

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 17:39:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You left IRC before I could dig this up:

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1116962/posts>

This is the memo issued by Jamie Gorelick that put up a wall between the FBI and CIA and was a huge part of the reason we couldn't connect the dots for 9/11... the FBI had information about the flight schools and such, and the CIA had information from abroad... but since they couldn't collaborate effectively, we were never able to connect the pieces and prevent 9/11.

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 18:15:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Demonicpieceofcrapts versus Republicydisgustingcans, CHOSE YOUR SIDE!

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 19:30:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jamie Gorelick didn't stop the intelligence agencies from talking to each other. Here's the real story:

It all started with John Ashcroft going in front of the 9/11 Commision. Here's what Salon has to say:

Salon.comBlustering denials might not be sufficient to suppress such bad publicity. So while the attorney general pompously assured the commissioners that their work "can serve a noble purpose," he sought to intimidate them by launching a broadside against the Clinton administration, in the form of a bitter personal attack on former deputy attorney general Jamie Gorelick. His tool was a hastily declassified 1995 Justice Department memorandum, written by Gorelick, that limited communications between intelligence agents and criminal investigators. According to Ashcroft, that memo stopped the FBI and the CIA from apprehending the al-Qaida agents lurking in the U.S. during the "summer of threat" as they prepared for the Sept. 11 hijackings. He didn't explain why his own deputy attorney general, Larry Thompson, endorsed precisely the same 1995 guidelines on Aug. 6, 2001. Neither did the Wall Street Journal editorial page, which swiftly seconded Ashcroft's attack on Gorelick, whom it accused of a conflict of interest.

So, according, Ashcroft, the memo stopped the two agencies from talking to each other, but fails to say why his own deputy endorsed the same guidelines in 2001. There's a good reason for this memo, by the way... Back in the Nixon years, Nixon was using the CIA to spy on U.S. citizens, like

having agents infiltrate anti-war protest groups and such. That was very ugly. Thus, new rules were set down where the CIA wouldn't operate in the U.S. and the FBI wouldn't operate outside of the United States, for the most part. This got pretty nasty, since the agencies stopped sharing information with each other and became very territorial. So, Jamie Gorelick wrote a memo laying down the law of what the agencies can and cannot do, where they CAN share information with each other. That was never against the rules. This memo was helping to get information spread around. And during the Clinton administration, The head of the FBI and the head of the CIA, along with some other people, Sandy Berger included, I believe, sat around a table every week and discussed things, mainly terrorism. This never happened during the Bush administration. Trying to pin this on Jamie Gorelick is just an attempted smear by Ashcroft that some people caught on to.

If the Clintons had been in office for 4 more years, 9/11 would probably have been prevented, seeing how in the Bush administration the head of the CIA and FBI didn't meet with the President every single week to discuss mostly terrorism.

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 20:47:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why do you retards keep blaming Clinton, Bush, The CIA, or THE FBI?

What about 6'4" Arab motherfucker who said he did it?

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 20:54:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, yeah, Osama is the root of this evil, but there will always be people like him in this world, and we must be able to defend against them. And to be able to defend against such threats, we need to always be on alert. It's not President Bush's fault for 9/11, but it is his fault for not accurately dealing with the threat.

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 21:15:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He blew them the fuck up, did you want him to give them cupcakes or something?

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Vitaminus](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:41:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nodbugger: Make us happy, CUT YOUR FINGERS!

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 23:03:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

AprimeNodbugger: Make us happy, CUT YOUR FINGERS!

Why would I listen to someone from a country who has beavers on their money?

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 23:10:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Because beavers are wise and they don't sign you up to child porn newsletters?

(I ALMOST FORGOT! Beavers are smarter than you.)

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 00:55:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

lol I have nothing to contribute to this post, but I couldnt stop laughing at

SuperFlyingLiberal...

Kudos Crimson, Kudos... lol

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 01:02:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think if Nixon were abusing the power of the CIA, it would be more prudent to punish Nixon rather than the agency carrying out his wishes.

Heck, Chuck Colson looked at one FBI file and spent 7 months in prison under Nixon, and yet "someone" looked at thousands of these files under Clinton and yet no one is punished.

You can watch whether there was a wall or not by watching detective movies and seeing them argue about jurisdiction.

---

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Steppo](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 03:21:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle  
SuperFlyingLiberal...

/me is proud to be a Crimservative.

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 06:43:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Me too

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 08:23:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wow, this thread's title sure is catchy and mature :rolleyes:

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Fabian](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 11:54:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It takes a special kind of mind to throw "Liberal" at the end of something. So, stfu.

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 17:36:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sorry, I forgot you hate to be labeled for what you are... it's an insult. I'm happy to be CrimServative.

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Pak](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 02:17:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SUPERFLYINGENGI YOU GOD FORSAKEN LIBERAL!

VOTE BUSH AND VOTE FOR YOUR REPUBLICAN SENATOR, REP, OR GOVERNOR  
COMING NOV 2

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 02:23:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

PakSUPERFLYINGENGI YOU GOD FORSAKEN LIBERAL!

VOTE BUSH AND VOTE FOR YOUR REPUBLICAN SENATOR, REP, OR GOVERNOR  
COMING NOV 2  
have a little too much coffee?

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [U927](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 02:55:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ignore him, he's a tard.

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 07:53:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ya... that doesnt make much sense. How can they be offended if you call them a liberal? Call me Conservative... Call me Conservative... Call me Conservative... Call me Conservative... Call me Crimservative even! It's all good to me. I would think they'd like being called a liberal as much as we do Conservatives...

Hey Man!

\*Fuh!\* \*Pshaw\* Well! I delcare! \*gufaw\* I have never been so insulted as to be labled 'MAN'... Well I called you man cause... your frankly not a chick...

Same deal. Prolly. It might not be. It's late so this post has about a 50% chance of making sense.

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 10:18:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unless the word "Liberal" automatically implies that you sp00jed in the White House, too.

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Steppo](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:20:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No, I believe that's what they call "Getting liberal". Then again, I'd just call it "Getting head" but that's ok. It's coming from a president who asked for the definition of the word "is".

---

Subject: For SuperFlyingLiberal...  
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 17:23:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I wonder if that explains Cheney's uptightness. I'd bet he hasn't gotten Liberal in a long time, eh?

Oh, and this is just a joke. Nothing more.

---