Subject: A*C*K? Posted by mahkra on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 00:40:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why has "A*C*K" been replaced with "Aircraftkiller" in all of my posts?

(without the *, of course. I had to include them so that it wouldn't be changed in this post as well.)

This is complete bullshit. I can't belive we're being censored like this.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 00:49:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Boy, sometimes I think you type just to see what you wrote...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by mahkra on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 00:50:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerBoy, sometimes I think you type just to see what you wrote...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Renx on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 01:15:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

haha, owned. Wow, they're making you spell things correctly!! WHAT A RIP!!1!

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 02:09:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just because says it's wrong, we have to listen to him? That's utter bullshit. People have been calling him that for as long as I can remember, and there just because he wants to be an anal person and decide it's not correct all of a sudden, doesn't mean we should be censored like this.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by YSLMuffins on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 02:18:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Hmm, well if it it spells it out that's just convenience.

If this goes any further, though, it'll take quite a big of personality away from this place.

Hmm, I've always called him ACK, the abbreviation in case this is altered. I don't know why you'd want to fight such a nickname, because it goes a long way back, and I don't see how it could be derogatory in anyway.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 02:21:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

just wants to have more control over these forums than he needs. I'm suprised Crimson went along with this...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 02:47:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think what you're doing is whining too much over something that's almost irrelevant. I prefer to be addressed by what my nickname is. I don't think you'd be too happy were people to refer to you as MORON all the time, regardless of what your name was.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by spreegem on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 02:52:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think ACK haxx0r3d Crimson's account and is trying to take over the whole Renegade forums community starting here! Ohh noes!!!!!!!!11111111 one one one one one

I think that was kind of silly that Crimson actually went along with that, I mean, what's the point at all, about %98 of the people Refer to ACK as AC*K (without the word filter audviousley) Now all we have to type is AC*K, great word filter, people will just add random letters, or characters either infront, or behind AC*K just so that they can type it like that. The word filters would be funny to mees with for an April fool's joke though, turn they into thems, skin to texture, Crimson to 1337357 W3b/\/45t73r 3V3R (1337est webmaster ever), turn AC*K or Aircraftkiller into Grammer Nazi!

EDIT: A few little problems I fixed up real quick. . .

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 02:56:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Right...but people never regularly called me Moron before. And Moron is an offensive term, whereas "" isn't. Me not wanting to be called "Moron" is a reasonable request. You not wanting to be called is just plain stupid.

It's the principle. I'd rather take the extra time to use my workaround than give in to your anal bullshit and have to write Aircraftkiller.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Imdgr8one on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 03:40:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This is bullshit...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by flyingfox on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 03:45:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, enough bullshit that you had to bump it back up past 5 other topics.. funny how minds work.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by msgtpain on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 04:24:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just because I believe that the-pits and renforums exist in a mutualistic environment, I feel it is only proper that the-pits offers to balance out this filtration by doing the exact opposite. Therefore, whenever the word Aircraftkiller is used on our forums, it will be filtered out and replaced with A C K.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Apache on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 04:29:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

msgtpainJust because I believe that the-pits and renforums exist in a mutualistic environment, I feel it is only proper that the-pits offers to balance out this filtration by doing the exact opposite. Therefore, whenever the word Aircraftkiller is used on our forums, it will be filtered out and replaced with A C K.

Hahaha, Awesome

The more I watch this forum, the more I realize that "aircraftkiller" is one of the biggest losers I've ever seen, lol

what makes you feel you NEED to call him ack?

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 08:58:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:The more I watch this forum, the more I realize that "aircraftkiller" is one of the biggest losers I've ever seen, lol

Eh, I don't know. You come across as a rather large one.

SEAL, I find "a*k" offensive because of the way it gets used quite often. "a*k a*k im coughing something up oh its just him again," etc... I have a nickname for a reason, and if you type "a*k" it just auto-completes it for you.

What could possibly be so bothersome about that? I'm sure Crimson would do the same thing were you to ask her.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 11:33:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's your reason?

For christ's sake, take it like a man and stop hiding behind a goddamn filter.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by ViperFUD on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:01:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aircraftkiller

SEAL, I find "a*k" offensive because of the way it gets used quite often. "a*k a*k im coughing something up oh its just him again," etc... I have a nickname for a reason, and if you type "a*k" it just auto-completes it for you.

I find it offensive anytime anyone calls Reborn "Rebarn," and the way it gets used quite often. "Rebarn this, Rebarn that." ... It has a name for a reason, and you should use that.

Since crimson put this filter in; and not just a filter for you, but a filter for everyone, whether they want it or not, I feel she should put one in that will make "Rebarn" appear as "Reborn" and

"Reb?rn sucks" as "Reborn rocks."

mmmm ... hypocricy. Grow a dick. (Or are you gonna have her put in a filter that turns that into "It's ok to be a pussy." ?)

EDIT: Fixing my fucktardedness/lysdexia.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by mahkra on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:15:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Buddy.... Just FYI, it's actually "Reborn," not "Renborn."

Anyway, I'm not entirely certain AssCrackFiller intentionally misspells it as Rebarn. We all know he can't read very well.... maybe he just got confused about what the mod's name really was.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by flyingfox on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:31:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:Ack

A sexual sound produced by penguins when achieving orgasmic crescendo

first penguin: ack ack ack! second penguin: got a light

Quote:Ack

a form of exclamation

"your underwear is showing!" "ack!"

Quote:Ack

In the TCP protocol, the receiving computer sends an ACK (acknowledgment) to the sending computer. This is basically how a connection is established.

Sender sends 3 packets, Receiver receives 3 packets and sends "ACK 4" (meaning it received what was sent, and it's ok to send the next number or packet)

Quote:Ack

Probably the most frequent utterance of Bill the Cat (a character in Berke Breathed's comic strip "Bloom County").

Milo Bloom: "Remember, folks... ask for those "Bill the Cat" flammable pajamas by name. That's B-I-L-L, not G-A-R-F-I-E-L-D.

Bill: ACK! THPTPTH!!

Quote:Ack

a bad ack, the opposite of a good crack. Can be used to describe something that is particularly substandard or to denote boredom and/or disinterest

"Do you like that new Starsailor Track?" - "Nah it's Ack"

or

"Alright mate how's it going?" - "Not too good pal, the manager at work says that tar..." - "ACK!"

^ Seems offensive enough (forget the filter)

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:34:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Next up for the "unbiased", AND "non-A*C*K affiliated" moderation of this forum:

Wholesale deletions of anti a*c*k posts. Attaching of "REBORN SUX!" to every post. Auto-correct of anything contradicting to his majesty. Apostrophes added indiscriminately to posts courtesy of "Angry Bob's guide to how flowers add apostrophes".

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by flyingfox on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:36:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I, personally, wouldn't mind a filter that changes a single "i" to "I". "dis" to "this", etc.

Subject: A*C*K?

flyingfox[^] Seems offensive enough (forget the filter)

Even assuming we all meant it to be offensive (which I never did), doesn't AssCrackFiller dish out so many insults every day that he should be able to take it like a man instead of hiding behind a filter?

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:41:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEALIt's the principle. I'd rather take the extra time to use my workaround than give in to your anal bullshit and have to write Aircraftkiller.

I wholeheartedly concur.

I could give a rat's ass what you prefer A*C*K.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:46:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lol. Just use:

http://home.earthlink.net/~mjfabian/ack_green.jpg or

http://home.earthlink.net/~mjfabian/ack_black.jpg

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by rm5248 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 12:58:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

, I'm avoiding the filter!!!! one 111 fjoeno

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by flyingfox on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 13:08:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Most of the insults coming from him are just reborn "bashing" or correcting peoples spelling. I don't have a problem with people getting taught how to spell correctly (come on, that's low-grade stuff; and I can't believe people still use i instead of I, which is exceptionally annoying. "omuggud my computar is broken how do i fix it and thanks lol"), and Reborn stuff is kept in Reborn topics. I don't see why people have to complain about it anyway; most of them don't have anything to do with the mod except being fans of it, and being a fan of something means you have your own opinion of it.

If you do have that much of a problem with him, why not put him on ignore? No offense; but it's quite evident from reading you and Kirby's posts that you absolutely hate his guts, and common sense would tell you not to read his posts at all.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by mahkra on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 13:09:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerSEAL, I find "a*k" offensive because of the way it gets used quite often. "a*k a*k im coughing something up oh its just him again," etc... I have a nickname for a reason, and if you type "a*k" it just auto-completes it for you.

Hey GrammarNazi, you're wrong again. It's NOT auto-complete. It's auto-correct. Except it's correcting something which isn't even wrong. And as you pointed out, it has many other meanings, so it's a bit presumptuous of you to assume that every time someone says "ac'k" they're referring to you.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by sniper12345 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 13:09:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This is hilariously stupid.

ACK!!!

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by mahkra on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 13:16:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

flyingfoxMost of the insults coming from him are just reborn "bashing" or correcting peoples spelling.

Actually, he routinely calls me a stupid fuck (or some other random insult) just because I disagree with him.

flyingfoxlf you do have that much of a problem with him, why not put him on ignore? No offense; but it's quite evident from reading you and Kirby's posts that you absolutely hate his guts, and common sense would tell you not to read his posts at all.

He passes off misinformation as fact quite regularly, and I like to set the record straight. If I put AssCrackFiller on ignore, then who would be around to "correct injustice"? (borrowing a phrase from ACF himself)

Also, I suppose I'm being overly optimistic, but I like to think that even the biggest assheads have the potential to say something useful at least once in their life. So I don't put anyone on ignore.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 13:39:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've tried putting him on ignore. His posts show up anyway. And I know I'm doing it correctly because I ignored someone else as a test and it worked.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by rm5248 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 13:59:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SEALI've tried putting him on ignore. His posts show up anyway. And I know I'm doing it correctly because I ignored someone else as a test and it worked.

He's right.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by spreegem on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 14:13:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098Next up for the "unbiased", AND "non-A*C*K affiliated" moderation of this forum:

Wholesale deletions of anti a*c*k posts.

Attaching of "REBORN SUX!" to every post.

Auto-correct of anything contradicting to his majesty.

Apostrophes added indiscriminately to posts courtesy of "Angry Bob's guide to how flowers add apostrophes".

Hey look, we can all write again. I think that this word filter is just going to piss alot of people off rather than do anything helpful. I think some people may even go looking for new forums not half ran by I also tried ignoring and he wasn't ignored, WTF? This is just stupid, I also can't remove people from my ignore list, and the viewing of someones post I ignored doesn't work either

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Dan on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 14:41:20 GMT I dont see why it creates so much bother anyway. We just type "A-C-K" (take away the hyphons) as an acronym, instead of typing out his whole nickname. I think of it as being helpful since it saves us trouble of typing out his whole name.

It's also funny reading posts about Aircraftkiller that are written completely in capital letters, and everytime "A-C-K" (again, take away the hyphons) is written, it is in lower case letters. Kind of stupid really...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 16:44:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What the crap...someone put a filter on the word ACK?

I'm upset.

What if someone actually wants to say ACK but can't because it gets changed to Aircraftkiller? People's lives could be ruined. And all because one man didn't like his nickname...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 16:47:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, I've almost lost all respect for Crimson for going along with this.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 16:50:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

She is, of course free to do whatever ashe wants with this domain, but a competent full grown adult pandering to this pissant is what I don't get. You now can't ignore his moronic rants, and you have to spell his nickname the way he wants you to spell it.

Whatever.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by f00keroz on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 17:31:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Hang on..

So when you scroll down a thread, and reach a post by Aircraftkiller.. your scroll bar just stops working till it knows you've read the post? And not only that; you can't leave the thread, hit the close button, or do anything to remove Aircraftkiller's post from your screen except clicking "post a reply" and submitting some ad hominem his way? Shit..

Consider selling your computer to a large company. They'll be very interested to see what the hell is giving it that much intelligence.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 17:43:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You have to wonder as to how mature some people here, who claim to be, really are after all.

"omg i cant spell his name the way i want so im gona go around it and whine like a five year old over something that keeps me from hating him even more"

This is actually beyond sad, it's entertaining...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 17:44:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, small minds like yours are easily amused after all.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:00:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Okay, and by that comment if I were to engage in an insult war with you - eventually we'd go around and around with how wrong everyone but you is, and how you'll never concede a point to anyone unless they totally drop your arguments like a sack of crap.

Which leads me to believe that I should have kept you on ignore. Nothing productive ever comes out of talking to you, except for that unintellectual drivel you wrote, which you have the audacity to call a story.

Keeping you on ignore was fun, because it wasn't hard to figure out what you were writing. Very

little that you write is remotely productive as evidenced by this thread and about five hundred others. I may not be perfect but for my complaints, at the least I can back up the fact that I can do more for this place than you ever will.

Which doesn't really matter, but for the sake of it, you're still an idiot. Makes me curious as to why I thought you were anything else. Go ahead and respond, you'll make it an exercise in writing in circles like you always do.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:06:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerOkay, and by that comment if I were to engage in an insult war with you - eventually we'd go around and around with how wrong everyone but you is, and how you'll never concede a point to anyone unless they totally drop your arguments like a sack of crap.

Which leads me to believe that I should have kept you on ignore. Nothing productive ever comes out of talking to you, except for that unintellectual drivel you wrote, which you have the audacity to call a story.

Keeping you on ignore was fun, because it wasn't hard to figure out what you were writing. Very little that you write is remotely productive as evidenced by this thread and about five hundred others. I may not be perfect but for my complaints, at the least I can back up the fact that I can do more for this place than you ever will.

Which doesn't really matter, but for the sake of it, you're still an idiot. Makes me curious as to why I thought you were anything else. Go ahead and respond, you'll make it an exercise in writing in circles like you always do.

Come now, surely you can do better than that? You just applied the same desciption of yourself that I use for you to me. Talk about circles and unitellectual drivel.

And I'm so happy you like the story.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by sniper12345 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:14:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AircraftkillerYou have to wonder as to how mature some people here, who claim to be, really are after all.

"omg i cant spell his name the way i want so im gona go around it and whine like a five year old over something that keeps me from hating him even more"

This is actually beyond sad, it's entertaining...

holds urges to not mention the Reborn war

Oh well, I couldn't hold it.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by spoonyrat on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:22:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Retarded thread...

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:23:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh for fuck's sake. You're a bunch of whiny-ass children. And all this time I thought A-C-K was just a quick way to type his name and I thought it would be convenient. Apparently I was mistaken. So, the whiny babies get their way.

Then again, your whining about this actually proves his point that you just say it to piss him off. But whatever.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Weirdo on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:24:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I find it strange that Aircraftkiller takes offence for people using his nickname online.

Aircraftkiller, you should try living with a first name, like Willem in a country, where there are thousands of "funny" songs about persons with that name. How many times have I not heard people saying "Hey Willempie" or *starts singing* "Willempie hoor je overal....", "Hup daar is Willem met de waterpomp tang" *stops singing*. I don't find that offencive in "real life", altough sometimes I find it strange that people know my name while I don't know theirs.

And even though you hate the nickname, why change it. You are long enough in this community I think to know that doing something as this will only start making people either make fun of it, or start getting annoyed by it, instead of what ever your goal is with it. If someone in real life who I don't know would suddenly force me to call him by all his titles, even though I'm not talking to him but just about him, I would be upset.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:28:14 GMT spoonyratRetarded thread...

Maybee, but it's a ton o' fun.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by xptek_disabled on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:29:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonOh for fuck's sake. You're a bunch of whiny-ass children. And all this time I thought A-C-K was just a quick way to type his name and I thought it would be convenient. Apparently I was mistaken. So, the whiny babies get their way.

Then again, your whining about this actually proves his point that you just say it to piss him off. But whatever.

Should have though about that before you went and started a gaming community.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Vitaminous on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:31:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonOh for fuck's sake. You're a bunch of whiny-ass children. And all this time I thought A-C-K was just a quick way to type his name and I thought it would be convenient. Apparently I was mistaken. So, the whiny babies get their way.

Then again, your whining about this actually proves his point that you just say it to piss him off. But whatever.

Nice to see what you think about a part of the community, now what are you waiting for? Go bash Reborn along with some others!

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:35:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

How does her telling the truth about how whiny you people are being equate to Reborn bashing?

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Vitaminous on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:48:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Crimson took your sideand called the "web-conservatives" whiny-ass children, so if she's going to act like this from now and on, I believe that she should start bashing Reborn.

Quote:Should have though about that before you went and started a gaming community. ^^^

Fact is, she isn't supposed to take anybody's side here, or even insult a group of users, why? Because I believe that's the way Virtual Ted and the old Westwood community managers wanted it, I even think it was one of the reasons to be given the community to you.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:50:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bingo.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:57:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The reason Ted gave the forums to Crimson was that she had the resources to manage it. Dante was the second choice if Crimson were unable to do it.

She doesn't have to appear impartial to anyone. She owns the forums and can run them any way she wishes to... Why? She pays for the bandwidth. Money talks.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Vitaminous on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:01:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Then this forum isn't the neutral point of the community, I've thought the oposite for a while, guess it's over now.

(I really thought there was a rule concerning the management of the forum? Oh well.)

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:10:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, you aren't incorrect in that belief.

A manager of any type irregardless of what situation is supposed to be impartial. That's why BHS has a disclaimer stating that only the three managers are to be considered as the absolute

authority in BHS communications. They have members that can't behave themselves and attack each other and other mods on the very forums they are supposed to support. I particularly like ack stating JW is full of it, and SK's nice little "you can't trust JW" blurb in his signature. And then there's the whole REBORN thing... And we're the children?

They are supposed to be impartial and communicate to all members the community impartially. So, you see, you aren't incorrect in that assumption.

Blazer is a very good example of impartiallity

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Aircraftkiller on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:12:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There is no neutral point to any community. Ted has no problems with how this forum is run. He has visited here several times over the last year, and continues to do so today.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Vitaminous on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:19:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What I mean by neutral point is that anybody in the entire community can join the forums without "fearing" to be insulted by the owners or been banned by no specific reason by the owners.

I doubt that Ted had a chance to see Crimson's message.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by xptek_disabled on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:22:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098I particularly like ack stating JW is full of it, and SK's nice little "you can't trust JW" blurb in his signature.

You should see what they do in IRC. SK is extremely immature. mac had to scream at him and tell him to stop attacking JW in the public chat. It's really hard to take the team seriously when they even fight among themselves. Jonwil is a great guy that messed up once. Hes probably done more for Renegade modification wise than any other person.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:26:56 GMT Yes, I must say JW is a very professional man, as well as mac.

They never espouse any emnity at all.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:45:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There's no pleasing you, is there? Guess I'm wasting my money.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:49:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonThere's no pleasing you, is there? Guess I'm wasting my money.

Then shut them down.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by xptek_disabled on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:49:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098 Then shut them down.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:54:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Maybe I'll just shut you down... I don't need to listen to your bullshit, and neither does anyone else.

You missed the entire point..

1) ACK is obviously an abbreviation of Aircraftkiller. Blazer commonly misspells "already" with two I's, so I put a filter in there to automatically fix it for him. I did this along the same lines.

2) Waking up to this huge thread full of complaining that you can't call him ACK anymore (if I left it on) PROVES HIS POINT that you are using it to insult him and you resent not being able to insult him anymore!

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by xptek_disabled on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:55:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dynamic IP > you.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:56:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Furthermore, I was a contributing, opinion posting member of these forums long before I ever hosted them. Just because I now host them does NOT mean that I have to stop having an opinion. There's another thread where you guys complain that I don't moderate enough, now here's one where I am moderating too much! You just have to make up your minds.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by xptek_disabled on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:14:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:<--no whining-->

New low.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by K9Trooper on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:27:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Here's the problem. You blow up at all of us in the community when we complain about the filter. Yet when people bitch about ACK attacking other mods or their projects you turn a deaf ear. ACK and his cronies always attacking Reborn and nothing is done. I have yet to see a Reborn member attack RenAlert like ACK does to them. And his attacks are totaly unprovoked!

And don't go saying they don't attack RenAlert because it it better. Because I've played them both. And you know what? They both F'n suck, but I don't go posting all over the F'n world about it. I stop playing them.

More on the ACK filer thingy... Yes people use it to piss him off. I use it because I don't feel like typing his full name and on the old WW forums he didn't mind it. As a matter of fact his maps were labled as "ACK Maps" on CnCDen and other places. Why the change? IDGAF why. It's a

nickname... It's not even your real name so live with it.

Double standards here are getting way out of hand. Since you have decided to blow up on the general public about this whole ordeal, we expect you to do the same to ACK when he crosses the line.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:30:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, here's the difference. I didn't delete this thread. I don't delete Reborn-bashing threads. If someone bashed RenAlert, I wouldn't delete that thread either. Once again, I THOUGHT you all said "ACK" to shorten his name. If I'd known you call him that to insult him, I wouldn't have added a filter. And since you DO in fact use it to insult him, I removed the filter and hence re-enabled your ability to insult him.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Vitaminous on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:48:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson...where you guys complain that I don't moderate enough, now here's one where I am moderating too much! You just have to make up your minds.

What the fuck? Some did, not everyone, and we've never said that you were "moderating too much".

Oh, and nobody asked you to waste your money on these forums.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Fabian on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:49:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonYou missed the entire point...

2) Waking up to this huge thread full of complaining that you can't call him ACK anymore (if I left it on) PROVES HIS POINT that you are using it to insult him and you resent not being able to insult him anymore!

Wow...Crimson, you missed the entire point. That proves nothing.

People were using "ACK" as an insult after it was being filtered as a way of protesting our censorship.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by mahkra on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 20:51:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson2) Waking up to this huge thread full of complaining that you can't call him ACK anymore (if I left it on) PROVES HIS POINT that you are using it to insult him and you resent not being able to insult him anymore! What the FUCK are you smoking?

That is not even remotely a logical conclusion.

MAYBE people used "ACK" as an insult. But that's not the only possibility...

MAYBE people think the shortened version "ACK" looks better than the longer version "Aircraftkiller."

MAYBE people prefer to read "ACK" rather than "Aircraftkiller" because it's two fewer syllables and much easier to read, especially when it shows up 300 times per thread.

MAYBE people resent you changing their writing. The fact that you're changing "ACK" to "Aircraftkiller" is irrelevant.

If I want to insult Aircraftkiller, I'll call him AssCrackFiller, or FRL, or any other insulting parody of his name I can think of. ACK is not an insult. It's simply his name.

Just because I'd rather call gay people "gay" than "homosexual," that doesn't mean I'm homophobic or a gay-hater. I just think all this politically correct shit is fuckin' bull. And I don't play that game. THAT is why I got angry that you made the "ACK" filter.

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by Crimson on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:04:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The whole point is moot because the fucking filter is removed. END OF DISCUSSION - YOU WIN - GO HOME - get it?

Subject: A*C*K? Posted by warranto on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:42:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just wanted to add my 2 cents...

What's so bad about the filter anyways? Why is it so important that you'd HAVE to say ACK, and have it stay like that rather than be changed to aircraftkiller, as thats what is inferred anyways?

It would be the same as the filter replacing "u" with "you", and "r" with "are", and I doubt that people would complain about it. heck, I'm sure that if Crimson were to put in place a filter that replaced, "lol" with "laughing out loud" I doubt anyone would complain.

Simply put, grow up people. Those who are complaining about this are probably the same type of people who cry injustice when they get caught cheating on a test and are failed for it.

Ask yourself this: is it REALLY so bad that "ACK" is replaced with "Aircraftkiller"? It's the same word, isn't it? I could understand if a filter was put in place were if something like "Crimson's fat" were changed to "I'm stupid" or something like that, but changing one word for another when it's EXACTLY the SAME word and then complaining about it is so childish, wouldn't you say?