j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 19:08  
Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:05  
Just drop this grudge against cw.cc and i think everything will die down for at least the foreseeable future.

...idiot. The reason why I say that should be so blatantly obvious that I don't need to explain it further.

Oh I see, just because I show support for cw.cc makes me an idiot. You people say that the cw.cc forums are full of retards, the people what post here regulary aren't very receptive here either, just because i have a different opinion to you doesn't warrant an insult.

But hey, keep on with your stereotypical views.

You truly are an idiot, aren't you? That wasn't my implication at all. I guess it wasn't as obvious as I thought.

She can dislike cw.cs as much as she likes. It's just all of you idiots dragging it out that is going to keep this shit going, not her holding a grudge. YOU get over the fact that she doesn't like it. She dislikes cw. OH NOES.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:44:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

IceSword7 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 12:08  
Crimson wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 09:39  
A coverup, LOL. I have responded over and over and over and over and over to these accusations, and no one's mind has been changed one way or another. You guys won't be satisfied until I say Spooky was 100% right, and I can't do that because he's not.

I agree that the discussion should have always been about the merits of the CW ladder and the integrity of its leadership, founders, owners. But some people have to resort to ad hominem and attack the person instead of the argument. I sincerely hope that the CW ladder is run with a lot more integrity than it did when I into clanning. I really do. But given the way that community has

treated me in the past, I am not going out of my way to find out if they've changed and support or promote what they're doing. If they (the CW founders, not Spooky) are not going out of their way to listen to me and stop childishy insulting me, then I'm not going out of my way to help them in any way. I'm sorry if you think that's wrong, but that's how I feel about it.

It seems to me that the whole thing is over your uncanny ability to hold a grudge. A grudge against players who no longer play the game nor participate in anything that has to do with the game. Playing in both the new league and the old league i can tell you that the league is run a hell of alot better today then it was years ago. And if I remember correctly that all started because someone killed someones hand of nod before everyone had loaded into the game. Honestly, who the fuck cares I wish this stupid feud would just end. Almost everyone I ever played a competitive game with i disliked at one point or another including spooky and a close personal friend of mine. But everyone always apologizes in the end and lets bye gones be bye gones. Maybe you should try the same thing. I know people at CW will always flame you just like i will probably always be flamed by people here but honestly who cares.

Another issue is that if you were to start up clan ladder all it would do is split the communitys more. Some would play on CW and others would play on your ladder and there arent enough people who play clan matchs to split in half.

There are probably alot of spelling and grammer errors in this post so i apologize, i didnt edit it because honestly who cares.

That's exactly how I feel about it...I see no reason why a league run by Spooky shouldn't be supported by Crimson...all her accusations about him deleting the forums and being involved in a DDoSwar between n00bstories and n00bstation have turned out to be false, so what has he possibly done wrong to warrant such a resentment? Being involved with people that Crimson holds a grudge with because of something that has happened over 4 years ago? That would seem quite childish to me.

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:47:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 14:38Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 14:28j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 19:08Acey#GW wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:05Just drop this grudge against cw.cc and i think everything will die down for at least the foreseeable future.

...idiot. The reason why I say that should be so blatantly obvious that I don't need to explain it further.

Oh I see, just because I show support for cw.cc makes me an idiot. You people say that the cw.cc forums are full of retards, the people what post here regulary aren't very receptive here either, just because i have a different opinion to you doesn't warrant an insult.

But hey, keep on with your stereotypical views.

You truly are an idiot, aren't you? That wasn't my implication at all. I guess it wasn't as obvious as

I thought.

She can dislike cw.cs as much as she likes. It's just all of you idiots dragging it out that is going to keep this shit going, not her holding a grudge. YOU get over the fact that she doesn't like it. She dislikes cw. OH NOES.

So you think it's a good thing that the person overseeing the Renegade forums is holding a childish grudge?

---

---

**Subject: Re: Removed threads**  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:48:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

IceSword7 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:08Another issue is that if you were to start up clan ladder all it would do is split the communitys more. Some would play on CW and others would play on your ladder and there arent enough people who play clan matches to split in half. I'd rather have a ladder that I'd enjoy being a part of instead of having to be a part of a ladder where I don't like the administration because it's the only one available.

Edit: JohnDoe, sure. A person is allowed to feel as they should. If she disagrees with a community, she has every right to voice it. She's not telling people to agree.

---

---

**Subject: Re: Removed threads**  
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:53:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 14:48IceSword7 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:08Another issue is that if you were to start up clan ladder all it would do is split the communitys more. Some would play on CW and others would play on your ladder and there arent enough people who play clan matches to split in half. I'd rather have a ladder that I'd enjoy being a part of instead of having to be a part of a ladder where I don't like the administration because it's the only one available. One thing about the administration at cw is that you don't even know who they are. Spooky is an admin, but the main Renegade admins are unknown so that they dont get caught up in petty arguments.. So how can you really dislike someone when you don't even know who they are? And even if you dislike the administration, you basically won't ever have to talk to them except in AR or AM threads. Are you really saying that you dislike the administration or do you mean that you dislike how it is being run?

---

---

**Subject: Re: Removed threads**  
Posted by [futura83](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:57:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ralphzehunter wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 19:53j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September

2006 14:48IceSword7 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:08Another issue is that if you were to start up clan ladder all it would do is split the communitys more. Some would play on CW and others would play on your ladder and there arent enough people who play clan matches to split in half.

I'd rather have a ladder that I'd enjoy being a part of instead of having to be a part of a ladder where I don't like the administration because it's the only one available.

Are you really saying that you dislike the administration or do you mean that you dislike how it is being run?

the administration is the same as how it is run; he wasnt commenting on the administrators just the administration

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [jschultz9](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:59:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:So you think it's a good thing that the person overseeing the Renegade forums is holding a childish grudge?

You cant call the grudge that crimson has childish, if you dont look at the crap spoony is doing as childish.

The whole thing is retarded, and no im not calling the people retarded. The whole situation, dragging up shit from years ago to try and drag peoples name thrgouh the mud. Hopefully Crimson does the right thing, locks this topic, and stops people from stirring anymore shit and her and spoony can work it out via email, without any outside kids making trouble.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 18:59:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

RalphzehunterAre you really saying that you dislike the administration or do you mean that you dislike how it is being run?

Of course. The same idea as people not liking the Bush administration. People don't like the way it's being run.

(Of course, people say they hate Bush personally and such, but they don't actually know them...)

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 19:17:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:59RalphzehunterAre you really saying that you

dislike the administration or do you mean that you dislike how it is being run?  
Of course. The same idea as people not liking the Bush administration. People don't like the way it's being run.

(Of course, people say they hate Bush personally and such, but they don't actually know them...)

Then tell me, what don't you like about the way it's run?

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 19:24:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JohnDoe wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 15:17j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:59RalphzehunterAre you really saying that you dislike the administration or do you mean that you dislike how it is being run?

Of course. The same idea as people not liking the Bush administration. People don't like the way it's being run.

(Of course, people say they hate Bush personally and such, but they don't actually know them...)

Then tell me, what don't you like about the way it's run?

How the fuck would I know? The only person from ClanWars that I've had any interaction with is Spooky, and I've never checked out their ladder system or community.

Note: I never once said that I didn't like cw. I never said once that I had an issue with any administrators or how the administration ran cw. I simply stated that more than one option should be available, and that not liking the administration is one example as to why there should be more than one.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 20:40:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 14:24JohnDoe wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 15:17j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 18 September 2006 13:59RalphzehunterAre you really saying that you dislike the administration or do you mean that you dislike how it is being run?

Of course. The same idea as people not liking the Bush administration. People don't like the way it's being run.

(Of course, people say they hate Bush personally and such, but they don't actually know them...)

Then tell me, what don't you like about the way it's run?

How the fuck would I know? The only person from ClanWars that I've had any interaction with is Spooky, and I've never checked out their ladder system or community.



Note: I never once said that I didn't like cw. I never said once that I had an issue with any administrators or how the administration ran cw. I simply stated that more than one option should be available, and that not liking the administration is one example as to why there should be more than one.

The only possible reasons why someone could object to cw.cc are either unhappiness about their system or the people running it. Their league system was refined over the years and I don't think even Crimson will find any flaws in it. There's a reason it's the official ladder for the post-Westwood EA games. So how about personal hatred towards the clanwars owners...apart from that it's childish, it doesn't apply either, since the only two people still here who were involved with the feud back in the day would be Crimson (who doesn't play clanwars) and ACK (who doesn't play Renegade). BTW: You don't have to interact with the owners of cw.cc at all in order to play on the Renegade league...Spoony is the highest up person you'll have to deal with from there.

Apart from the fact that nobody needs a 2nd clanladder, the process of making one just takes time away from the things that really need to be taken care of (Renguard).

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 09:45:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I go for the weekend, come back and have a shitload of bullshit awaiting me here. Not that it's unexpected...

I saw something like this coming for a while now and it's a pity that the Renegade community gets divided over something like this, that seems to have happened years ago.

All I'm going to say about it is that whoever is true, we all know that Crimson has done an awful lot for this community, more than anyone else will ever be able to do and therefore she will keep my support today.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 12:25:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Tue, 19 September 2006 05:45

we all know that Crimson has done an awful lot for this community and therefore she will keep my support today.

Edited and agreed, the exact same goes for Spoony - none of this shit has any effect on that.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 07:58:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 22:05 Correct me if I'm wrong, but wouldn't "being in the middle of a DDoS war" involve attacks from BOTH SIDES? And wouldn't that then mean that olddust, who is your friend and co-owner of non00bs or whatever you're calling yourself these days... is ALSO guilty of sending DDoS attacks? Maybe he didn't realize that hitting everything Blazer and I own would shut down the entire RenGuard network, but it would certainly be something that should have been considered, no?

To the absolute best of my knowledge, Olddust had not attacked you at that point.

I'm not saying he hadn't. I'm saying I that if he did or didn't, I didn't know either way. And technically still don't.

I proposed a non-ddos solution to PREVENT a ddos war, not STOP one. To the utmost god-honest best use of my information at that point, the only ddos attack was coming from Kholdstare.

I simply knew Olddust would very likely retaliate and I actually respected you back then, so I stepped in to a situation that had nothing to do with me and tried to calm things down from a neutral perspective.

again: olddust said yes, jschultz said yes, you said no and de-modded me from N00bstories.

Crimson wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 22:05 So, thanks for admitting to the attacks ....huh?

Crimson wrote on Sun, 17 September 2006 22:05 Unlike you, I don't put my friend into a position of power on my servers

NoN00bs aren't my servers. They're Olddust's servers. He (and Puresc0pe, who retired a long time ago) started them all up. Not me. I didn't put Olddust anywhere. He created it himself.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 08:25:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have logs where he admitted to attacking me. It doesn't matter.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 08:55:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It does matter that I didn't know that.

Just a quick observation about the "spoony either did the ddos attack on renguard, or ordered the

ddos attack on renguard, or is friends with the person who did the ddos attack on renguard". It'd be much like me saying "crimson, I accuse you one of having one of the following connections to 9/11: either you carried the attacks out personally, or you planned the attacks, or you funded the attacks, or you watched the attacks on TV". The harmless 25% of that post is probably true, but the slightly more incriminating 75% isn't and the fact the harmless 25% is true doesn't make the whole accusation somehow valid.

---

---

Subject: Re: Removed threads  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 10:20:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You might be right. We both like to play with words. I'm not replying on these subjects anymore unless I am paying you a huge compliment, just so you know.

---