
Subject: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble

Posted by [Dr. Lithius](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 03:34:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This doesn't directly relate to Renegade and it isn't a "hot topic," so I figured this was the only logical place for this type of topic. Anyway...

Apparently, some people are concerned over the kind of data found in the Wikipedia entries for the various Command & Conquer games...entries such as the vehicle and weaponry listings. Apparently, "Wikipedia is not GameFAQs and these 'game guides' don't belong there." Which I think is bull. Here's my vote and thoughts on the matter.Quote:Keep -- These articles are within their rights to stay, in my opinion. While it may be true that a good deal of the information within the articles are indeed "game specific," the "game specific" information is only there to detail the key differences between each vehicle/unit/etc. between each game. To reiterate, there have been a great deal of changes over the course of time to a lot of these units. For example, as stated, the GDI and Nod APCs eventually differentiated from one-another over the course of time, one becoming amphibious, and one being equipped with a drill for burrowing underground. But I digress.

My point is that this information is here because people showed interest in learning the history of some of these units and equipment. Is that not what encyclopedias are for? Storing information and recalling it when interested? Go read an encyclopedia some time. I'm sure some of the "irrelevant" information you'd find there would turn your head.

This issue does require a little reading, and the inept need not apply, but if you wish to try and contribute, or even if you want to vote "yes" for deletion, your best bet is to head here and say your piece, much like I have.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 04:59:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why won't you go away already

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:03:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller, why don't you do the same yourself?

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble

Posted by [z310](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:07:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And why don't you, AJ?

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:09:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310 wrote on Tue, 04 July 2006 01:07And why don't you, AJ?

Becuase the posts I make here are useful.

EDIT: Back to the point, if Wikipedia can have a article describing nasal sex they can have an article describing C&C units.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [z310](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:10:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DarkDemin wrote on Tue, 04 July 2006 01:03Aircraftkiller, why don't you do the same yourself?

Very useful.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:13:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gary, read my above edit.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [z310](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:14:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

At least I got the self-satisfaction of proving you wrong.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:15:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you're a real winner now.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [z310](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:16:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 04 July 2006 01:15you're a real winner now.

Thanks!

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Kamuix](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:21:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is DrLithius a male for female, earlier I read something from him/her that said he was actually a female, but in his/her profile it says he/she is a male and that His/Her real name is Joseph collins.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Dr. Lithius](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:27:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Mon, 03 July 2006 21:59why won't you go away already
Okay!

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [PackHunter](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:28:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't listen to him... Just ignore him like everybody else does

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Kamuix](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 05:30:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yea Dr.Lithius is C00l

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 08:42:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why don't we all leave and let tumbleweeds inherit the forum...

Dr. Lithius sadly Wikipedia is totally mob rule... If a big band of jerks want it gone then there isn't much we can do. ./ My advice is taking that page, hosting it somewhere, then just keeping a link in

the wikipedia. They wont care if its not on Wikipedia but some people can be so anal retentive.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 16:52:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Mon, 03 July 2006 23:59why won't you go away already

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Kamuix](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 17:03:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think He/she already did

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 20:10:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 04 July 2006 00:59Why won't you go away already?
AircraftkillerYou're a real winner now.
Remember, it's NOD, not Nod.

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Kamuix](#) on Wed, 05 Jul 2006 06:04:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doitle....you set the mobrule up only to realise you Flooded you're self.

And thats a good idea...

Than the one that came from my ass yesterday...

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Wed, 05 Jul 2006 22:16:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eh?

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble

Posted by [Berkut](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 00:31:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If it wasn't for the language and my recent attempt to cut back on censoring other's quotes, I'd so put that in my sig.

PS: Could you translate that?

Subject: Re: Wikipedia "Command & Conquer" Entries in Trouble

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 22:58:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Voted against

dumb wiki n00bers, think they own the place
