Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 02 Jun 2004 20:03:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bush has been running a very negative campaign this election year - in fact, he's been running the most negative campaign in the history of the U.S. The Washington Post did an analysis of campaign ads, and came up with this article:

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A3222-2004May30.html

Let's take a quick look at what they say:

The Washington PostThree-quarters of the ads aired by Bush's campaign have been attacks on Kerry. Bush so far has aired 49,050 negative ads in the top 100 markets, or 75 percent of his advertising. Kerry has run 13,336 negative ads -- or 27 percent of his total. The figures were compiled by The Washington Post using data from the Campaign Media Analysis Group of the top 100 U.S. markets. Both campaigns said the figures are accurate.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by Crimson on Thu, 03 Jun 2004 00:51:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kerry has so many bad qualities to attack... what do you expect?

http://www.newsmax.com/archives/ic/2004/5/31/225546.shtml

I especially like how he flips the bird in front of kids. What a great role model for the future of America.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 03 Jun 2004 01:52:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I dunno, that one guy was being a dumbass. He doesn't belong at the wall...what a load of crap. And Sampley has a record for hitting people. Also, that Newsmax story seems somewhat questionable - check this out:

http://justoneminute.typepad.com/

Scroll down, it's under the title "We Flip for Kerry"

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by Crimson on Thu, 03 Jun 2004 02:03:33 GMT I don't get it.... they are saying he flipped the guy off too. And saying this his goals are moot because he supposedly hit a guy once is ad hominem.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 03 Jun 2004 14:14:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alright, that website was kind of cryptic in what it was saying, so I went back to google and took a look around. What I found was that Ted Sampley supposedly tried to stage an event to make Kerry look bad by acting like a jackass in front of him [which he performed admirably] and then Kerry made an obscene gesture in front of him. And Newsmax just happened to be there...

Also, Ted Sampley doesn't seem like a credible guy to me... John McCain calls Sampley "one of the most despicable people I have ever had the misfortune to encounter."

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Crimson on Thu, 03 Jun 2004 20:10:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Whether he's credible or not, Kerry still performed the obscene gesture in front of kids.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Javaxcx on Fri, 04 Jun 2004 00:17:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.johntitor.com

kekeke

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Hydra on Fri, 04 Jun 2004 06:56:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiAnd Newsmax just happened to be there...

Wouldn't it make sense for a news magazine to be there when a presidential candidate makes a public appearance? :rolleyes:

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Sat, 05 Jun 2004 01:29:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, no one other reporters that I can discern were there, so it must have not been a big event, however, Newsmax is not a big magazine/online publication.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Crimson on Sat. 05 Jun 2004 04:43:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah... who would hang around John Kerry when he makes a public appearance. I'm telling you, there's no enthusiasm behind this guy.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by amid tha rubble on Mon, 07 Jun 2004 19:06:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

НАНАНАНАНА

Like the democrats in the states haven't been bashing bush for the past two years as they geared up for the election. The Democratic candidate debates were less of "Why you should vote for me" and more of "why Bush is an asshole"

If the DNC wants to claim bush is running the most negative campaign ever, it's only in response to the vicious, virulent, voiceferous hatred emanating from the left.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Mon, 07 Jun 2004 20:42:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, first, that's not really true, a large part of democratic campaigns is how they will fix the problems created by Bush like Iraq and the tax situation.

It's not a vicious hate pool emanating from the left, it's all because of this incompetent administration that has barely done anything right. Also, only about a quarter of Kerry's ads are negative, in response to about three quarters of Bush's negative ads.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Nodbugger on Tue, 08 Jun 2004 02:30:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiWell, first, that's not really true, a large part of democratic campaigns is how they will fix the problems created by Bush like Iraq and the tax situation.

It's not a vicious hate pool emanating from the left, it's all because of this incompetent administration that has barely done anything right. Also, only about a quarter of Kerry's ads are negative, in response to about three quarters of Bush's negative ads.

My question is.

Who the fuck cares?

Kerry has so many problems, Bush needs multiple commercials. There it is, done.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by KIRBY098 on Tue, 08 Jun 2004 13:20:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonYeah... who would hang around John Kerry when he makes a public appearance. I'm telling you, there's no enthusiasm behind this guy.

The Democrats should have stuck to Wes Clark. With Kerry there are NO worries about Bush re-election.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Crimson on Tue, 08 Jun 2004 17:57:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098CrimsonYeah... who would hang around John Kerry when he makes a public appearance. I'm telling you, there's no enthusiasm behind this guy.

The Democrats should have stuck to Wes Clark. With Kerry there are NO worries about Bush re-election.

Or Dean -- he never would have won the election but at least there was some enthusiasm behind the guy that seemed more "pro-Dean" than anti-Bush.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 01:31:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Actually, right now the odds don't look so good for Bush. Statisticians look at records of everything trying to find any and every connection. And something that they have found is that every

presidential candidate's approval rating 6 months before the election turns in to how much of the vote they draw. And it's been like this for a hundred years in American history. So come November, probability says Bush will draw 41% of the vote, and lose to Kerry. And then we fix Bush's mess.

I also believe Wesley Clark was cool, but Kerry has had a lot more experience in the field of politics. Someone like Dean just really wasn't ready for the spotlight yet...

NodbuggerKerry has so many problems, Bush needs multiple commercials.

Ok...like what? If Bush did not want to run a negative campaign he would not run a negative campaign. Your response was highly idiotic. And multiple as in 49,000?

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by PointlessAmbler on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 02:35:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

People seem to be forgetting that many people will vote for Kerry not because they like Kerry but because they don't like Bush.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Nodbugger on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 02:48:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

PointlessAmblerPeople seem to be forgetting that many people will vote for Kerry not because they like Kerry but because they don't like Bush.

And that why they should test people to see if they should be allowed to vote.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by PointlessAmbler on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 03:12:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Frankly, I don't like either. Can we make the USA run without a president for four years? I think it's worth a try

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 03:21:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ehrm....except for all the processes a president needs to fulfill... It would take a lot of work to rig the U.S. government so it can complete it's duties without a president.

Also, Kerry is not a bad candidate. He's been a great Senator for a long time. He gets sweeped into the Senate by his state with 80% majority.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Crimson on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 04:22:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

John F. Kerry missed 72% of 119 recorded votes held in 2003 on issues President Bush had taken a position on according to Congressional Quarterly. Kerry's fellow Massachusetts senator Ted Kennedy missed just 3% of these votes. Kerry and Kennedy voted the same way 100% of the time on "key votes" in 1986 through 1989, 1992, 1993, 1998, 1999 and 2001.

And of course Ted Kennedy is the type of person everyone wants their son to grow up to be... :rolleyes:

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by PointlessAmbler on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 04:56:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jeez, Engi, I figured with the smiley you'd know I was kidding. Obviously we need a president.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by KIRBY098 on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 12:26:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonJohn F. Kerry missed 72% of 119 recorded votes held in 2003 on issues President Bush had taken a position on according to Congressional Quarterly. Kerry's fellow Massachusetts senator Ted Kennedy missed just 3% of these votes. Kerry and Kennedy voted the same way 100% of the time on "key votes" in 1986 through 1989, 1992, 1993, 1998, 1999 and 2001.

And of course Ted Kennedy is the type of person everyone wants their son to grow up to be... :rolleyes:

Things like this are what make me want a system like they had described in Starship troopers.

You serve, and you get a vote. Service means citizenship, and serving your country definately changes the doe eyed outlook on this world from innocent happy-land, to a dangerous place where americans are the minority, and are very much hated.

Subject: Re: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by liberator on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 20:14:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiBush has been running a very negative campaign this election year - in fact, he's been running the most negative campaign in the history of the U.S.

Somehow, I doubt the veracity of this statement.

The Washington Post

And of course The Washington Post has a reputation for being objective. :rolleyes:

Also, stop and think a moment. Do you want a President that is to the Left of Ted Kennedy? At least with Ted, the booze keeps him from speaking much.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Nodbugger on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 23:23:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

what about when that goldsmith guy was running. I think it was gold smith. Someone made an add about him.

Somegirl was picking flowers. Then a nuclear explosion happenend, then the girl was a skeleton. And it said this is what happen if you vote for goldsmith. That was a pretty bad attack add.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 23:30:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, that ad was a little while ago. Also, gold smith had the interesting idea that we nuke everything that opposes us.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever

Posted by Deathgod on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 16:48:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098

Things like this are what make me want a system like they had described in Starship troopers.

You serve, and you get a vote. Service means citizenship, and serving your country definately changes the doe eyed outlook on this world from innocent happy-land, to a dangerous place where americans are the minority, and are very much hated.

This is the most sensible thing you have ever said, and I commend you.

Subject: Dirtiest American Campaign Ever Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 18:10:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I just call them as I see them.

Thanks anyways.