Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nodbugger on Wed, 04 Aug 2004 21:36:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.kerryoniraq.com/

He lies every chance he gets!

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Fabian on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 03:57:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What a reliable and proffesional news source :rolleyes:.

I stick to unbiased sources, thanks.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Hydra on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 05:30:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEALI stick to unbiased sources, thanks. How can you use an unbiased source when it doesn't exist?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Crimson on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 07:06:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEALWhat a reliable and proffesional news source :rolleyes:.

I stick to unbiased sources, thanks.

Which source would that be?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by NHJ BV on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 07:24:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sources that do not exist primarily to bash someone?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy?

And here I thought the GOP was here to run the country...

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Fabian on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 12:04:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NHJ BVSources that do not exist primarily to bash someone?

What he said. For the same reason, I take "Fahrenheit 9/11" with a grain of salt.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by NHJ BV on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 13:07:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEALNHJ BVSources that do not exist primarily to bash someone?

What he said. For the same reason, I take "Fahrenheit 9/11" with a grain of salt.

Indeed.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nodbugger on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 14:27:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They provide sources and video interviews.

How are they wrong?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 15:30:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonWhich source would that be?

Jim Lehrer's News Hour. On that news show, they actually bring in interesting people to talk about interesting things, not like FOX News where they always bring some Republican on to talk about what John Kerry did today is very bad, very bad.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nodbugger on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 15:51:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiCrimsonWhich source would that be?

Jim Lehrer's News Hour. On that news show, they actually bring in interesting people to talk about interesting things, not like FOX News where they always bring some Republican on to talk about what John Kerry did today is very bad, very bad.

Have you ever watched FOX?

Almost all of their guests have opposing view points.

They bring in experts to explain situations.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by MrBob on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 16:29:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CNN and Fox News are both biased, deal with it.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Crimson on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 18:38:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There is one unbiased news source. That's C-SPAN.

Fahrenheit 9/11 was indeed filled with footage and sources, but the footage is usually edited or cut-off to change the intended meaning of the deliverer.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 18:48:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nodbugger

Have you ever watched FOX?

Almost all of their guests have opposing view points.

They bring in experts to explain situations.

Yes, I have. And every time, I have to turn it off in disgust. It's not news. Have you seen Hannity

and Colmes? Colmes looks like a skeleton and never proves Hannity wrong when he starts lying, and they bring fools on ot the show.

Like, they'll be replaying Bush's new campaign commercial over and over again and discussing how good it is blah blah blah.

FOX Sucks. It's not news, it's entertainment.

Crimson - I actually enjoyed watching the Democratic Convention on C-SPAN. It's kind of nice to just be able to watch events without having talking heads tell you what to think, whether it be left or right.

I think the real message F9/11 should get across is that war is horrible, and we should only go if absolutely necessary. The graphic footage Moore added really showed that war is not a happy happy joy joy affair.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nodbugger on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 20:47:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nobody ever said it was a happy happy joy joy affair.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 20:50:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

President Bush wantss to make it seem like this is a largely bloodless war, doing things like not allow cameras to view the coffins of dead soldiers being returned from Iraq.

Perhaps happy happy joy joy was an overstatement, but this administration is trying to cover up the real cost of the war.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nodbugger on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 21:32:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiPresident Bush wantss to make it seem like this is a largely bloodless war, doing things like not allow cameras to view the coffins of dead soldiers being returned from Iraq.

Perhaps happy happy joy joy was an overstatement, but this administration is trying to cover up the real cost of the war.

Coffins have never been allowed to be photographed.

How has has he made it seem like a bloodless war?

What has he said to make you think that?

From every speech he has said there has been a line somewhere along the lines of "This will be a long hard battle."

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Doitle on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 00:17:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think this has been a pretty bloodless war. I'm pretty sure that I read SOMEWHERE that more people died in WW2... Although that just might be my biased Republican News Sources acting up again, right?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 01:10:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We've lost over 900 U.S. Soldiers in Iraq. That's not bloodless. It's not close to bloodless. In World War II, those casualties were terrible, but they had to occur to end the Nazi scourge over Europe. Iraq isn't really a scourge over anything, since they knew we would come kick their ass again if they invaded another country. It's not killing their army that hurts our guys, its occupying their cities and fighting guerilla warriors.

NodbuggerCoffins have never been allowed to be photographed.

Completely and utterly wrong.

NodbuggerHow has has he made it seem like a bloodless war?

By not allowing coffins to be photographed, among other things.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Hydra on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 01:58:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiYes, I have. And every time, I have to turn it off in disgust. It's not news. Have you seen Hannity and Colmes? Colmes looks like a skeleton and never proves Hannity wrong when he starts lying, and they bring fools on ot the show.

Quote: I think the real message F9/11 should get across is that war is horrible, and we should only go if absolutely necessary. The graphic footage Moore added really showed that war is not a happy happy joy joy affair.

Now I get it! It's okay to lie if your intent is to stop a war (oh, and if your name is Michael Moore).

Name just one factually incorrect statement (that means there are facts to prove the statement incorrect, so don't go saying "He said there were WMDs in Iraq, and that's not true!" because there are no facts to prove such a statement false) that Sean Hannity has not corrected himself for.

Quote:President Bush wantss to make it seem like this is a largely bloodless war, doing things like not allow cameras to view the coffins of dead soldiers being returned from Iraq. Firstly, it is largely a bloodless war since only 900 men have died out of 100,000 men stationed there. That's 0.9% of all men stationed there who have died. It's more bloodless than bloodful. Secondly, I remember seeing a coffin with an American flag on it being taken out of an airplane that held the body of someone who died in Iraq on Fox News, so how can he not allow cameras to view coffins when he DID allow them to view the coffins?

EDIT: Apparently SuperFlyingEngi isn't the only one mad at Fox News. As told in this article, some Democrats have written a letter to Rupert Murdoch whining about the "bias" on Fox news. Read the article. It's a good read.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nodbugger on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 02:21:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Actually a little more 130,000 are in Iraq. With another 75,000 in the Area, Along with 12.000 in Afghanistan.

And photographing coffins have always been shunned upon.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Hydra on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 02:25:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NodbuggerActually a little more 130,000 are in Iraq. With another 75,000 in the Area, Along with 12.000 in Afghanistan.

And photographing coffins have always been shunned upon. Ah, so the percentage is even lower than 0.9%! You shouldn't be complaining that it's so high, Super, you should be celebrating that it's so low!

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by NHJ BV on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 06:30:34 GMT Don't forget the approximately 12000 Iraqi civilians that have died so far.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Hydra on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 06:57:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's only 0.02% of the Iraqi population. How many more people died in Iraq when Saddam was still in power and people were snatched from out of their homes during the middle of the night, rounded up in a single place, and massacred and buried in mass graves?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by NHJ BV on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 14:14:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If the US would invade any country which was killing civilians they would have quite a job for the next few centuries. And I'm trying to find the numbers, but my newspaper's site (there was an article about it a while ago) isn't very cooperative.

EDIT and just because they're "only" 0.02% of the population doesn't make it any less bad.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 14:16:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hydra1945

Now I get it! It's okay to lie if your intent is to stop a war (oh, and if your name is Michael Moore).

Moore wasn't lying when he added footage of war scenes and war injuries.

SuperFlyingEngiName just one factually incorrect statement (that means there are facts to prove the statement incorrect, so don't go saying "He said there were WMDs in Iraq, and that's not true!" because there are no facts to prove such a statement false) that Sean Hannity has not corrected himself for.

Everything they said about Saddam having nuclear weapons was factually incorrect. They were using intelligence from other countries while our own CIA was telling them that the intelligence was a forgery.

hydra1945Firstly, it is largely a bloodless war since only 900 men have died out of 100,000 men stationed there. That's 0.9% of all men stationed there who have died. It's more bloodless than bloodful. Secondly, I remember seeing a coffin with an American flag on it being taken out of an airplane that held the body of someone who died in Iraq on Fox News, so how can he not allow

cameras to view coffins when he DID allow them to view the coffins?

Hydra, blood should not be measured in what percent of our people died, but how many of them died. We've lost over 900 soldiers to a lie war. That's unacceptable.

And we're just going to keep on losing more, since we can't just leave, because the current administration has no real plan for fixing Iraq. Sovereign government, sovereign government, but when will we pull our troops out? Probably not for years, because we can't without having Iraq be destroyed.

There are pictures of coffins because one reporter snuck a camera in and took pictures. She got in some trouble for it, but there was nothing wrong about the photographs.

hydra1945EDIT: Apparently SuperFlyingEngi isn't the only one mad at Fox News. As told in this article, some Democrats have written a letter to Rupert Murdoch whining about the "bias" on Fox news. Read the article. It's a good read.

That entire article is bullshit. "squealing like babies?" Boortz is a moron. I suggest you stop reading his online articles and pretending they are news.

NodbuggerAnd photographing coffins have always been shunned upon.

So now you're changing your story from "coffins were never allowed to be photographed" to it being shunned upon? Do you even know what you're talking about?

hydra1945Ah, so the percentage is even lower than 0.9%! You shouldn't be complaining that it's so high, Super, you should be celebrating that it's so low!

I'd be celebrating if we hadn't lost a single soldier.

hydra1945That's only 0.02% of the Iraqi population. How many more people died in Iraq when Saddam was still in power and people were snatched from out of their homes during the middle of the night, rounded up in a single place, and massacred and buried in mass graves?

Mass graves are a lie. They don't exist. They never did. Only about 5,000 Iraqis died during Saddam's regime. And last week, the number came out that the number of Iraqi civilians killed is more like 36,000. But, SURPRISE, this administration hasn't released any numbers about casualties itself.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by NHJ BV on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 14:20:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There are some mass graves, just not to the extent it was previously thought to be.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy?

Where?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Hydra on Fri, 06 Aug 2004 14:46:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/27000.htm http://www.hrw.org/press/2003/05/iraq051303.htm http://www.shianews.com/hi/articles/politics/0000374.php http://www.usaid.gov/iraq/legacyofterror.html http://www.npr.org/news/specials/iraq2003/joyce_030514.html http://www.foxnews.com/story/0%2C2933%2C105070%2C00.html

Still think they don't exist?

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by warranto on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 03:30:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ah... bash.org can be suck a collection of intellect sometimes

jdayish: what do you think of bush and kerry perfectblue_halo: the movie trailer for Aliens Versus Predator comes to mind... "Whoever wins, we lose."

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Gizbotvas on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 15:27:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonAnd here I thought the GOP was here to run the country...

And Here I thought the GOP was here to RUIN the country.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by AlostSOul on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 21:09:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngihydra1945

Now I get it! It's okay to lie if your intent is to stop a war (oh, and if your name is Michael Moore).

Moore wasn't lying when he added footage of war scenes and war injuries.

SuperFlyingEngiName just one factually incorrect statement (that means there are facts to prove the statement incorrect, so don't go saying "He said there were WMDs in Iraq, and that's not true!" because there are no facts to prove such a statement false) that Sean Hannity has not corrected himself for.

Everything they said about Saddam having nuclear weapons was factually incorrect. They were using intelligence from other countries while our own CIA was telling them that the intelligence was a forgery.

hydra1945Firstly, it is largely a bloodless war since only 900 men have died out of 100,000 men stationed there. That's 0.9% of all men stationed there who have died. It's more bloodless than bloodful. Secondly, I remember seeing a coffin with an American flag on it being taken out of an airplane that held the body of someone who died in Iraq on Fox News, so how can he not allow cameras to view coffins when he DID allow them to view the coffins?

Hydra, blood should not be measured in what percent of our people died, but how many of them died. We've lost over 900 soldiers to a lie war. That's unacceptable. And we're just going to keep on losing more, since we can't just leave, because the current administration has no real plan for fixing Iraq. Sovereign government, sovereign government, but when will we pull our troops out? Probably not for years, because we can't without having Iraq be destroyed.

There are pictures of coffins because one reporter snuck a camera in and took pictures. She got in some trouble for it, but there was nothing wrong about the photographs.

hydra1945EDIT: Apparently SuperFlyingEngi isn't the only one mad at Fox News. As told in this article, some Democrats have written a letter to Rupert Murdoch whining about the "bias" on Fox news. Read the article. It's a good read.

That entire article is bullshit. "squealing like babies?" Boortz is a moron. I suggest you stop reading his online articles and pretending they are news.

NodbuggerAnd photographing coffins have always been shunned upon.

So now you're changing your story from "coffins were never allowed to be photographed" to it being shunned upon? Do you even know what you're talking about?

hydra1945Ah, so the percentage is even lower than 0.9%! You shouldn't be complaining that it's so high, Super, you should be celebrating that it's so low!

I'd be celebrating if we hadn't lost a single soldier.

hydra1945That's only 0.02% of the Iraqi population. How many more people died in Iraq when Saddam was still in power and people were snatched from out of their homes during the middle of the night, rounded up in a single place, and massacred and buried in mass graves?

Mass graves are a lie. They don't exist. They never did. Only about 5,000 Iraqis died during Saddam's regime. And last week, the number came out that the number of Iraqi civilians killed is more like 36,000. But, SURPRISE, this administration hasn't released any numbers about casualties itself.

Sorry, your incorrect about our intellegence. Saddam was playing "hide the missle" from Bush, using mobile SCUD launchers. The intellegence does not show these SCUDs because the time the recon pictures were taken, Iraq was having a sand storm.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Sat, 14 Aug 2004 02:13:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Um.....what the hell are you talking about?

We couldn't know about SCUD launchers because we there was a sand storm in Iraq? Clarify, please.

Oh, and you didn't have to quote that entire big post just to respond to one little thing.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Gizbotvas on Mon, 16 Aug 2004 20:00:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NodbuggerCoffins have never been allowed to be photographed.

How has has he made it seem like a bloodless war?

You are wrong.

"White House officials say the administration is strictly enforcing a policy that has been in place since the Persian Gulf War of 1991." http://www.cnn.com/2004/ALLPOLITICS/04/23/bush.caskets/

George Bush Senior created that policy to make the Gulf War seem like a casualty-free action. Personally, I find the policy UnAmerican and UnDemocratic.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by knight1b on Sun, 22 Aug 2004 01:32:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am just going to say this . You can not denie kerrys actions and own words no matter how much

you want to . In the end your only fooling your self. The guy flip flops on important things so much its rediculious do we realy want this guy in the white house in a time when we need a strong leader that is able to make a choice and then stick with it? I think not if you pick the person who is best suited to lead us at this time then i would have to say that person is bush.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by cowmisfit on Sun, 22 Aug 2004 01:49:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEALWhat a reliable and proffesional news source :rolleyes:.

I stick to unbiased sources, thanks.

OOOO So you mean you get your info from sitse like moveon.org that compares our president to hitler and people like michel moore who look like tehy've never showererd or been taught any commen sense :rolleyes: Liberals, you can never talk any sense into them.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 22 Aug 2004 02:03:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The right is no easier to talk to than the left. You may think they are, but you're sorely mistaken.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by cowmisfit on Sun, 22 Aug 2004 02:13:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know what you mean however, i do think the left is quicker to attack rather than actually think about what there saying. If they would listen to what we say then they would have some commen sense and not be against the war in iraq, they wouldn't be comparing our president to satan and hitler, they wouldn't be trying to get a nut job flip flop like kerry into the presidincy.

However i do understand that you must have democrats and republics for there to be a democracy, and we need both. I agree and dissagree with issues on both sides, but i'd say 90% of the time i agree with Right wing views more because of the way i have been raised and the things i belive.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 22 Aug 2004 02:19:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitl know what you mean however, i do think the left is quicker to attack rather than actually

think about what there saying. If they would listen to what we say then they would have some commen sense and not be against the war in iraq, they wouldn't be comparing our president to satan and hitler, they wouldn't be trying to get a nut job flip flop like kerry into the presidincy.

First off: Not everyone who disagrees with the war is a liberal. I don't support the war because it was illegal. But that certainly doesn't make me a liberal.

You have to understand: with a Republican in office, you HAVE to expect the left to criticize everything he will do. If it were a Democrat in office, the positions would be completely switched and nothing would be any different save the people who are labelled "cynical".

As far as the whole "democrat vs. republic" thing goes, you should commend the valor and haste of your opponents to be able to point out possible flaws in your actions before, during, and after you do them. Not all the criticism is destructive, although it is often taken that way.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by NukeIt15 on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 01:52:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: You have to understand: with a Republican in office, you HAVE to expect the left to criticize everything he will do. If it were a Democrat in office, the positions would be completely switched and nothing would be any different save the people who are labelled "cynical".

Couldn't have said it better myself- wait, I HAVE said it myself. Some people just can't accept that sometimes both sides are just as screwed up.

I was absolutely 100% pro war- I still am. However, I dislike how it has been handled- more specifically, I think the media has been allowed to report on stories that, frankly, should not be common knowledge. It has been my opinion for some time that the war would be going a lot more smoothly if reporters were told from the start that they were not permitted to report on certain events, persons, or places. For example, allowing a reporter to travel along with troops going into battle- live on international television the whole time. There are some things in this war that I feel I and every other US civilian should not have known about.

Continuing on the media thing, I disagree with how war reports are handled. Every time there are casualties, all the major networks gobble it up, stamp out a flashy title, and report it as "the worst attack since(insert date here)." Reports like that can, and do, give people false impressions about what is really going on over there- from the way the war is reported, nobody would even know it if we're winning. Hell, even the victories are reported as if they were failures- "US forces bombed (insert location here), locals are protesting." Nevermind the cache of weapons, or insurgent hideout, or whatever that the attack was directed at; positive reports don't get ratings.

That's what I think is fucking up this country. Free press is wonderful, but I think the media is mistaking free press for absolute power to do whatever the fuck they want. National security and the right to privacy are taking a backseat to ratings.

Quote:However i do understand that you must have democrats and republics for there to be a

democracy, and we need both.

Wrong. The last thing this country needs are more people who believe there are only two sides to an issue.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by knight1b on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 02:59:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They didnt find wmd omg omg Bush must have lied to us omg ! Honestly its not the first time the goverments made a mistake so get over it. Can you honestly say that Kerry would have done any better had he been in the same place as Bush ? The answer is no you cant so please please please if this is your bigest statement to go on for voteing Bush out and Kerry in do the world a favor dig a hole and never come out again.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Hydra on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 04:43:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

knight1bThey didnt find wmd omg omg Bush must have lied to us omg ! Honestly its not the first time the governments made a mistake so get over it. Oh, it was no mistake. They found weapons of mass destruction, and they still have a lot left to search (do any of you have any idea how easy it is to hide a couple thousand liters of anthrax agent in a freakin sand desert the size of California?).

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 10:33:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Which weapons have they found? A couple Sarin Gas canisters? Those are not weapons of mass destruction. They're weapons of

it's-been-a-whole-year-but-finally-something-with-chemicals-in-it-exploded-in-Iraq. I can't see how we've been occupying Iraq for a year and still have a lot left to search.

And knight, I can safely assume that John Kerry won't lie about going to war with another country that we should not be going to war with.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 13:09:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Javaxcx

I don't support the war because it was illegal.

Tell me how going after someone who aided the murder of 3000+ Dead american citizians is illegal??? Or by going after a son of a bitch who threatens us with weapons of mass destruction for 16+ years and used them on his people and threats to use against his neigbor nations is illegle? Tell that load of bullshit to the family's who know those who died on 9/11, im sure they'll understand. :rolleyes:

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by ViperFUD on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 13:41:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfit

Tell me how going after someone who aided the murder of 3000+ Dead american citizians is illegal??? Or by going after a son of a bitch who threatens us with weapons of mass destruction for 16+ years and used them on his people and threats to use against his neigbor nations is illegle?

There are mass murderers in prison. Would it be legal for me to walk in there and put a bullet in one of their heads?

A drug dealer downtown is dangerous. Can I drive-by his ass? Is that legal?

hint: morally right != legal

cowmisfitTell that load of bullshit to the family's who know those who died on 9/11, im sure they'll understand. :rolleyes:

Cause Saddam had so MUCH to do with 9/11 ... oh, wait.

We've already got a dumbass arguing your point of view (nodbugger). And this knight1b idiot looks like he wants to jump in and get pwned too. Don't add your own idiocy to the mess,

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 14:07:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Don't tell me what to do you nub, i don't have to listen to you, im not your bitch and your not my master.

Your a jackass for even comparing 9/11 to a drug dealer or murder in prision, just a plain jackass.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Nukelt15 on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 14:25:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: I can safely assume that John Kerry won't lie about going to war with another country that we should not be going to war with.

Careful there, engi. Assumptions have this nasty habit of getting people stuck with choices that, in hindsight, they realize they should have thought about more. If you're so uninformed about your candidate that you must make assumptions about him, should you really be supporting him? Or should you instead try to find out more about Kerry as a person, and figure out what he'll really do in office. I certainly hope you're not naive enough to beleive that a politician will keep all his promises when the election is over- Bush didn't, nor did Clinton, or Bush the elder, or Reagan, or Carter, or anyone else before them.

I originally supported Bush. I read up on him a bit, paid close attention to his actions in office... now I realize that neither Bush nor Kerry would be my first choice for President.

Uninformed voting is worse than not voting at all- you might put someone into office who you really don't want running the country.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by ViperFUD on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 15:02:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitDon't tell me what to do you nub, i don't have to listen to you, im not your bitch and your not my master.

Alright, cocksucker, lets dance.

First, as you seem to have a poor grasp of the English language, here's a quick fix to your statement:

cowmisfitDon't tell me what to do, you nub; I don't have to listen to you. I'm not your bitch and you're not my master.

Now, by "nub" I assume you mean "noob" and are just an idiot. And while I'm not your master, you just made yourself my bitch.

You came into an argument that was already underway, you spouted some stupid drivel and then seem surprised when you're told to go fuck off? First, you didn't even bother to read anything that was written by other people, or you would have known that we've already established that: a. It was illegal, and

b. Just cause Saddam was bad, that doesn't make it right.

Now, perhaps the trouble here again lies with your (obviously) poor grasp of the english language; due, no doubt, to the fact you didn't pay attention in school because your anus was so sore from your father fucking you at night.

Be that as it may, I'm moving on to what I will generously call your "next point."

cowmisfitYour a jackass for even comparing 9/11 to a drug dealer or murder in prision, just a plain jackass.

Interesting. What would you call killing a bunch of people? Cause I would call it "mass murder." Or is it your opinion that the rules can change based on how"big" of an offense it is?

If a person only kills 10 people, the police have to capture him, but if he kills 20, can they then bomb his house? Can I?

Apparently, bitch, you fail to grasp a simple, yet potent, analogy. Welcome to the world of being an adult. Just cause you want something to happen does not make doing it legal.

Now, as I know you probably either didn't read or didn't understand what I wrote, the obligatory flame:

You're a little piece of shit. You're an idiot, and worse than that, you're an idiot who thinks we care about what you think.

Guess what, we don't.

In fact, we only respond to you in the hopes that you will recognize your idiocy for what it is and decide to kill yourself in an inventive way (I suggest wrapping piano wire in a sliding noose around your neck, tying it to a chimney, and jumping off a roof; hanging, and perhaps even decapitating, yourself) so that we can gain some amusement from your otherwise pointless and annyoing existance.

However, as the odds for that are slim, I will at least gain some small measure of satisfaction from knowing that not only do you have to continue your sad and meaningless life, but you also cry yourself to sleep at night, trying not to hurt your bruised and bleeding anus anymore than it already is after being ravaged by whoever decided to recently make you his bitch.

Fuck you, die.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by cowmisfit on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 15:20:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You see, i have no care for what a n00b like yourself has to say. As for killing myself, not gonna happen im not gonna take the easy way out ever, ecpecially because of waht some fucktard that lives a thousand miles away says.

I think by telling me all of that, your only confessing things taht you feel and do, are you reaching out for help young man??

You just took this topic completly off course from polotics, to urging me to die and commit anal sex acts, thats kinda funny.

Everyone does not feel that way about me, im sure quite a few hate me, because i am a cockey ego drivin son bitch and i know it But i am not a little bitch and will back down or give out people as someone of your character would. I may call people assholes and such, but i would never tell someone to do something like kill them selves, thats just wrong and out of line. If you respect me, i respect you and will be one of the nicest person you could ever know, if you dis respect me and think your gonna get by with calling me a name , i'll be the meanist son of a bitch in the world.

May god have mercy on your soal after what you just said.

P.S: nub, n00b, noob all same thing, your a moron just for pointing that out. :rolleyes:

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by ViperFUD on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 17:32:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alright, bitch, let's take a look at this post. I've taken the liberty of highlighting and underlining examples of your fucktardedness; I hope you appreciate it.

cowmisfitYou see, i have no care for what a n00b like yourself has to say. As for killing myself, not gonna happen im not gonna take the easy way out ever, ecpecially because of waht some fucktard that lives a thousand miles away says.

Are you sure? I really hope you'll reconsider.

cowmisfitl think by telling me all of that, your only confessing things taht you feel and do, are you reaching out for help young man??

Yes, it's true. I'm confessing. I was there when your daddy raped you in your ass. I was busy burning your teddy bear though, so I'm surprised you remembered me.

cowmisfitYou just took this topic completly off course from polotics, to urging me to die and commit anal sex acts, thats kinda funny.

Actually, I was only urging you to commit suicide. I wasn't urging you to "commit anal sex," I was just commenting on your experiences in it.

cowmisfitEveryone does not feel that way about me, im sure quite a few hate me, because i am a cockey ego drivin son bitch and i know it

... Although, you have very little to be egotistical about ...

cowmisfitBut i am not a little bitch and will back down ...

Good. Now back down.

cowmisfit ... or give out people as someone of your character would. I may call people assholes

and such, but i would never tell someone to do something like kill them selves, thats just wrong and out of line. If you respect me,

Nope.

cowmisfiti respect you and will be one of the nicest person you could ever know, if you dis respect me and think your gonna get by with calling me a name, i'll be the meanist son of a bitch in the world.

Bring it, bitch. You gonna be mean to me? Oh, I'm shaking in my boots.

cowmisfitMay god have mercy on your soal after what you just said.

P.S: nub, n00b, noob all same thing, your a moron just for pointing that out. :rolleyes:

And you're a moron for ending all your posts with a eye-rolling smiley face.

I am sick and tired of idiots like you thinking that just because they are breathing they have the right to inflict their opinion on us. This is America; you can have your opinion, but keep it to yourself. Once you learn to spell and use proper grammar, then perhaps I'll take what you have more seriously.

But I doubt it. Your opinions, like your posts, show that you have put such little time and thought into them that to even entertain the notion that they might be valid actually lowers my IQ. Fortunately, I had a few spare points that I wasn't really using at the time (yay, Ohio!) so I was able to read it.

The drivel you (and others) continue to claim is not just a valid opinion, but is actually "TEH TROOF NO AN OPONION!!!11" has finally wearied me enough that I am no longer attempting to correct you.

Instead, I'm just requesting that you have a Coke, a smile, and shut the fuck up. Sit down, shut up, and let those of us with the capacity for higher thought debate the issues.

Bush may not be the smartest person to ever sit in the Oval Office, but you make him look like a regular Einstein.

Enjoy your miserable life, bitch.

Subject: And people want to vote for this guy? Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 24 Aug 2004 10:36:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cowmisfitJavaxcx

I don't support the war because it was illegal.

Tell me how going after someone who aided the murder of 3000+ Dead american citizians is illegal??? Or by going after a son of a bitch who threatens us with weapons of mass destruction for 16+ years and used them on his people and threats to use against his neigbor nations is

illegle? Tell that load of bullshit to the family's who know those who died on 9/11, im sure they'll understand. :rolleyes:

You know, a good friend of mine once said that Liberals are known to be more emotional, and that Republicans are known to be logical thinkers. You, my simple "I see the world in two shades" friend, could not be more of a liberal by that definition.

I want to make something very clear to you, and everyone else who seems to think that this world is governed by "what you think is right": YOUR MORALITY DOES NOT ABSOLVE YOU FROM THE LAW.

Saddam Hussein had absolutely nothing to do with September 11th. No one could make the validated conclusion before 19 March 2003, and no one can make that claim now. The entire fucking point is utterly moot. If FOXnews told you otherwise, I highly suggest you start looking at other, or multiple news networks to corraborate.

I think you're going to realize that international law doesn't care what happened when Saddam said "omgf i h8 u guys i h8 u" in 1990. As for the Russian informant ordeal -- well, I guess you guys were played by the Kremlin, weren't you? Did you ever find all the imminent tools Iraq had planned on using on you? I mean, wouldn't FOXnews just divebomb on that? As for using weapons of mass destruction on the Kurds: What gives you the RIGHT, the LEGAL right to go and drop the mother of all coup d'etats on his nation without permission from the United Nations? Your constitution? HA. Show me where it says "In the case that a sovereignty uses military force on another sovereignty, we, the United States, are hereby given the exhaulted right to go and fuck them up and play God with their country and government".

Guess what kid. I don't give a rat's ass about the United States "international policy". As a Canadian, I'll recognize the actions of the United States on an international level in terms of the United Nations. Here's the clincher: Your president is a terrorist for what he did to Iraq. No, I'm not talking about all the humanitarian responsabilities he was OBLIGED to distributing. No way. He is a terrorist for his unlawful coersion of the Iraqi government -- however terrible it was--without explicit and direct permission from the United Nations. Like it or lump it kid, you guys signed the Charter, and so did Iraq. You're BOTH under those laws and nothing says in the Charter gives the United States the world to play with and the legal right to police it.

Oh, and just in case you forgot: Usama Bin Laden thought what he was going was good too. So did the 19 men in those planes. Take a wild guess who does too.