Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 03:02:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Doubtlessly most of you haven't heard of the Downing Street Memos, because a majority of you don't know anything.

http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/downloads/dsmemo.pdf

They're a secret British document that got leaked by someone high up in the English government telling how facts of the Iraq war were being fixed around the case and that the Attorney General said the war in Iraq would be illegal given current evidence.

http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/

A site by David Swanson, a constitutional scholar calling for the impeachment of George W. Bush. Maybe you should look around.

Here's a letter to Rep. John Conyers, Jr. from John Bonifaz, another important item.

http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/?q=node/5

"One of the keys to being seen as a great leader is to be seen as a commander-in-chief. My father had all this political capital built up when he drove the Iraqis out of Kuwait and he wasted it. If I have a chance to invade. If I had that much capital, I'm not going to waste it. I'm going to get everything passed that I want to get passed and I'm going to have a successful presidency."

-George W. Bush, 1999, talking to his ghost writer, Mickey Herrskowitz, who wrote A Charge To Keep.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Doitle on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 03:35:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So a document outlaying the routes the US had for the Iraq War proves what? You guys don't have anything better to go on? The line "fixed around the issues" is your hail mary impeach Bush play? Unfuckinglikely.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 03:56:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Truely amazing, I thought George W. was an idiot though, that he could barely tie his own shoe let alone create a conspiracy to fool the entire United States including all of Congress.. Bottom line, you people need to stop fucking trying. Its getting a bit pathetic.

Posted by msgtpain on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 06:25:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm pretty sure we're the ones at fault here, as he said, the majority of us "don't know anything"...

I wish I was as smart as a 14 year old liberal tool.... one can only dream, I guess.

I guess the funniest thing is, in all the years we've been here, he still hasn't learned that he shouldn't start out a conversation with slander.. it just doesn't make good "conversation".

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 16:31:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Doitle wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 23:35So a document outlaying the routes the US had for the Iraq War proves what? You guys don't have anything better to go on? The line "fixed around the issues" is your hail mary impeach Bush play? Unfuckinglikely.

So you believe Iraq was being supplied with nuclear material from Africa?

So you believe that Saddam posed an imminent threat to the United States?

So you believe that Saddam harbored al Qaeda?

So you believe Iraq had WMDs? [http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/01/12/wmd.search/]

Of course, after it was found that there were no WMDs in Iraq, Bush's mission statement changed from

THIS: Bush: "Our mission is clear in Iraq. Should we have to go in, our mission is very clear: disarmament." [3/6/03]

to THIS: Bush: "Our cause is just, the security of the nations we serve and the peace of the world. And our mission is clear, to disarm Iraq of weapons of mass destruction, to end Saddam Hussein's support for terrorism, and to free the Iraqi people." [3/22/03]

Oh, and a top war proponent Pentagon hawk [Richard Perle] has conceded that the war in Iraq was illegal. [http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/?q=node/505]

Guess what Nazi leaders like Goering, von Ribbentrop, Jodl, and Streicher were hanged for?

- "(a) Crimes against Peace: namely, planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression, or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances, or participation in a Common Plan or Conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the foregoing"
- -Article 6, Charter of the International Military Tribunal, August 8, 1945

And of course on the topic of Guantanamo and Abu Ghraib,

- "War Crimes: namely, violations of the laws or customs of war. Such violations shall include, but not be limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation to slave labor or for any other purpose of civilian population of or in occupied territory, murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the seas, killing of hostages, plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity"
- -Article 6, Charter of the International Military Tribunal, August 8, 1945

So, you think a Republican Congress will pass a resolution of inquiry on this? Of course not. But it would be nice if we had a real government.

EDIT: Errors with text formatting

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 18:05:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Im getting tired of repeating this:

The 3 reasons we were in Iraq:

- 1.) WMDs, Still pending. There is alot of fucking Desert out there
- 2.) Help the Iraqis gain independence from the Tyranny of Sadaam.
- 3.) Terrorist Ties, and don't tell me they aren't there because our troops get blown up everyday because of them. This is a war on TERROR not just on al Qaeda.

Also, playing loud music and Sleep deprivation is NOT mistreating prisoners. There was no physical Torture(that was approved of) of Prisoners.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 18:27:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gbull wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 14:05lm getting tired of repeating this:

The 3 reasons we were in Iraq:

1.) WMDs, Still pending. There is alot of fucking Desert out there

No, not still pending. That search ended a long time ago. http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/01/12/wmd.search/

And this was the only reason given before the war was launched, rendering the other two

irrelevant.

"Our mission is clear in Iraq. Should we have to go in, our mission is very clear: disarmament." -George W. Bush [3/6/03]

gbull2.) Help the Iraqis gain independence from the Tyranny of Sadaam.

Humanitarian reasons aren't legitimate reasons to topple a sovereign nation's government.

gbull3.) Terrorist Ties, and don't tell me they aren't there because our troops get blown up everyday because of them. This is a war on TERROR not just on al Qaeda.

So you believe Iraq had nothing to do with 9/11? And, if I may, was Iraq harboring terrorists that proved an imminent threat to the safety of the United States?

gbullAlso, playing loud music and Sleep deprivation is NOT mistreating prisoners. There was no physical Torture(that was approved of) of Prisoners.

Then why have captives in U.S. custody died as a result of trauma?

http://www.humanrightsfirst.org/us_law/etn/statements/abu-yr -042605.htm http://www.cageprisoners.com/articles.php?id=4122

And the U.S. is planning an all-inclusive tribunal/death chamber set-up [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/2979076.stm] absent from such non-sense as juries and the right to appeal.

And violating medical privacy rules in order to implement more effective per-person torture schemes. [http://fullcoverage.yahoo.com/s/nm/20050623/hl_nm/security_g uantanamo_health_dc]

An FBI Agent says he saw it. [http://cnnstudentnews.cnn.com/2004/US/12/08/guantanamo.abuse /]

It's more than just loud music. And loud music and sleep deprivation ARE mental torture techniques. You can make people literally insane with only the two.

EDIT: Errors with quotation system

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Doitle on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 19:09:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yes I beleive he was a threat, yes I beleive they were harboring terrorists, yes I beleive they had WMDs. We have a real government, we don't need an inquiry. Who the hell cares what the reasoning was. We are in Iraq. Iraq has a new government. Everything is peachy. I tell you we'd

probably be years ahead of our time if it wasn't for all the whiners. We'd have cured cancer and colonized another planet probably. We're always held back though by everyone bitching and complaining. I am an American citizen. I don't care if their mission statement changed.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 19:13:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I believe George W. said he was planning a war on Terrorism prior the war, and you can't add humanitarian reasons to the list? man...maybe we should just stayed out of the European Theatre in WWII then, because Hitler wasnt an immediate threat to us. No ties to terrorism:

http://www.cfr.org/publication.php?id=7702 "CFR Publications"What kind of support has Iraq given terrorists?

Safe haven, training, and financial support. In violation of international law, Iraq has also sheltered specific terrorists wanted by other countries, reportedly including:

- * Abu Nidal, who, until he was found dead in Baghdad in August 2002, led an organization responsible for attacks that killed some 300 people.
- * Palestine Liberation Front leader Abu Abbas, who was responsible for the 1985 hijacking of the Achille Lauro cruise ship in the Mediterranean. Abbas was captured by U.S. forces April 15.
 - * Two Saudis who hijacked a Saudi Arabian Airlines flight to Baghdad in 2000.
- * Abdul Rahman Yasin, who is on the FBI's "most wanted terrorists" list for his alleged role in the 1993 World Trade Center bombing.

Iraq has also provided financial support for Palestinian terror groups, including Hamas, Islamic Jihad, the Palestine Liberation Front, and the Arab Liberation Front, and it channeled money to the families of Palestinian suicide bombers. In April 2002, Iraq increased the amount of such payments from \$10,000 to \$25,000. Experts say that by promoting Israeli-Palestinian violence, Saddam may have hoped to make it harder for the United States to win Arab support for a campaign against Iraq.

"CFR Publications"What ties have been alleged between Iraq and al-Qaeda?

In October 2002, CIA Director George Tenet announced that the CIA had received uncorroborated reports that:

- * Senior-level contacts between Iraq and al-Qaeda stretch back a decade.
- * Iraq and al-Qaeda have discussed the provision of safe havens and reciprocal nonaggression.

- * Iraq has provided training to al-Qaeda members in chemical weapons and conventional explosives.
- * Al-Qaeda leaders have tried to cultivate contacts in Iraq who could help them acquire weapons of mass destruction.
- * Some al-Qaeda members who fled Afghanistan took refuge in Baghdad and elsewhere in Iraq.

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 19:31:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 15:09Yes I beleive he was a threat, yes I beleive they were harboring terrorists, yes I beleive they had WMDs. We have a real government, we don't need an inquiry. Who the hell cares what the reasoning was. We are in Iraq. Iraq has a new government. Everything is peachy. I tell you we'd probably be years ahead of our time if it wasn't for all the whiners. We'd have cured cancer and colonized another planet probably. We're always held back though by everyone bitching and complaining. I am an American citizen. I don't care if their mission statement changed.

How was Saddam a threat, which terrorists were they harboring, and why do you believe they had WMDs? BTW, are you suggesting the ends justify the means?

gbullI believe George W. said he was planning a war on Terrorism prior the war, and you can't add humanitarian reasons to the list? man...maybe we should just stayed out of the European Theatre in WWII then, because Hitler wasnt an immediate threat to us. No ties to terrorism:

http://www.cfr.org/publication.php?id=7702

Hitler was an immediate threat to us, though, what with his being allied with Japan, Japan attacking us, and his attacking other countries in order to occupy them and kill non-Aryans. Saddam wasn't doing that.

gbull"CFR Publications"

What kind of support has Iraq given terrorists?

Safe haven, training, and financial support. In violation of international law, Iraq has also sheltered specific terrorists wanted by other countries, reportedly* including:

- * Abu Nidal, who, until he was found dead in Baghdad in August 2002, led an organization responsible for attacks that killed some 300 people.
- * Palestine Liberation Front leader Abu Abbas, who was responsible for the 1985 hijacking of the Achille Lauro cruise ship in the Mediterranean. Abbas was captured by U.S. forces April 15.

- * Two Saudis who hijacked a Saudi Arabian Airlines flight to Baghdad in 2000.
- * Abdul Rahman Yasin, who is on the FBI's "most wanted terrorists" list for his alleged role in the 1993 World Trade Center bombing.

Iraq has also provided financial support for Palestinian terror groups, including Hamas, Islamic Jihad, the Palestine Liberation Front, and the Arab Liberation Front, and it channeled money to the families of Palestinian suicide bombers. In April 2002, Iraq increased the amount of such payments from \$10,000 to \$25,000. Experts say that by promoting Israeli-Palestinian violence, Saddam may have hoped to make it harder for the United States to win Arab support for a campaign against Iraq.

So Saddam paid an unsubstantiated amount of money in \$25,000 increments to an unknown number of suicide bombers who would attack Israeli frontier villages? How is that an imminent threat to the U.S. again?

*: "Reportedly" by who? Is this a case of "someone said Saddam supported these guys?"

"CFR Publications"What ties have been alleged between Iraq and al-Qaeda?

In October 2002, CIA Director George Tenet announced that the CIA had received uncorroborated reports that:

- * Senior-level contacts between Iraq and al-Qaeda stretch back a decade.
- * Iraq and al-Qaeda have discussed the provision of safe havens and reciprocal nonaggression.
- * Iraq has provided training to al-Qaeda members in chemical weapons and conventional explosives.
- * Al-Qaeda leaders have tried to cultivate contacts in Iraq who could help them acquire weapons of mass destruction.
- * Some al-Qaeda members who fled Afghanistan took refuge in Baghdad and elsewhere in Irag.

These are uncorroborated reports. That means no evidence other than someone said it, basically. I can make an uncorroborated allegation that Sensen Brenner is a five-headed space alien, but that doesn't make it true.

Oh, and you both seem to have avoided the majority of my post. Are you conceding those points to me?

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 20:12:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

conceding what? its a public forum, get over yourself. fine, if you want me to, I will. We made loud noise made them go crazy and whatnot, thats much worse than sawing off someones head with a dull blade while their still alive.

also, you still seem to be missing the point. Mr. Bush said we were to wage a war on

TERRORISM. When in his speeches did he once say: "but we are limiting our aggression on those terrorists who have only just recently attacked or may be an immediate threat to us."

and to ask the question, does the end justify the means? Ask the Iraqis, they might be able to give you that answer.

"SFE"Hitler was an immediate threat to us, though, what with his being allied with Japan, Japan attacking us, and his attacking other countries in order to occupy them and kill non-Aryans. Saddam wasn't doing that.

Sadaam has never invaded a foriegn allied country, and hes certainly never committed genocide.

FYI, Im sure the CIA makes a point not to follow uncorroborated reports with no proof.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 20:52:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gbull wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 16:12conceding what? its a public forum, get over yourself. fine, if you want me to, I will. We made loud noise made them go crazy and whatnot, thats much worse than sawing off someones head with a dull blade while their still alive.

Insurgents aren't Saddam's former government. And just because they do it doesn't make it ok for us to do it. Because we are carrying out torture so severe that people are dying from trauma-induced injuries. But I already said that, if you happened to miss it.

gbullalso, you still seem to be missing the point. Mr. Bush said we were to wage a war on TERRORISM. When in his speeches did he once say: "but we are limiting our aggression on those terrorists who have only just recently attacked or may be an immediate threat to us."

So why was Iraq such a hotspot for terrorists, as opposed to Iran, Syria, or Saudi Arabia? Well, I guess we should rule out the House of Saud because they're such close friends with President Bush.

gbulland to ask the question, does the end justify the means? Ask the Iraqis, they might be able to give you that answer.

As a general rule, your argument has flaws if you believe the ends justify the means.

"SFE"Hitler was an immediate threat to us, though, what with his being allied with Japan, Japan attacking us, and his attacking other countries in order to occupy them and kill non-Aryans. Saddam wasn't doing that.

gbullSadaam has never invaded a foriegn allied country, and hes certainly never committed genocide.

Remember what happened when he did do that? It's not like he was doing it again.

gbullFYI, Im sure the CIA makes a point not to follow uncorroborated reports with no proof.

Unless they're pursuing a political agenda. The CIA has a lot more problems than it used to, but that's a whole 'nother debate.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street
Posted by Jecht on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 22:51:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Attacking the House of Saud would cause a Jihad upon Global levels.

"SFE"Remember what happened when he did do that? It's not like he was doing it again. Nothing? to him anyway.

Severe Torture....You could've called my football camp severe torture. Not to mention your sources say we have 30,000 entered into the system, 108 have died. It is clear to me this article is severely bias, among some of the "sub-sources" These are some of the ways these people died:

- "The Associated Press"
- _Obeed Hethere Radad, Tikrit, Iraq, Sept. 11, 2003. Soldier discharged for voluntary manslaughter for not warning escaping prisoner before shooting him.
- _Karim Hassan, 36, Kufa, Iraq, May 21, 2004. Capt. Rogelio Maynulet, 1st Armored Division, facing court-martial over what he described as mercy killing of wounded Iraq militiaman.
- _Unidentified person, 16, Sadr City, Iraq, Aug. 18, 2004. Staff Sgt. Johnny M. Horne Jr., Fort Riley, Kan., sentenced to three years in prison in another purported mercy killing. Staff Sgt. Cardenas J. Alban, also from Fort Riley, convicted and sentenced to one year confinement.
- _Musa Abbas Farhan, Abu Ghraib, April 10, 2003. Shot during riot.
- _Khalid Abbas Mahmood, Abu Ghraib, April 10, 2003. Shot during riot.
- _Yasiree Ahmes Al-Haddii, Umm Qasr, Iraq, April 18, 2003. Shot during unrest.
- _Unidentified person, Camp Cropper, Iraq, June 12, 2003. Shot trying to escape.
- _Ala-Jassem Sa'ad, 22, Abu Ghraib, June 13, 2003. Shot during riot.
- _Unidentifed person, Camp Bucca, Iraq, Sept. 22, 2003. Shot during riot.
- _Jussayn Ali Salman, about 34, Abu Ghraib, Nov. 24, 2003. Shot during riot.
- Raed Shalaan, about 25, Nov. 24, 2003. Shot during riot.

_Madoor Hussein Sayar, about 21, Abu Ghraib, Nov. 24, 2003. Shot during riot.
_Dawood Mazin Thawin, about 25, Abu Ghraib, Nov. 24, 2003. Shot during riot.
_Naif Sliman Amir, Abu Ghraib, March 28, 2004. Shot during riot.
_Fahin Ali Gumaa, 44, April 28, 2004. Shot in fighting before capture, died in custody.
_Sajid Kadhim Bori al-Bawi, May 17, 2004, Baghdad. Shot during a raid.
_Ibrahim Hamadan Sudhail, May 24, 2004, Abu Ghraib. Shot in fighting before capture, died in custody.
_Fras Moazahim Habib, Abu Ghraib, Aug. 18, 2004. Shot during riot.
_Husham Nafit Ghafar, Abu Ghraib, Aug. 18, 2004. Shot during riot.
_Four unidentified, Camp Bucca, Jan. 31, 2005. Killed during riot.
Prisoners killed in insurgent attack on Abu Ghraib, April 6, 2004
_Karim Masnadane
_Hasan Hamad Abu Nasser
_Ahmed Selfeegi Gaer
_Ismael Abduslhussein Shahab
_Khudair Museif Jassem
_Awad Salih Jassim
_Khalaf Najif Jassem
_Andan Abdulhussein Shahab
_Fourteen unidentified.
Natural causes or accident:
_Unidentified male, Kabul, 2002. Justice Department, CIA investigated, but no prosecution.
_Mohammed Hussain Basim, July 12, 2003. Iraq.
_Mohamed Najem Abed, Aug. 6, 2003, Abu Ghraib prison.
_Twfeek Najm Byatay Al-Zubydy Hamza Hassad, Aug. 7, 2003, Diwania.

_Wathik Mihdy, Aug. 11, 2003, Abu Ghraib.
_Dham Spah, Aug. 13, 2003, Abu Ghraib.
_Ehad Kazam Taled, Aug. 20, 2003, Abu Ghraib.
_Tariq Zaid Mohamed, Aug. 22, 2003. Iraq.
_Abureda Lafta Abdul Kareem, 44, Dec. 9, 2003, Mosul, Iraq. Died while bound and blindfolded.
_Nasef J. Ibrahim, 63, Jan. 8, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Bakir Yassen Rashed Al Hussen, Jan. 16, 2004. Iraq.
_Hassan Ekab Ahmed, Feb. 8, 2004, Tikrit.
_Saad Mohammed Abdullah, 54, Feb. 19, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Mohamed Abul Abbas, 55, March 8, 2004, Camp Cropper.
_Fathel Ibrahim Mahmood, April 19, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Abbas Alwad Fadil, April 19, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Hussein Abdullah Awad al-Juwadi, 75, May 11, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Abduhl Kaddim Altia, May 22, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Riadh Mohammed Abd al Razak, June 10, 2004, Abu Ghraib.
_Sher Mohammed Khan, Sept. 25, 2004, Salerno Firebase, Afghanistan.
_Mohammed Nahar, 71, October 2004, Qaim. Investigated by Navy.
_At least 8 more investigated by U.S. Army.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Doitle on Thu 30 Jun 2005

Posted by Doitle on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 00:53:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why does everything have to be justified! It could just happen you know. I'm wearing a hat right now. INDOORS. There's no reason. It's not sunny, I'm not sweating, I'm just wearing a hat. I'm ok with that. Can't you be?

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 02:42:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

2,000+ Americans [and many more Iraqis] haven't died from you wearing your hat inside.

gbullAttacking the House of Saud would cause a Jihad upon Global levels.

I doubt there are many jihadists who aren't already fighting us.

Oh, and gbull, could I see that AP article?

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 03:56:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you'd prolly be surprised.

Here:

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/n/a/2005/03/16/ national/w113007S95.DTL

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Hydra on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 07:00:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 23:02Doubtlessly most of you haven't heard of the Downing Street Memos, because a majority of you don't know anything.

http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/downloads/dsmemo.pdf

They're a secret British document that got leaked by someone high up in the English government telling how facts of the Iraq war were being fixed around the case and that the Attorney General said the war in Iraq would be illegal given current evidence.

http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/

A site by David Swanson, a constitutional scholar calling for the impeachment of George W. Bush. Maybe you should look around.

Here's a letter to Rep. John Convers, Jr. from John Bonifaz, another important item.

http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/?q=node/5

"One of the keys to being seen as a great leader is to be seen as a commander-in-chief. My father had all this political capital built up when he drove the Iraqis out of Kuwait and he wasted it. If I

have a chance to invade. if I had that much capital, I'm not going to waste it. I'm going to get everything passed that I want to get passed and I'm going to have a successful presidency."

-George W. Bush, 1999, talking to his ghost writer, Mickey Herrskowitz, who wrote A Charge To Keep.

You're quite frankly boring me; I've had enough of your slanders for a while. Good day.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Aircraftkiller on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 10:01:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Super Fungal Infection is getting pretty desparate now. I can just sense it in his words. I'm waiting for him to totally lose it and freak out in a few months.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 15:16:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gbull wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 23:56you'd prolly be surprised.

Well, actually, you might be right. I bet a lot of anti-Israeli soldiers and bombers would move out of Palestine towards Saudi Arabia. And then, attacking Saudi Arabia is a bad idea too, just because they have a huge army to protect their oil pipelines.

abull wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 23:56Here:

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/n/a/2005/03/16/ national/w113007S95.DTL

Ah, I see, you left out all the ones where they did die from torture. And "accidental" death during torture is still death during torture.

hydraYou're quite frankly boring me; I've had enough of your slanders for a while. Good day.

Congratulations on your innate skills of copy & paste.

ACK[SuperFlyingEngi, the smartest human ever,] is getting pretty desparate now. I can just sense it in his words. I'm waiting for him to totally lose it and freak out in a few months.

Oh no, you read my mind with your crystal ball. Just don't shoot invisible lasers at me with it, ok?

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Thu. 30 Jun 2005 15:49:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Thu, 30 June 2005 10:46gbull wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 23:56you'd prolly be surprised.

Well, actually, you might be right. I bet a lot of anti-Israeli soldiers and bombers would move out of Palestine towards Saudi Arabia. And then, attacking Saudi Arabia is a bad idea too, just because they have a huge army to protect their oil pipelines.

gbull wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 23:56Here: http://www.sfqate.com/cqi-bin/article.cgi?f=/n/a/2005/03/16/ national/w113007S95.DTL

Ah, I see, you left out all the ones where they did die from torture. And "accidental" death during torture is still death during torture.

hydraYou're quite frankly boring me; I've had enough of your slanders for a while. Good day.

Congratulations on your innate skills of copy & paste.

What does their oil have to do with anything?

And calling those interrogations "torture" is subjective. FYI, only 2 died during interogation according to that site. 2 out of 30,000. In other words, .000006%. Whats the percentage of US soldiers living during interrogation when their captured by Insurgents?

Subject: Re: After Downing Street
Posted by Hydra on Sat, 02 Jul 2005 03:21:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingLiberalToolCongratulations on your innate skills of copy & paste. Congratulations on your elitist hypocrisy.

GbullWhat does their oil have to do with anything?

And calling those interrogations "torture" is subjective. FYI, only 2 died during interogation according to that site. 2 out of 30,000. In other words, .000006%. Whats the percentage of US soldiers living during interrogation when their captured by Insurgents?

Don't you see, Ghull? American soldiers are evil and deserve to be tortured. That's why we should be a soldier of the control of the c

Don't you see, Gbull? American soldiers are evil and deserve to be tortured. That's why we should cut more spending for the evil American military and treat the "freedom fighter" prisoners like kings, even better than we treat our OWN soldiers!

Haven't you realized by now that America is evil, Gbull? Haven't SuperFlyingLiberalTool's arguments finally won you over yet?

Posted by Fabian on Sat, 02 Jul 2005 20:15:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 30 June 2005 05:01Super Fungal Infection is getting pretty desparate now. I can just sense it in his words. I'm waiting for him to totally lose it and freak out in a few months.

I really like how you changed his name around to try and give your argument that "I'm a little childish dork" feel. Good show, assclown.

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Jecht on Sat, 02 Jul 2005 23:04:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEAL wrote on Sat, 02 July 2005 15:45Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 30 June 2005 05:01Super Fungal Infection is getting pretty desparate now. I can just sense it in his words. I'm waiting for him to totally lose it and freak out in a few months.

I really like how you changed his name around to try and give your argument that "I'm a little childish dork" feel. Good show, assclown.

So ad hominem is only dissaproved of if AircraftKiller does it?

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Fabian on Mon, 04 Jul 2005 13:32:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Where did I talk about about ad hominem? I'm talking about his childish name calling. I wouldn't care too much if it was creative or necessary name calling

Subject: Re: After Downing Street

Posted by Doitle on Wed, 06 Jul 2005 00:29:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEAL wrote on Mon, 04 July 2005 08:32Where did I talk about about ad hominem? I'm talking about his childish name calling. I wouldn't care too much if it was creative or necessary name calling