
Subject: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [IceSword7](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 22:33:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well this was posted on Clan Wars and I found it really interesting but unfortunately you cant have a intelligent conversation with the people there.

So i thought i would post this here and see what you guys think.

<http://www.question911.com/links.php>
Scroll down to Loose Change Part's 1 and 2

There kinda long but well worth it
(And no its not some Michael Moore liberal bullshit)

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Tue, 26 Jul 2005 03:18:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Won't read it just now but quite some time ago I'd been told that an american SAM site did indeed destroy one of the planes. won't give the source but it kind of makes sense that the government would want you to believe the passengers were heroes...if people really knew what the government did (if they did) then all hell would break loose. they're americans. what more to say?

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Renx](#) on Tue, 26 Jul 2005 03:22:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

404

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 26 Jul 2005 03:26:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If that plane was shot down by a SAM, then a lot of the pieces of the plane would be scattered quite a ways behind and around the main crash site, since it would have exploded/broken apart in the air instead of on impact. If you can get pictures of the crash site it should be easy to tell if it was blown up in the air or it crashed.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Wed, 27 Jul 2005 19:41:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just love these conspiracy folks...according to them, "The government" deliberately blew up the World Trade center (and buildings around it), killing thousands of people, and also fired a cruise missile or global hawk into the Pentagon...they say that flight 77 did not hit the pentagon...where is the plane and people then you ask? According to these fucknuts, the plane was landed safely, while "the government", sent all of the people "to a room with a bomb", and blew them all to pieces, and sent the pieces of the bodies to the same lab that was analyzing debris from the Pentagon.

WHAT THE FUCK???! How can someone really believe this kind of thing is happening? How do these people live with their fears? If they truly believe that the government would brutally murder thousands of citizens in broad daylight, "as an excuse to start a war for oil", then these people must be terrified to step outside of their house, for fear of the big bad government coming to do something terrible to them.

What makes these people think that the Govt would do something like this, and think they could get away with it?

I won't even go into the "war for oil" bullshit...everytime someone tells me some shit about how we are in Iraq to "steal all of their oil", I ask them then WHERE IS THE OIL? WHY AM I STILL PAYING \$2.60 per GALLON? WE PRETTY MUCH OWN IRAQ RIGHT NOW, SO WHERE ARE THE TANKERS FULL OF STOLEN OIL???? At which point they just go uhhhh I don't know but Bush is an idiot.

Wow...some people are just a waste of oxygen.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 27 Jul 2005 20:04:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Wed, 27 July 2005 15:11 I just love these conspiracy folks...according to them, "The government" deliberately blew up the World Trade center (and buildings around it), killing thousands of people, and also fired a cruise missile or global hawk into the Pentagon...they say that flight 77 did not hit the pentagon...where is the plane and people then you ask? According to these fucknuts, the plane was landed safely, while "the government", sent all of the people "to a room with a bomb", and blew them all to pieces, and sent the pieces of the bodies to the same lab that was analyzing debris from the Pentagon.

WHAT THE FUCK???! How can someone really believe this kind of thing is happening? How do these people live with their fears? If they truly believe that the government would brutally murder thousands of citizens in broad daylight, "as an excuse to start a war for oil", then these people must be terrified to step outside of their house, for fear of the big bad government coming to do something terrible to them.

What makes these people think that the Govt would do something like this, and think they could get away with it?

I won't even go into the "war for oil" bullshit...everytime someone tells me some shit about how we are in Iraq to "steal all of their oil", I ask them then WHERE IS THE OIL? WHY AM I STILL

PAYING \$2.60 per GALLON? WE PRETTY MUCH OWN IRAQ RIGHT NOW, SO WHERE ARE THE TANKERS FULL OF STOLEN OIL???? At which point they just go uhhhh I don't know but Bush is an idiot.

Wow...some people are just a waste of oxygen.

Because people hate our president for his party affiliation, or because someone tells them to. You know what we call those people? Tools.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Fabian](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 13:50:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yup! People who don't like the same things that you do are tools!

However, I do agree with Blazer's post.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 14:52:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in case any of that had to do with my post, what I meant was that the idea an american SAM site took down the plane might make sense if they (the government) knew the plane was heading for a critical building, i.e. the whitehouse, and would rather take it down and sacrifice a small handful of america's population rather than have a huge load of s**t to clean up if the whitehouse or similar building was hit and destroyed. After all, they can say it were the civilians that took back control of the plane, and blame everything on the terrorists.

It's hard to believe anything people say about it these days because there's always a good counter argument (like if the pieces of the plane weren't scattered wide apart it was probably not hit by a SAM, but would that not depend on the type of missile used against the plane?) and nobody really knows for sure. Will say this though, the guy who told me that story mentioned he got it from a friend who actually works for the government. if he's telling the truth, his friend will get the jail or worse if found out.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Kingdud](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 15:55:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yea, I watched the one about how "controlled demo" destroyed WTC 1, 2, and 7. It was friggin halarious. "Steel's melting point is ~1510 degrees Celcius (he typoed the unit, he meant celcius) (~2750 degrees F)." "The maxiumum temperature of a non-compressed polycarbonate fire is ~825 degrees celcius (1517 degrees F)."

Ok, that's bullshit about the non-compressed temperature. I live in Chattanooga, near a great many gasoline distribution hubs. Hearing about a tanker truck carrying diesel or gasoline overturning and burning is not an uncommon story. You know how those fires get? 2000 degrees F easily. Some go up to 2500, one got up to 3000 (It was a REALLY windy day, it had an almost unlimited air supply).

How hot is 2000 degrees? You can melt the paint off a firetruck at 200 yards with that much heat. The windshields of cars melt. Both the glass and the plastic layers (glass turns liquid (depending on the formula) around 1500 degrees F). The hoods and chassis of the cars within 100 yards of the fire were destroyed, they looked like a plastic statue after you hold a lighter up to it.

Now, back to the story. One thing these guys don't understand is that steel doesn't have to be at its melting point to become soft and unstable. Around 500 degrees, the temperature of a simple wood fire, steel starts becoming soft. Around a thousand it becomes soft enough that a hammer can reshape it fairly easily, at 1500 it becomes soft enough that (if you could stand to be close enough to hit it) you could reshape the steel at will with a small hammer.

Guess how hot jet fuel burns? About 4-6 thousand degrees (F). Yea, that's right, it gets waaaaayy hotter than 1500 degrees. This guy needs to check his facts. The fire was easily past the melting point of the steel in the building. Even if it wasn't the supposed "maximum temperature" of an oil-based fire is still hot enough to turn the steel into a very weak metal. The weight of those buildings broke the weakened beams.

As for the "side explosions", let me ask you something, when you collapse an area of space that is 10 feet high and 100 feet wide and long, where does the air go? Does it just vanish into a null area? No, it goes out, out to the sides to be more specific. Those "demo charges" that "proved" the building was brought down by controlled explosion actually prove it wasn't. That is what the air did when the floor above it collapsed in, the air went out the side, novel idea isn't it?

The reason the towers collapsed perfectly was because the hottest point of the fire was the center, which happens to be where most of the weight bearing structure of the towers was. If the government wanted to kill its own people wouldn't they have brought them down so that they fell to one side rather than collapsed on their footprint? It would kill more people.

These people are amazing. An ounce of sense tells you they are idiots. Oh well.

Peace out,

-Kingdud

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 19:13:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Most skyscrapers, including the WTC, are specifically designed so that if they ever collapse, they do so straight down rather than falling over to minimize damage to the surrounding area. The buildings did precisely what they were designed to in such an extreme situation- fall in on

themselves, not all over the next couple dozen blocks of city. That's smart building design, not controlled demolition.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Weirdo](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 19:54:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:in case any of that had to do with my post, what I meant was that the idea an american SAM site took down the plane might make sense if they (the government) knew the plane was heading for a critical building, i.e. the whitehouse, and would rather take it down and sacrifice a small handful of america's population rather than have a huge load of s**t to clean up if the whitehouse or similar building was hit and destroyed. After all, they can say it were the civilians that took back control of the plane, and blame everything on the terrorists.

A govnement actually shooting down an already hyacked airplane doesn't sound that strange. Only the fact that it was a SAM surprises me. What normally happens when an airplane doesn't want to identify it selve, or is not trusted, it is chased by fighter pilots. These fighter aren't just there to wave friendly to the pilot, but are there also to shoot them down in case of problems.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 22:32:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SEAL wrote on Thu, 28 July 2005 09:20Yup! People who don't like the same things that you do are tools!

However, I do agree with Blazer's post.

when did i say they have to think the same way i do again? All I said was people who hate him PURELY on party affiliation or because someone tells them to(Celebrity or Parental Influence.). If your a liberal who thinks for yourself(Java as an example) then your not a tool. If your a liberal who hates Bush because of the reasons above(SFE) then you are a tool.
More Reading, less reafar.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [Fabian](#) on Fri, 29 Jul 2005 01:25:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're right. I misread. However, SFE seems to always have some kind of rationale (regardless of what you think of it). It's not blind hatred.

I like the very to-the-point ending of your post that would have been powerful had you spelled reefer correctly.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Fri, 29 Jul 2005 18:29:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd like to know where in New York a SAM installation is located.

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11
Posted by [z310](#) on Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:34:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Parkchester?
