
Subject: RA:APB Site Move

Posted by [Chronojam](#) on Wed, 28 Dec 2005 05:11:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Our site is now located on a n00bstories server. Should be faster, safer, and with less flashy ads at the top of each page, and more hosting for whatever we release so you'll always have a download link that works.

Let me know if you run into any trouble, beyond simple broken links I didn't fix yet.

www.apathbeyond.com

www.renalert.com

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 28 Dec 2005 07:51:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nice to see a quick fix.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 02:22:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your website sucks on Firefox. You might want to fix that so it can be viewed the same on all browsers.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move

Posted by [YSLMuffins](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 18:04:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Play nice now. All the other junk is in the Hot issues forum now.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move

Posted by [Chronojam](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 18:16:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I did some things to make it more Firefox friendly. Opera and IE and NetSuck worked fine before, now FireFox shouldn't have as many layout issues trying to understand that 0 means 0 and silly things like that.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 18:29:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Firefox sucks... Be happy I'm not Chronojam since I would never fix it to work with that POS browser.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [bandie63](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 21:15:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 29 December 2005 14:29 Firefox sucks... Be happy I'm not Chronojam since I would never fix it to work with that POS browser.

Aren't you the one trying to convince Reborn not to alienate their fans?

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 21:23:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That has nothing to do with alienating any fans. Firefox displayed everything but had trouble with a single part of the forum.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Fabian](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 21:30:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Opera can't render the site correctly either. The text on the main page spills over, past the red area.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [light](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 22:34:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You'd probably have more success making a multi-browser website if it was standards compliant. Things like the DOCTYPE help browsers know how to render a page correctly.

(Your page has 232 validation errors)
<http://validator.w3.org/>

Actually, it's IE that's behind the rest.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Chronojam](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 23:35:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Most of the errors are the result of earlier errors confusing the validator. I'm sorry for not closing every tag I open when it's not needed and using Javascript in a few places if it offends you and makes your browser cry to itself.

Differences between how browsers deal with numbers is a little irritating, I'll fix the overflow maybe tonight after I eat something. Like, maybe in eight hours.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [TheGunrun](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 00:05:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It dosent load properly on the PSP v2.0 Web Browser.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [light](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 00:11:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chronojam wrote on Fri, 30 December 2005 12:35Most of the errors are the result of earlier errors confusing the validator. I'm sorry for not closing every tag I open when it's not needed and using Javascript in a few places if it offends you and makes your browser cry to itself.

Differences between how browsers deal with numbers is a little irritating, I'll fix the overflow maybe tonight after I eat something. Like, maybe in eight hours.

It doesn't offend me, I just don't bother with sites that don't display correctly.

It's things like having no doctype and invalid declarations that is probably making your site display incorrectly. If you don't want to fix it thats your business, I just thought you might like a bit of advice....

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [genetix](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 03:01:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

light wrote on Thu, 29 December 2005 18:11Chronojam wrote on Fri, 30 December 2005 12:35Most of the errors are the result of earlier errors confusing the validator. I'm sorry for not closing every tag I open when it's not needed and using Javascript in a few places if it offends you and makes your browser cry to itself.

Differences between how browsers deal with numbers is a little irritating, I'll fix the overflow maybe tonight after I eat something. Like, maybe in eight hours.

It doesn't offend me, I just don't bother with sites that don't display correctly.

It's things like having no doctype and invalid declarations that is probably making your site display incorrectly. If you don't want to fix it that's your business, I just thought you might like a bit of advice....

I started coding html when I was 11 and I could have done a better job even then.

To make this post a little constructive I'll say this. Get over your ego and follow some standards.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Chronojam](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 04:40:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hurr I started when I was nine before Fagifox came along to cry about borders =B Come on, when you were 11, was CSS and PHP and MySQL even around? Then again I don't know how old you are. You could believeably still be 12.

Seriously though, saying "I started at 11 and could have done better" sounds a lot like an inflated ego to me, or maybe you just take things too seriously.

Choose your future: Heaven or Hell

Look familiar? That's what your sig looks like. Don't you know the FUDcode standards? I started forums when I was 11 and could have done better!

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 05:24:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jeez, it was just a suggestion. Please don't take it as a personal attack on your coding because it's a reasonable mistake.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [YSLMuffins](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 06:37:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd say that the following behind Firefox would be pretty...fanatic. Needless to say, if I were a webmaster, I would not berate people for their choice of browser.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 18:25:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would, since it sucks.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 19:47:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Internet Explorer sucks, lets drop support for IE!

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 19:51:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IE SP2 is much better than Firefox can ever hope to be, which is why I'd rather people use that (or Avant if you absolutely must have "tabs") for viewing websites unless you're going to use Opera instead. At least IE SP2, Avant, and Opera aren't as bug riddled and full of exploits like Firefox is.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 20:55:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Saying that IE SP2 is better than Firefox is like worshipping Satan.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [genetix](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 21:58:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chronojam wrote on Thu, 29 December 2005 22:40Hurr I started when I was nine before Fagifox came along to cry about borders =B Come on, when you were 11, was CSS and PHP and MySQL even around? Then again I don't know how old you are. You could believeably still be 12.

Seriously though, saying "I started at 11 and could have done better" sounds a lot like an inflated ego to me, or maybe you just take things too seriously.

Choose your future: Heaven or Hell

Look familiar? That's what your sig looks like. Don't you know the FUDcode standards? I started forums when I was 11 and could have done better!

That would be the code used on the old boards. I actually do decent coding so I'm busy with clients who like my work... I'm lucky to get enough time to visit this site once a day.

BTW, if your coding followed any standards you wouldn't have to worry about firefox not being compliant. You don't care about your users that use a different internet browser. Thats sad...

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Sat, 31 Dec 2005 02:31:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

icedog90 wrote on Fri, 30 December 2005 15:55 Saying that IE SP2 is better than Firefox is like worshipping Satan.

Except it's even worse.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [light](#) on Sat, 31 Dec 2005 04:05:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 31 December 2005 08:51 At least IE SP2, Avant, and Opera aren't as bug riddled and full of exploits like Firefox is.

Internet Explorer

Microsoft Internet Explorer 6.x with all vendor patches installed and all vendor workarounds applied, is currently affected by one or more Secunia advisories rated Highly critical

Currently, 21 out of 91 Secunia advisories, are marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database.

Firefox

Mozilla Firefox 1.x with all vendor patches installed and all vendor workarounds applied, is currently affected by one or more Secunia advisories rated Less critical

Currently, 3 out of 26 Secunia advisories, are marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database.

Opera

Opera 8.x with all vendor patches installed and all vendor workarounds applied, is currently affected by one or more Secunia advisories rated Not critical

Currently, 1 out of 13 Secunia advisories, are marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database.

Based on that, IE is totally riddled with holes, with FF coming in second and Opera 3rd.

Subject: Re: RA:APB Site Move
Posted by [YSLMuffins](#) on Sat, 31 Dec 2005 09:54:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yawn Not another shift to a browser war thread. Keep the thread about improving/fixing up the APB website or I'll lock it.

cough Or maybe not.

And since when was Firefox more exploit-ridden than IE? The more popular a browser is, the more problems that are bound to be found. Thing is, seems like the team behind Firefox is much more prompt in addressing problems than M\$.
