

---

Subject: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Titan1x77](#) on Sun, 03 Jul 2005 16:28:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I compared the armor.ini in the always.dat with the one in the always2.dat and I found why the ramjet does so much damage to light vehicles.

Westwood somehow screwed up the settings for the Shrapnel warhead.

this is what it looks like in the always.dat

Quote:[Scale\_CNCVehicleLight]

None=1.0000  
Steel=0.2000  
Steel\_NoBuilding=0.2000  
Shrapnel=0.1000  
Explosive=0.3000  
Explosive\_NoBuilding=0.3000  
Shell=0.5000  
Shell\_NoBuilding=0.5000  
Flamethrower=0.3500  
TiberiumRaw=0.0000  
TiberiumBullet=0.6000  
TiberiumShrapnel=0.2000  
C4=2.0000  
Laser=0.4000  
Laser\_NoBuilding=1.0000  
Repair=1.0000  
IonCannon=0.4000  
Nuke=0.5000  
Fire=1.0000  
Chem=0.0500  
Electric=1.0000  
Visceroid=0.5000  
Earth=0.5000  
RegenHealth=1.0000  
BlamoKiller=10000.0000  
Death=10000.0000  
Harmless=0.0000

[Scale\_CNCVehicleMedium]

None=1.0000  
Steel=0.2000  
Steel\_NoBuilding=0.2000  
Shrapnel=0.1000  
Explosive=0.3000  
Explosive\_NoBuilding=0.3000  
Shell=0.5000

Shell\_NoBuilding=0.5000  
Flamethrower=0.3500  
TiberiumRaw=0.0000  
TiberiumBullet=0.6000  
TiberiumShrapnel=0.2000  
C4=2.0000  
Laser=0.4000  
Laser\_NoBuilding=1.0000  
Repair=1.0000  
IonCannon=0.4000  
Nuke=0.5000  
Fire=1.0000  
Chem=0.0500  
Electric=1.0000  
Visceroid=0.5000  
Earth=0.5000  
RegenHealth=1.0000  
BlamoKiller=10000.0000  
Death=10000.0000  
Harmless=0.0000

[Scale\_CNCVehicleHeavy]  
None=1.0000  
Steel=0.1500  
Steel\_NoBuilding=0.1500  
Shrapnel=0.0250  
Explosive=0.3000  
Explosive\_NoBuilding=0.3000  
Shell=0.5000  
Shell\_NoBuilding=0.5000  
Flamethrower=0.1750  
TiberiumRaw=0.0000  
TiberiumBullet=0.3000  
TiberiumShrapnel=0.1000  
C4=2.0000  
Laser=0.4000  
Laser\_NoBuilding=1.0000  
Repair=1.0000  
IonCannon=0.4000  
Nuke=0.5000  
Fire=1.0000  
Chem=0.0500  
Electric=1.0000  
Visceroid=0.5000  
Earth=0.5000  
RegenHealth=1.0000  
BlamoKiller=10000.0000  
Death=10000.0000

Harmless=0.0000

and this is in the always2.dat

Quote:[Scale\_CNCVehicleLight]

None=1.0000

Steel=0.2000

Steel\_NoBuilding=0.5000

Shrapnel=0.3000

Explosive=0.3000

Explosive\_NoBuilding=0.3000

Shell=0.5000

Shell\_NoBuilding=0.5000

Flamethrower=0.3500

TiberiumRaw=0.0000

TiberiumBullet=0.6000

TiberiumShrapnel=0.2000

C4=2.0000

Laser=0.4000

Laser\_NoBuilding=1.0000

Repair=1.0000

IonCannon=0.4000

Nuke=0.5000

Fire=1.0000

Chem=0.0500

Electric=1.0000

Visceroid=0.5000

Earth=0.5000

RegenHealth=1.0000

BlamoKiller=10000.0000

Death=10000.0000

Harmless=0.0000

CNC\_Flamethrower=0.3500

CNC\_Chem=0.6000

[Scale\_CNCVehicleMedium]

None=1.0000

Steel=0.2000

Steel\_NoBuilding=0.2000

Shrapnel=0.1000

Explosive=0.3000

Explosive\_NoBuilding=0.3000

Shell=0.5000

Shell\_NoBuilding=0.5000

Flamethrower=0.3500

TiberiumRaw=0.0000

TiberiumBullet=0.6000

TiberiumShrapnel=0.2000

C4=2.0000  
Laser=0.4000  
Laser\_NoBuilding=1.0000  
Repair=1.0000  
IonCannon=0.4000  
Nuke=0.5000  
Fire=1.0000  
Chem=0.0500  
Electric=1.0000  
Visceroid=0.5000  
Earth=0.5000  
RegenHealth=1.0000  
BlamoKiller=10000.0000  
Death=10000.0000  
Harmless=0.0000  
CNC\_Flamethrower=0.3500  
CNC\_Chem=0.6000

[Scale\_CNCVehicleHeavy]  
None=1.0000  
Steel=0.1500  
Steel\_NoBuilding=0.1500  
Shrapnel=0.0250  
Explosive=0.3000  
Explosive\_NoBuilding=0.3000  
Shell=0.5000  
Shell\_NoBuilding=0.5000  
Flamethrower=0.1750  
TiberiumRaw=0.0000  
TiberiumBullet=0.3000  
TiberiumShrapnel=0.1000  
C4=2.0000  
Laser=0.4000  
Laser\_NoBuilding=1.0000  
Repair=1.0000  
IonCannon=0.4000  
Nuke=0.5000  
Fire=1.0000  
Chem=0.0500  
Electric=1.0000  
Visceroid=0.5000  
Earth=0.5000  
RegenHealth=1.0000  
BlamoKiller=10000.0000  
Death=10000.0000  
Harmless=0.0000  
CNC\_Flamethrower=0.1750  
CNC\_Chem=0.3000

they ended up making it 3x stronger against light armor!! Not only that but it's more powerful than steel(which is used for rockets)...if this was to be fixed back to how it originally was im sure we wouldnt have as many people crying about the ramjet.

can an update to the armor.ini be included in the CP2?

Or would this cause a mismatch between the server and client if the client didnt have CP2?

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [=HT=T-Bird](#) on Sun, 03 Jul 2005 19:57:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Some Typo... Could this be fixed?

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [trunkskbg](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 03:53:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Titan1x77 wrote on Sun, 03 July 2005 12:28  
Or would this cause a mismatch between the server and client if the client didnt have CP2?

That's how it should be anyway...

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 07:49:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That was not an error, it was designed that way and gives the game horrible balance. The reason always2.dat exists is for fast patching instead of adding and updating files inside of a massive database like always.dat, so armor.ini was upgraded in always2 for that exact reason.

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Titan1x77](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 11:37:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well this is suppose to be why BHS came into the picture....to update a game that was no longer supported....and Im sure after years of gameplay with these horrible settings Westwood would of atleast changed the armor.ini again.

And as I recall BHS used the always2.dat to update some ION and nuke announcements for the

last CP.

Is BHS discussing this or is this being thrown out of consideration?

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [mac](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 12:17:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The rule has been that we try not to do any balance changes, since there is much controversy about it.

Maybe we can do a poll about that, though.

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Renx](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 19:50:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It could be changed, but wouldn't it just end up kind of like the Stealth crate? i.e. people with CP2 do less damage to light armor with the n00bjet, people without do more damage, etc...

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Titan1x77](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 20:29:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

all depends if this is read by the server side??...it is only a setting for damage, so it might be only server side.

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Renx](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 20:31:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Damage is client side. You could simply change this yourself now, but then RenGuard would think you were cheating.

---

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [trunkskgb](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2005 00:56:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

trunksk gb wrote on Sun, 03 July 2005 23:53Titan1x77 wrote on Sun, 03 July 2005 12:28  
Or would this cause a mismatch between the server and client if the client didnt have CP2?

That's how it should be anyway...

I cannot stress this enough.

If we need to have a poll as mac said, ok...that would be a little bit easier for everyone. Point being, if you didn't download the latest patch from BHS...you cannot play on that server.

I mean really, what can BHS do if we cannot change things like that?

No offense to BHS, they're doing a wonderful job, but we if keep on going like this, we're only half-assing it.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [mac](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2005 06:20:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Find out, if this is a server side setting, and players without that setting can join that server. We are not going to create a version that is incompatible with the standard 1.037 version.

That's the condition.. but I suspect it's part of the files that cannot be changed or it will cause the version mismatch.

Another way is to do it in specific maps, but we are limited to the new maps included in CP1 then.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Whitedragon](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2005 07:08:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You could give flying vehicles the medium armor type, you can change the armor type of vehicles server side using the set\_shield\_type command, however this only effects its armor and not its health. You MIGHT be able to change both the health and armor type in objects.ddb, though.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Titan1x77](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2005 09:25:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good idea...but the only problem I see with this is that it will take more shots from the AGT to kill a medium sheild unit (Steel\_NoBuilding=0.2000) compared to what a light vehicle currently has (Steel\_NoBuilding=0.5000)....which pretty much rips right thru buggy's,apaches,and mobile

artillery's....which is a huge difference.

Also I think a setting of 0.2000 is better off for shrapnel, ACK tried medium sttings and 0.1000 really isn't enough.

Also map specific is out of the question for armor.ini changes, even if you export a .mix or .pkg with it's own .ini file in it it wont read it from there instead it's read from the .dat file.

For some reason this file cannot be replaced by a file in the data folder, which leads me to ask, why couldnt this be the way with .w3d's??

It would solve the problem with .w3d cheats. Maybe you guys could look into why .ini files are strictly read from the .dat and apply this to .ddb and .w3d files.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Renx](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2005 19:23:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mac wrote on Tue, 05 July 2005 02:20Find out, if this is a server side setting, and players without that setting can join that server. We are not going to create a version that is incompatible with the standard 1.037 version.

You can't change anything in bones.ini/armor.ini/etc, because the settings are all client side. It won't cause a version mismatch, the problem will be that people with CP2 would do less damage to aircrafts where as people without CP2 would still do normal damage. If that was to happen a large majority of people probably just wouldn't download CP2.

What WD suggested will work fine, some servers have already changed it in that way. The only thing there is it's changing the entire armor type, not just the amount of damage one type of projectile does to it.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [=HT=T-Bird](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2005 22:21:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anti-Aircraft Hint: Couldn't you just substitute a PIC/Rav for the Havoc/Sakura?

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [matty3k10](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 17:44:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Or you could use Weapon\_RamjetRifle\_Player aka "1 shot Ram Jet" It uses Steel as its warhead so it dosnt damage Light armor vehicles as much. The only problem is you cant make the clip size



bigger than 1 (atleast I cant) but if you could then it would work or perfectly.

Oh and for the medium armor type I set that to the transport heli in the Xphaze servers and it still gets wasted by the AGT rather fast and it takes like 20 or 40 damage from 1 ram jet shot.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [trunkskgb](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 03:53:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mac wrote on Tue, 05 July 2005 02:20We are not going to create a version that is incompatible with the standard 1.037 version.

Then what good can BHS do?

You're basically saying, we won't fix Renegade, but we can make it look nice.

This is like sweeping shit under the rug instead of doing it the right way.

I like Rene and what BHS has done so far. When I heard of when they 1st came out and what they planned to do, I had faith that Renegade could actually be patched into a smooth game. Not too much motivation now that I see where things are heading.

---

Subject: Re: armor.ini in the always2.dat  
Posted by [Whitedragon](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:48:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anything that requires the client to download something to play on that server will fail horribly.

---