Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets..
Posted by conFuZor on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 04:47:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.rumorsontheinternets.com/ :thumbsup:

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets..
Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 04:49:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Picking at mispronouncing things is getting old. It has absolutely nothing to do with the substance of his arguments.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets.. Posted by Toolstyle on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 14:14:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I totally agree with you, however, picking at other people's spelling and grammatical errors have nothing to do with arguments you are in yet you still do it.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets..

Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 15:52:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's irrelevant to this thread.

Common fallacies of logic and rhetoric:

Ad hominem - attacking the arguer and not the argument.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets.. Posted by Toolstyle on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 16:02:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerThat's irrelevant to this thread.

Common fallacies of logic and rhetoric:

Ad hominem - attacking the arguer and not the argument.

It wasn't an attack, I agreed with your point of veiw first, I just make a side comment that you do the same thing in other arguments, like wher you told a German to speak proper English when it isn't his primary language.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets..
Posted by Aircraftkiller on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 17:03:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerThat's irrelevant to this thread.

Common fallacies of logic and rhetoric:

Ad hominem - attacking the arguer and not the argument.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets.. Posted by Hydra on Tue, 19 Oct 2004 19:36:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Toolstylepicking at other people's spelling and grammatical errors have nothing to do with arguments you are in yet you still do it.

Option A: "I don't think Kerry would be a good president."

Option B: "i dont tink keri wud b a good presdent"

Which option looks more like it was written by an intelligent person who knows about what he is talking?

Anything and everything you write on any internet forum is judged at first by your grammar. Your arguments are completely worthless if you can't take the time to construct them using simple rules of grammar.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets.. Posted by Toolstyle on Wed, 20 Oct 2004 18:11:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

@Hvdra45

However if somebody does not speak/write English as their primary language then they can't be expected to speak/write in perfect English.

@ACK

Quoting yourself was irrelevant at that point, I looked up Ad hominem and it means saying another person's point is irrelevant due to the character of the person or the job of the person, for example, if a Priest says "Abortion is wrong" and gives reasons then another person replies with "You're a priest so you have to say that" so it was irrelevant the first time you wrote it as well. If I'd said "You attack people for using poor grammar and spelling so you are wrong for

would have had relevance, but I didn't I agreed with you and just added a side note that you so a similar thing.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets... Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 20 Oct 2004 21:55:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hydra1945Which option looks more like it was written by an intelligent person who knows about what he is talking?

Neither.

Subject: I hear there's rumors on the internets.. Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 21 Oct 2004 02:31:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngihydra1945Which option looks more like it was written by an intelligent person who knows about what he is talking?

Neither.

Funny, but we'll see who's laughing on election day.