
Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 04:40:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here are some quotes from the 'tolerant', 'liberal', 'progressive' people of the left:

"I hope his wife feeds him lots of eggs and butter and he dies early like many black men do, of heart disease. ... He is an absolutely reprehensible person."

--USA Today Columnist Julianne Malveaux on Justice Clarence Thomas

"If there is...justice, he'll get AIDS, or one of his grandchildren will get it."

--National Public Radio's (NPR) Nina Totenberg on Sen. Jesse Helms

"[I]t may take the destruction of Western Civilization to allow the rest of the world to really emerge as a free and brotherly society."

--Newly elected president of that hot-bed of collectivist agitation, The World Council of Churches, Andrew Young

"In South Africa we'd call it apartheid. In Nazi Germany we'd call it Fascism. Here [in the U.S.] we call it conservatism. These people are attacking the poor."

--"Reverend" Jesse Jackson [who wears \$3000 suits -- and spends hundreds of thousand of \$ on his mistress as hush money for keeping quiet about the bastard he fathered -- while bemoaning the fate of the poor & preaching "Christian" morality]

"Fundamental, Bible-believing people do not have the right to indoctrinate their children in their religious beliefs because we, the state, are preparing them for the year 2000, when America will be part of a one-world global society and their children will not fit in."

--Former Democratic Congressman Peter Hoagland.....does this sound familiar?? Communism anyone???? Suddenly the state owns your children??

"Our job is to give people not what they want, but what we think they ought to have."

--Former president of CBS News, Richard Salant

"I'll have those n1ggers voting Democratic for the next 200 years."

--Lyndon B. Johnson

"When you hear somebody doing it [criticizing the federal government], you ought to stand up and double up your fist and stick it in the sky and shout them down."

--Bill Clinton in a speech in Billings, Montana, 1 June '95.....PRACTICE WHAT YOU PREACH BILLY BOY.

"We don't give a shit about what you have to say."

--Gloria Steinham, as she turned off the microphone when feminist Camille Paglia tried to voice a dissenting opinion

"You're fired, and your pension is forfeit."

--European Court of Justice (sic) to Bernard Connolly who wrote a book exposing European Union lies while acknowledging that what he'd written was true

"Suck my dick, you stupid n1gger. Shut the fuck up, and get your black ass out of here, n1gger!"

--"Reverend" Jesse Jackson and his gang of thugs to Black conservative J. L. Peterson, when he tried to speak at an L.A. Trade Bureau Forum meeting. (Mr. Peterson is currently suing "Rev." Jackson for -- among other charges -- assault and battery, as he was also physically attacked.)

"I think you can be an honest person and lie about any number of things."

--Self-described 'unbiased broker of events,' and CBS anchorman, Dan Rather, when asked in an interview: "Do you think Clinton is an honest man?"

"Take the initiative .. whether the issue is promiscuity or recruiting the straight. ... Ten percent is not enough! Recruit, recruit, recruit!"

--Lesbian activist, Donna Minkowitz, writing in the paper, The Advocate, urging her comrades to recruit more of America's young into the homosexual "lifestyle."

"We must cure Americans of their opposition [to the perversion of homosexuality] whether they like it or not."

--"Gay" authors M. Kirk & H. Madsen in their book After the Ball ...

"We shall sodomize your sons, emblems of your feeble masculinity, of your shallow dreams and vulgar lies. ... Your sons shall become our minions and do our bidding. They will be recast in our image. They will come to crave and adore us."

--A vision of the future presented by the Boston Gay Community News in February 1987

"Lenin was an apostle of world peace whose ideas have had a profound influence on the course of contemporary history. ... [and] his ideals ... are in line with the U. N. charter."

--U. N. Secretary General U Thant in a speech given in 1970

"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it is just as bad not to say it."

--Socialist oceanographer, the late Jacques Cousteau

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nineball](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 04:55:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"When you hear somebody doing it [criticizing the federal government], you ought to stand up and double up your fist and stick it in the sky and shout them down."

I can just pictue it now...

Adolf Clinton...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Panther](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 05:39:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

interesting quotes... I just found this rather amusing, too (not trying to start up anything... 0_o)

"[A]s you know, these are open forums, you're able to come and listen to what I have to say."
—George W. Bush, Washington, D.C., Oct. 28, 2003

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 05:53:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:"Fundamental, Bible-believing people do not have the right to indoctrinate their children in their religious beliefs because we, the state, are preparing them for the year 2000, when America will be part of a one-world global society and their children will not fit in."

--Former Democratic Congressman Peter Hoagland.....does this sound familiar?? Communism anyone???? Suddenly the state owns your children??

That's terrible...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 07:06:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stupidity does not discriminate by political platform.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 16:04:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:"In South Africa we'd call it apartheid. In Nazi Germany we'd call it Fascism. Here [in the

U.S.] we call it conservatism. These people are attacking the poor."

--"Reverend" Jesse Jackson [who wears \$3000 suits -- and spends hundreds of thousand of \$ on his mistress as hush money for keeping quiet about the bastard he fathered -- while bemoaning the fate of the poor & preaching"Christian" morality"

Quote:"Suck my dick, you stupid n1gger. Shut the fuck up, and get your black ass out of here, n1gger!"

--"Reverend" Jesse Jackson and his gang of thugs to Black conservative J. L. Peterson, when he tried to speak at an L.A. Trade Bureau Forum meeting. (Mr. Peterson is currently suing "Rev." Jackson for -- among other charges -- assault and battery, as he was also physically attacked.)

biggest hipocrit this world has ever seen.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Wed, 16 Feb 2005 23:20:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Intelligence has nothing to do with political party.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:01:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Topic TitleRing of Evil

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [rm5248](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:04:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

George Bush"If this were a dictatorship, it'd be a heck of a lot easier, just so long as I'm the dictator." —Washington, D.C., Dec. 19, 2000

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:46:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitTopic TitleRing of Evil

Just incase you didn't notice, you're part of that ring.

(Might be wrong, but you're still part of that ring.)

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:50:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: Intelligence has nothing to do with political party.
You're an idiot.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:56:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AprimecowmisfitTopic TitleRing of Evil

Just incase you didn't notice, you're part of that ring.

<http://img137.exs.cx/img137/5497/untitled18is.jpg>

(Might be wrong, but you're still part of that ring.)

Just incase you didn't notice, America has pretty much already shown how we feel about the UN,
we will not let the scum in that group of nations stop us from doing what is right.

^^ Hanging on my backpack.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:57:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That isn't the American flag.

It would be Iceland.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 00:59:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're not the leader of your country.
You're not a Congressman.
You're not a Woman.
You're not a Senator.
You're not America.

You're just one, single, irrevelant, American.
Just like I am one, single, irrelevant, Canadian.

Now drop the Protest Warrior images, because they're pretty much as irrevelant as we both are.

By the way, thanks Nodbugger.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 01:19:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

protestwarrior pwns.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:34:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AprimeYou're not America.

We are America. Popular Sovereignty Biznatch, do you understand it?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:36:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Singular, understand it?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:40:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok, so you don't understand Popular Sovereignty. The power retained by the United States is the direct result of the people. The power ultimately is held by the people who use this power to elect

representatives and dictate the direction the country goes in. We are America, America is we.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:47:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Women, all of you.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 05:25:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cow is America, I am America, Doitle is America, SFE is America(It hurts soo much! jk Engi). We are all representative of the country for which we help build, and all of us care very much for it one way or another. So to say he isnt america, is to call him UnAmerican, and that will probably piss him off when he gets back in here . IF you want to be "irrelevant" to your country, thats your business.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:04:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's a nice piccyture of the UN, i'll admit. Just remember, Cowmisfit, as the picture on your backpack tells, you helped create that.

Calling it a "ring of evil" now... you telling me that America created a ring of evil? Neat.

And let's continue the bushisms, since I have to agree that political affiliation has nothing to do with intelligence.

Dubya"It's going to require numerous IRA agents."

- George W. Bush on Gore's tax plan, Greensboro, N.C., Oct. 10, 2000

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:38:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fluffy Baby Kittens

HA, let's see you try to turn that into some horrible attrocity that the US has done Dave.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 15:04:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ROFL!!!!!!

I HAVE MY NEW SIGGY QUOTE GENTLEMEN!!!!

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 16:38:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AND LADY but Imfao at Bull.....

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 17:09:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DoitleFluffy Baby Kittens

HA, let's see you try to turn that into some horrible atrocity that the US has done Dave.

Genetic experiments done to improve the fluffiness of baby kittens. Not all of them humane, not all of them successful.

There

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 17:19:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMIt's a nice piccyture of the UN, i'll admit. Just remember, Cowmisfit, as the picture on your backpack tells, you helped create that.

Calling it a "ring of evil" now... you telling me that America created a ring of evil? Neat.

And let's continue the bushisms, since I have to agree that political affiliation has nothing to do with intelligence.

Dubya"It's going to require numerous IRA agents."
- George W. Bush on Gore's tax plan, Greensboro, N.C., Oct. 10, 2000

The UN Did its job when we created it, it was a group of nations trying to secure the safty of the world and its issues.

Now it is not, its not the United States Fault its this way. Its because of people like you around the world who choose to elect idiots such as Jock Chiraq (spelt wrong) The leader of Spain (blank on that name at the moment) ect.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 20:50:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's funny how you give the U.N. a double standard when you're trying to put America on a pedistal. Typical, but still funny.

Keep it up, blind patriot!

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:03:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

its funny how you do the opposite, keep it up Canadien!

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:04:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Except no one is defending the illegal activity of the other member states. Only the illegal acitivity of America.

Nice try though.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:05:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxIt's funny how you give the U.N. a double standard when you're trying to put America on a pedistal. Typical, but still funny.

Keep it up, blind patriot!

You stupid canadian. I pitty you.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:05:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow! Now I appear to have triple nationality.. French, Spanish AND British...

Neato. Oh, and I missed the referance on the Fluffy Kittens, but I'm sure it was funny to people other than me. I choose not to laugh.

Face it - if you're going to claim now that the UN is doing something wrong (namely not giving you a right to go and invade a sovereignty) then it's partly your fault. And ours. And all the other nations in the UN.

But hey, I think the UN, despite all the hypocrisy and backpeddling (etc), does a deacent job.

Who else has the guts to stand up to America?

Besides France.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:06:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So... it's stupid to point out your increasing amount of ignorance AND arrogance?

Why?

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:10:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Because that would mean you upstage the incredibly witty person who has to rely on sites like protestwarrior to argue his non existant points.

Duh.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:13:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMWow! Now I appear to have triple nationality.. French, Spanish AND British...

Your one dumbass little kid. Your comprehension skills are that of a 2 year old. I never said you had 3 nations.

1800-LEARN-TO-READ

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [prox](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:18:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:1800-LEARN-TO-READ

That's more than seven digits .

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:22:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

S'fair enough, you did say around the world. I was just seeing if I could get a rise out of you, and lo and behold..

Oh, and I can't let this one by.

Some moronYour comprehension skills are that of a 2 year old.

Quote:I never said you had 3 nations.

Neither did I. Look, if you're going to come to the pedantry level, I'm going to win every time. I don't have three nations. What you meant to say was nationalities.

Oh, and I belive i'm older than you. You can ad hominem at me about age all you like, it'll fall on deaf ears from this point on.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 22:07:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMS'fair enough, you did say around the world. I was just seeing if I could get a rise out of you, and lo and behold..

Oh, and I can't let this one by.

Some moronYour comprehension skills are that of a 2 year old.

Quote:I never said you had 3 nations.

Neither did I. Look, if you're going to come to the pedantry level, I'm going to win every time. I don't have three nations. What you meant to say was nationalities.

Oh, and I believe I'm older than you. You can ad hominem at me about age all you like, it'll fall on deaf ears from this point on.

Correct me if I'm wrong but you're around 14 maybe 15 years old?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [rm5248](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 22:36:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMWho else has the guts to stand up to America?

Besides France.

We'd invade France if it weren't for the massively large amount of French people there. (They know that, so they know we won't invade them.)

At least, that's the general feeling in my area.

I'm joking there...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 22:54:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The fluffy kitty remark was because no matter what we say you turn it against us. I could say the US has a lot of soil, and that would somehow be historically linked... Here, you'd be like "You mean all that INDIAN SOIL that you viciously stole from them because they weren't able to defend themselves? Way to show your not evil". I say we have a lot of lint, we put poor clothing manufacturers overseas out of work. I say there is air here, we're STEALING it from the rightful owners, the animals.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:01:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitls because of people like you around the world who choose to elect idiots such as Jock Chirag (spelt wrong) The leader of Spain (blank on that name at the moment) ect.

Do you mean Jacque Chiraq....and no he's the president of France actually.

And no regardless of what you think Zach, America Did not create the United Nations, they simply became a part of it like every other nation that is a member of it.

EDIT:doilte those people who think along those lines, are either completely biased and you'll never change their minds so just ignore them. Or they are trying to get a rise outta you so just ignore them. If you love your country and show pride in that, i say great for...I love my country too but im still leaving it in March.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:23:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DoilteThe fluffy kitty remark was because no matter what we say you turn it against us. I could say the US has alot of soil, and that would somehow be historically linked... Here, you'd be like "You mean all that INDIAN SOIL that you viciously stole from them because they weren't able to defend themselves? Way to show your not evil". I say we have a lot of lint, we put poor clothing manufacturers overseas out of work. I say there is air here, we're STEALING it from the rightful owners, the animals.

Umm... Warranto was joking, Doilte. No one (genuinely) turned anything against you.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doilte](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:28:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMIt's a nice piccyture of the UN, i'll admit. Just remember, Cowmisfit, as the picture on your backpack tells, you helped create that.

Calling it a "ring of evil" now... you telling me that America created a ring of evil? Neat.

That is what I'm talking about Java. Tell me he's joking. I'm sure he'll tell you he wasn't.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:32:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitCorrect me if I'm wrong but your around 14 mabey 15 years old?

You're wrong.

How the fuck can you hope to argue decently when all you do is base your arguments (such as they are) on age?! The mind boggles.

And Doitle, I don't turn everything around. Just the bits that are true :rolleyes:

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javacx](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:37:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DoitleThat is what I'm talking about Java. Tell me he's joking. I'm sure he'll tell you he wasn't.

There is a consequential difference between attacking America on any ground you lay out and pointing out that which has been deemed evil BY an American was also partially made by America. (Which, granted, he didn't directly say, but most likely did in fact mean).

If he were to attack you on the grounds of happy fluffy kittens, he probably doesn't have a case. But the fact remains that America DID help create the UN. If a French person said the same thing, it is only appropriate to do the same thing. "France helped to create it".

You have GOT to stop seeing hate when there is simply factual evidence, because as far as I am aware, DaveGMM does not hate America.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:50:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavacxExcept no one is defending the illegal activity of the other member states. Only the illegal acitivity of America.

Nice try though.

Yes but the only party in legal question with you is the United States. Maybe if you questioned the United Nations as much as you question the States, I could respect your statement towards Cowmisfit more. However, you dont and that shows your bias.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javacx](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:54:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't need to question the U.N. I am fully aware that illegal activities have been done regarding the oil-for-food programme and I support fully any and all forms of punishment regarding it.

But do you see anyone defending any of the nations who are in question with that programme?

In fact, do you see anyone defending any other nation's actions except America?

Since America is in the wrong, I intend to correct you on your misinformation, and if that comes off as bias, I suggest you start broadening your views to understand that I don't give a damn which country you come from. If you fuck up and believe you didn't, I will make you aware of it. It's why I don't support my current Liberal minority government, and attack any who try and defend those involved in the sponsorship scandal.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:58:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Right, because there is not even a grey area when it comes to the Oil for Food Scandals, we have justifications for our actions and we still believe in them, even if you dont. And if protection means bending the rules of a flawed international being, then so be it.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 17 Feb 2005 23:59:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMcowmisfitCorrect me if I'm wrong but your around 14 mabey 15 years old?

You're wrong.

How the fuck can you hope to argue decently when all you do is base your arguments (such as they are) on age?! The mind boggles.

And Doitle, I don't turn everything around. Just the bits that are true :rolleyes:

When did i say i based my arguments on age??

You a kid, i know that, i said your a dumbass kid. Thats not basing it on age. I could say Jake is a dumbass adult, but that doesn't mean i'm basing my opinon on age.

ABut since you brought up your age, how old are you? 12?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 00:19:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You don't need to say you base your arguements on age calling. It's pretty clear that when you start going "You're simply a dumbass kid", you're not even looking at my words and just throwing away anything I say based on being younger than you (which you're still wrong about).

My point is it's pot kettle black if you're younger than me and calling me a kid :rolleyes:

Besides. I didn't bring up age. You did when you called me a kid.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 00:28:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Question: who the fuck cares? Both of you should get over this methinks.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 00:35:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

:bigups: im with bull on that.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 00:40:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Right, because there is not even a grey area when it comes to the Oil for Food Scandals, we have justifications for our actions and we still believe in them, even if you dont. And if protection means bending the rules of a flawed international being, then so be it.

They could probably justify their actions as well, and most likely believe in them.

Attacking Iraq had nothing to do with the protection of America, there was never any proof to the contrary.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 01:36:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Then those US fighter pilots that were shot at on a daily basis by AAA\SAM sites weren't US citizens?

Stop derailing my fucking thread... Although what davegmm wrote is similar to the hateful quotes this thread is supposed to be about.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 01:58:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerThen those US fighter pilots that were shot at on a daily basis by AAA\SAM sites weren't US citizens?

Working in conjunction with Resolution 678 and 687, you forgot that. Of course they were American citizens, but they were working under U.N. jurisdiction; a restricted authority. So trying to pull a self-defence clause out of such an incident is flawed because it conflicts with article 51 of the charter.

Quote:Stop derailing my fucking thread...

Stop whining, please.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 02:01:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Right, because there is not even a grey area when it comes to the Oil for Food Scandals,

Just like there is not one for the illegal invasion of Iraq.

Quote:we have justifications for our actions and we still believe in them, even if you dont. And if protection means bending the rules of a flawed international being, then so be it.

Then, by your logic, the nations involved with the scandal (might) have justifications for their actions. "Then so be it".

You're pulling a double standard for America again. Try and figure it out, because I'm growing weary of trying to explain to you what it is you are actually saying in your posts.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 02:28:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dont be stupid, human lives are worth more than money and so what they did is NOTHING like what we are doing. And those pilots are american citizens FIRST and UN soldiers SECOND. And if the UN doesnt like what we are doing, then they can kick us out. What....they...wont? surprise, too damn bad k thx.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 03:55:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Canada has no room to talk. You guys waited until the glorious year of 1982 to ask to be allowed to amend your constitution. Canada is not a country you want in charge of getting things done. And you have shown that you stick with it. I mean seriously. Do you think writing a letter of concern will fix everything?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 03:58:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Right, because there is not even a grey area when it comes to the Oil for Food Scandals, we have justifications for our actions and we still believe in them, even if you dont. And if protection means bending the rules of a flawed international being, then so be it.

They could probably justify their actions as well, and most likely believe in them.

Attacking Iraq had nothing to do with the protection of America, there was never any proof to the contrary.

And the same could be said if we attacked Afghanistan in October of 2001.

You would be bitching and moaning saying Osama was a peace loving man who only wanted to be friends. Yet when an attack happens it is bushes fault, if he stops one. OMG!!!! He is like EVIL!@!!!!

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:00:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Dont be stupid, human lives are worth more than money and so what they did is NOTHING like what we are doing.

The moral card has no leverage on the logic you have used. And while I agree with you that human lives are worth more than money, it does negate the validity of my comparison.

Quote:And those pilots are american citizens FIRST and UN soldiers SECOND.

While that might be true in the broader scheme, it is not true in context. They were American soldiers working for the U.N. and under the U.N.'s authority. This means they are bound to U.N. authority stipulations, just like every other Coalition soldier in first Gulf War-- including Article 51. Which is why the self-defence clause doesn't apply in that argument.

Quote:And if the UN doesnt like what we are doing, then they can kick us out.

Or better yet, you can pay your membership dues to date, which you still haven't done, and leave

of your own accord.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:03:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavacxOr better yet, you can pay your membership dues to date, which you still haven't done, and leave of your own accord.

In a perfect world....

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javacx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:04:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerCanada has no room to talk. You guys waited until the glorious year of 1982 to ask to be allowed to amend your constitution. Canada is not a country you want in charge of getting things done. And you have shown that you stick with it. I mean seriously. Do you think writing a letter of concern will fix everything?

What does this have to do with anything? Does 1+1 not equal 2 when it comes from a Canadian? Or are you just being bigotted because you don't like what you're reading?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:05:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Javacx=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Dont be stupid, human lives are worth more than money and so what they did is NOTHING like what we are doing.

The moral card has no leverage on the logic you have used. And while I agree with you that human lives are worth more than money, it does negate the validity of my comparison.

Quote:And those pilots are american citizens FIRST and UN soldiers SECOND.

While that might be true in the broader scheme, it is not true in context. They were American soldiers working for the U.N. and under the U.N.'s authority. This means they are bound to U.N. authority stipulations, just like every other Coalition soldier in first Gulf War-- including Article 51. Which is why the self-defence clause doesn't apply in that argument.

Quote:And if the UN doesnt like what we are doing, then they can kick us out.

Or better yet, you can pay your membership dues to date, which you still haven't done, and leave of your own accord.

Why do you keep saying such complete bull shit? It doesn't matter what the UN says. If someone in the American military does not like it they can tell the UN to fuck off. American soldiers only take orders from the president. If the president says blow the shit out of them they blow the shit out of them. If they get shot at they shoot back in self defense. If Iraq fucks with us we fuck with them. It doesn't matter if a few knuckle heads around the world don't like us. They can just deal with it.

As for the money we owe. I think the many military operations and funds we have pumped into other countries puts the world in eternal debt to us.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:09:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxNodbuggerCanada has no room to talk. You guys waited until the glorious year of 1982 to ask to be allowed to amend your constitution. Canada is not a country you want in charge of getting things done. And you have shown that you stick with it. I mean seriously. Do you think writing a letter of concern will fix everything?

What does this have to do with anything? Does 1+1 not equal 2 when it comes from a Canadian? Or are you just being bigotted because you don't like what you're reading?

It has to do with a lot of things. A farmers opinion on Nuclear fission is not valid because he has nothing to contribute. Canada's opinion on fixing the world is not valid because they are too scared to get these things for their own country. You are still a common wealth of England! That is just pitiful!

If you think things can be solved in other ways please point them out. Until then stay in Canada and don't come out until you can offer a valid alternative to what we are doing.

If you don't have anything constructive to say just shut the fuck up, no one wants to hear you bitching.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:11:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not quite kid.

Your president gets his LEGAL international authority from the U.N., not from your congress. The soldiers do as they are told, like good men and women. If their president orders them to do something illegal on the international field, they will still do it because it is an order. Stop trying to pin me against the Coalition soldiers when the problem is far from them and solely on the Coalition leaders.

As for the money you owe, you're wrong again. The money for operations and foreign aid does not cover your membership fees. Just like it doesn't for Canada, or England, or France. Pay up.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:14:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerCanada's opinion on fixing the world is not valid because they are too scared to get these things for their own country. You are still a common wealth of England! That is just pitiful!

I suggest you learn what Confederation is and why Canada rightfully chose to stay in close alligance with England before you make such ignorant statements.

Quote:If you think things can be solved in other ways please point them out.

Oh really? And where would these alternatives be put into place? 3 years in the past? Good critical thinking there, champ.

Quote:If you don't have anything constructive to say just shut the fuck up, no one wants to hear you bitching.

Stop breaking the law. It prevents anarchy.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:15:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxNot quite kid.

Your president gets his LEGAL international authority from the U.N., not from your congress. The soldiers do as they are told, like good men and women. If their president orders them to do something illegal on the international field, they will still do it because it is an order. Stop trying to pin me against the Coalition soldiers when the problem is far from them and solely on the Coalition leaders.

As for the money you owe, you're wrong again. The money for operations and foreign aid does not cover your membership fees. Just like it doesn't for Canada, or England, or France. Pay up.

The United States does what it wants. It is that fucking simple. the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation. As a sovereign nation no one can tell us what to do. If we tell the UN to shove we can do it. It doesn't matter. We threw out the league of nations we can do the same to the UN. They had their time and the idiots totally ruined what it was supposed to be about. It was simply a place where countries can talk. We can do that without the UN. The UN is just the womens bathroom for the world.

We owe no money. It is the simple. Imagine if we never joined the UN. Between 1850 and 1950 there was 70 wars in Europe. How many where there after the US became a super power? 0. Really tells you something doesn't it? We keep the world in line and the things we have given to the world far outweigh and numerical value.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:15:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Javaxcx The money for operations and foreign aid does not cover your membership fees. Just like it doesn't for Canada, or England, or France. Pay up.

How about we just take it out of their parking tickets and call it even. Either that or just call Ted and all his buddies, he'll pony up for the "good" cause again, I'm sure.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:22:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxNodbuggerCanada's opinion on fixing the world is not valid because they are too scared to get these things for their own country. You are still a common wealth of England! That is just pitiful!

I suggest you learn what Confederation is and why Canada rightfully chose to stay in close alligance with England before you make such ignorant statements.

Quote:If you think things can be solved in other ways please point them out.

Oh really? And where would these alternatives be put into place? 3 years in the past? Good critical thinking there, champ.

Quote:If you don't have anything constructive to say just shut the fuck up, no one wants to hear you bitching.

Stop breaking the law. It prevents anarchy.

America had a confederation, it sucked and we got rid of it.

I'm asking you for these. Since it is quite obvious we cannot change anything you no longer have anything to say. except complain about every thing we do.

We haven't broken any law.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:24:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerThe United States does what it wants.

Yup, whether you break the law or not. Which you did, by the way.

Quote:the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation.

Laws you helped make AND agreed to. I guess you just owned yourself there.

Quote:We owe no money.

Yes you do.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:29:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxAs for the money you owe, you're wrong again. The money for operations and foreign aid does not cover your membership fees. Just like it doesn't for Canada, or England, or France. Pay up.

The United States funds a fifth of the United Nations, if not more, so when did our "membership fee" become more than that? Is 20% of all UN funds not enough for you?

It's too much for me. It's all a waste of money on an obsolete organization that accomplishes absolutely nothing in today's day and age.

It's time for us to say, "Screw the UN," take the 20% of all UN funds that we provide and leave.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:29:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nodbugger

We owe no money. It is the simple. Imagine if we never joined the UN. Between 1850 and 1950 there was 70 wars in Europe. How many where there after the US became a super power? 0.

LOL.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:29:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerI'm asking you for these. Since it is quite obvious we cannot change anything you no longer have anything to say. except complain about every thing we do.

That's a logical fallacy, you know. "WAT R UR ALTERNTIAVE O BTW WE CANT DO NE THING"

Quote:We haven't broken any law.

You violated international law. Your argument for this position is flaunt with holes and ignorance. Prove your point by refuting the facts that:

- a) You signed the charter agreeing to it. (Maybe you should actually READ the charter too)
- b) You are bound to the resolutions that your nation also ratified. Note the "sovereignty" clauses in 686 to 1441 (relevant resolutions only).

Stop living in a bubble and understand America is worth as much as Cape Verde (not financially, of course) on the international field in that an American citizen is not above the law any more than an Iranian is.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:34:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxNodbuggerThe United States does what it wants.

Yup, whether you break the law or not. Which you did, by the way.

Quote:the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation.

Laws you helped make AND agreed to. I guess you just owned yourself there.

Quote:We owe no money.

Yes you do.

A basic principle we keep in the US is that if someone is unhappy with a contract they have the ability to amend that said contract.

[b]By KOFI ANNAN/b]

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:36:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Then amend it and get out of the U.N. please.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:39:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hydra1945JavaxcxAs for the money you owe, you're wrong again. The money for operations and foreign aid does not cover your membership fees. Just like it doesn't for Canada, or England, or France. Pay up.

The United States funds a fifth of the United Nations, if not more, so when did our "membership fee" become more than that? Is 20% of all UN funds not enough for you?

It's too much for me. It's all a waste of money on an obsolete organization that accomplishes absolutely nothing in today's day and age.

It's time for us to say, "Screw the UN," take the 20% of all UN funds that we provide and leave.

Citizens of a country pump billions into the economy of a country.. with all that money being put towards good use, I guess we should be free from paying taxes.

Great logic there. Here's a free hint. There has been no agreement with America and the UN to substitute its membership fees with any other sort of consideration. Therefore it does not matter if you single-handedly fund everything the UN does. There is no arrangement to defer those fees, so you pay them.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:43:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerJavaxcxNodbuggerThe United States does what it wants.

Yup, whether you break the law or not. Which you did, by the way.

Quote:the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation.

Laws you helped make AND agreed to. I guess you just owned yourself there.

Quote:We owe no money.

Yes you do.

A basic principle we keep in the US is that if someone is unhappy with a contract they have the ability to amend that said contract.

Not quite, or at least not the entire story. You can not amend a contract after it has been fulfilled. However, while you can attempt to amend a contract still in the process of being completed, you need the consent of all parties involved. If one party does not give that consent, the contract remains in its current form.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:43:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantoNodbuggerJavacxNodbuggerThe United States does what it wants.

Yup, whether you break the law or not. Which you did, by the way.

Quote:the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation.

Laws you helped make AND agreed to. I guess you just owned yourself there.

Quote:We owe no money.

Yes you do.

A basic principle we keep in the US is that if someone is unhappy with a contract they have the ability to amend that said contract.

Not quite, or at least not the entire story. You can not amend a contract after it has been fulfilled. However, while you can attempt to amend a contract still in the process of being completed, you need the consent of all parties involved. If one party does not give that consent, the contract remains in its current form.

Tell the founding fathers that.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:44:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerThe United States does what it wants. It is that fucking simple. the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation. As a sovereign nation no one can tell us what to do. If we tell the UN to shove we can do it. It doesn't matter. We threw out the league of nations we can do the same to the UN. They had their time and the idiots totally ruined what it was supposed to be about. It was simply a place where countries can talk. We can do that without the UN. The UN is just the womens bathroom for the world.

We owe no money. It is the simple. Imagine if we never joined the UN. Between 1850 and 1950

there was 70 wars in Europe. How many where there after the US became a super power? 0. Really tells you something doesn't it? We keep the world in line and the things we have given to the world far outweigh and numerical value.

This kid is my Hero.
He says exactly what I think!

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:48:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nodbugger

Tell the founding fathers that.

Of course, what the founding fathers did has no dealings with todays law. Unless you wish to stage a revolution and dissolve the current legal system (among other things) and establish your own. After all, thats what happens when you remove all ties from the parent country.

Come on, I'd expect a better attempt to rebuttal what I posted.

Actually, on second though, this is pretty much what I expected....

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:49:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L=]NodbuggerThe United States does what it wants. It is that fucking simple. the UN violates the simplest of laws. We are a sovereign nation. As a sovereign nation no one can tell us what to do. If we tell the UN to shove we can do it. It doesn't matter. We threw out the league of nations we can do the same to the UN. They had their time and the idiots totally ruined what it was supposed to be about. It was simply a place where countries can talk. We can do that without the UN. The UN is just the womens bathroom for the world.

We owe no money. It is the simple. Imagine if we never joined the UN. Between 1850 and 1950 there was 70 wars in Europe. How many where there after the US became a super power? 0. Really tells you something doesn't it? We keep the world in line and the things we have given to the world far outweigh and numerical value.

This kid is my Hero.
He says exactly what I think!

Just because it may have a basis in reality does not make it the "right" thing. Heck, most

organized crime can do what they want without fear from American law. That doesn't make them right though.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:00:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Watch it, your getting moral on us.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:07:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not moral.

Heck, it was morally right to go into Iraq and remove Saddam.

I'm speaking on simple legalities, on a black and white "the-law-IS-this" level. That being said though, it's the particulars of a situation that deem it right (even though the law was still broken), or wrong.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:12:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:
If Iraq fucks with us we fuck with them

Should read:
Quote:
If Iraq fucks with us we fuck with them. If Iraq does not fuck with us we fuck with them.

Nodbugger, you'll grow up to be a fine redneck. Go play a banjo.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:17:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON-FARTQuote:
If Iraq fucks with us we fuck with them

Should read:

Quote:

If Iraq fucks with us we fuck with them. If Iraq does not fuck with us we fuck with them.

Nodbugger, you'll grow up to be a fine redneck. Go play a banjo.

Assuming I'm a kid....you are an idiot.

I'm right you are wrong. no matter how much you bitch about it. Invading Iraq was the best thing to do in that situation.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:59:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON-FARTNodbugger, you'll grow up to be a fine redneck. Go play a banjo.

Stereotypical Bigotry, thats right kids! its not just limited to Conservatives!

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 06:59:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Assuming I'm a kid....you are an idiot.

The only thing i'm assuming is that you have yet to grow up.

Quote:

Stereotypical Bigotry, thats right kids! its not just limited to Conservatives!

No shit. It would be bigotry to say that it was.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:02:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:You violated international law.

And? Your point is? I don't understand what you're trying to say. Are you telling us that we need to follow a law that other nations won't follow themselves? Or are you telling us that violating "law" that isn't even a law to begin with, has any relevance to the United States attacking Iraq?

How about you prove why we should care, instead of harping on the same point that you're unable to back up... Better yet, find a real argument because no one here besides warranto is taking your "legal" argument seriously, sans Nodbugger but we all know he isn't the shiniest penny of the

bunch.

And don't tell me to stop whining while you continue to whine about "international law" being broken, you contradictive man.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:12:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerQuote:You violated international law.

And? Your point is? I don't understand what you're trying to say. Are you telling us that we need to follow a law that other nations won't follow themselves? Or are you telling us that violating "law" that isn't even a law to begin with, has any relevance to the United States attacking Iraq?

How about you prove why we should care, instead of harping on the same point that you're unable to back up... Better yet, find a real argument because no one here besides warranto is taking your "legal" argument seriously, sans Nodbugger but we all know he isn't the shiniest penny of the bunch.

And don't tell me to stop whining while you continue to whine about "international law" being broken, you contradictive man.

It doesn't matter if no one else follows the law, the law is still there. The ineffectiveness of it poses no relevance to it being there, and whether or not it is broken.

No one cares if you care or not. It poses no relevance to the issue of a law being broken, or not. The facts are all that are important, not personal feelings. If personal feelings dictated the legal system we would be in a lot of trouble because someone could legally act a way simply because they "felt like it".

Telling someone to whine, then whining yourself has no bearing on the contradiction of anything. He didn't provide an argument that disregards a previous statement.

Edit: I still don't quite get what you infer by the argument not being taken seriously without nodbugger.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:59:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, we've had this argument before - how about I say that breaking the law is still breaking the law even if you do not get punished for doing the act, and we move on from that dead horse.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 09:19:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:It doesn't matter if no one else follows the law, the law is still there. The ineffectiveness of it poses no relevance to it being there, and whether or not it is broken.

So what your argument now boils down to is that even if the law is useless, unable to be enforced, and not something you have to follow... Why is it a law, and why are you harping about it?

Again, your argument has no substance to it. If you don't enforce a law and make it useful, it won't be credible. It's the same logic behind copyright infringement.

And don't say "no one cares" because you obviously do. Our care, or lack thereof, of the "international law" you so diligently subscribe to shows that you're upset that we refuse to abide by something that can't be enforced. If the UN calls it illegal, then they'll do something about it. But until then I kindly invite both you and Java to shut the fuck up about it and actually argue a real point.

What we're trying to say is that if a law can't be enforced, it wouldn't matter anyway because there'd be no reason to have the law to begin with... EVEN IF we were fucking held to this "international law" you keep bitching about. But since we obviously aren't, you telling us about that is like SuperFlyingFungallInfection telling us that Germany can imprison Donald Rumsfeld for war crimes.

Does it matter if they can? Yes, and only if he goes to Germany. Does it matter if something "can" be done about the supposed violation of "international law"? Yes, and only if the nations that created it plan to do something about it. Otherwise it's invalid and holds no ground.

And yes, he contradicted himself. He tells someone not to whine, but whines anyway. That is a contradiction.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 12:16:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerAnd? Your point is? I don't understand what you're trying to say. Are you telling us that we need to follow a law that other nations won't follow themselves?

It's pretty obvious you don't understand. I am saying that the law is the law whether it is enforced or not. Those other nations are just as guilty as you are of violating international law and they should be punished.

So I've got a question for you, why isn't America pressing for legal action to be taken against the French, German, and Russian governments then? Using your logic, they didn't even break the law anyway, because you're still pertaining to this:

Quote:Or are you telling us that violating "law" that isn't even a law to begin with, has any relevance to the United States attacking Iraq?

I'm not going to waste my time acquiring the links and proofs I provided for you in another thread. Seeing how you obviously didn't even read them, why should I cater to your laziness?

Quote:How about you prove why we should care,

Because it is a hypocrisy to defend the concept of justice so sternly while knowingly creating an unjust; you know, breaking a law yourself?

Quote:instead of harping on the same point that you're unable to back up...

You mean that you can't refute, because you haven't. In fact, in just about every instance, you've undergone an otherwise hilarious transition of defending your legal right through the U.N. resolutions to attacking the validity of your own proofs upon finding out that your original proofs were flawed.

I suggest you stop grasping at straws.

Quote: sans Nodbugger but we all know he isn't the shiniest penny of the bunch.

I agree.

Quote:And don't tell me to stop whining while you continue to whine about "international law" being broken, you contradictive man.

I didn't come into the thread out of the blue and scream ILGAL!!!111111 I replied to the idiocy of another person, one thing lead to another and we are back at the forefront of this same argument. So I didn't whine.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:19:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

UN Laws = Jaywalking.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 14:26:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AirCraftKillerSo what your argument now boils down to is that even if the law is useless, unable to be enforced, and not something you have to follow... Why is it a law, and why are you harping about it?

Javacxlt's pretty obvious you don't understand. I am saying that the law is the law whether it is enforced or not. Those other nations are just as guilty as you are of violating international law and they should be punished.

I think its pretty obvious he does understand, methinks its you who needs to grasp the concept.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:37:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First of all, you say that everyone should be punished who was involved in the Oil For Palaces scandal... and yet the US should also be punished for going to war with Iraq. NEVERMIND that IF France, Germany, Russia, and others were NOT being bribed by Saddam, they wouldn't have opposed the military action in the first place and would have, in fact, authorized the war whole-heartedly.

Furthermore, for a contract to be contested, there needs to be a court of law that can make the determination of whether or not the contract was breached. Considering there was NO international court when the UN Charter was adopted, how can you even call the Charter a legally-binding contract? Furthermore, considering the US doesn't and hasn't agreed to recognize the "new" International Criminal Court, how can they in turn be held in violations of the laws that said court is supposed to uphold?

Basically, you spout the words "International Law" while never providing evidence of some "Planet Earth Statutes" or any such documentation of the existence of International Laws.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 18:40:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerQuote:It doesn't matter if no one else follows the law, the law is still there. The ineffectiveness of it posses no relvance to it being there, and whether or not it is broken.

So what your argument now boils down to is that even if the law is useless, unable to be enforced, and not something you have to follow... Why is it a law, and why are you harping about it?

Again, your argument has no substance to it. If you don't enforce a law and make it useful, it won't be credible. It's the same logic behind copyright infringement.

And don't say "no one cares" because you obviously do. Our care, or lack thereof, of the "international law" you so diligently subscribe to shows that you're upset that we refuse to abide by something that can't be enforced. If the UN calls it illegal, then they'll do something about it. But until then I kindly invite both you and Java to shut the fuck up about it and actually argue a real

point.

What we're trying to say is that if a law can't be enforced, it wouldn't matter anyway because there'd be no reason to have the law to begin with... EVEN IF we were fucking held to this "international law" you keep bitching about. But since we obviously aren't, you telling us about that is like SuperFlyingFungallInfection telling us that Germany can imprison Donald Rumsfeld for war crimes.

Does it matter if they can? Yes, and only if he goes to Germany. Does it matter if something "can" be done about the supposed violation of "international law"? Yes, and only if the nations that created it plan to do something about it. Otherwise it's invalid and holds no ground.

And yes, he contradicted himself. He tells someone not to whine, but whines anyway. That is a contradiction.

The law may be unenforced. useless, whatever. It doesn't matter. The law IS STILL there. A law not willing to be enforced does not automatically invalidate it. In order for a law to be "no more", it must be rescinded by the government body that brought it into existence.

Point in context: Supposedly In Oblong, Illinois, it's punishable by law to make love while hunting or fishing on your wedding day. I can't vouch for the validity of this, but the point is the same. If this is done, the law has been broken. It may not be enforced, but the law IS STILL there until the government removes it.

Nodbugger has it right. UN Laws = Jaywalking. But guess what? Jaywalking is still breaking the law even if it won't be enforced.

I AM NOT saying that America should/will/is going to be punished. All I am saying is that the law is there, and that law has been broken. That's it. That's where the point stops.

And no, a contradiction is expressing two opposing view points. NOT saying one thing is bad, then doing it yourself as there is no discrepancy with the facts (It's still "bad", regardless of who does it). That's called hypocrisy, not a contradiction.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:19:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson NEVERMIND that IF France, Germany, Russia, and others were NOT being bribed by Saddam, they wouldn't have opposed the military action in the first place and would have, in fact, authorized the war whole-heartedly.

Here's an observation; France publically led the front on the US and UK not going into Iraq, they stated it was a crime against humanity and spouted all kinds of UN, EC and worldwide BS....Yet these "defenders of humanity" "protectors of what's right and wrong"...did anyone see them do A THING for the victims of the Asian Tsunami? erm NO...so much for their morals....Mind you, im not suprised, the French test THEIR NUCLEAR weapons out in that part of the worlds so why

would they give a shit. [/offtopic rant]

Im not against the fact we went into Iraq....Saddam needed removing. Im against the fact that we are still in there. I myself (once having been in the British Military) have friends still over there and have had friends wounded while over there, it's not nice to turn on the T.V every morning thinking you may see a face you know that died before their time fighting for some big-eared moron's personal agenda (by that i mean Tony Blair).

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:21:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:The law may be unenforced. useless, whatever. It doesn't matter. The law IS STILL there. A law not willing to be enforced does not automatically invalidate it. In order for a law to be "no more", it must be recinded by the government body that brought it into existence.

You know what though? So long as the law is just like the Germany clause, I and many Americans will continue to not care about following it and will continue to go to war when we see fit. So you can keep complaining and whining, because in the end... That's all you can do.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:36:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kytten9 Here's an observation; France publically led the front on the US and UK not going into Iraq, they stated it was a crime against humanity and spouted all kinds of UN, EC and worldwide BS....Yet these "defenders of humanity" "protectors of what's right and wrong"...did anyone see them do A THING for the victims of the Asian Tsunami? erm NO...so much for their morals....Mind you, im not suprised, the French test THEIR NUCLEAR weapons out in that part of the worlds so why would they give a shit. [/offtopic rant]

Here's another observation. You're totally fucking wrong.

<http://abcnews.go.com/International/print?id=382202>

Quote: In an unusual step, the group's branches in France and Germany said they had 40 million and \$27 million respectively

Yup. The French don't care about the Tsunami appeal. I'll drink to that... oh wait.

Get your facts right before you even think about going off on a misinformed rampage.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:52:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantoThe law may be unenforced. useless, whatever. It doesn't matter. The law IS STILL there. A law not willing to be enforced does not automatically invalidate it.

Laws that are not enforced are not laws at all, they are just empty threats.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:15:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DaveGMMKytten9 Here's an observation; France publically led the front on the US and UK not going into Iraq, they stated it was a crime against humanity and spouted all kinds of UN, EC and worldwide BS....Yet these "defenders of humanity" "protectors of what's right and wrong"...did anyone see them do A THING for the victims of the Asian Tsunami? erm NO...so much for their morals....Mind you, im not suprised, the French test THEIR NUCLEAR weapons out in that part of the worlds so why would they give a shit. [/offtopic rant]

Here's another observation. You're totally fucking wrong.

<http://abcnews.go.com/International/print?id=382202>

Quote: In an unusual step, the group's branches in France and Germany said they had 40 million and \$27 million respectively

Yup. The French don't care about the Tsunami appeal. I'll drink to that... oh wait.

Get your facts right before you even think about going off on a misinformed rampage.

MSF is a French CHARITY,..... they are also an international charity (i know because i support them..one of many i do) ...their funds came from the people...not the government....all international charities have more or less re-directed funds now, since most of them reached their targets. The BRC for example reached £50mil a few weeks ago. I was refering to the government (sorry for not making that clear). I have never heard or seen any mention on the news, in the papers or anywhere else for that matter that the French government pledged anything more than condolences (in the first few days) ...bearing in mind Britain only pledged £15mil until the public out bid them in 24 hours flat.

My local newspaper commented on countries that had failed to offer much help for that incident...France was listed...considering they count themselves as a super power they surely should have been one of the first countries offering aid, but instead were guilt tripped into it. Lastly I have a friend from France (called Gylenne, who is in her 30's so hardly mis-informed about world affairs) and she even commented on "How disgusted" she was with her country for failing to do little or nothing for them...Should i tell her she is wrong.

My point was; France (the government again!) preached to both the US and UK, made their own citizens believe they were a better country then the US and UK for NOT going into Iraq, yet did

less than either country to aid people in real need...but by all standards, I guess you think im wrong there too.

It's common knowledge the French test nuclear weapons in the south pacific, without world support, but since its classed as their territory I suppose they can do as they please.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:30:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerQuote:The law may be unenforced. useless, whatever. It doesn't matter. The law IS STILL there. A law not willing to be enforced does not automatically invalidate it. In order for a law to be "no more", it must be recinded by the government body that brought it into existence.

You know what though? So long as the law is just like the Germany clause, I and many Americans will continue to not care about following it and will continue to go to war when we see fit. So you can keep complaining and whining, because in the end... That's all you can do.

Whether or not someone cares about the law, and (in referance to gbulls post) whether or not they are simply empty threats, the law is still as it is written, and the law is still there.

Oh, and I'm not complaining, nor am I whining. I'm simply stating what the facts are. Though I have yet to see you make a reasonable arguement on anything regarding the law and what is currently being discussed to refute what I have stated. Personal feelings have no bearing on what the law states, so perasonal feelings are not going to work in refuting what the law states. If anyone could be accused of whining on this area we are discussing, it would not be me. I haven't been the one whining on how something is legal because personal feelings dictate it so.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:45:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonFirst of all, you say that everyone should be punished who was involved in the Oil For Palaces scandal... and yet the US should also be punished for going to war with Iraq. NEVERMIND that IF France, Germany, Russia, and others were NOT being bribed by Saddam, they wouldn't have opposed the military action in the first place and would have, in fact, authorized the war whole-heartedly.

Maybe you can provide some evidence that your administration was in full knowledge of the oil for food scandel before invading Iraq, because as far as I have researched the issue, they didn't.

That means that scandel of not, America would've still gone without the security council's authorization. So, you're still legally in the wrong and now that we have confirmation of the scandel, those other nations are in the wrong for all to see.

Quote:Furthermore, for a contract to be contested, there needs to be a court of law that can make the determination of whether or not the contract was breached. Considering there was NO international court when the UN Charter was adopted, how can you even call the Charter a legally-binding contract? Furthermore, considering the US doesn't and hasn't agreed to recognize the "new" International Criminal Court, how can they in turn be held in violations of the laws that said court is supposed to uphold?

This is fallacious reasoning. You're saying that if the U.S. is not in violation of any laws, then when Iraq invaded Kuwait in the 1990s, they didn't violate any international law either. But wait that also means that those countries that are involved and "guilty" in the oil for food scandal aren't in violation of any international law either. Sorry, it just doesn't add up. If they are guilty, you are also guilty and vice versa. NO one is above the law, even an American.

Quote:Basically, you spout the words "International Law" while never providing evidence of some "Planet Earth Statutes" or any such documentation of the existence of International Laws.

I provided to you links regarding this issue already.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:48:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And I still am waiting for you to tell us (without whining) why we should listen to the UN if we dont have to. And, "Because the Charter says so!" doesnt cut it. Face it, the UN a weak, timid little kid without the US or Britain to back it up.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:55:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]And I still am waiting for you to tell us (without whining) why we should listen to the UN if we dont have to. And, "Because the Charter says so!" doesnt cut it. Face it, the UN a weak, timid little kid without the US or Britain to back it up.

You "should" uphold agreements you have made with other people. You made an agreement with a series of nations saying you wouldn't fuck up Iraq-- because America DID ratify Resolutions 686-1441 (relevant resolutions) as well. And you dishonoured that agreement.

So to answer your question in the broadest of terms:

You don't HAVE to listen to the U.N.; just like I don't HAVE to abide by Canadian laws. It doesn't make your actions legal, just remember that.

Keep in mind you can leave at any time... so why haven't you?

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Javalcx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 21:08:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller...because in the end

...you still broke the law. Thanks for reaffirming that. You're getting pretty good at it.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 22:03:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Javalcx=[DT=gbull=[L]=]And I still am waiting for you to tell us (without whining) why we should listen to the UN if we dont have to. And, "Because the Charter says so!" doesnt cut it. Face it, the UN a weak, timid little kid without the US or Britain to back it up.

You "should" uphold agreements you have made with other people. You made an agreement with a series of nations saying you wouldn't fuck up Iraq-- because America DID ratify Resolutions 686-1441 (relevant resolutions) as well. And you dishonoured that agreement.

So to answer your question in the broadest of terms:

You don't HAVE to listen to the U.N.; just like I don't HAVE to abide by Canadian laws. It doesn't make your actions legal, just remember that.

Keep in mind you can leave at any time... so why haven't you?

We were not the first party to break any of those agreements. And everyone knows that if the other end breaks the contract the contract becomes void to you also.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 22:14:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavalcxKeep in mind you can leave at any time... so why haven't you?

Because Im not President, nor am I Congress.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 23:22:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Whether or not someone cares about the law, and (in referance to gbulls post) whether or not they are simply empty threats, the law is still as it is written, and the law is still there.

Again, so? The law is there in Germany. Should we send Rumsfeld over there to be tried on the basis of another nation's laws when he has nothing to do with that nation or its laws?

The answer is no. You can keep arguing with me about this, I'll keep asking you why it matters... Maybe you'll understand eventually.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 23:28:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerAgain, so? The law is there in Germany. Should we send Rumsfeld over there to be tried on the basis of another nation's laws when he has nothing to do with that nation or its laws?

The answer is no. You can keep arguing with me about this, I'll keep asking you why it matters... Maybe you'll understand eventually.

You're comparing apples and oranges again. America didn't sign a Charter saying they will play by those rules. The DID however, do it with the U.N.

You can go off and scream "why" all you want, because it doesn't negate the illegality of the action-- the only thing it could pertain to is why you should bother stepping up to the plate an accepting responsibility for such an act. Of course, America won't. But just because you don't get punished does not mean an act is legal.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 23:30:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerWe were not the first party to break any of those agreements. And everyone knows that if the other end breaks the contract the contract becomes void to you also.

That's right. America probably wasn't the first to violate the charter, but you see, the idea of a "contract" when used in this context is more metaphorical than literal. The charter isn't negated by another's actions in defiance. That is why Saddam's actions in Kuwait didn't get his country kicked out of the U.N. and why nations involved had to work (legally) within the U.N.'s jurisdictions.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 23:39:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Let's see here. Germany = nation. One nations rules do not override another nations rules, hence why international relations promote extradition treaties. Rumsfeld was not in Germany at the time the "law" was broken, so Germany has no say whether or not anything happens.

However, I don't see how that pertains to this situation as the UN is not a sovereign nation. I mean, if you want to argue a point, at least bring in something that is relevant to the situation. The Rumsfeld-Germany situation has nothing to do with this. "UN law" applies to UN member nations, German law applies to Germany, American law applies to America, and Canadian law applies to Canada. America just happens to be a member nation of the UN, and not a nation under Germany. Hence why UN law is applicable here.

Oh but wait... you don't recognize the UN court. Officially that matters as much as an American citizen not recognizing an American court. However, realistically all that means is that the decision won't be followed. The point being that the world court still has the ability to make that decision. It just won't be carried out because the UN lacks a backbone.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 23:43:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavacxNodbuggerWe were not the first party to break any of those agreements. And everyone knows that if the other end breaks the contract the contract becomes void to you also.

That's right. America probably wasn't the first to violate the charter, but you see, the idea of a "contract" when used in this context is more metaphorical than literal. The charter isn't negated by another's actions in defiance. That is why Saddam's actions in Kuwait didn't get his country kicked out of the U.N. and why nations involved had to work (legally) within the U.N.'s jurisdictions.

Of course, if this is the contract being referred to, it doesn't matter. Think of it this way. The UN is a landlord, the member nations are the tenants. Just because one tenant defaults on the contract doesn't make the contracts with the other tenants void. Why? Simple. The contract was made between the landlord and each individual tenant, not the landlord and all the tenants. The same is with the UN. The agreement is between America and the UN; Canada and the UN, Britain and the UN; etc. Not America and Canada, and Britain, etc., and the UN.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 02:59:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavacxMaybe you can provide some evidence that your administration was in full knowledge of the oil for food scandal before invading Iraq, because as far as I have researched the issue, they didn't.

That means that scandal or not, America would've still gone without the security council's authorization. So, you're still legally in the wrong and now that we have confirmation of the scandal, those other nations are in the wrong for all to see.

I distinctly remember that we knew about Oil for Palaces long before the Duelfer report. I'm too

tired to look for links to it.

Quote:This is fallacious reasoning. You're saying that if the U.S. is not in violation of any laws, then when Iraq invaded Kuwait in the 1990s, they didn't violate any international law either. But wait that also means that those countries that are involved and "guilty" in the oil for food scandal aren't in violation of any international law either. Sorry, it just doesn't add up. If they are guilty, you are also guilty and vice versa. NO one is above the law, even an American.

I didn't say anything about Oil for Palaces breaking any international laws. I referred only to the inherent corruption standing in the way of UN procedures and goals.

Quote:I provided to you links regarding this issue already.

Huh? Not to my recollection.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 03:35:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Since I have already done this once, I am only going to post links to the body homepages and let you do your thing with them.

International Court of Justice (Which America is a part of)

Office of Legal Affairs

International Law Commission

The Sixth Committy

International Trade Law

International Law and Conventions on Terrorism

International Law on Oceanic Juristicions

Do I need to go on? You'll notice that some of these links are not the topic of war, but the reason I posted them is to show you that there is in fact an international law recognized through the U.N. and America recognizes it as such.

As for the Duelfer report... I believe that came out in 2004 (unless you're referring to the development of said report, which case it might extend to 2001, I don't know yet). The Iraq war was in 2001.

Quote:April 7, 2004

The U.S. Government Accountability Office alleges that the Saddam regime generated \$10.1 in illegal revenues from oil smuggling and surcharges on oil sales through oil-for-food

Source

Now, if this is the first instance of an accusation against the nations guilty, then it is considerably after the matter of fact, don't you think?

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 04:18:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The Iraq war started in 2003.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 04:31:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxKeep in mind you can leave at any time... so why haven't you?
The Democratic Party and a handful of Republicans with no balls.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 04:57:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerThe Iraq war started in 2003.

Yes that's right, my mistake.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 05:54:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hydra1945The Democratic Party and a handful of Republicans with no balls.

So true....

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 06:06:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hydra1945JavaxcxKeep in mind you can leave at any time... so why haven't you?

The Democratic Party and a handful of Republicans with no balls.

Don't like the current situation? Shush and become a politician.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 12:23:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think you honestly understand just how deep this "Oil for Food" scandal goes. 24-25 BILLION US dollars equivalent... the money being used everywhere from the Clinton library to the Palestinians...

http://pittsburghlive.com/x/tribune-review/middleeastreports/s_273762.html

And I know for a fact that there was definitely a huge to-do around the Oil for Food program. This program was known worldwide. The Duelfer report did not blow the socks off the world because we already knew the gist of what was in there. This report was merely the start of a detailed investigation into the scandal. Unfortunately it's proving very difficult to find any articles or commentary that far back on this subject because of the myriad of current information you have to dig through to get there.

However, in my searching I am reading more about this scandal that makes me sick. We've even got a Clinton pardonee among the organizers of the money movement. Apparently this guy can't step foot in the US, but he's a billionaire and his money has gone to the Dems and such. A guy named Marc Rich.

Subject: Re: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 17:36:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

aircraftkillerGermans are communist.

:rolleyes:

I prefer this one from an european community member :

Renaudaircraftkiller also known as ack or ak or Jonathan D. Holmes is what I call an idiot. He has absolutely no education or intelligence. He makes me think to a farmer who try to prove himself he can be as clever as most of the intelligent people. Did he ever go to an university ? Maybe he should. Not the fact he is younger than me, it's just a total moron.

Et j'ajouterais : je suis vraiment content de ne pas vivre dans ta ville de merde entourés de connards comme toi. J'ai un pote de Floride qui m'a expliqué à quel point il y a des perdus dans ton genre dans ce pays. Il m'a dit à quel point c'était saoulant. Tu démarres toujours

des grands sujets et tu te crois malin... Tu sais que tu perds juste ton temps sur un forum internet à la con à parler avec des gamins de ton genre ? j'espère que tu le réalises. Si ça te prends moins d'une journée pour avoir la traduction de ceci je serai déjà étonné. Ha ha ha... Tu vas chercher à le traduire parce que comme je l'ai dit, t'es qu'un con.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 19:43:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And I would add: I am really glad not to live in your town of shit surrounded jerks like you. I have a pal of Florida which explained me at which point it lost there in your kind in this country. It said to me with which point it was saoulant. You always start of the great subjects and you believe yourself malignant... You know that you lose right tone time on a forum Internet with the idiot with speaking with kids about your kind? I hope that you carry it out. If that less than one day to you to have the translation of this I take will be already astonished. Ha ha ha... You will seek to translate it because as I said, are to you that an idiot.

Babelfish eh

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 19:58:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

online translation... bleh Many mistakes but main point is there... lol

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 20:02:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin, don't you live in Belgium?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 20:24:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I do. (Belgium is one of the first country of EU. We were in the 5 lands that created CEE wich became EU later)

<http://www.eurunion.org/legislat/agd2000/eumaplg.jpg>

find belgium there : Between Dutchland, Germany, Luxembourg, France and UK.

And if you don't like me and want to bomb my house then it's just there :

Waterloo, south of Brussels.

What is Waterloo ? A battlefield. Napoleon (a french emperor) lost his conquest there.

The Lion Hill of Waterloo

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 20:30:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Of course, not understanding a second language has no bearing on the intelligence of a person...

If that were the case, Renardin, we could catch you on your ill use of the English language.

Subject: Re: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 19 Feb 2005 21:13:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6aircraftkillerGermans are communist.

:rolleyes:

I prefer this one from an european community member :

Renaudaircraftkiller also known as ack or ak or Jonathan D. Holmes is what I call an idiot. He has absolutely no education or intelligence. He makes me think to a farmer who try to prove himself he can be as clever as most of the intelligent people. Did he ever go to an university ? Maybe he should. Not the fact he is younger than me, it's just a total moron.

Et j'ajouterais : je suis vraiment content de ne pas vivre dans ta ville de merde entourés de connards comme toi. J'ai un pote de Floride qui m'a expliqué à quel point il y a des perdus dans ton genre dans ce pays. Il m'a dit à quel point c'était saoulant. Tu démarres toujours des grands sujets et tu te crois malin... Tu sais que tu perds juste ton temps sur un forum internet à la con à parler avec des gamins de ton genre ? j'espère que tu le réalises. Si ça te prends moins d'une journée pour avoir la traduction de ceci je serai déjà étonné. Ha ha ha... Tu vas chercher à le traduire parce que comme je l'ai dit, t'es qu'un con.

This is what I really said, you fucking idiot:

Quote:Not really, the Reborn team comes from Germany. Socialism\Communism reigns supreme there.

I explained in depth that the ideals of socialism and communism come from Karl Marx, and many of his ideals are part of your government system. If you're ignorant to that fact then don't blame me.

<http://www.renegadeforums.com/viewtopic.php?t=12486&highlight=germans+communist>

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 00:53:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why would you use a french translator if you live in Belgium?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 02:52:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Belgium is just north of France. Many there speak it i suppose.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 03:12:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well 40% of the people there speak it...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 04:20:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Indeed. I know my english isn't perfect. I don't speak two languages but three.

And believe me ackrap, socialism in europe is far from based on Karl Marx ideas nowadays. I already told you that and you are still defending your ignorant point of view.

IRON-FARTWhy would you use a french translator if you live in Belgium?

Well, I don't use any, French is my first language.

(here is the website of my university : <http://www.iag.ucl.ac.be> in french as you can see.)

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 04:44:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6Indeed. I know my english isn't perfect. I don't speak two languages but three.

And believe me ackrap, socialism in europe is far from based on Karl Marx ideas nowadays. I already told you that and you are still defending your ignorant point of view.

IRON-FARTWhy would you use a french translator if you live in Belgium?

Well, I don't use any, French is my first language.

(here is the website of my university : <http://www.iag.ucl.ac.be> in french as you can see.)

All socialism is based off of Karl Marx. Some try to keep true to it, such as Lenin, while others warp it, such as Stalin (to name a couple popular degrees)

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 06:08:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Even Lenin did not follow Marx completely. What Marx failed to realize is that Human Beings nature is driven by greed and not everyone will be satisfied having the same luxuries as his neighbor. But thats just the way I see it, that might not be totally true.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 10:09:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And thats why Communism is a great idea in theory, but people cannot be trusted to carry it out properly.

One of the ideas of Communism is for the government to own everything, yet its natural to be greedy.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 10:28:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Fabian](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 13:23:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL. Did you make that or find that?

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 15:30:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nice pic Killer lol

Subject: Re: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 16:04:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6t'es qu'un con.

Crimson's translationare to you that an idiot.
lol.

"Ne que" means "only", and a lot of the time French-speakers don't bother saying the "ne".

Therefore, "you're just an idiot".

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 19:31:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh right my bad...

I didn't realise it was Crimson who translated it... :oops:
No wonder I didn't understand anything she said.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Sun, 20 Feb 2005 23:37:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

'Tu n'es qu'un con.' or 't'es qu'un con' is the same stuff.

It's like 'you are...' or 'you're...'

The translation is : You are a dumbass.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 12:26:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If the path of my life had gone a little differently, I would be able to speak French fluently right now... but instead I only had 3 years of education in it, which isn't enough at all.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 15:30:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep, same with me and Spanish, apparently 2 years is enough to make you fluent but they are wrong.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [prox](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 17:47:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Noobs, it took me around six months to learn English.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 18:09:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bullshit. English is the hardest language to learn.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [prox](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 18:39:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No bullshit. I came here almost 4 years ago just knowing how to say, "no english," "thank you" and "hello." I was speaking fluently in six months, if not less.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 20:47:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I know that English is my first language, but I can't understand why it would be hard. There is no feminin/masculin/neutral, the grammar is pretty much the same as French. Conjugation is easier...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 21:12:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

conjugation is actually harder. As per my Spanish teacher anyway.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 21:24:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If I got to choose between having to learn English or Spanish, only based on their difficulty, I'd choose English. In Spanish some words have different meanings depending on the mark above a certain letter, most words have different variations depending on if it's being used as masculine, feminin, about yourself, to someone, etc., etc.

(I had 3 classes of spanish in high school, got an A in the first one, B in the second, and ended up with (barely) a C in the last one...)

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 21:51:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

English isn't necessarily the hardest, but it is the most complex language to learn.

Or rather, when proper grammar is used...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 22:10:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Given how badly the English language is abused online, it should not be surprising that it is considered the hardest language to learn.

I mean, how confusing would it be if you were trying to learn French and people used "2" instead of "tu" or "eh" instead of "est".

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [nastym4n](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 23:15:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxGiven how badly the English language is abused online.

progress. todays slang is tomoros english.

as far as the left/right thing goes you have the most blatantly stupid president I have seen lead the US in my lifetime,
if the opposition are the same then God help you all,
cause your political leaders certainly arent going to.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 23:43:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well just compare some verbs:

English to french.

To be : être

I am : Je suis

You are : Tu es

He is : Il est

We are : Nous sommes

You are : Vous êtes

They are : Ils sont

To have : avoir

I have : J'ai

You have : Tu as

He has : Il a

We have : Nous avons

You have : Vous avez

They have : Ils ont

Now a regular english verb :

I know : Je sais

You know : Tu sais

He knows : Il sait

We know : Nous savons

You know : Vous savez

They know : Ils savent

Now with past form :

I knew : Je savais
You knew : Tu savais
He knew : Il savait
We knew : Nous savions
You knew : Vous saviez
They knew : Ils savaient

English is simpler than french. Why ?

- no accent. French is full of : é è à î ô û â and other specific sounds to learn (on, ein, ain, ou... for an example : ou = oo like you would say too)

- Grammar is simple. Every fucking french verb is different, they have similarities but even more differences.

Learning English for a French dude is easy. Why ?

Most of the french words and english words are the same. Attention in english is 'Attention' in french, and you can find thousands like that. The logic of the language is the same.

I am happy : Je suis content. (subject, verb, complement) not like dutch or german...

For somebody who speak english, learning french is quite hard because of the use of female/male state of each object.

Un bateau = a ship (masculin = male)
Une voiture = a car (Féminin = female)

That's the main difficulty, after that you have still all the problems with the verbs...

to sum up :

Easy way : French dude who learns English.

Hard way : English dude who learns French (I wouldn't be that one, it would be too hard.)

So an English dude who learn French and become quite good at it is very talented with language.

I saw Bush on his black limousine today on the center of my town on the Boulevard of Botanique. 40 cars behind his limo and 50 police bikes in front of it. He is on my country to see Nato, EU representatives. (Brussels is HQ of both.)

Here are some pic of Bush on Belgium, and yes it's not sunny...

Mr Chirac (France President) and Mr Unknown of USA at Brussels

Mr Verhofstad (Prime Minister of Belgium) and again this guy from USA

There, he is at teh 'Concert Noble', I went to an armani party there 10 days ago for the new Hotel Costes CD.

Notice the icon in front of him, it's the belgian brand...

And we have 2500 guys like the one on the following pic just for this guy from USA...

He is lost, those are belgian cops...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renx](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 23:56:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Isn't english supposedly one of the hardest languages to learn?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Mon, 21 Feb 2005 23:58:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no, easiest.

Another news :

it says : You are gonna pay much \$...

2500 cops...

F-16 Ready to fly...

A shit lot of people blocked on the capital...

Maximum alert for hospitals...

And fucking grid-lock...

Welcome Mr. President. Try some Belgian beers and chocolates.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:11:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

English is supposedly said to be the hardest language to be learned by someone who hasn't learn a language yet, not by someone who, for example, already speaks French.

Which is pretty ridiculous when you look at other languages like Spanish, French, and especially Chinese.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 01:39:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Holy shit thanks Renardin!

I'm gonna print those verbs out. I needed savoir

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 01:54:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anyone else find it amusing that the French language is being discussed in the "Ring of Evil" topic?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 03:47:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Anyone else find it amusing that the French language is being discussed in the "Ring of Evil" topic?

No I dont.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 04:48:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nope, seems normal

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:27:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

French is EViL. So no... normal.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 13:32:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EViL?

Every... Villain... is... Lemons...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2005 23:26:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lame...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 00:30:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6French is EViL. So no... normal.

Aww, look who's trying to make new friends.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 00:38:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 17:15:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am sure Aprime is talking shit again...

Anyway : French is the best language. Just know it and deal with it.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [TankClash](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 18:21:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you're going to ignore someone, do it.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [prox](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 18:27:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spanish > French.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 19:07:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

English > Spanish + French

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [prox](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 19:11:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree. In English you can get a girl's attention by simply saying, "Damn! You got a nice ass." In Spanish, it doesn't have the same effect. It sounds stupid.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 19:38:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But when talking french with an english girl... U only have to say hello and she loves you.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2005 23:53:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You don't need French for that. I can do it with eye contact.

Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 00:02:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I could do it with eye contact, but then my girlfriend would knock my ass out! lol

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 00:46:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If I could get eye contact with a prime or any other fucker I don't like here, they would understand they better shut up before saying shits... Fucking gay geek kids...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 00:48:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aww, look who failed at trying to make new friends.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [stealthkiller](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 01:22:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6 If I could get eye contact with a prime or any other fucker I don't like here, they would understand they better shut up before saying shits... Fucking gay geek kids...

By "gay geek kids" do you mean people who post here? Because you're part of the club

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 02:05:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Infact, I can do it just with the power of my mind.

Quote:

Fucking gay geek kids...

If that is directed at me...I resent that. I'm not in any way any of those.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [prox](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 02:08:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can do it just by being there.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 02:49:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

With each word Retarded6 writes, his IQ goes down a point, except when defending Reborn--then it's 10 points a word.

Considering that plummeted into the negatives with his comments here, he is, therefore, dumber than a sack of potatoes.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 04:01:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That joke is not funny anymore.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 04:16:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your mom isn't funny anymore.

...Yes, I feel like degrading the quality of this topic even further.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [prox](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 04:17:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOLZ UR SISTER SAID HI ROFLMAOLOLZ

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 04:31:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PointlessAmblerYour mom isn't funny anymore.

Thats lamer than what Hydra posted.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 04:31:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gay to the max.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 13:32:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

When I am talking about 'gay kids' it's only about : Aprime, ASSCK and some other morons that are on my ignore list...

Ignore list :

Silent Kane

j_ball430

Aircraftkiller

icedog90

Renx

Aprime

hydra1945 (-> Winner of today)

That's only them, but who's next ? Posts will tell...

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 14:09:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You suck at ignoring people and you can't stand getting owned by somebody else, wee.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 15:49:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6

So an English dude who learn French and become quite good at it is very talented with language. That makes me feel all warm inside

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 15:58:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Latin Owns all!

Quid est tu pater?

English is nice, but there are so many exceptions. Try teaching the verb "can":

I can, you can, he can, we can, they can.

inf: TO BE ABLE TO --wtf??

However, if the inf IS "can", than it means the process of canning something. Oh, and "can" is also a noun. Good luck with English. Test is Friday.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [TankClash](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 16:19:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TankClashIf you're going to ignore someone, do it.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 17:22:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hey Renardin, what is the point of shutting them out. They make up tons of the posts in these forums and I would think not hearing them would be confusing for when the people who can see them are replying to them. Just a thought tho.

and omg SEAL! you can, you can, he can, we can, They all can, they can

inf: TO CAN!!!

sorry Ive had no sleep.... :oops:

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 17:44:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can they can that guy for canning cans outside of the cannery?

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 17:49:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

*Aprime watches as Renardin's brain explodes.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 21:47:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 23:25:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SEALLatin Owns all!

Quid est tu pater?

English is nice, but there are so many exceptions. Try teaching the verb "can":

I can, you can, he can, we can, they can.

inf: TO BE ABLE TO --wtf??

However, if the inf IS "can", than it means the process of canning something. Oh, and "can" is also a noun. Good luck with English. Test is Friday.

Ok... Try conjugating "To be able to"

I can

You can

He/She can

We can

They can

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Fri, 25 Feb 2005 02:14:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]hey Renardin, what is the point of shutting them out. They make up tons of the posts in these forums and I would think not hearing them would be confusing for when the people who can see them are replying to them. Just a thought tho.

Simply because I don't have time to lose with their stupid posts.

Subject: Ring of Evil

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Fri, 25 Feb 2005 04:42:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON-FARTSEALLatin 0wns all!

Quid est tu pater?

English is nice, but there are so many exceptions. Try teaching the verb "can":

I can, you can, he can, we can, they can.

inf: TO BE ABLE TO --wtf??

However, if the inf IS "can", than it means the process of canning something. Oh, and "can" is also a noun. Good luck with English. Test is Friday.

Ok... Try conjugating "To be able to"

I can

You can

He/She can

We can

They can

You seem to be missing the point that the language is complicated. Of course you can do it--you speak English fluently.
