
Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:00:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fps of 85 on 1600*1200 High details renegade game.

How ?

Simple : <http://www.reborn.communityteam.de/renardin/forum/viewtopic.php?p=19060#19060>

Correction : 60 fps online (85 off-line)

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:02:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:07:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no what ? lol

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:09:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is that darkblade in that little pic?

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:18:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another one has been taken by Nvidia.

Jesus is crying.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [mripirate](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:13:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ATI and Nvidia are like Coke and Pepsi. The only people that have anything to gain from us choosing sides are the companies themselves.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [mahkra](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:20:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mrpirateATI and Nvidia are like Coke and Pepsi. The only people that have anything to gain from us choosing sides are the companies themselves.

I agree. Intel and AMD as well. Both are perfectly good choices...

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Dan](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:33:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm going for the X800 XT myself, mainly because of nvidia's poor performance compared to ATi in their FX series of cards. I have an FX 5200 at the moment, and my old GeForce 4 MX 440 SE on my older computer was better than this one in renegade. Also, I dont like the idea of the 6800 using a lot of power, and looking at benchmarks, ATi's X800 series are better at the moment, although future games may bring the 6800's up a bit. IMO

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [smwScott](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:41:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sweet graphics card.

Although to be honest, unless you have a lot of money to throw around I think it's kind of a waste. I have a Geforce 4 Ti4200 and it still runs everything, even Far Cry fine. It ran the Doom 3 Alpha at max graphics at 10-15FPS, so I'm sure it will be able to handle the final version just fine. I don't think there's any games that will start to use the capabilities of that card until Unreal 3.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Xtrm2Matt](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 22:10:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only WEIRD thing i see about the 6800 is that nVidia actually took the liberty to produced a SEPERATE card for the pipes, whereas ATI produced the same card, but disabled 4 pipes on different versions.

Apart from that, it's a good card. So stop your fucking bitching. :rolleyes:

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Ferhago](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 05:11:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You have a fairy for your wallpaper....

How appropriate

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [cokemaster](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 05:34:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

FerhagoYou have a fairy for your wallpaper....

How appropriate

Yeah I guess it has the likeness of Fairy of Dawn.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 05:44:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's not a background. He's running demo software that came with the card in the background.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [kopaka649](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 15:54:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nice card but how much power does that use? lol

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 16:26:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Getting that card for Renegade is overkill. I'm sure it's reassuring for other games though .

Subject: Re: FPS...

Posted by [Blazea58](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 17:01:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renardin6Fps of 85 on 1600*1200 High details renegade game.

Correction : 60 fps online (85 off-line)

Correction: there is no screenshot of you in renegade yet, so you cannot ask how, if you dont show lol.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Renardin6](#) on Sun, 25 Jul 2004 23:29:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well, after some a new setup of my card, I am not far from 700 fps on a offline map alone. But in game and with people, it becomes 150 or more or less...

And my result on 3d mark 03 last version is very good :

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [spreegem](#) on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 03:47:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You wouldn't mind tellign me where you live do you? Also could you leave the key to your house outside the door? I jsut want to borrow you video card for alittle while . . . Well, that beast of a card should run Doom 3 and HalfLife 2 Excelent, I just hope mine will. (ATI Radeon 9600 pro 256 mb ddr)

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [tooncy](#) on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 17:37:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TwA-Dan!m going for the X800 XT myself, mainly because of nvidia's poor performance compared to ATi in their FX series of cards. I have an FX 5200 at the moment, and my old GeForce 4 MX 440 SE on my older computer was better than this one in renegade. Also, I dont like the idea of the 6800 using a lot of power, and looking at benchmarks, ATi's X800 series are better at the moment, although future games may bring the 6800's up a bit. IMO

Actually, the 6800 is preforming better than the X800 in the Doom 3 benchmarks. The 6800 may be a bit better than the X800, but after buying this horrid piece of crap they call the 5200 FX, I'm never buying another Nvidia card again.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Dan](#) on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 18:06:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm more of a performance person, in other words I like performance over picture quality most of the time because I like a decent frame rate so that I have better aim. Although in some cases I like to have good quality. Other reasons that I am going for the X800 XT is because I'll probably have to upgrade my PSU, and I'd need more money for it which I don't have! Does the system info show your PSU rating? And what is the min PSU needed for the 6800?

I may well switch to the 6800U

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Xtrm2Matt](#) on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 19:01:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

FYI, For £220, you can get a "basic" 6800 (128mb, 12 pipe lines) that kicks the x800 XT's ass.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Alkaline](#) on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 19:13:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The best solution:

Buy X800 pro VIVO card (450 shipped check <http://www.pricewatch.com>)

download GIGABYTE X800 XT PE BIOS

Flash your x800 pro VIVO with x800 XT bios from GIGABYTE

Install latest ATI catalyst drivers

Violla you have a X800 XT PE graphics card with all 16 pipes enabled running at X800 XT PE speeds for 450.00 shipped

x800 pro VIVO is the same card as the x800 XT just has a bios that disables 4 pipelines.

BTW x800 XT is the fastest card in dx9 (NVIDIA is fastest in OPEN GL) and beats the NVIDIA ultra 6800. Plus it does not require a 480 watt powersupply, a 300 watt is all it needs. Check online benchmarks from anandtech.

Subject: FPS...

Posted by [Alkaline](#) on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 19:19:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://anandtech.com/video/showdoc.aspx?i=2113&p=4> Here Nvidia is faster
BUT HERE

<http://anandtech.com/video/showdoc.aspx?i=2113&p=4> ATI is faster
Here are some other benchmarks from Tom's hardware

<http://www20.graphics.tomshardware.com/graphic/20040723/his-04.html>

Newer games DX9 run faster on ATI then Nvidia.

here is the most trusted hardware site, please see. the nvidia card costs more takes more space, is louder and even runs slower.
