

---

Subject: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Renegade](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2005 00:14:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

21 named storms this hurricane season, what are everyones thoughts on global warming as well s what the United states can and or should do to help natural disaster victims?

As everyone would know if they follow weather like i do, 21 names storms in the southern Atlantic is a record, some people say its a trend, others say its global warming.

Personally, i think its global warming.

The amount of pollution we are pumping into our atmosphere is catastrophic. If we don't act, we are all going to kill ourselves as well as our planet, whether it be from the storms, heat, rain, w/e the case may be, i don't want my great-great grandkids dieing at 30 bcuz of pollution in the air.

i also think the Us needs to react faster to these disasters and stop being cheap with their releif funds.

I understand that Katrina and Rita and soon to be Wilma, will do Billions of dollars of damage but it pains me when rich SOB 's like William Gates doesn't really help these disasters alot.

The bush administration in a recent press conference stated

"Natural disasters are not our number one priority, Terrorism is a higher risk that needs our undivided attention"

Morons, i don't agree with this.

with billions of dollars of damage done, lives DESTROYED and people killed, it should become #1 priority depending on the damage.

If a big Cat 5 hits, even a Cat 4, don't you think they should start getting the funds together AS the storms progressing towards land? Not wait after it has destroyed pretty much everything in its path?

Not to mention the lack of National Guard and Paramedics that are available.

What are everyones views?

and i don't want this turning into a flame session if you guys don't mind.

Happy? Spell checked and ready to go.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2005 02:44:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Personally I think people are overreacting a little bit to Global Warming. I think that it may be happening, but at a much slower rate than what some people are saying. It is a known fact that the climate slowly changes from year to year. One winter it can be extremely cold, like it was here in Kansas about three years ago. To being quite mild, like it was last year. One year we can get a lot of storms, while the next year get very little. Climates change. I think of the South Park episode on global warming when I hear people like Barbara Striesand(sp?) talking about it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Renerage](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2005 13:51:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

God of Death wrote on Mon, 24 October 2005 22:44 Personally I think people are overreacting a little bit to Global Warming. I think that it may be happening, but at a much slower rate than what some people are saying. It is a known fact that the climate slowly changes from year to year. One winter it can be extremely cold, like it was here in Kansas about three years ago. To being quite mild, like it was last year. One year we can get a lot of storms, while the next year get very little. Climates change. I think of the South Park episode on global warming when I hear people like Barbara Striesand(sp?) talking about it.

So your saying, i could just be a natural trend that the earth itself follows?

Hm, interesting theory. It reminds me of the winter of 98 here in ontario. Worst one in 30 years, but since, its been crap.

Crap being, i like the snow, lol i can make snow forts

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2005 16:18:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ive noticed just in my lifetime a lack of cold. When i was a kid in Texas it snowed every year. Then once a year then none at all. I thought that it was getting warmer before the big "global warming" thing.

People say its pollution, but 1 volcano eruption puts out more than we have in our entire time. (since we could produce harmful gases etc.)

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2005 23:52:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

They can chart temperatures for the last 100 years and prove that the "globe" is "warming".. but they can't prove that there is any link whatsoever to that trend and humans...

Most of the hurricane experts that I've heard state that the last two years are getting "back to normal". Since 1970, we've enjoyed three decades of low-grade hurricanes, most of which didn't

even come ashore. Now, we're seeing the same types of hurricanes they had at the beginning of the 1900's all the way to the 1960's..

Can you blame global warming for the highly destructive cat four and five hurricanes in the early 1900's?

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2005 23:55:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Since when was it Bill Gates' responsibility to pay for storm damage? Just that one sentence convinced me that you are a liberal Democrat.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [runewood](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 00:16:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The fact is that we do have global warming and we need to know what do do about it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 00:36:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You're a fucking retard. Bill Gates donates charity money daily to thousands, or do you just assume he's a rich fag who spends his money on whores, plastic surgery, and other crap?

Btw, Global Warming is a theory - it is not proven.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Renerage](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 00:44:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Tue, 25 October 2005 19:55 Since when was it Bill Gates' responsibility to pay for storm damage? Just that one sentence convinced me that you are a liberal Democrat.

Ahh no, im not saying its his responsibility its just that i would like to see him, with all his money, help out the world.

and to the other person, i apologise, i didnt know he actually donated. I shall keep that in mind.

And for one thing crimson, i dont know what a democrat is im only 15. And live in canada, if that means ne thing.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 02:23:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bill Gates created windows. He deserves the money he makes.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 02:55:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

There are simply too many variables involved in virtually all global warming models I've seen for them to be taken seriously.

We're basing most of what we know about global warming on computer models and simulations rather than real-world studies in the environment.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 04:21:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfortunately, if we ignore the possibility, by the time we figure out whether or not it is actually happening, there may be irreversible damage of some sort. So I'm not sure it's wise to just sit on our collective asses on this issue either.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [runewood](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 18:36:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j4S[p wrote on Tue, 25 October 2005 19:36]

Btw, Global Warming is a theory - it is not proven.

Tell that to the people who live near the icecaps that are melting at an amazing rate.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:25:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That'd be kind of hard...considering that nobody besides researchers even sees the ice caps, seeing as how one is on a barren, uninhabited continent and the other is floating in the Arctic Ocean. Nobody permanently lives on or near either ice cap.

---

And "melting at an amazing rate?" I'm gonna go out on a limb and say you don't have any research proving that. And the opinions of pundits and politicians do NOT count as "research." If you can provide a PUBLISHED report by credited scientists IN THIS FIELD (A climatologist, for example) that says the ice caps are "melting at an amazing rate," there would be validity in the claim. There's a big difference between the OPINIONS of scientists and ACTUAL, EXPERIMENTAL DATA. Just because Dr. Somebody said that global warming is the fault of humanity doesn't make it so if he can't PROVE it.

The fact is that there is no conclusive evidence either way. Johnny Anybody can claim that X, Y, or Z effect is due to human influence- but we can't prove that. It might be due to a natural warming cycle- a phenomenon which is known and documented as having happened before. We are in one such cycle now. Yes, we need to be careful about how we treat the environment- but we have no way of proving that this warming trend is due to human emissions (or demolitions, as it were).

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 00:00:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

With that said, I don't think for one second that all the emissions we create (power plants, cars fumes, refineries etc) are doing NO DAMAGE to the environment. But i'm interested that oblivion165 said a single volcano eruption harms the planet more than anything we've done...maybe I misread what he wrote :S. that's quite important to this argument though so could you say more about that if you don't mind oblivion?

let me put something forward:

what if global warming is happening, is coming as a result of us, and there is no way to prove it, at least not yet...which is why people haven't done so. what i'm trying to say I guess is that while some things can't be proven it doesn't mean they aren't happening...and in this case, convincing yourself global warming isn't there because of a lack of evidence is foolish, since you haven't seen any evidence that it DOESN'T EXIST either.

with that said, a scientist isn't some nobody from the street that doesn't know a proton from a neutron. it's someone who has, to an extent, studied these matters...maybe their opinion does hold some weight.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 00:16:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gbull wrote on Tue, 25 October 2005 22:23Bill Gates created windows. He deserves the money he makes.

Perhaps an argument to the contrary as well. LOLLOL (sound of head exploding).

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 01:19:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

runewood wrote on Wed, 26 October 2005 13:36j4S[p wrote on Tue, 25 October 2005 19:36]

Btw, Global Warming is a theory - it is not proven.

Tell that to the people who live near the icecaps that are melting at an amazing rate.

Actually I have >>real<< evidence contrary, though they do admit to warming trend, which I think everyone one agrees to. <http://abcnews.go.com/US/wireStory?id=1234998>

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 12:19:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

scientists are actually claiming that the bizare weather we are getting recently happens once every 50-100 years...

as for global warming, it's a natural event anyway....what do you think caused the polar ice caps to melt after the first Ice age?

We are more at risk of losing our magnetic field than anything else....and that could happen as early as the next 100 years....

Don't worry your heads about it, trust in fate, God, the matrix or the force....and live your life in a responsible and fun manner. You could die tomorrow, no one knows....so why worry.

Besides asking too many questions can make you necrophobic.....because you will dwell on what is coming next, instead of just dealing with it when it comes.

As for Bill Gates...do you know when he dies only 1% of his money is going to his kids. The rest is going to charity, that's billions! He donated tonnes to the Katrina incident, it's just they didn't turn around and say OMG BILL GATES GAVE US MONEH!!!!!! because most celebrities donate in private!

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 16:36:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, we're up to 23 named storms in the Atlantic/Carribbean area. Tropical Storm Beta is cruising in towards Central America, expected to gain hurricane strength before landfall.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [runewood](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 21:25:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ok, who here know wtf google is?

<http://www.themoscowtimes.com/stories/2005/09/30/253.html>

<http://sify.com/news/fullstory.php?id=13952677>

this took me like 2 min to find and post.

"what do you think caused the polar ice caps to melt after the first Ice age?"

Change is the earth's orbit.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [exnyte](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2005 22:14:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You missed her point. The point was that humans didn't trigger the warming that caused the ice to melt after the Ice Age. Natural causes did.

What makes you think that the Earth's orbit didn't change again to cause what's going on now?

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2005 00:02:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Scientists at NASA and the National Snow and Ice Data Center, which have monitored the ice via satellites since 1978, say the total Arctic ice in 2005 will cover the smallest area since they started measuring.

I'm hearing an awful lot of "Scientists Say" without any actual data attached. Without the numbers, the charts, the studies, what scientists SAY is just another bloody opinion. Nice try, but you have produced no proof.

Not only that, but your "references" come from one of the WORST possible sources- the news media. Just in case you weren't aware, the media has a tendency to publish only the most exciting stories, leaving opposing views that have less shock value in the dust. For an exaggerated example (but not as exaggerated as it might appear), which of these two report titles would more likely be seen on the front page of a newspaper?

A. GLOBAL WARMING DUE TO NATURAL CYCLE

or

## B. GLOBAL WARMING IS ALL OUR FAULT

B is more likely to catch a reader's attention and provoke a reaction, therefore B will be closer to the front page- you'd be lucky if you found A in a block below the editorials, as unexciting as it appears. The media caters to ratings and readership, not to balanced, well-delivered, factual information.

Go on- find a trustworthy source. Go dig up the images those satellites recorded, go get graphs depicting findings from examination of core samples (which would be an aid in determining the extent of previous warm/cold cycles), go get a few published reports (and I don't mean reports in the paper) complete with references and detailed experimental procedures. When you've got any of the above, that would be proof.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2005 00:35:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Right Away!

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:15:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Interesting post Cheekay, which forums did you steal it from?

And please kiddo,

USE THE FREAKING SPELL CHECK BUTTON!

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Jokah](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2005 15:18:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You guys do have many hurricanes. I hope that it's not to many casualties, and/or people injured.

I can even spell better than Cheekay! And english is my third language, that's weird..

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?



Posted by [runewood](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:29:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nukelt15 wrote on Thu, 27 October 2005 19:02Quote:Scientists at NASA and the National Snow and Ice Data Center, which have monitored the ice via satellites since 1978, say the total Arctic ice in 2005 will cover the smallest area since they started measuring.

I'm hearing an awful lot of "Scientists Say" without any actual data attached. Without the numbers, the charts, the studies, what scientists SAY is just another bloody opinion. Nice try, but you have produced no proof.

Not only that, but your "references" come from one of the WORST possible sources- the news media. Just in case you weren't aware, the media has a tendency to publish only the most exciting stories, leaving opposing views that have less shock value in the dust. For an exaggerated example (but not as exaggerated as it might appear), which of these two report titles would more likely be seen on the front page of a newspaper?

A. GLOBAL WARMING DUE TO NATURAL CYCLE

or

B. GLOBAL WARMING IS ALL OUR FAULT

B is more likely to catch a reader's attention and provoke a reaction, therefore B will be closer to the front page- you'd be lucky if you found A in a block below the editorials, as unexciting as it appears. The media caters to ratings and readership, not to balanced, well-delivered, factual information.

Go on- find a trustworthy source. Go dig up the images those satellites recorded, go get graphs depicting findings from examination of core samples (which would be an aid in determining the extent of previous warm/cold cycles), go get a few published reports (and I don't mean reports in the paper) complete with references and detailed experimental procedures. When you've got any of the above, that would be proof.

Frankly i could care less, why should i do work because you want edv. I know we are screwed in 100-250 years so wtf do I care if you know it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2005 19:24:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Frankly i could care less, why should i do work because you want edv. I know we are screwed in 100-250 years so wtf do I care if you know it.

1. You don't know. You think you know we are screwed in 100-250 years. You have no data to back that up as fact. Your unsupported opinion has no value as proof, as much as you might want

to believe that it does.

2. If you could care less, you wouldn't be so upset about the whole affair.

3. Dismissing others as ignorant, presumably due to a lack of actual data supporting your own case, is not a very solid argument. The standard response to that is usually along the lines of "Well, if you care so little, shut up and go away."

4. The burden of proof in science is on the person challenging the status quo. That is a historical precedent that has been confirmed time and time again- Galileo, Newton, Einstein, etc. The reason why you should work to produce evidence supporting your claim is because it is an as-of-yet unproven hypothesis, not an established theory.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [runewood](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2005 16:02:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The status quo is that global warming is believed, you are the one who doesn't believe it. Its like saying find me a site from a real scientist and only him saying  $2 + 2 = 4$ . I dont need to prove it to you because it has been proven. If you feel that if i dont prove it to your lazy self, that it isnt real, then too bad your grandkids are screwed.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:04:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You live in your own little world there, don't you? Nevermind, this is like arguing with a brick wall...

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [runewood](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2005 22:17:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My world is earth, which one are you in? I guess that means I win. GW is real and we need to take action.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2005 23:00:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why should he have to prove your own case for you? That's like a criminal defense attorney saying the prosecutor has to prove that the defendant is innocent because he is assumed to be.

You saying that "we need to take action" is implying that right now, we aren't doing anything, meaning the status quo is that global warming is unproven.

Don't make us do your own work for you. Nuke isn't being lazy for not proving your case for you to himself; you're being lazy for not providing us with some evidence.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [runewood](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 01:03:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Tell you what. If you can prove that the english lang exisists, the internet exists, i have a key bord, Bush is the president and that  $2 + 2 = 4$  with scientific evidence, from experts not anything else, I will prove global warming ok?

<http://www.newton.dep.anl.gov/newton/askasci/1995/environ/EN V189.HTM>

<http://www.nrdc.org/globalWarming/qthinice.asp>

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 18:37:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good, so now we've established that polar ice caps are shrinking...

...so where is the evidence links shrinking polar ice caps to humans? How do we know that the polar ice caps aren't shrinking because of some completely natural phenomenon?

Quote:Tell you what. If you can prove that the english lang exisists, the internet exists, i have a key bord, Bush is the president and that  $2 + 2 = 4$  with scientific evidence, from experts not anything else, I will prove global warming ok?

Against my better judgement, I'm going to answer that. Let's set up a series of experiments to determine whether any of the above are actually true:

English: Read this page. Cross-reference with the Oxford English Dictionary and the Miriam-Webster English Dictionary and Thesaurus. You will note that all of the properly spelled words on this page coincide with those found in the above volumes. You will aslo note that all the properly typed sentences obey the grammar rules of the English language, and that these rules are not identical to those of any other language. Therefore, English is a language and we are using it.

The Internet: This is the easiest one. We are using the Internet to communicate. You can see, through your Graphical User Interface, that you are loading this page from the Internet, typing

words and phrases into the post box, and sending information back over the Internet to be stored on the server as a post. I can then view that post, using my own GUI, and respond to it, and you and every other person using the Internet can see and read it. Therefore, the Internet exists and we are using it.

Keyboard: We have no way of proving you have a keyboard. I can think of two useable alternatives to the keyboard off the top of my head, those being voice-recognition typing software and an on-screen keyboard, which can be operated with an appropriately configured pointing device, such as a mouse. However, you are most certainly using either one of the above, or a keyboard. You are entering text, or having someone else enter text for you, therefore you must have some way of entering text into your computer via manual input.

Bush: While there are a small number of people who still claim Bush is not the president, there is ample evidence indicating that he is. Dick Cheney, who is the President of the Senate, the position reserved for the Vice President of the United States, was his running mate in both bids for that office. He is the Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces, as evidenced by the use of military power overseas (which he ordered, and Congress approved). Furthermore, he received more than the required number of electoral votes in the presidential elections of 2000 and 2004, and was sworn into office in front of Congress, on the steps of the Capitol building, according to tradition. He gives a State of the Union address each year, a duty reserved for the President of the United States. And lastly, but not least, the man in office is certainly George W Bush, because he may be identified by any of his myriad forms of ID. Therefore, the man serving as the President of the United States is, in fact, George W Bush, and George W Bush serves as the President of the United States.

2+2=4: This is a mathematic fact, assuming that we are using Base 10 (and not, for example, binary, in which those numerals are not used and "2" is denoted by "10"). It may be proved using the proof formula, the details of which escape me. It may ALSO be proved by a simple visual experiment:

- Pick up four pennies, the coin representing \$0.01 of United States currency.
- Place two pennies to your right, and two to your left.
- Move the two groups of two pennies together in front of you.
- Count them: Two pennies plus two pennies equals four pennies, or \$0.04 US.

This experiment may be reproduced using ANY four items- just be aware that the value of those four items when put together will not necessarily equal \$0.04 US. Therefore, 2+2=4.

You are using fallacious logic. These are accepted facts. "Experts" are not needed to prove them; they may be tested by ANYONE, and EVERYONE will reach the same conclusion after considering all of the available evidence and applying logic and reason.

The CAUSE of Global Warming is NOT an accepted fact. Every person in the world cannot put together warming trends and melting ice caps and everything else and know that humans caused it. There is insufficient evidence to prove that claim; it is therefore UNTESTABLE, and UNPROVEABLE. It does not matter how many people believe it; unless it can be tested and proved it is not fact.

Do we know that there is an effect known as Global Warming? Yes, we do. That much can be proven by the aforementioned receding ice caps, rising global temperatures, increased number

of tropical cyclones, and so forth.

Do we know WHAT CAUSES Global Warming? No, we do not. We have isolated and broken bits of information that point to POSSIBLE causes. We know that the Earth has gone through previous cycles of warming and cooling by examining ice core samples and layers of sediment, looking at the paths of glaciers that melted away millenia ago, and so on. We DO NOT have ANY connection between ANY of the effects of Global Warming and the actions of humans. NONE. We can guess that Global Warming might be caused by humans, or at least contributed to by them, because of events such as the depletion of the ozone layer, deforestation, and so forth. But we do not yet have any evidence that proves a connection between those things and Global Warming (though logic and reason alone do tend to indicate that there ought to be a connection there).

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:54:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We know global warming is a fact...

The question is the rate of warmth. It's a natural trend for the Earth to go through periods of warming and periods of cooling.

The question is not if global warming is true, the question is whether or not it's occurring faster than what is normal, and if this effect is going to have any negative consequences.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [Renx](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 20:21:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Actually, it turns out they were wrong about Greenland melting. The ice on Greenland has been thickening by over 1" per year. If you take into account how massive Greenland is...that's a shitload of ice.

<http://www.cnn.com/2005/TECH/science/10/21/greenland.icecap.reut/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [runewood](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 21:16:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Im not saying WE are causing it. Im saying that it IS happening. What I am saying is wtf do we do about it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

---

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 23:00:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Renx wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 15:21 Actually, it turns out they were wrong about Greenland melting. The ice on Greenland has been thickening by over 1" per year. If you take into account how massive Greenland is...that's a shitload of ice.

<http://www.cnn.com/2005/TECH/science/10/21/greenland.icecap. reut/>

Strange...

The joint study reveals the polar ice pack has shrunk by 30 per cent since 1978 and that melting is speeding up

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [Renerage](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 15:21:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DJM wrote on Fri, 28 October 2005 10:15 Interesting post Cheekay, which forums did you steal it from?

And please kiddo,

USE THE FREAKING SPELL CHECK BUTTON!

Yes I know i should have used the spell-check button but oh well, ill fix that post.

and i didnt steal it from anywhere. Any connections to other forums are completey coincidental.

Btw, i may not like you much, but i noticed you took a break, so now ill say:

Welcome Back.

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 21:21:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Renx wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 14:21 Actually, it turns out they were wrong about Greenland melting. The ice on Greenland has been thickening by over 1" per year. If you take into account how massive Greenland is...that's a shitload of ice.

<http://www.cnn.com/2005/TECH/science/10/21/greenland.icecap. reut/>

Man, I said that like twelve posts ago.

---

God of Death wrote on Wed, 26 October 2005 20:19runewood wrote on Wed, 26 October 2005 13:36j4S[p wrote on Tue, 25 October 2005 19:36]

Btw, Global Warming is a theory - it is not proven.

Tell that to the people who live near the icecaps that are melting at an amazing rate.

Actually I have >>real<< evidence contrary, though they do admit to warming trend, which I think everyone one agrees to. <http://abcnews.go.com/US/wireStory?id=1234998>

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [runewood](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 22:15:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe Greenland is having a cool year.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [Renerage](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2005 00:19:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rofl.  
your opinion, sucks.  
Not saying its wrong, but to simple to be "cool" in my mind

Meh who knows, maybe the half-wits right.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [runewood](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2005 21:49:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Better a half wit then a no wit.

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [rm5248](#) on Thu, 03 Nov 2005 02:37:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So anyways I don't really feel like looking through 2 pages of posts here, but I just saw this program on PBS about global warming. Here's some important data that I found out:

1. The 1 degree F rise in world temperature (in the past 50 years I think) has had a big impact on the world. Dry places are getting drier (midwest US). Summers are getting hotter. This leads to many people dieing. (Think summer '03 - Europe. Many people died from heat stroke.)
2. There's so much solar energy from the sun, that every day, we get enough solar power to supply the power needs for the entire planet for several years.
3. In some town in Conneticut, the entire cost for their recycling center's electricity bill is \$7 a month. They get their electricity from Methane from an old dump nearby. (\$7 a month is probably the cost of sending the bill out to be paid.)
4. This wasn't actually in the video, but in Alaska, houses in the southren part of the state have had to be moved because the permafrost that their foundation is on is actually melting, making the houses unstable.
5. Also, winters in Alaska are getting shorter. The snow is coming later and going earlier.
6. Glaciers in the Alps are dissapearing at the rate of 30 to 40 meters a year... 2x what they dissapeared at less then 200 years ago.
7. The Earth's climate can change very quickly. A rise in ocean levels could drastically change the climate to freezing cold or burning hot in under 10 years. That's not a long period of time.

My opinion: even if this is a natural cycle, we're not going to hurt it by polluting less.

Linky: <http://www.shoppbs.org/product/index.jsp?productId=2121561>

---

---

Subject: Re: Global Warming: Katrina, Rita, Wilma: Whats next?  
Posted by [runewood](#) on Fri, 04 Nov 2005 21:07:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

^^ ya i thought about watching it but i didnt. It just shows we a screwed even if we do pollute. The question is, how do we beat nature in time to save ourselves.

---