Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:15:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just something i wrote a little blog entry for and was wondering on your points of view and what you think of mine

Quote:The other day at school, we got on the subject on if being sentenced to die is correct or if we should abolish it. Everyone in the class went one way or the other with out any real thought besides me. wassat

Okay, tell me this. Why can a Man/Woman take a child, rape it, kill it in the most vial, painful way you can think of. The victum goes though the worst pain you can imagine, things that you don't even want to think of. Screaming for help, but the cries go unheard. Then they are thrown into a lake and left there for months until the body is finally recovered.

Then, their murderer is found. He is brought in front of a jury of his / her peers and sentenced to die by leathal injection. A process that does not hurt AT ALL thanks to the drugs they give you before they actually proceed killing you. You basicly go to sleep as peacefully as can be. In a thought , the cards have been delt and the peice of scum has died, justice served in some's eyes, mine not being one of them.

Okay, tell me this. WHY should someone that could do this to a child, or anyone for that matter, for NO reason what so ever, put someone else through so much pain, and the family of freinds included and then get to die so peacefully? WHY? This is where my view gets a tad twisted, but follow me.

I belive they should have to go through pain, i mean absolute boarderline torture. The fuckers do NOT deserve rights, they took a life, a beutiful life, one of Gods creations. They didn't give that poor dieing woman /man / child the right to life, they stole that from them in the most brutal of ways. THEY SHOULD NOT BE GIVING THE PLEASURE OF DIEING WITH A GOD DAMN NEEDLE THAT PUTS THEM TO SLEEP. They shouldn't even be gave a last meal, a last phone call, nothing. That would be nice, and these people are not NICE.

"Well, what way would YOU suggest changing this??" wassat

People always complain "Well it cost so much to put someone to death, it will cost less to let them live" Have you ever taken your head out of your ass and thought of why??? I'll tell you why, Its because of all of the money we spend on giving them the last meals, last phone calls, THE DRUGS WE USE TO KILL THEM!!!.

How do we fix this? Eaisly. Instead of housing them for YEARS and then using leathal injection. We put a gun to there ear and pull the trigger. Our cost would drop DRAMATICLY. 1 bullet per custumor, we let them bleed to death, no last meal, no last phone call, the sentence is carried out a max 2 months after it has been decided the guilty will die.

wink Just my thoughts.

-Zach.

Life in the Fast Lane

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:23:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well its carried out for the most part in a humane way. I wouldn't sentence someone to death if I was a judge. Plus, I think life in a prison is much worse.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:23:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IncognitoWhile I find myself agreeing with you, I must disagree with your seventh paragraph. It isn't just the meal, phone calls, and lethal drugs that drives the cost up, it's the years of appeals. Each court case costs money, and who pays for it? The government. This is where most of the costs come from. As you suggested, the execution date should be moved up a lot. I wouldn't go as far as saying 2 months because this doesn't leave enough time to double check the evidence to ensure that they are, in fact, putting the right person to death for the crime they have been charged.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by prox on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:27:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTWell its carried out for the most part in a humane way. I wouldn't sentence someone to death if I was a judge. Plus, I think life in a prison is much worse.

I agree 100%. If I was found guilty of killing 200 people in cold blood, and I was faced with the death sentence or a life in prison...that's a no brainer right there. I don't agree with the death penalty because you're just doing the guy a favor.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:28:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTWell its carried out for the most part in a humane way. I wouldn't sentence someone to death if I was a judge. Plus, I think life in a prison is much worse.

Yea, humane is not something these people deserve though. THey are hate breeding bastards who deserve to die in the most vial way possible.

Life in prison is worse yes, but know why? Because they go through ZERO pain when they die. MAbey if we maid death penelty a little worse, then you could say well i think death penelty is worse. Also i dis-agree with life prision enless its in an 8 x 5 cell with NO TV, no special meals, no visits, nothin. They live live there life with nothing but a bed a toilet (thats me being nice) and a large black man named tiny.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:34:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If they spend life in prison, they live in fear. I mean, you are housed with tons of other criminals, who could potentially attack you. Have you seen that part in the Shawshank Redemption where Andy Dufraine gets attacked by those 3 guys? That kind of thing is not entirely uncommon. Its a more gruelling experience that taking the needle.

But then all this brings up the question, "When is it OK to sentence someone to death when you can throw them in prison?"

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:41:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTIf they spend life in prison, they live in fear. I mean, you are housed with tons of other criminals, who could potentially attack you. Have you seen that part in the Shawshank Redemption where Andy Dufraine gets attacked by those 3 guys? That kind of thing is not entirely uncommon. Its a more gruelling experience that taking the needle.

But then all this brings up the question, "When is it OK to sentence someone to death when you can throw them in prison?"

Sending them to prision for life takes tax dollars to house them, give them electricity and all the other extra crap we shoudlnl't be giving them, it takes time and pay to give to gurads who risk tehre lives when they don't have to around these types of people. If we would just kill them wiht a bullet and throw them in a unmarked grave it would be no problems.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by prox on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:43:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

But that'd be doing them a favor...death is overrated IMHO.

xC4pRoXBut that'd be doing them a favor...death is overrated IMHO.

That all depends on if you belive in hevan and hell dude. They think we're doing them a favor, until they bleed to death from that one shot in the ear and are on they're way to burn in hell for there sins , for the rest of time and on.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:53:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They's still be spending something like 25 years in prison on death row.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:55:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTThey's still be spending something like 25 years in prison on death row.

No no no, read the entire post i made, the first one.

In my way they would have a max of 2 - max 6 months before the sentence is carried out. That gets rid of that sitting there crap.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:58:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have a question. Why do they get a weight room? What would they be training for? a break out? it seems really stupid to me to let them train for a breakout while they are in prison. my 2 cents.

and i agree with cow. We need an "Express Lane" - Ron White pwns.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 04:41:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you took a gun to their heads, that would be painless also. Once that bullet hits your head... You aren't gonna feel any pain. It would be tough finding someone to do this though. If you are going

to kill someone, I suppose Riding the Lightning would hurt like hell.

This is a tricky topic.

We want pain and possible death to be inflicted on a criminally insane murderer, but we don't want their death to be quick.

Is is better to inflict pain and kill them or let them rot in jail? Yes it would cost money to keep them in jail, but while they are there, they will lament over who they killed. I think this is puts the minds of the victim's family at rest.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Doitle on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 06:48:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They don't lament in jail if they don't feel remorse. That is the key determining factor between lifetime imprisonment and sentencing to death. If we could determine the remorse in a criminal's mind we could make a just and righteous judgement. Without this we are left to guess.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Deathgod on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 07:55:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Killing criminals instead of letting them leech off of society for the rest of their lives is much more logically sound in the whole scheme of things.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by U927 on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 08:44:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In my opinion, executions should be done with guns instead of a lethal injection. It's much cheaper, and far quicker. I doubt any normal human can survive 5 bullets to the head.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 17:24:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Umbral_DelaFlare In my opinion, executions should be done with guns instead of a lethal injection. It's much cheaper, and far quicker. I doubt any normal human can survive 5 bullets to the head.

1 shotgun shell to the stomach. Let him bleed to death.

DeathgodKilling criminals instead of letting them leech off of society for the rest of their lives is much more logically sound in the whole scheme of things.

Logical, yes. Moral, not necessarily.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 17:45:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I somewhat disagree.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 17:52:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you're referring to the logistics, it is more logical to eliminate not only burdens, but detriments to society in the fastest and economically efficient way possible. That way, society isn't financially hurt and they aren't dying from a sociopathic killer.

If you're referring to morality, then the only morals that matter are your own. And mine say that killing, while sometimes justified, is always wrong.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by liberator on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 18:52:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The big problem with sentancing someone to death is that they usually live longer than the average lifer. Their should be a maximum timespan on death penalty appeals, say about 2 years. If they can't be proven innocent of the crime in that period of time then it's their tough luck. Also, the methods of executionhave gotten as soft as the fat cat lawyers. A person guilty of brutally murdering another person or persons should be either hung(preferably in public. and before you ask there are methods of hanging that are instant), electrocuted, or gas chambered. These methods are not overly inhumane and are violent enough for the families of the victims to get some sense of revenge from the whole thing.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 19:19:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message =[DT=gbull=[L]=]Umbral_DelaFlare In my opinion, executions should be done with guns instead of a lethal injection. It's much cheaper, and far quicker. I doubt any normal human can survive 5 bullets to the head.

1 shotgun shell to the stomach. Let him bleed to death.

I have always thought we should bring back the firing squad, there are tons of people that would sign up for the job.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Doitle on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 20:16:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Drink apple juice, cause OJ will kill you.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 21:23:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nodbugger=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Umbral_DelaFlare In my opinion, executions should be done with guns instead of a lethal injection. It's much cheaper, and far quicker. I doubt any normal human can survive 5 bullets to the head.

1 shotgun shell to the stomach. Let him bleed to death.

I have always thought we should bring back the firing squad, there are tons of people that would sign up for the job.

I would lol. I'd be a one man firing squad. In my mind , if im killing someone i know has murdered another innocent human being in cold blood, then im doing something right. Im giving them justice and i would feel 0 remorse for them.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 22:19:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would too. To me, they arent human anymore.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:29:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message If you excecute someone by shooting or hanging, someone is going to have to push the person or pullt he trigger. Nobody wants that responsibility.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:33:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you read gbull's and cowmisfit's posts, you would realize that you have two willing individuals right there.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:40:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They say they can now. But just about everything is easier said then done.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:41:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know that, but I'm just stating that they said they are willing to. We all know that Zach's crazy enough to do it.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:43:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTIf you excecute someone by shooting or hanging, someone is going to have to push the person or pullt he trigger. Nobody wants that responsibility.

You obviously have no clue how a firing squad works.

1 rifle has a blank, and no one knows who has the blank, so every shoots, but no one knows who had the blank.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:45:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:51:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know how a firing squad works actually. But they are saying a bullet straight to the head...shotgun to the stomach. You aren't donna do that at the range a firing squad would.

Yes, all but one have a blank, j_ball.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:52:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NodbuggerIRON-FARTIf you excecute someone by shooting or hanging, someone is going to have to push the person or pullt he trigger. Nobody wants that responsibility.

You obviously have no clue how a firing squad works.

1 rifle has a blank, and no one knows who has the blank, so every shoots, but no one knows who had the blank.

Yea, but im saying gve me a gun with one bullet in it and i'll do it by myself. I dont' give a shit truly. Im not religious so its not like that would play a roll in my decision to do it. Its just my belif that they are worthless beast that need to be rid from society

Quote: We all know that Zach's crazy enough to do it.

Damn straight.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by liberator on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:55:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Could be either way, I recall that only the loader(who was not on the firing squad) new which weapons were loaded but even he did not know which weapon went to which member. The general effect was to eliminate any potential emotional damage or potential repurcussions to the squad members.

8 rifles, 4 rounds chambered, only God know which rifles did the job.

Also, even with LI someone has to stick the needle in his arm.

gimme the damn switch right now. I'll do it. Fuck murderers.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:55:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, only one has a blank, I was right that you don't know how a firing squad works.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 23:58:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Firing_squad

Quote: In some cases, one member of the firing squad is issued a gun containing a blank cartridge instead of one with a bullet, without telling any of them whom it was given to.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 00:12:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh poo, I'm gonna cry now. OK so I got it backwards. At least I knew that some guns were blanks and some not. The concept of it is to create uncertainty about who killed the... well the person who was to be executed.

You guys say you'll kill a merderer right now? OK... whatever :rolleyes: Fine with me. I'm glad there are people out there who could do that job. I know I couldn't.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 01:42:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bleeding hearts are so funny... I doubt you could kill someone even if he was gonna kill you. After not playing football for a year i got alota pent up rage anyway, it would be great to take it out on a murderer. The thing about football is, when you produce that much adrenaline and beat the shit out of someone every day of the year, going cold turkey is tough. Quote: I doubt you could kill someone even if he was gonna kill you.

The funny thing is that you don't need to kill someone to stop them from killing you. I know, it sounds crazy and all, but believe it or not, it's true...

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 02:52:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

xC4pRoXThe funny thing is that you don't need to kill someone to stop them from killing you. I know, it sounds crazy and all, but believe it or not, it's true...

I'm not sure why, but recently your posts have been considerably more intelligent than they were months ago.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 02:56:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

xC4pRoXThe funny thing is that you don't need to kill someone to stop them from killing you. I know, it sounds crazy and all, but believe it or not, it's true...

ya, u say that when he holds a gun to you. You think a Murderer thinks logically? your living in a dream world. I wouldnt take the chance, if he had a gun and i did too, I'd shoot his ass dead. Self Defense. If he didnt want to die he should a thought about that before he threatened my life.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:10:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

xC4pRoXQuote: I doubt you could kill someone even if he was gonna kill you.

The funny thing is that you don't need to kill someone to stop them from killing you. I know, it sounds crazy and all, but believe it or not, it's true...

Why waste the money keeping him alive when it takes less than a dollar to kill him?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views??

ok, now considering the number of cases where an innocent man had been wrongly convicted and subsequently killed, are you willing to be YOUR life that the man is not innocent?

Lets play the role-reveral shall we?

You're caught with the murder weapon in your hand after finding someone you know lying on the ground, dead. After a length trial, you are found guilty, even though you are innocent. You are the one being lead down to the execution room.

Tell me, if that was you, would you still say that the death penatly is worth it?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by DaveGMM on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:23:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Don't you become a murderer by killing the murderers? If we're going to take murder as the act of terminating another's life, then cowmisfit and gbull are willing to murder.

Shouldn't you guys be locked up by now?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:36:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As i said before, murderers are no longer human to me. I would feel no remorse for cleansing the population of the scum. And Ive never been arrested before in my life. I also have no tickets or accidents

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:37:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Its called disabling someone. If someone holds a gun to your head, you hit their hand or gun to point in a safe direction then pry the gun off them, dispose of it, or use it against them You don't neccessarily have to shoot them.

If you do shoot someone, however, you don't have to shoot to kill. Police learn to aim for non-vital, but disabling parts of the body to shoot.

If someone attacks me with their bare fists, knife, gun whatever, I get the better of them so they can't harm me in any way, I'm NOT going to proceed and beat the shit out of them untill they die.

Quote:After not playing football for a year i got alota pent up rage anyway, it would be great to take it out on a murderer. The thing about football is, when you produce that much adrenaline and beat the shit out of someone every day of the year, going cold turkey is tough.

If it costs less than a dollar to kill an attacker, it costs less not to kill him. If you defend youself against an attacker, but proceed to kill him, then that could be deemed as "overkill" (non legal term btw). You could be liable for that.

The idea is to send people to prison and rehabilitate them. Not just kill them on the spot. Thats why prisons have libraries, teachers, and thats why you can learn a trade if you are in prison long enough so when you are released, you won't resort to crime.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by DaveGMM on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:42:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]As i said before, murderers are no longer human to me. I would feel no remorse for cleansing the population of the scum. And Ive never been arrested before in my life. I also have no tickets or accidents

Sure, they may not be human to you.

The law would have quite a different take on whether or not the person you're depriving life of is a human or not. If I was going to take this seriously, I could go as far as saying that you and cowmisfit have confessed to the intent to murder people.

Of course we both know I don't take these arguments seriously

What's the legal standpoint on that, Warranto?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:49:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What Kind of Dreamworld do you people live in?!?!?! This isnt an anime cartoon show where you can karate chop a gun out of someones hand before they fire it at you. I got news for ya, if you try to disable someone with a gun Their gonna shoot you dead. Give em a bullet first and you live. Its that simple.

and like i said before, I wouldnt take someones life unless it was lawful, as in Self Defense. If they point a gun at me on my property or on neutral ground when I have a concealed weapon(with permit) I have all the right in the world to shoot them. Now if i miss and hit them in the leg and their gun is thrown form the bullet shot, then I wouldnt kill them. I wouldnt just kill someone to watch them die. Thats what a murderer does.

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]I got news for ya, if you try to disable someone with a gun Their gonna shoot you dead.

CQC says otherwise.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:55:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CQC can kiss my ass, what i said is true and you All know it no matter what half-justifications you can try to make to defend yourselves.

Knives wont save you from a god damn bullet.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:56:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

...said the ignorant football player.

Tell you what, after you take a CQC course, come back try laugh at yourself.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:02:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ignorance is saying a football player is ignorant based on the fact that he plays football. You stereotyping son of a bitch, listen to me. WUT THE FUCK DO YOU THINK TRAVELS FUCKING FASTER, A GOD DAMN BULLET, OR A FUCKING KNIFE!?!?!?! supply a link to what you are reffering cqc as, because as of now i have been assuming its the knife. But im not totally sure thats what your talking about as CQC could mean any number of things.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aurora on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:06:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you're obviously ignorant to the correct spelling of the word "what"...

If you can't even spell correctly, how can you even being to grasp things like this?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:08:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I didn't say you were ignorant for being a football player. I called you an ignorant football player. That in itself proved my point nicely. Now calm down, kid.

Now, CQC is an acronym for Close Quarters Combat. It specialises in everything from unarmed combat to guns. ALL of which encompassed are COMMONLY utilized in the military and self-defence in situations just like the one you have mentioned.

If you're interested, check this place out. http://hockscombatforum.com

You might learn a thing or two about the capabilities of the human body.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by prox on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:08:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Javaxcx

I'm not sure why, but recently your posts have been considerably more intelligent than they were months ago.

I matured a little.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:10:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

omg(Wholy shit, I said omg in place of "Oh My God" I R Retawded!!!!!) go back to your hole. Its the Internet ppl(<----I did this just to fucking bug you), I can spell however the fuck i want just as long as you can understand me.

and "CQC" wont help you unless the fight is, thats right, you guessed it! CLOSE QUARTERED!!!!! Im sorry i went off, its just so fucking frustrating talking to people who dont realize a gun is faster that Jackie Chan or Keanu Reeves makes it out to be.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:11:30 GMT I think you misunderstood me. Its ok, these things happen.

You knock the gun away before they shoot you. As in, before they have a chance to shoot you. Russian special forces are taught this. I've forgoten what its called. Quote:

omg(Wholy shit, I said omg in place of "Oh My God" I R Retawded!!!!!) go back to your hole. Its the Internet ppl(<----I did this just to fucking bug you), I can spell however the fuck i want just as long as you can understand me.

But it doesn't help your point or make you look any better is you're not spelling properly.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aurora on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:12:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]omg(Wholy shit, I said omg in place of "Oh My God" I R Retawded!!!!!) go back to your hole. Its the Internet ppl(<----I did this just to fucking bug you), I can spell however the fuck i want just as long as you can understand me.

Then so can I. Don't oppress me, fascist.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:14:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]omg(Wholy shit, I said omg in place of "Oh My God" I R Retawded!!!!!) go back to your hole. Its the Internet ppl(<----I did this just to fucking bug you), I can spell however the fuck i want just as long as you can understand me.

That still doesn't help people in perceiving your apparent intelligence (or as the lack of attempt at proper spelling may otherwise indicate.)

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:15:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

omg im surrounded by assholes

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aurora on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:16:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]omg im surrounded by assholes

Did you just call yourself fat?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:16:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

foul language helps even less.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:18:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

so does thinking you are faster than the speed of a bullet.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:19:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Faster than the speed of a bullet, perhaps not... faster than the guy who wants to pull the trigger, very possible.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:19:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CQC doesn't make the assumption you're faster than a bullet. Just smarter when you're in the perdicament.

Stop living in your one-dimensional fantasy world where everything has a single solution.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:20:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

would you be willing to take that chance?

I'd rather be smart than stupid, if that's what you mean.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:22:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You didnt answer my question, if you had a gun and he had a gun, would you attempt to dissable him without use of the gun, or would you shoot him? Stop working around the question.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:23:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]would you be willing to take that chance?

You willing to take the chance you can pull your trigger and kill him faster than he can pull his trigger?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:23:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CQC involves the use of guns. I could have sworn I said I would rather be smart than stupid.

You definately do not need to kill anyone in quite a few of those situations.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:24:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i told you yes. Please Read what i post.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:25:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]You didnt answer my question, if you had a gun and he had a gun, would you

attempt to dissable him without use of the gun, or would you shoot him? Stop working around the question.

Well, arguements aside, you can't call him against what he said if you change the question without telling anyone.

Original question:

Quote: Its called disabling someone. [b] If someone holds a gun to your head [b], you hit their hand or gun to point in a safe direction then pry the gun off them, dispose of it, or use it against them You don't neccessarily have to shoot them.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:25:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Welp, there goes another topic that was going semi-well because the n00b squad of nerds and there "OMFG LIK YOU SPELT WRONG 111" are here.

Stupid fuckers. If you can't contribute to the topic with out being an asshole don't post. Retards.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aurora on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:28:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfit

Stupid fuckers. If you can't contribute to the topic with out being an asshole don't post. Retards.

Don't call us assholes then say we're retards.

You know what that's called, don't you? I think you do.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:28:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So Java, are you saying you wouldnt kill him? because what if he shoots you after you think youve dissabled him, but failed? your arguments are complete bullshit, you would be a deadman in such a situation. And i know this might sound wierd, NOT EVERYONE KNOWS CQC! i know, surprising huh. :rolleyes:

Subject: Capital Punishment Views??

Quote:Police learn to aim for non-vital, but disabling parts of the body to shoot.

You obviously do not know any cops, almost all of them will tell you that they won't shoot someone unless they intend to kill them.

Anyone that is trained to use a gun is taught to shoot center mass, no one is taught shoot to injure. It is only shoot to kill.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:35:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]So Java, are you saying you wouldnt kill him? because what if he shoots you after you think youve dissabled him, but failed? your arguments are complete bullshit, you would be a deadman in such a situation. And i know this might sound wierd, NOT EVERYONE KNOWS CQC! i know, surprising huh. :rolleyes:

I have some CQC training, so I would know whether or not the necessity to kill was real. In most cases, believe it or not, it isn't. Since I'm assuming you haven't had any kind of training whatsoever, I guess I'm wasting my time trying to educate you.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:35:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hehe, Java left. Guess he realized that Im right. Perhaps common sense finally caught up to him.

nevermind..... :rolleyes:

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:35:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Idiot?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:36:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message look at the damn edit. and look where we are talking, how could you possibly teach me CQC over a message board?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aurora on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:37:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Hehe, Java left. Guess he realized that Im right. Perhaps common sense finally caught up to him.

nevermind..... :rolleyes:

Obviously he was taking the time to write out a better response than yours (and amazingly spelling everything right in the process).

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:38:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why are you here Aurora? leave, you contribute nothing.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:38:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I wasn't trying to to teach you the process. I was trying to inform you that you speak in nothing but vacuous platitudes out of genuine ignorance to reality. CQC DOES say otherwise to your little senario, and you are still wrong.

Like I said, if you want to learn more about it, go to that link I provided.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:40:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

the average person doesnt know these methods and you know it. And this also wouldnt help you if your ten foot away from the criminal.

and i do know some martial arts, but very little.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:41:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You asked what "I" would do, not what the average person would do. It's fairly unproductive to bounce around an issue when you can't keep your own position straight.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:42:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ten feet away has nothing to do with the scenario in question. The scenario deals with *drumroll* A GUN TO YOUR HEAD.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aurora on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:42:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]and i do know some martial arts, but very little.

See that's where natural selection comes in.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:42:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gbullAnd this also wouldnt help you if your ten foot away from the criminal.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:45:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gbull, read my last 2 posts. Perhaps they can enlighten you to your position, at least a bit.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:45:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That wasn't the situation you outlined, so it is moot.

Nice try though.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:47:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That scenario was never outlined by ME. So I repeat:

gbullAnd this also wouldnt help you if your ten foot away from the criminal.

and Aurora, i dont see you jumping on warranto's case about mis-spelling.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:49:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

missing a letter that I eventually caught is not the same a substituting letters with something not related to keyboarding errors.

enighten only misses the "I" "wut" not only misses the "ha", it doesn't even qualify as a keyboarding error.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:50:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lets not stray from the subject matter, i really dont care about misspellings i was mearly making a point.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:51:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]ya, u say that when he holds a gun to you.

Your definition of "holding a gun to [someone]" is quite different then what it actually is. It's not "10 feet away", it is a close-quarters confrontation.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:52:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hehe, your assuming again. I didnt say a distance for a REASON. Because I was thinking on the larger scale. Whos narrow-minded now.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:53:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think he means a magnum with a 10 foot barrel. Quote:

See that's where natural selection comes in.

ROFL

Biology is useful all of a sudden!

@Nodbugger

Police shoot to kill as a last resort. By that I mean they will aim for the upper chest to hit the heart, or somewhere around. They will probably puncture a lung in the process.

But if they aim a little lower down, they aren't going to kill the person. If they hit the liver, it will regenerate. They may aim for the legs or possibly shoulder. Any other organs in that area could probably be healed in a hospital.

It all depends on the senario. If its a robber who stole from a 7/11 and is running away, the police won't shoot to kill, they will shoot to disable. In this senario where the criminal has a gun to someone's head, they would shoot to kill.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:53:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

on the subject matter then, the entire discussion about being able to stop someone dealt with a gun being to someones head. You decided to change the situation, and then used that to counter the answer to the previous situation.

Fallacious reasoning or what?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:55:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i said holding a gun to your head, I never said at point blank or even a distance for that matter. your out foxed here, move on because your not making a point for yourself.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by prox on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:56:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:56:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]hehe, your assuming again. I didnt say a distance for a REASON. Because I was thinking on the larger scale. Whos narrow-minded now.

You specified a 10 foot distance quite a while after the matter of fact. Which is why I replied with CQC in relation to the original debate.

Then YOU changed the situation through some kind of random illogic.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:57:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ROFL, go ahead, lets see wut happens

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:58:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i said 10 foot as an example not as the Sole Example. I never changed anything because i never made anything up aside from the hypothetical ten foot example. You think all stickups are at point blank?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 04:59:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]i said holding a gun to your head, I never said at point blank or even a distance for that matter. your out foxed here, move on because your not making a point for yourself.

Actually, you didn't say it. IRON-FART did, way back on second page. This is when you decided to use your anime discription of the event being impossible.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:01:07 GMT yes, but i never did, so you cannot hold me to that. I was on the basis of thinking ALL distances.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:02:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So let's see here ...

You've gone from saying something you obviously didn't mean (and are still arguing for,) to changing the situtaion to something that the previous point couldn't possibly defend in hopes to reclaim some kind of pointless internet victory, to sementics, to grasping at straws.

Good work. But you're still wrong here.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:03:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

im not grasping at anything, its not my fault you all choose to assume something i never said.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:05:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

and yet another use of fallacious reasoning.

Arguing a point that was made by changing the initial problem to make your point valid doesn;t work.

YOU said it was impossible to do it while holding a gun to a persons head with that anime referance.. Changing that problem later on to suit your needs doesn't work.

Now, when you made your statement, had you specified the change in variables, then we would not have argued. However, since you kept them the same you have no reasoning avialable to justify changing them mid-arguemnt just because you are loosing.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:06:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message So let's get this straight here. You said something you didn't actually mean, and meant something you didn't actually say... and you're arguing for that point AFTER the matter of fact.

And you're HOW old?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:09:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I NEVER STATED A DISTANCE GET THAT THROUGH YOUR HEADS. You are all assuming what i didnt even say. I was thinking upon MULTIPLE distances. Its not my fault you are all only thinking of ONE situation while im thinking of ALL situations now is it?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:12:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So now you're not reading the posts talking about your retarded use of illogic? Ok, whatever floats your boat.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:12:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

YOU never stated one, however IRON-FART DID. THAT is wha you decided to counter with that anime referance, so THAT is what we countered you one.

YOU said it was impossible to disarm someone when they had a gun to your head.

Once again changing the arguement just so you can make yourself appear to win doesn't work.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Vitaminous on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:16:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

WTF, CUT IT OUT.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views??

gbullWhat Kind of Dreamworld do you people live in?!?!?! This isnt an anime cartoon show where you can karate chop a gun out of someones hand before they fire it at you. I got news for ya, if you try to disable someone with a gun Their gonna shoot you dead. Give em a bullet first and you live. Its that simple.

So, even assuming your ASSUMPTIONS are correct and I did mean point blank. KIND OF FUCKING TUFF FOR BOTH PEOPLE TO HAVE A GUN AND END UP POINT BLANK AT EACH OTHER. jesus STOP ASSUMING MORONS!!!! I swear im the only one with any sort of common sense here, god damnit Crimson, Cow, Incognito, NodBugger, where are you lol.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:20:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

:rolleyes: wow... just wow.

Lets look at this for a second... (condensed version)

IRON-FART: I say Gun to your head!

gbull: You're wrong!

everyone else: It's possible to do it when a gun is to your head!

gbull: your all wrong!

Javaxcx: Here's the proof.

gbull: your wrong, that only works when the gun is to your head! I never said that!

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:20:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FART

@Nodbugger

Police shoot to kill as a last resort. By that I mean they will aim for the upper chest to hit the heart, or somewhere around. They will probably puncture a lung in the process.

But if they aim a little lower down, they aren't going to kill the person. If they hit the liver, it will regenerate. They may aim for the legs or possibly shoulder. Any other organs in that area could probably be healed in a hospital.

It all depends on the senario. If its a robber who stole from a 7/11 and is running away, the police won't shoot to kill, they will shoot to disable. In this senario where the criminal has a gun to someone's head, they would shoot to kill.

Tell that to my dad and uncle who are both cops.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:21:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'll play devil's advocate for a second;

Because you didn't specify what you were talking about doesn't mean that when you finally decide to, that it makes your position correct.

Enough of that, Warranto is still right. And you are still (hilariously) wrong. But please, keep up with the temper tantrum here, Rudy.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:25:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ROFL, If common sense was a toilet and assumptions were feces, you would be swimming in shit. This is so funny.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:26:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Holy fuck. This thread has multiplied many times over, and I wouldn't necessarily say that's a good thing for this thread.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:27:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

well, you're the one failing to do anything in regards to showing us how we're wring other than assuming that we can read minds to know that the arguement had changed. All you seem to be doing is throwing yourself into that toilet you mentioned with your own assumtions.

ya its been fun j_ball lol

and wut assumptions would those be warranto?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:28:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Finally something we can agree on.

THe rest of us are having a great time laughing at this show of moronity.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:29:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]ROFL, If common sense was a toilet and assumptions were feces, you would be swimming in shit. This is so funny.

So... it's common sense for you to read minds to somehow override the stigma of assumption? For an ignoramus, you've got some pretty special talents.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:31:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warrantoFinally something we can agree on.

THe rest of us are having a great time laughing at this show of moronity.

edit: rather than post again...

those assumptions? You keep arguing that you did not mean arguing that the gun is at the persons head. Yet, you openly admit you never stated otherwise (infact, not stating anything at all, by your own words). So, what were we supposed to do? Read your mind and thereby know that the variables of the arguemetn had changed?

Great assumption there.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:32:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

now now, I thought you didnt like to call people names Java? and wasnt it you that told me not to weasel out of things by trying to justify something by making things up? I never said anything about point blank, so that would make you the person making shit up, and I must say, you need a bigger toilet.

and no warranto, you werent supposed to attempt reading my mind, that is the point of me saying you assumed I said point blank. :rolleyes:

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:36:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Most well known rule of arguements:

In the absence of a factor that changes the variable, the arguement continues with the original set of parameters.

Without specifying that the distance had changed from at the head to somethign other than that (being an unknown distance), you continued to argue givin the original parameters. Those being with the distance established at close quarters.

Apperantly you fail to realize this, though I will admit assuming people can read minds must do wonders with your "argumentative win percentage". YOu must nevr loose! Don't like the parameters of the arguement, change them without telling anyone! Brilliant

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:36:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]now now, I thought you didnt like to call people names Java? and wasnt it you that told me not to weasel out of things by trying to justify something by making things up? I never said anything about point blank, so that would make you the person making shit up, and I must say, you need a bigger toilet.

I call people names all the time. That has just about no bearing on this discussion, though, so why bring it up? You misunderstand, you DID make something up. You made up a scenario to coincide with your little fantasy in your head AFTER the matter of fact in order to weasle out of the CQC argument that fell properly in line with the "hold a gun to your head" argument. (Which was already picked apart, because holding a gun to your head and pointing a gun at you from 10 feet away [like you meant but didn't say] are two entirely different things).

I didn't say anything about point blank either.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:41:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aprime, stop with the spam, or I'll start removing it myself.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Vitaminous on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:41:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/me looks at the topic. :rolleyes:

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:41:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

He has a point. It beats everybody else's into submission. Let the man speak. This is about the ONLY good point he's ever made.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:42:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jesus, I REALLY WASNT THINKING POINT BLANK! But in the interest of keeping this topic from spiralling any further into an "I was Right you were Wrong arument," Lets take a gander at what would happen. Okay heres a question for you. At POINT BLANK would YOU be dumb enough to try and take the gun from the CRIMINAL holding the gun to YOUR head?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:43:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CQC deals with situations similar to that. "The hand is faster than the eye" is a common mantra.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:43:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That may be, but it doesn't have to be via a large image.

If he want's to say it, go ahead. But the large images and excessive font size are not desired.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:44:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So would you?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Vitaminous on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:46:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warrantoThat may be, but it doesn't have to be via a large image.

If he want's to say it, go ahead. But the large images and excessive font size are not desired.

Ugh, just do us all a favor and lock it already.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by warranto on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:46:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Jesus, I REALLY WASNT THINKING POINT BLANK! But in the interest of keeping this topic from spiralling any further into an "I was Right you were Wrong arument," Lets take a gander at what would happen. Okay heres a question for you. At POINT BLANK would YOU be dumb enough to try and take the gun from the CRIMINAL holding the gun to YOUR head?

Well, what are your options...

1) Die, as he can probably pull the trigger faster than you can raise your gun and fire yourself. You may kill him in the process, but you're dead as well, so what does it matter?

2) Live or die, depending how fast you can move.

3) Beg, then die

If I can get out of it, I obviously would. And you would too. You didn't say that "I" had a gun. And even if I did, there are hand-to-hand/gun movements designed to deal non-lethal blows/shots together in such situations (if, of course, you're meaning something you didn't say again).

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:47:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

however, he may not kill you.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:48:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nono, i didnt say gun, so dont reply with gun. Now your catching on!

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:50:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sigh, read the reply again. You're missing some vital words there.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:51:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i did the first post before i saw you replied.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:54:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, this thread hit a dead end, im going to bed. cya later peeps. No Hard Feelings y0 all in the interest of good fun! i like this forum, its entertaining.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 08:38:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It certainly is. I leave for a few hours, come back and I see another 3 pages of reading material. Quote:

At POINT BLANK would YOU be dumb enough to try and take the gun from the CRIMINAL holding the gun to YOUR head?

Probably. Because i'm not dumb enough to do nothing.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 09:53:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Javaxcx=[DT=gbull=[L]=]So Java, are you saying you wouldnt kill him? because what if he shoots you after you think youve dissabled him, but failed? your arguments are complete bullshit, you would be a deadman in such a situation. And i know this might sound wierd, NOT EVERYONE KNOWS CQC! i know, surprising huh. :rolleyes:

I have some CQC training, so I would know whether or not the necessity to kill was real. In most cases, believe it or not, it isn't. Since I'm assuming you haven't had any kind of training whatsoever, I guess I'm wasting my time trying to educate you.

You do, eh? What's the double tap and what importance does it have with the MP5 machine pistol in terms of shot location and ability to take down a target?

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Blazer on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 10:37:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I already know the answer to that question, but thought I would point out that you shouldnt ask something to test knowledge, that can easily be found on google

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 15:42:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Double tap is one shot to the chest and 1 to the head, or two to the head and two to the chest, which ever is quicker.

It is normally used on bodies on the ground.

Like the Marine who shot the guy in the head who was on the ground. The only problem I saw

with it was that he only shot once. I'm sure many other Marines, or anyone who has cleared a building in a war zone would give you the same answer.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 16:52:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerYou do, eh? What's the double tap and what importance does it have with the MP5 machine pistol in terms of shot location and ability to take down a target?

The double-tap, like Nodbugger said, is simply a pair of shots fired in extremely short succession aimed at the "A" section of an IPSC torso target.

As for the MP5... I have personally never gotten to use one, yet. However, I do know that some variants of the machine pistol have what is called an "automatic-double-tap" (in essence, a two round fully automatic pair of shots), which essentially plugs two rounds into relatively the same space (because of the obvious recoil of each shot) in a smaller time frame than your finger could ever do. Do I really need to tell you what happens when two shots hit someone in a fractal millisecond timeframe in just about the same location? I thought not.

But then again, it depends on your definition of "take down a target". Because there are quite a few ways to do that (fatal or non-fatal) effectively with an automatic double tap. If you're trying to incapasitate without killing the person, you might aim on the lefthand side of the "C" or "D" section of an IPSC target. You'll likely puncture a lung, but duel shots will have your problem on the ground in shock, giving you the oppurtunity to manually disarm or administer aid or what have you. If you're trying to cause a fatal shot, you need to aim at parts of the body that would case imminent death with no chance of them misfiring and hitting you, such as a pair of bullets penetrating the spinal cord at the neck or Just in front of the cerebellum.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 20:32:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I must say, I've never seen a thread reach 6 pages in about one day. Thats a real achievement.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 23:21:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ya, just call me chief spammer.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Crimson on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 02:15:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTIf you do shoot someone, however, you don't have to shoot to kill. Police learn to aim for non-vital, but disabling parts of the body to shoot.

The movies might have you believe that, but they are trained that if they are in a situation to discharge their weapon, they are willing to kill the individual and that the circumstance merits their death. This is why there are so many cases of "suicide by police" where the suicidal individual does what he can to make a police office shoot him(/her).

I don't really have a solid opinion on the death penalty. On one hand, I don't give a shit about those people, but on the other hand it doesn't feel "right" to end their life, though we as humans have been doing that for ages. The way it works right now, it's not a very effective deterrent in and of itself.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Nodbugger on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 03:06:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson

it's not a very effective deterrent in and of itself.

It is pretty effective at stopping repeat offenders

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 03:36:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lol, hes got a point!

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by IRON FART on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 06:02:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well being dead is a hinderance to most criminals.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Crimson on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 19:39:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 20:28:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTWell being dead is a hinderance to most criminals.

look at it th is way. Those who wish to die instead of life in prison may THINK they are getting something out of it, but they won't think that for very long because as soon as i / who ever kills them pulls the trigger they'll be beginning there roasing in the fires of hell for the rest of time and beyond.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 20:47:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonLOL that's true... of course I was referring to first time offenders! He was replying to Nodbugger.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by PointlessAmbler on Tue, 01 Feb 2005 01:52:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think it's a worse punishment to be locked up in prison instead of being sentenced to death, and plus, it's less expensive, it turns out, to keep a man in prison for life than it is to go through the process of exacting capital punishment on him. I don't have a huge problem with executing people that have been deemed worthy of it by our judicial system, but I think keeping them locked up is better.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Tue, 01 Feb 2005 01:55:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The only reason why the death penalty sucks is because of the way we carry it out. We need to be more harsh.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cowmisfit on Tue, 01 Feb 2005 02:42:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message PointlessAmblerI think it's a worse punishment to be locked up in prison instead of being sentenced to death, and plus, it's less expensive, it turns out, to keep a man in prison for life than it is to go through the process of exacting capital punishment on him. I don't have a huge problem with executing people that have been deemed worthy of it by our judicial system, but I think keeping them locked up is better.

PLEASE READ PREVIOUS POST.

The reason it is so much more expensive to execute them is because of the way we carry it out , if we used one bullet in a gun then it wouldn't be that way.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Jecht on Tue, 01 Feb 2005 03:54:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Amen, a buck a prisoner.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by PointlessAmbler on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:09:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfit PLEASE READ PREVIOUS POST.

The reason it is so much more expensive to execute them is because of the way we carry it out , if we used one bullet in a gun then it wouldn't be that way.

Why don't you read my post like you tell me to read yours? I'm not against the death penalty. I think, however, that a life-without-parole sentence is a worse punishment, and it's also less expensive. No shit, half the reason it's so expensive is that it's carried out with a fairly complex procedure that ensures that the death row inmates feel virtually no pain. Shooting them with a bullet is less expensive, obviously, but it is much messier and unless you shoot someone directly in the head, it would be considered cruel and unusual punishment, which, last time I checked, is against the very rules of the Constitution. A lot of people would consider bullets inhumane regardless of how they were used anyway. Thus, the method prevents additional controversy around an already touchy issue.

Also, I was commenting on the current method of executing prisoners, which is the injection method. Why the hell would I be trying to say that shooting people with bullets is less expensive than using the injection method? Official executions haven't used guns since the electric chair was invented. Even before that, people were usually hanged instead. (Yes, I realize this would be considered "cruel and unusual punishment" today too, but it was a different time back then. Don't start an argument over semantics with me.)

PS. The method of execution isn't the only reason capital punishment is so expensive; people

appeal death sentences several times before a final, incontrovertible decision is made. This ramps up legal costs, and could make an execution cost several million dollars, regardless of the method used.

In conclusion, this is a case of the pot calling the kettle black. Please follow your own advice first before dispensing it to others.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:27:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dude, he never said you were against it. All he said was that he pointed out what his solution was to the exact problem you brought up in your post.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by PointlessAmbler on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:30:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's fine, but he also USED CAPITAL LETTERS TO TELL ME THAT I NEED TO READ HIS POSTS WHEN HE IMPLIES THAT HE'S NOT READING MINE. His previous post isn't so much his opinion on how people should be executed as much as it is some fantasy where he shoots the criminals.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:32:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know, but I'm just saying that starting something over nothing when you could have just as easily said, "I read your post, blah blah blah."

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by PointlessAmbler on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:34:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Whatever. Arguing over this is pointless.

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:46:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Doitle on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 04:31:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They should just use convicts as Buster on Myth Busters...

Subject: Capital Punishment Views?? Posted by Crimson on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 05:25:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OK, so, lay in coffin, bullet to head, closed casket. No muss.

Page 41 of 41 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums