Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 01:29:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AK-47 with 125 rounds, most in three magazines USAF issue .45 Colt with at least 40 rounds Compass Socks, shirts, BDUs, covers Standard issue KA-BAR combat knife Finger saw that tears apart wood Three packages of medical supplies, US Army issue Box of assorted MREs Five gallon water container with purification tablets Several pocket knifes with eating utensils Tent USMC standard combat boots Screwdriver and tools of that nature Gemstones, crystal ball, guartz pendulum and pieces of rose guartz and raw emerald MRE matches, about... 35 packages if not more **Batteries** Maglite large flashlight, police issue version 30mm GAU-8/A cannon shell (From the A-10 Thunderbolt II), useful for stabbing someone or hitting them in the head

That's all I can remember offhand. This is what I have ready in case of emergency... Such as nuclear attack, civil war, mass riots, food shortages, mass crime, or generally anything else a kit would be useful for. Additionally useful for deep woods camping.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by U927 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 01:39:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What about shelter? A tarp might come in handy for a tent or insulation.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 01:58:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"tent"

Did you miss that part?

Subject: Survival kits?

## Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:03:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Call me paranoid, but you probably don't need an AK-47...

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:06:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There's lots of things you don't need, but I'd rather have an assault rifle than a hunting rifle if I'm worried about nuclear attack, civil war, mass riots, mass crime, and the remote possibility of invasion.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by DaveGMM on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:32:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crystal ball? Thinking of taking up a new hobby or something?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by icedog90 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:32:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You have an AK-47? THAT'S AWESOME, show us a picture...

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by bigejoe14 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:39:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

M1 Garand > AK-47

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:41:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ROFL all i have is a tent, 22 caliber rifle and a deer cleaning knife(five inch black steel)

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by icedog90 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 02:43:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bigejoe14M1 Garand > AK-47

I looove the AK-47, I rock with it in CS:S too.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by PointlessAmbler on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 03:28:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Do you practice with the AK at a shooting range?

Subject: Re: Survival kits? Posted by Blazer on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 03:41:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### Aircraftkiller

Gemstones, crystal ball, quartz pendulum and pieces of rose quartz and raw emerald

WTF is that stuff for??

Subject: Re: Survival kits? Posted by csskiller on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 03:56:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

BlazerAircraftkiller Gemstones, crystal ball, quartz pendulum and pieces of rose quartz and raw emerald

WTF is that stuff for?? To keep yourself entertained looking at "shiny things" OO, shiny lol

OR

Maybe to start a fire with?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 04:01:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If i've gotta defend myself in that kind of situation i've got a few deer rifles, 3 BB guns, 1 Desert Eagle (yes the real thing), 45 Colt, Various knives and just my will to survive.

However if anything like that happens, i would probebly drive down to my uncles house in Texas. He's got hundreds of guns i'd venture to say, and enough ammo to last at least a year or two lol. Big hunter.

Subject: Re: Survival kits? Posted by IRON FART on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 04:05:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

csskillerBlazerAircraftkiller Gemstones, crystal ball, quartz pendulum and pieces of rose quartz and raw emerald

WTF is that stuff for?? To keep yourself entertained looking at "shiny things" OO, shiny lol

OR

Maybe to start a fire with?

If theres a Nuclear War and everything is wiped out, the new civilizations will need something to trade.

Honestly, I have no clue. Maybe they burn well? I know that if you try and light a diamond, it will burn very well. Maybe they will be used as beacons or signals?

Subject: Re: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 04:15:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

IRON-FARTcsskillerBlazerAircraftkiller Gemstones, crystal ball, quartz pendulum and pieces of rose quartz and raw emerald

WTF is that stuff for?? To keep yourself entertained looking at "shiny things" OO, shiny lol

OR

Maybe to start a fire with? If theres a Nuclear War and everything is wiped out, the new civilizations will need something to trade.

Honestly, I have no clue. Maybe they burn well? I know that if you try and light a diamond, it will burn very well. Maybe they will be used as beacons or signals?

I'd say to help start fires, scratch against things, or sell or something. Im gonna drive myself crazy with that one.

Probably to summon the Goddess of Light...

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by U927 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 04:37:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What about fishing hooks? It'd be nice to have some fresh fish every once in a while instead of an MRE.

Aircraftkiller"tent"

Did you miss that part?

Yes, I did. I blame you for making such a big list.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by rm5248 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 05:35:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

While you're at it you should stock up on some 'Gay Bombs'

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 08:33:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonProbably to summon the Goddess of Light...

No, it's for spiritual matters. One can't seriously go about killing people and not need some kind of spiritual stability. It keeps a man from going insane. The gemstones are also very useful during meditation and spell casting, so they're going to be necessary for a survival kit... At least for people of my religious persuasion. I told you guys I'm a witch, gemstones are something important that I need in my spiritual path. They collect and store energy, and release it when called to do so.

Misfit, I doubt you could fire an Eagle. It would either knock your small self over, or dislocate your shoulder. Those .50 caliber bullets are fucking huge...

If I ever get around to it, I'll take a photograph of the 47 and the magazines, plus some rounds. I'm a bit skiddish about showing it, seeing as how many of you would love nothing more than to find some way to get the feds after me for owning an assault rifle... Although I'm probably going to end up trading it in for a Steyr AUG, or simply keep the 47 and find a good AUG that's been slightly

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Blazer on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 08:57:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Aircraftkiller

No, it's for sexual matters. One can't seriously go about fucking people and not need some kind of sexual stimulation. It keeps a man from going insane. The cahones are also very useful during masturbation and sperm casting, so they're going to be necessary for a survival kit... At least for people of my sexual orientation. I told you guys I'm a bitch, cahones are something important that I need in my sweaty palm. They collect and store energy, and release it when called to do so.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by icedog90 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 09:29:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerCrimsonProbably to summon the Goddess of Light...Misfit, I doubt you could fire an Eagle. It would either knock your small self over, or dislocate your shoulder. Those .50 caliber bullets are fucking huge...

LOL.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by tanhm07 on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 10:08:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aircraftkiller I told you guys I'm a witch,

witch: n. A woman claiming or popularly believed to possess magical powers and practice sorcery.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Crimson on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 12:42:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerNo, it's for spiritual matters. One can't seriously go about killing people and not need some kind of spiritual stability. It keeps a man from going insane. The gemstones are also very useful during meditation and spell casting, so they're going to be necessary for a survival kit... At

least for people of my religious persuasion. I told you guys I'm a witch, gemstones are something important that I need in my spiritual path. They collect and store energy, and release it when called to do so.

Phew. I thought it was for something weird.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 13:07:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

icedog90AircraftkillerCrimsonProbably to summon the Goddess of Light...Misfit, I doubt you could fire an Eagle. It would either knock your small self over, or dislocate your shoulder. Those .50 caliber bullets are fucking huge...

LOL.

My dad would probebly be the one handeling that :rolleyes: I shot it once and hit myself in the sholduer.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 13:55:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonPhew. I thought it was for something weird.

LOL

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Doitle on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 18:08:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think you should add more water. The first thing that goes in situations of diress is the infrastructure; running water. 5 Gallons won't last you long. I know you said you had tablets but I'd just store more in the first place.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 18:13:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.johntitor.com

ph33r

tanhm07Aircraftkiller I told you guys I'm a witch,

witch: n. A woman claiming or popularly believed to possess magical powers and practice sorcery.

Not totally correct. The other definition is:

witch P Pronunciation Key (wch) n.

A believer or follower of Wicca; a Wiccan.

--

Witches are both male and female. The female only stereotype is what has been propagated by Christianity and Hollywood for the past hundred years, when in fact during the Burning Times, many men were also burned at the stake for being witches.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Fabian on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 20:22:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This is true. Males died during the Salem witch trials.

But I still think all that gemstone stuff is...odd.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 20:31:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

arent male witches warlocks?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 22 Jan 2005 20:42:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No.

Q: Aren't all Witches Women? A: No. Neither are Wiccans or those in other pagan paths. Witches can be either men or women. The term "Warlock" is never used to describe a male Witch as it is considered to be a religious slur. "Warlock" is an old Scottish word meaning "traitor" or "oath-breaker". Men and Women alike can be Witches, Wiccans or pagans.

I'm not just talking about the Salem witch trials. I'm speaking of the Burning Times, which is a period of history during which people like me were prosecuted and killed for our religious beliefs.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 00:59:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AirCraftKillerQ: Aren't all Witches Women?

A: No. Neither are Wiccans or those in other pagan paths. Witches can be either men or women. The term "Warlock" is never used to describe a male Witch as it is considered to be a religious slur. "Warlock" is an old Scottish word meaning "traitor" or "oath-breaker". Men and Women alike can be Witches, Wiccans or pagans.

o, sry. I didnt know.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 02:03:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aircraftkiller

I told you guys I'm a witch.

WHAT THE FUCK

When did you decide to be a "witch", im actually pretty interested in learning more about this, i don't quite get it. I never would have thought there were people that refered to themselves as "witchs"

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by msgtpain on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 02:09:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ack, are you a son-of-a-witch?

Subject: Survival kits?

# OMFG AHAHAHAHAHAHA ROFLMAO WAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA THAT PICTURE IS HI-LARIOUS!!!!

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by bigejoe14 on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 02:31:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Javaxcxwww.johntitor.com

ph33r Lies.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 02:38:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i agree bigjoe, that guy is a quack.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 02:41:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OH DEAR GOD A NUCLEAR MISSLE!!! LET'S SHOOT AT IT WITH THIS HERE AK-47!!! OR BETTER YET, HIT IT WITH THIS SHELL!!!

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by csskiller on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 04:13:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

glyde51OH DEAR GOD A NUCLEAR MISSLE!!! LET'S SHOOT AT IT WITH THIS HERE AK-47!!! OR BETTER YET, HIT IT WITH THIS SHELL!!! Lmao

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 13:22:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

glyde510H DEAR GOD A NUCLEAR MISSLE!!! LET'S SHOOT AT IT WITH THIS HERE AK-47!!!

# OR BETTER YET, HIT IT WITH THIS SHELL!!!

Your an idiot, its for protection when people start scavaging for food.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by prox on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 14:17:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

# glyde51OH DEAR GOD A NUCLEAR MISSLE!!! LET'S SHOOT AT IT WITH THIS HERE AK-47!!! OR BETTER YET, HIT IT WITH THIS SHELL!!!

Aftermath.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 18:01:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Exactly, it's for the aftermath assuming I survive. Not likely considering Eglin is on the list of targets for a nuclear strike on the United States.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 18:19:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitglyde510H DEAR GOD A NUCLEAR MISSLE!!! LET'S SHOOT AT IT WITH THIS HERE AK-47!!! OR BETTER YET, HIT IT WITH THIS SHELL!!!

Your an idiot, its for protection when people start scavaging for food.

You're an idiot, it's a joke for people that like to laugh.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by U927 on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 20:36:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Another good thing to put in your survival guide are spices. Pepper, cumin, paprika, cinnamon, and various others would not only add more flavor to any food you find, you can actually trade it with other survivors so they can flavor their food as well. Spices used to be worth more than their weight in gold in the Middle Ages; it might be handy to have some with you in case civilization collapses.

AircraftkillerExactly, it's for the aftermath assuming I survive. Not likely considering Eglin is on the list of targets for a nuclear strike on the United States.

lol im on one of the top spots in the nation (probebly top 5 - 7) to be hit since the US ARMY WAR COLLEGE is right up the road.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by prox on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 21:14:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tell me about it...I live in Queens, New York.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 21:42:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i live in a suburb of grand rapids in Michigan, not excactly a top spot either, although Detroit might be.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by DarkDemin on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 21:49:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AR-15 Sporter with 3 clips and 500 rounds off .223 cal ammo
Glock .40 cal 360 rounds of ammo 3 clips
Glock 27 " "
Kimber 1911 9mm 1200 rounds of ammo 8 clips
Bushmaster 12 gauge Shotgun 30 rounds of ammo
Sears(1932) .22 cal rifle 600 rounds of ammo
Food I will worry about later
2 Tents
10 gallons of water
other misc. survival stuff.

## Jesus!

How did you get your hands on an assault rifle? I'm looking to trade in some of my Uzis for something with more 'bang'. When and where are you allowed to use it?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Spoony\_old on Sun, 23 Jan 2005 23:59:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

page three.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 00:12:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm glad I live in Canada, far away from you gun nuts

WTF do they bomb in Canada?

"OMG LOOK A NUCLEAR BOMB!!!" "NOOOO!!! NOT OUR WHEAT!!!" "BOB!!! HAS ANYONE SEEN BOB?!" "This is going to suck, eh?"

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 00:16:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

glyde51I'm glad I live in Canada, far away from you gun nuts

WTF do they bomb in Canada?

"OMG LOOK A NUCLEAR BOMB!!!" "NOOOO!!! NOT OUR WHEAT!!!" "BOB!!! HAS ANYONE SEEN BOB?!" "This is going to suck, eh?"

Canadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resources is because you have us to the south. Be thankful

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by csskiller on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 00:40:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitglyde51I'm glad I live in Canada, far away from you gun nuts

WTF do they bomb in Canada?

"OMG LOOK A NUCLEAR BOMB!!!" "NOOOO!!! NOT OUR WHEAT!!!" "BOB!!! HAS ANYONE SEEN BOB?!" "This is going to suck, eh?"

Canadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resources is because you have us to the south. Be thankful I guess you could put it thats way.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 00:51:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitCanadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resourses is because you have us to the south. Be thankful

That's going to be fairly tough to prove when you have absolutely no point of reference to back it up.

Maybe Canada depends on Canada to keep itself safe-- it's been working for the last 100 or so years. Don't flatter yourself.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Spoony\_old on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 00:59:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitCanadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resourses is because you have us to the south. Be thankful

this reminds me of homey's old signature, the Bismarck... I guess you haven't seen that

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Hydra on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:07:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message AircraftkillerNo, it's for spiritual matters. One can't seriously go about killing people and not need some kind of spiritual stability. It keeps a man from going insane. The gemstones are also very useful during meditation and spell casting, so they're going to be necessary for a survival kit... At least for people of my religious persuasion. I told you guys I'm a witch, gemstones are something important that I need in my spiritual path.

You've also said you're a Christian, so how about bringing along a Bible, too?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by IRON FART on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:30:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### cowmisfit

Canadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resources is because you have us to the south. Be thankful What planet are you living on?

They have a military. You forget the British influence in Canada, and that the Royal Marines are trained there.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:34:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## IRON-FARTcowmisfit

Canadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resources is because you have us to the south. Be thankful What planet are you living on?

They have a military. You forget the British influence in Canada, and that the Royal Marines are trained there.

Yeah, don't make us call the British, Austrailians, and every third world country that depends on us on you

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by rm5248 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:46:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Who wants to just Blame Canada?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:47:15 GMT IRON-FARTThey have a military.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:54:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### glyde51IRON-FARTcowmisfit

Canadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resources is because you have us to the south. Be thankful What planet are you living on?

They have a military. You forget the British influence in Canada, and that the Royal Marines are trained there.

Yeah, don't make us call the British, Austrailians, and every third world country that depends on us on you

The brits are real allies unlike france, they wouldn't attack us, same goes for Austrailians. But as long as money is involved i woudln't put it past the french to hit us.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:57:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

France is still your ally whether you want to believe it or not.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 02:12:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

France would backstab us in a second if they werent afraid of the consequences.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 02:19:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

### cowmisfitglyde51IRON-FARTcowmisfit

Canadians depend on the US to defend them, thats the only reason you havn't been attacked and harvisted for your natrual resources is because you have us to the south. Be thankful

What planet are you living on?

They have a military. You forget the British influence in Canada, and that the Royal Marines are trained there.

Yeah, don't make us call the British, Austrailians, and every third world country that depends on us on you

The brits are real allies unlike france, they wouldn't attack us, same goes for Austrailians. But as long as money is involved i woudln't put it past the french to hit us.

Naw, the British think of Canada as the older, better brother of the two

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 02:25:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]France would backstab us in a second if they werent afraid of the consequences.

...said the ignorant nutjob.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by IRON FART on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:07:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I heard France declared Jihad on the US.

Might just be a rumor, I don't know to be honest.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:15:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]France would backstab us in a second if they werent afraid of the consequences.

Exactly, they are NOT our allies.

Only blind ignorant forgien bastards (usually in the form of a canadian, not always but usually) think that they are our freinds.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:17:47 GMT And your nation wonders why the world looks at it in such a negative light. You're pulling a John Kerry here I'm afraid.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:18:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxAnd your nation wonders why the world looks at it in such a negative light. You're pulling a John Kerry here I'm afraid.

Please explain how im John Kerry like at all?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:19:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Remember how Kerry badmouthed the allies in the debates? You're badmouthing one right now. Kerry.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:21:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxRemember how Kerry badmouthed the allies in the debates? You're badmouthing one right now. Kerry.

Remember how the silly canadian made comparisions that don't make any fucking sense? Kerry would have kissed up to France and everyone knows it, he wasn't fit to lead this country at all, if it wasn't for his veitnam crap it woudln't have been even close of a race.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by IRON FART on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:21:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why the hell would France WANT to backstab you?

Chirac thinks that Bush is a twit and a big ignoranus, so he didn't support him in Iraq. That doesn't mean that hey are our enemies all of a sudden.

IRON-FARTWhy the hell would France WANT to backstab you?

Chirac thinks that Bush is a twit and a big ignoranus, so he didn't support him in Iraq. That doesn't mean that hey are our enemies all of a sudden.

Please show me one significant thing in the last 4 years they backed us on that did not have anything to do with them getting money and i'll take back what i said.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:23:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitRemember how the silly canadian made comparisions that don't make any fucking sense? Kerry would have kissed up to France and everyone knows it, he wasn't fit to lead this country at all, if it wasn't for his veitnam crap it woudln't have been even close of a race.

Now you're pulling a nodbugger and taking things totally out of context. What's next, you'll hit puberty?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by U927 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:28:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why must every topic be hijacked in some way or another?

GOING BACK TO A TIME WHEN THE TOPIC ACTUALLY TALKED ABOUT SURVIVIAL GEAR AND LIKELY STRIKE LOCATIONS...

I'm not really sure if South Florida is a good location for surviving. The biggest military installation we have here is Port Everglades, which is basically a dock for the Navy.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by icedog90 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 03:47:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Subject: Survival kits?

Quote:

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]IRON-FARTThey have a military.

How mature of you. It might not be as big as America's military, but then again, Army recriuters don't go talking to 14 year olds in high schools.

Quote:

Why must every topic be hijacked in some way or another?

The original topic gets discussed pretty well, then the thread gets shaky at about the second page. Third if you are lucky.

Quote:

France would backstab us in a second if they werent afraid of the consequences.

Oh please don't be silly. France's defence budget can rival that of America's Besides, they are our allies because they are a democratic nation just like this one. Quote:

Exactly, they are NOT our allies.

They didn't send troops to Iraq. So what? They don't believe in attacking Iraq. They don't have to. Doesn't mean they are Enemies. If I flew over there, I wouldn't be arrested and be detained as a goddam POW. They are allies wether you like it or not.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by bigejoe14 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 05:14:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

icedog90

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 17:51:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

jokes are funny, you should laugh at them.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 22:25:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I support France's notion not to go to war, I personally don't see a solid reason for going to war.

Don't pull that "To liberate the people" shit on me, it was at first a war for missles and destuctive crap, now they switched it.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by bigejoe14 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 22:50:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

WMD's weren't the only reason we went to war. Sure, it was the main reason but we had other objectives to accomplish. Liberating Iraq was one of them.

We didn't change a single thing.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 22:52:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bigejoe14WMD's weren't the only reason we went to war. Sure, it was the main reason but we had other objectives to accomplish. Liberating Iraq was one of them.

We didn't change a single thing.

No, our main reason NOW is "to free Iraq", when before it was "For weapons of Mass Destruction".

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by IRON FART on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:16:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Beliief that Iraq had link to 9/11 attacks -> WMD -> Liberate Iraq.

When there was not solid proof of the first one (now confirmed) the reason changed to WMD and when there was no sign of WMDs, the Liberating Iraq reason was added.

Its not official that 9/11 had no connection with Iraq and now there were no WMDs found. Although Iraq's old government was toppled and as we all know Sadaam has been detained.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Hydra on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:21:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We have been over this many times before; the war was waged for three main reasons: 1) Saddam's failure to fully disclose all weapons of mass destruction in accordance with the

ceaseOfire ending the first Gulf War as well as numerous UN resolutions; 2) Saddam's harboring and giving aid and comfort to known international Islamic terrorist groups; and 3) to liberate the oppressed Iraqi people from a brutal dictator that has a long history of torturing his very own people.

It was ALWAYS for these three reasons. Stop saying we "changed" the reasons for the war or some bullshit like that because IT'S NOT TRUE!

Now, STOP DERAILING THIS THREAD!!!!!

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by prox on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:33:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If that's true, then we would have gone to war with Iraq even if 9/11 never happened. Do you honestly think so? Okay I'm just kidding, back on topic

I have nothing stocked up . If there's a nuclear strike or some crap like that near where I live I'd rather die quickly...

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:35:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

xC4pRoXIf that's true, then we would have gone to war with Iraq even if 9/11 never happened. Do you honestly think so? Okay I'm just kidding, back on topic

I have nothing stocked up . If there's a nuclear strike or some crap like that near where I live I'd rather die quickly...

Thats kinda sad. I have a distinct will to survive. I don't belive in giving up, letting your self just kind of die is the pussy way out, and i ain't going down with out a fight.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:40:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hydra1945---1) Saddam's failure to fully disclose all weapons of mass destruction in accordance with the cease0fire ending the first Gulf War as well as numerous UN resolutions;

---2) Saddam's harboring and giving aid and comfort to known international Islamic terrorist groups; and

---3) to liberate the oppressed Iraqi people from a brutal dictator that has a long history of torturing his very own people.

xC4pRoXIf that's true, then we would have gone to war with Iraq even if 9/11 never happened.

Them attacking us on 9/11 was large reason, just put into different words. Common mistake, i see how you overlooked it.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by prox on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:42:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:Thats kinda sad. I have a distinct will to survive. I don't belive in giving up, letting your self just kind of die is the pussy way out, and i ain't going down with out a fight.

I don't bust guns, I steal thongs.

Gbull: Quote:Okay I'm just kidding, back on topic icon\_wink.gif icon\_razz.gif icon\_biggrin.gif

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:45:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i know, thats why i wasnt harsh with you.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by glyde51 on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:53:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]hydra1945---1) Saddam's failure to fully disclose all weapons of mass destruction in accordance with the cease0fire ending the first Gulf War as well as numerous UN resolutions; ---2) Saddam's harboring and giving aid and comfort to known international Islamic terrorist groups; and

---3) to liberate the oppressed Iraqi people from a brutal dictator that has a long history of torturing his very own people.

xC4pRoXIf that's true, then we would have gone to war with Iraq even if 9/11 never happened.

Them attacking us on 9/11 was large reason, just put into different words. Common mistake, i see how you overlooked it.

Iraq had practically nothing to do with this..

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by prox on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:56:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Crimson on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 00:06:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No one in Bush's administration has EVER claimed Iraq/Saddam had anything to do with 9/11. 9/11 caused Bush to declare a War on Terror. He took care of Afghanistan first because they were housing the mastermind behind the attacks, but since it doesn't take all our manpower to hunt for bin Laden and rebuild, we could move on. There are terrorists harbored and helped by Saddam, hence they are a target.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by icedog90 on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 01:43:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

At least Aircraftkiller was smart and put this in the Politics/Hot Issues forum.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by IRON FART on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 01:53:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

He must have a crystal ball. Keep in mind that he's a witch.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 02:35:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's not a necessity to have a crystal ball. It's just something that helps with meditation.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 03:03:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bigejoe14...but we had other objectives to accomplish. Liberating Iraq was one of them.

We didn't change a single thing.

Heh, thanks for admitting it was illegal from the get-go. Coup D'etats anyone?

Page 24 of 37 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums

big joe didnt admit a single thing there.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by reborn on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:52:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerIt's not a necessity to have a crystal ball. It's just something that helps with meditation.

Yes this is true, i personally use a candle whilst insence burns as an offering, although sometimes i use a tibetan singing bowl. I always have something as an offering though whatever "vehicle" i use.

I find it stange however that someone who meditates and holds a stong religious preference would endorse murder (under any circumstance).

I am also interested in what type of meditation you practise, by this I mean "single-pointed meditation" or "contemplation meditation", if it is perhaps the latter then I would like to encourage you to contemplate upon taking life.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 15:14:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just because I'm non-violent doesn't mean I don't realize when peace won't work. Military action should always be the last resort to any situation, and defending yourself is always the last resort as well. It's not as if I'm going to take pot shots at people if the world ends... But in a situation like that, I need more than just an athame and a crystal ball. I doubt the gods would fault me for shooting up some poor bastard because he was trying to kill me and take my food and supplies.

I don't do contemplation often, if at all. That's the path of one seeking to be a perfect mystic.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 15:58:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]big joe didnt admit a single thing there.

He said you went there to liberate the people as one of your objectives. You liberated that nation by overthrowing the recognized and standing sovreign government. That is a Coup D'etats. They are illegal.

by that definition, are you saying revolution is against the "law" of the world? Or is that just a UN thing?

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 17:56:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It is against American law as well as U.N. law. I would bet that in most if not all nations it would be against the law to overthrow the government by illegitimate means.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by bigejoe14 on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 18:24:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:He said you went there to liberate the people as one of your objectives. You liberated that nation by overthrowing the recognized and standing sovreign government. That is a Coup D'etats. They are illegal.

So you're in favor of someone who killed and raped his own people for pleasure?

Subject: Re: Survival kits? Posted by Dave Mason on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 18:40:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerTent

AircraftkillerThis is what I have ready in case of nuclear attack

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 19:19:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bigejoe14Quote:He said you went there to liberate the people as one of your objectives. You liberated that nation by overthrowing the recognized and standing sovreign government. That is a Coup D'etats. They are illegal.

So you're in favor of someone who killed and raped his own people for pleasure?

Of course not. Legality and morality are two completely different issues. What is moral might not necessarily be legal. And vise versa.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by rm5248 on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 21:09:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxIt is against American law as well as U.N. law. I would bet that in most if not all nations it would be against the law to overthrow the government by illegitimate means.

But the problem with this law is that the government can't enforce it if they have been taken over.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 00:42:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We went to war for three reasons, see hydras post of the reasons cuz im too damn tired of bringing them back up.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:15:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Javaxcxbigejoe14Quote:He said you went there to liberate the people as one of your objectives. You liberated that nation by overthrowing the recognized and standing sovreign government. That is a Coup D'etats. They are illegal.

So you're in favor of someone who killed and raped his own people for pleasure?

Of course not. Legality and morality are two completely different issues. What is moral might not necessarily be legal. And vise versa.

Your legal argument is bullshit. We do not have to adhere to UN "laws," International law is mostly, if not totally, a simple choice of following or not. There are not often any consequences. The entire concept of the UN is flawed, the nations in it will not answers to themselves when they break one of these so-called "laws," the only laws that matter are the ones we have for our own nation... and we didn't break them.

Deal with it, and find a real argument.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:20:58 GMT That's a dead end argument. You signed the Charter saying what you would and wouldn't do. Whether you like it or not, that Charter is a law recognized and ratified by many nations to keep relations peaceful BETWEEN nations.

The irony here is that by not adhering to said Charter, you would be violating contract laws that your own country has in place. So any way you cut it in trying to negate the validity of the law that YOU HELPED CREATE will still result with you in the wrong.

You may be lucky enough not to be punished for your crime. And so may France, Germany, and Russia. But the crime is still a crime nonetheless.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:24:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's not a law. Nations cannot answer to other nations, because then they lose their individual power. Nowhere in the UN charter does it say that we cannot go to war if we feel we need to, which is outlined in the framework of this nation - the leaders are to protect America and American interests and we do not need permission from anyone to do it. Otherwise we wouldn't have signed the UN charter if it took military power from us.

A crime is a crime, you're right... But this isn't a crime, you're just pulling arguments out of your ass. That ought to be illegal, you criminal.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:35:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerIt's not a law. Nations cannot answer to other nations, because then they lose their individual power.

Wrong! Any nation can choose to leave the U.N. at any time and go on their little wars however they want to "legally." You WILLINGLY gave up your legal power to unilaterally (not true for this specific case as there was mroe than just America who invaded) invade another country. Don't like it? Pay your dues that you haven't bothered to pay, and leave.

But let's play your game for a moment. It's not a law-- but wait. Then what does that signature mean saying you'll play by those rules? Hmm... A formal contract? I'm pretty sure it's against you own law to violate a contract. That's not pulling an argument out of my ass, that's appling your own laws (like you seem to be intent on doing) on the international playground [which, back in reality, legally you cannot]).

Quote:Nowhere in the UN charter does it say that we cannot go to war if we feel we need to, which is outlined in the framework of this nation - the leaders are to protect America and American

interests and we do not need permission from anyone to do it. Otherwise we wouldn't have signed the UN charter if it took military power from us.

Wrong again! Chapter 1 and Chapter 7 of the Charter outline you cannot do this. However, chapter 7 outlines a justification for doing this. Just FYI, Iraq didn't meet those conditions-- not even remotely.

Quote: A crime is a crime, you're right... But this isn't a crime,

Except you can't prove it

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:55:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh yeah, and one other thing... check this out.

http://www.un.org/law/ilc/

Take a hard look at the members. You might be pleasently surprised.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by warranto on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 02:24:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

1. Something can be illegal, and have no action taken. That is called forgiveness. It in not that it is a legal action (it's still illegal), it's just that no action has been taken.

2. The UN is not a nation, therefor your idea of nations answering to other nations is flawed.

3. Those are hypocritical arguements anyways. "Iraq is bad because they didn't listen to the UN! Invade Them!" followed immediatly by, "The UN is flawed, and no one has to listen to what they say. The only law that matters is a nation's own law." Oh... then concluded with, "Except Iraq. We say they have to listen, because it gives us a reason to invade them!"

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 07:27:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's a body of nations. It still means the same thing I was talking about, don't play semantics with this. :rolleyes:

There was nothing illegal about the war.

### BlazerAircraftkiller

No, it's for sexual matters. One can't seriously go about fucking people and not need some kind of sexual stimulation. It keeps a man from going insane. The cahones are also very useful during masturbation and sperm casting, so they're going to be necessary for a survival kit... At least for people of my sexual orientation. I told you guys I'm a bitch, cahones are something important that I need in my sweaty palm. They collect and store energy, and release it when called to do so.

I sprayed hot coffee out of my nose on that one. Thnx Blazer. :sly:

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:01:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerIt's a body of nations. It still means the same thing I was talking about...

No it doesn't.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 14:53:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I believe the main purpose for the UN is combat any non-UN nations from attacking a UN nation. It did its job in Afghanistan, now leave us alone in Iraq, its non of there business unless they are willing to help. I dont know the UN charter, im just saying thats how is should be in my eyes.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 16:57:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The "main purpose" of the U.N. isn't only to protect member states from non-member states, it's to protect member states from OTHER member states. Iraq was a member state, and so is Afghanistan.

That is why it was legal for member states to participate in the Gulf War, because a member was being attacked by another member and the Security Council deemed the situation in violation of international law. Member states were then authorized to aid Kuwait in removing Iraq from their nation. You'll notice if you ever read the actual official documents that your authorization in

Kuwait was limitted to removing Iraq from it and not pummelling Hussien into the ground in his own turf.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by rm5248 on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 20:40:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nobody enforces the damn law, so we can do pretty much whatever the fuck we want. That doesn't make it right though. Or legal.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 20:46:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The "rightness" of the (any)action is nonconsequentialist as far as I'm concerned.

This means, essentially, that the rightness of the action depends on more than the ends which come about. Sure, breaking the law to some is "wrong", but in the longer run the rightness of the action (at least theoretically at this point) outweighs the "wrongness" of breaking the law.

But you are right, it DOESN'T make it legal.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Crimson on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 22:15:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxThe "main purpose" of the U.N. isn't only to protect member states from non-member states, it's to protect member states from OTHER member states. Iraq was a member state, and so is Afghanistan.

Actually, they are:

to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, which twice in our lifetime has brought untold sorrow to mankind, and

to reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small, and

to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained, and

to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

What about a book to read in case you get bored like...

erm i dunno Harry Potter

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 04:39:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

notice i said:

gbull dont know the UN charter, im just saying thats how is should be in my eyes.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 09:23:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The UN deemed it illegal? Lets check the facts, shall we? Lets look at resolution 1441, I'll take excerpts to prove to you its legality.

Quote from 1441:"Recognizing the threat Iraq's noncompliance with Council resolutions and proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and long-range missiles poses to international peace and security,"

Quote from 1441:"Deploring the fact that Iraq has not provided an accurate, full, final, and complete disclosure, as required by resolution 687 (1991), of all aspects of its programmes to develop weapons of mass destruction and ballistic missiles with a range greater than one hundred and fifty kilometres, and of all holdings of such weapons, their components and production facilities and locations, as well as all other nuclear programmes, including any which it claims are for purposes not related to nuclear-weapons-usable material,'

Quote from 1441:"Deploring further that Iraq repeatedly obstructed immediate, unconditional, and unrestricted access to sites designated by the United Nations Special Commission (UNSCOM) and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), failed to cooperate fully and unconditionally with UNSCOM and IAEA weapons inspectors, as required by resolution 687 (1991), and ultimately ceased all cooperation with UNSCOM and the IAEA in 1998,"

Quote from 1441:"Deploring also that the Government of Iraq has failed to comply with its commitments pursuant to resolution 687 (1991) with regard to terrorism, pursuant to resolution 688 (1991) to end repression of its civilian population and to provide access by international humanitarian organizations to all those in need of assistance in Iraq, and pursuant to resolutions 686 (1991), 687 (1991), and 1284 (1999) to return or cooperate in accounting for Kuwaiti and third country nationals wrongfully detained by Iraq, or to return Kuwaiti property wrongfully seized by Iraq,"

Quote from 1441:"Recalling that in its resolution 687 (1991) the Council declared that a ceasefire would be based on acceptance by Iraq of the provisions of that resolution, including the obligations on Iraq contained therein," (translation for this one: Saddam broke the fucking Persian Gulf Peace treaty)

Quote from 1441:"4. Decides that false statements or omissions in the declarations submitted by Iraq pursuant to this resolution and failure by Iraq at any time to comply with, and cooperate fully in the implementation of, this resolution shall constitute a further material breach of Iraq's obligations and will be reported to the Council for assessment in accordance with paragraphs 11 and or 12 below;

11. Directs the Executive Chairman of UNMOVIC and the Director General of the IAEA to report immediately to the Council any interference by Iraq with inspection activities, as well as any failure by Iraq to comply with its disarmament obligations, including its obligations regarding inspections under this resolution;

12. Decides to convene immediately upon receipt of a report in accordance with paragraphs 4 or 11 above, in order to consider the situation and the need for full compliance with all of the relevant Council resolutions in order to secure international peace and security;

13. Recalls, in that context, that the Council has repeatedly warned Iraq that it will face serious consequences as a result of its continued violations of its obligations;"

The U.N. as a body hasn't deemed anything, yet. Legal or Illegal.

Now let's look at the very key statement that you, and many others have taken seriously out of context. It's your last paragraph:

"13. Recalls, in that context, that the Council has repeatedly warned Iraq that it will face serious consequences as a result of its continued violations of its obligations;""

This is NOT authorization to do anything. This is a WARNING that authorization MIGHT be given should Iraq continue to violate the law (that you yourself said didn't even matter or some varient thereof ) and the terms of a ceasefire that NOT America, but the U.N. (Recalling that in its resolution 687 (1991) the Council declared that a ceasefire would be based on acceptance by Iraq...) put into place between KUWAIT (the nations helping Kuwait included) and Iraq.

You also forgot a pretty important couple of statements from resolutions that you would otherwise use to back up your claims.

Resolution 678, article 2:

"2. Authorizes Member States co-operating with the Government of Kuwait, unless Iraq on or before 15 January 1991 fully implements, as set forth in paragraph 1 above, the above-mentioned resolutions, to use all necessary means to uphold and implement resolution 660 (1990) and all subsequent relevant resolutions and to restore international peace and security in the area;"

(Article 1: 1. Demands that Iraq comply fully with resolution 660 (1990) and all subsequent relevant resolutions, and decides, while maintaining all its decisions, to allow Iraq one final opportunity, as a pause of goodwil, to do so; )

THIS is an example of what authorization looks like. Not some ambiguous warning placed at the bottom of a resolution. Look at the key features here that didn't exist in 1441:

A) You have a date given to which, if no or insufficient complience is obtained, you may use all force necessary to...

B) Uphold a certain resolution. In this case it is 660 and subsequent and relevant (to which I'll get to in a moment) resolutions.

Resolution 660, article 2:

"Demands that Iraq withdraw immediately and unconditionally all s its forces to the positions in which they were located on 1 August 1990"

678 and 660 say that you will have the authorization to remove Iraq from Kuwait-- not beat the hell out of Iraq in their own nation. CERTAINLY not authorization to initiate a coup d'etats.

So... where is this information in 1441? Was it just implied? Sorry friend, that doesn't hold up. Not even remotely.

See, the problem you people seem to have is that you think when the U.N. authorizes you to do something, you're given free reign over the situation. And you certainly are not. You're given stipulations to uphold so the situation doesn't turn into a blown out clusterfuck. That is exactly what happened in Kuwait in 1990, and that is one, among other reasons, why Bush Sr. didn't go after Saddam-- it would be ILLEGAL to do so.

Now, lets look at some relevant resolutions that you convienently left out.

Now, keep this Article of the Charter in mind, because it is explicitly mentioned in resolution 686, and is reaffirmed in 1441 in its preamble.

"Recalling the obligations of Member States under Article 25 of the Charter,"

"Article 25: The Members of the United Nations agree to accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council in accordance with the present Charter."

Now here is a pretty key statement that is reiterated through just about every subsequent resolution, including 687 and 1441.

Resolution 686: "Affirming the commitment of all Member States to the independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity of Iraq and Kuwait, and noting the intention expressed by the Member States cooperating under paragraph 2 of Security Council resolution 678 (1990) to bring their military presence in Iraq to an end as soon as possible consistent with achieving the objectives of the resolution,"

What is this commitment it's talking about? The same commitment all member states have. To the Charter. So let's take a look at that:

Chapter 1, article 2: "All Members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations."

Now, I know you're going say something about the violation of the cease-fire. And I'm going to tell you why that still doesn't authorize you do to anything.

The cease-fire established in 687 was made by the U.N. and the U.N. alone. You'll notice the kinky way it works in that all nations in the conflict were member states and bound to it, which is why Kuwait (and 678 helpers) didn't do anything. If one side, namely Iraq, does not fulfilil the terms of the cease-fire, that does NOT nullify it by your command. Since the U.N. made it, only the U.N. can determine it being violated (which they have) AND moot. Something they have NEVER done. You'll notice how 1441 recalls 687 as well in its preamble. Oh, and it does go forward to mention this little tidbit as well:

"REAFFIRMING the commitment of all member states to the sovereignty and territorial integrity of

Iraq, Kuwait, and the neighboring states,"

Oh, and don't try and use the Article 51 clause, because that doesn't work either. Since America and it's allies were working not indepentently in this operation, but as a compliment to the Kuwaiti force, and THROUGH the U.N. mind you, they have no independence in that context. That is, if you're attacked while carrying out the U.N.'s operations, that does not constitute a breach of article 51.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 21:33:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kofi Annan was the only person in the UN that called it illegal while the rest remained silent on the issue... And we all know how much Annan's word is worth when it comes to anything in this world, he's a pathological liar.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Jecht on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 22:20:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Truthfully, I would lobby for Clinton if it meant Kofi was taking a hike. And Im not exactly the biggest Clinton supporter.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 22:50:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I really couldn't care less if Kofi Annan said it were legal, either.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Crimson on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 02:17:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Putting an American in that role, no matter what party, would not help anti-Americanism.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 02:24:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Javaxcxl really couldn't care less if Kofi Annan said it were legal, either.

Even though he's the secretary-general of the UN, but you peg the Bush administration for saying things you dislike... Go go Gadget contradictiveness!

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 03:04:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I haven't "pegged" the President on anything he's said. Bush's "foreign policy" is the only problem I seem to have with your President, and I could care less about whatever else he says.

Subject: Survival kits? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 31 Jan 2005 03:04:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:Crystal ball There's so many things I've got to know Crystal ball There's so many things I need to know Crystal ball

Crystal ball There's so many things I've got to know Crystal ball There's so many things I need to know Crystal ball

-STYX Crystal Ball

Page 37 of 37 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums