Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Thu, 13 May 2004 15:12:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

anyone download the guy getting his head cut off? man that makes me wanna kill some towelheads
poor chap

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 13 May 2004 15:34:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

For every one of us that they kill, we kill 9.

I think enough "towel heads" are dying as is.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Phoenix - Aeon on Thu, 13 May 2004 18:59:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Indeed, I think in between the Rangers, Para's, Delta Force, Seals, Royal Marine Commandoes, Teratorials, R.A.F, U.S.A.F, American and British Mech and Armour divisions and finally the S.A.S there's enough people being killed.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by warranto on Thu, 13 May 2004 20:38:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Besides, (not that this is in support of it, rather the reason they proclaim it for) it's retribution for the prisoner abuse certain soldiers have committed recently. I'd say retribution for the retribution will only cause further retribution.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Nodbugger on Thu, 13 May 2004 21:20:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warrantoBesides, (not that this is in support of it, rather the reason they proclaim it for) it's retribution for the prisoner abuse certain soldiers have committed recently. I'd say retribution for the retribution will only cause further retribution.
I think it was just an excuse to to kill someone.

They really were not mad because in the middle east worse things happen every day. That is why

no Arab nation has condemned what American soldiers did.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 13 May 2004 23:54:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This execution was quit despicable, but NOT unwarranted.

The Constitution defines thee law of the land in a way that includes any treaties we sign. We signed the Geneva Convention. Therefore, we do not torture people. We're supposed to be seen as the guiding light country that leads the world in condemning torture and looking out for fellow humans.

At least we were like that before this Abu Gharib hellhole came to light.

It should also be noted that sometime a while ago [Note: I'm just remembering this off the top of my head - I may not be perfectly precise, but the general jist is the same] Donald Rumsfeld and some other people from the Bush presidency were giving a press conference or something like that and got on the topic of terrorists. They said something like "We will deal with these terrorists in new ways to better secure our country", and then someone piped up "Will they be treated fairly under the Geneva Convention?" Then Rumsfeld says, "Well, the terrorists shouldn't expect treatment under the Geneva Convention."

Now, here, smart people go "Oh my god, we're going to be torturing people?"

However, there really are no terrorists in Iraq, so this may be a little off to the side. Although it does deal with overlooking the Geneva Convention.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 13 May 2004 23:55:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nah, I don't buy that. It's not like they were peaceful people who never hurt anyone until a couple of our troops "abused" our prisoners.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Nodbugger on Fri, 14 May 2004 00:07:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There are also some fishy things.

Like one of the guys that supposedly did this used Bergs email address while attending flight school. I think maybe he double crossed them (why he was arrested by Iraqi police) so they killed him and used it as propaganda.

That is just a theory.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Fri, 14 May 2004 00:12:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson: Hm...abused...

Aren't there, like, 25 killings being investigated at Abu Gharib right now? That place was a hellhole, no doubt about it. Besides, the Iraqis we were and are fighting are just like any other soldier: They're supporters of a side. They're not some special group because we're in Iraq. Thus, they should be treated like prisoners. And Arabs see this, and then kill someone, and while they are indeed sick freaks, they are responding to atrocities commited by the US.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by warranto on Fri, 14 May 2004 03:07:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonNah, I don't buy that. It's not like they were peaceful people who never hurt anyone until a couple of our troops "abused" our prisoners.

True, but it does makes their "cause" most "just" if they have a "good" reason to take this kind of action.

"Look at what these treacherous Americans did! Now you see what they are really like! We only took this action in retribution for this! Help us fight off this evil Regime before they do the same to you!!!oneoneelvevin111!"

Stated like that, it puts them in a better light with those opposed to America.

Of course, just a disclaimer before I get people flaming me, I'm not in support of this, or believe the statement. However there will be people that do, and it's just an unfortunate turn of events considering the anti-American stance people seem to be concentrating on.

Subject: Re: see that sick shit?
Posted by IRON FART on Fri, 14 May 2004 03:56:40 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

EvoSnipeanyone download the guy getting his head cut off? man that makes me wanna kill some towelheads
poor chap
That video was taken years ago, and it wasn't "towelheads" who did it. If you must know, they were actually Russian.

But still you are quick to bame the "towelheads."

I'm not sure who it was they killed, but I know it wasn't an American.

I'd try to find it, but it is rare, and hard to find, and you would have to search through a bunch of twisted or porn sites to find it.

EDIT: Nevermind I realised what you were talking about...My bad :S
Quote:
For every one of us that they kill, we kill 9.

And it looks like that for every 9 of them you kill, them seem to kill about 18...

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Hydra on Fri, 14 May 2004 05:49:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiThis execution was quit despicable, but NOT unwarranted.
"Oh, it was a terrible act, but it is our fault." :rolleyes: You'd rather blame America before the terrorists? Who was it who cut the guy's head off? A terrorist. Was it an American? No. So how is it our fault? "Oh, we made them mad because we were abusing their soldiers!" That was 6 sick individuals who stripped a bunch of prisoners naked and piled them on top of each other. It was abuse, but what's happening to those soldiers right now? They're being court-martialed. See, the difference here is that we actually punish those who do crimes and commit atrocities. Al-Jazeera wouldn't dare report the court-martialing of those soldiers since that might give Americans a good name.

Quote:The Constitution defines thee law of the land in a way that includes any treaties we sign. We signed the Geneva Convention. Therefore, we do not torture people. We're supposed to be seen as the guiding light country that leads the world in condemning torture and looking out for fellow humans.

At least we were like that before this Abu Gharib hellhole came to light.
Let's see, has this happened anywhere else thus far? Have there been any other reports of Iraqi prisoner abuse? No, there haven't? Thank you.

I think it's nice how you just degraded the American troops in Iraq. Really, calling them a group of murderous torturers was really nice of you. :rolleyes:

Quote:It should also be noted that sometime a while ago [Note: I'm just remembering this off the top of my head - I may not be perfectly precise, but the general jist is the same] Donald Rumsfeld and some other people from the Bush presidency were giving a press conference or something like that and got on the topic of terrorists. They said something like "We will deal with these terrorists in new ways to better secure our country", and then someone piped up "Will they be treated fairly under the Geneva Convention?" Then Rumsfeld says, "Well, the terrorists shouldn't expect treatment under the Geneva Convention."

Now, here, smart people go "Oh my god, we're going to be torturing people?"Well why don't you help us all remember when he said that because I sure as hell don't remember him ever saying the prisoners would not get protection under the Geneva Convention.

Quote:However, there really are no terrorists in Iraq, so this may be a little off to the side. Although it does deal with overlooking the Geneva Convention.Oh, that's right, they're not terrorists, they're freedom fighters. :rolleyes:

Quote:Besides, the Iraqis we were and are fighting are just like any other soldier: They're supporters of a side.Well, Hamas is a group of people who support a particular side. Does that make them soldiers too?

Quote:And Arabs see this, and then kill someone, and while they are indeed sick freaks, they are responding to atrocities commited by the US.*points to Warranto's last post* that summed it up pretty well.

IRON-FARTAnd it looks like that for every 9 of them you kill, them seem to kill about 18... What the hell are you talking about? Only about 700 American soldiers were killed! That was barely a statistic in the other major wars we've been in!! For every one soldier they kill, we kill about 100 more militants, and for every one successful bombing/attack they make on American forces, about 14 are prevented.

Pull your head out of your ass.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Cpo64 on Fri, 14 May 2004 07:17:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would rather have my head cut off then beat and humiliated by my enemy.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Fri, 14 May 2004 07:47:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Obviously you didn't watch the video. It wasn't a quick chop like a guillotine. Nick Berg KNEW what was happening and felt several cuts from the saw-like actions of the knife before the horrible act was finished.

I haven't seen the video either, don't need to, don't want to. But I do know what happened in it.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Nodbugger on Fri, 14 May 2004 12:11:34 GMT

Ya it wasn't ver cool.

They had a bladed knife but sawed through him. Experts say he was alive long enough to see his own body.....

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by **Javaxcx** on Fri, 14 May 2004 12:33:46 GMT

hydra1945I think it's nice how you just degraded the American troops in Iraq. Really, calling them a group of murderous torturers was really nice of you. :rolleyes:

While I don't know you personally, many in your country (assuming you're American) have done the same thing to the French. Not all French people gave weapons to Iraq, but the bigotry seems to encompass everyone from the top government official to a newborn baby sitting in a cradle.

If you're going to complain about generalization, then you better justify the generalization that people in your country have been making for years.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by **KIRBY098** on Fri, 14 May 2004 12:54:24 GMT

Javaxcxhydra1945I think it's nice how you just degraded the American troops in Iraq. Really, calling them a group of murderous torturers was really nice of you. :rolleyes:

While I don't know you personally, many in your country (assuming you're American) have done the same thing to the French. Not all French people gave weapons to Iraq, but the bigotry seems to encompass everyone from the top government official to a newborn baby sitting in a cradle.

If you're going to complain about generalization, then you better justify the generalization that people in your country have been making for years.

I will concede your point, but does my government's over-generalizations justify yours? If America truly was imperialistic, and it's troops murderous thugs, Canada would be prime territory due to resources. I think we all know that American troops are usually good ambassadors when forward deployed, just as Canadian, Australian, and English troops are.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by **Javaxcx** on Fri, 14 May 2004 13:38:54 GMT

Oh yes, definately. "murderous torturers" was a generalization. Of course it didn't apply to every American soldier.

Besides, you wouldn't stand a chance against Canada, Kirby. Mounties are suprisingly resiliant to everything.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 14 May 2004 13:43:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxOh yes, definately. "murderous torturers" was a generalization. Of course it didn't apply to every American soldier.

Besides, you wouldn't stand a chance against Canada, Kirby. Mounties are suprisingly resiliant to everything.

Especially fashion.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Fri, 14 May 2004 14:32:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I dont feel a bit of pity for any of Osama's or Hussien's supporters, they are merely getting what they deserve in the end, and their religion is so radical, that you could never change their mind about us or our allies.
I consider the middle east the scum of the Earth, which needs to be weeded out as soon as possible, i understand many of the people are peace loving, however, they are easily manipulated and as soon as we give them soveriegnty on Iraq, in about 30 years a new dictator will come along in a time of crisis to alter the minds of the populus.
With the religion they have, they can never be truly democratic, truly free enterprise, for they will always think above these wonderful priveleges we are blessed with, for the sake of their insane beliefs.

Therefore, i conclude by saying most of the middle east should e exterminated, as well as the radical religions of the world
these things must happen before a perfect society can be attained

---

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Fri, 14 May 2004 14:44:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

EvoSnipeI consider the middle east the scum of the Earth, which needs to be weeded out as soon as possible, i understand many of the people are peace loving, however, they are easily manipulated and as soon as we give them soveriegnty on Iraq, in about 30 years a new dictator

will come along in a time of crisis to alter the minds of the populus.

LOL, do you understand your own hypocrisy? In case you haven't, I've pointed it out for you.

Quote:With the religion they have, they can never be truly democratic, truly free enterprise, for they will always think above these wonderful priveleges we are blessed with, for the sake of their insane beliefs.

Ah yes, the wonderful priveleges of the rich getting richer, and the poor getting poorer!

Quote:Therefore, i conclude by saying most of the middle east should e exterminated, as well as the radical religions of the world
these things must happen before a perfect society can be attained

I'm going to assume you're Christian, and if that is true, than you're also guilty of having a "radical religion". Remember the Crusades? In fact, any war sparked out of Christian morals is radical.

So let me try and get this straight: You want to exterminate "most" of the middle east (that would probably include civilians too, seeing how they occupy alot more volume than terrorists) because you find their religion to be radical? Silly bigot.

And Kirby: Touche.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Cpo64 on Fri, 14 May 2004 20:21:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonObviously you didn't watch the video. It wasn't a quick chop like a guillotine. Nick Berg KNEW what was happening and felt several cuts from the saw-like actions of the knife before the horrible act was finished.

I haven't seen the video either, don't need to, don't want to. But I do know what happened in it.

You are incorrect, I did watch the movie, and I stand by my statment.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Nodbugger on Fri, 14 May 2004 21:13:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64CrimsonObviously you didn't watch the video. It wasn't a quick chop like a guillotine. Nick Berg KNEW what was happening and felt several cuts from the saw-like actions of the knife before the horrible act was finished.

I haven't seen the video either, don't need to, don't want to. But I do know what happened in it.

You are incorrect, I did watch the movie, and I stand by my statment.

I saw the movie also. And she described it pretty well.

They kicked him over and sawed his head of with a large knife. Took them a but to get through the spinal cord. and he lived long enough to see his head less body.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Fri, 14 May 2004 21:40:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hydra1945"Oh, it was a terrible act, but it is our fault." You'd rather blame America before the terrorists? Who was it who cut the guy's head off? A terrorist. Was it an American? No. So how is it our fault? "Oh, we made them mad because we were abusing their soldiers!" That was 6 sick individuals who stripped a bunch of prisoners naked and piled them on top of each other. It was abuse, but what's happening to those soldiers right now? They're being court-martialed. See, the difference here is that we actually punish those who do crimes and commit atrocities. Al-Jazeera wouldn't dare report the court-martialing of those soldiers since that might give Americans a good name.

I'm blaming America....that's such a single-minded moronic statement. Some of our soldiers (more than 6, but details are still coming out) abused some of their soldiers. And now Muslim extremists have a US Soldier executed. We're no longer a nation that can say we denounce this despicable act if we ourselves have been carrying it out in a prison because of lack of command or whatever. Also, the FBI will no longer allow their agents to be present during CIA interrogations of supposed terrorists, because the CIA has gotten so rough the defense in a case can just say the FBI agent was present during torture and the case is done.

hydra1945I think it's nice how you just degraded the American troops in Iraq. Really, calling them a group of murderous torturers was really nice of you.

Again, this is another moronic statement. I was referring to ABU GHARIB, NOT ALL OF OUR SOLDIERS YA MORON! Actually think before you make such steep claims. I reference again to CIA interrogations here, although those people don't kill anyone.

hydra1945Oh, that's right, they're not terrorists, they're freedom fighters.

You do know that al Qaeda doesn't truly operate in Iraq, right? al Qaeda is composed of holy men, and some major predisposition of the ruling power in Iraq makes them not feel obligated to go there.

hydra1945Well, Hamas is a group of people who support a particular side. Does that make them soldiers too?

Well, if Hamas sends actual soldiers to attack us, and we capture them and put them in prisons,

we don't get a special right to torture them so we can skip over the law of the land.

...And we've lost at least 900 soldiers in Iraq so far.

EvoSnipe:

I doubt you have any comprehension of Middle Eastern politics. Here's a hint: It is NOTHING like the way we do things in the west.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Mon, 17 May 2004 14:01:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Superflyingengi:And now Muslim extremists have a US Soldier executed.

uhhhh dude, it wasnt a us soilder, it was a contractor that was supposed to help iraq rebuild, shows you what animals they are

perhaps its you that doesnt comprehend the subject, maybe you should do your homework

---

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 18 May 2004 01:04:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They aren't animals. They're human beings who have been ignored by people who claim to care for the well being of the world.

Now stop your bigotry.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Tue, 18 May 2004 01:19:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They ARE animals, and although im not a christian and i realize theyre are many many many good people, and we will never exterminate them, they will exterminate themselves, just watch well in my country we have freedom of speech, and i accept your accusation of bigotry, its not ungrounded, my ideas may seem radical, but this is just my belief, you know, and im not intending for it to change anyone elses beliefs, thats up to them, however i would like you to respect my ideas however wrong they may be to you, if youre american, because in my country all ideas and most beliefs must be respected, take the pledge of allegience for example, you do not have to say it or mean it, but you do have to stand and give it respect if youre an American citizen
ill end by saying that, the rich getting rich while the poor are getting poorer, is true in some cases, however, look at the flip side my friend, America is now helping many different countries at once,

namely africa, IRAQ, south korea, kuwait, just to name a few

in america, theyre are alot of poor familys, however hardworking they may be, that could use say, the billion dollar package Mr.Bush sent to Africa to help with AIDs

so in reality, couldnt you say that we in america are getting poorer and poorer, while the countries we support are getting richer and richer?

mind you look at Iraq's economy before popular sovereignty, and wait 10 years and look at it again.

Hmmmm probably not that poor anymore is it?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by NukeIt15 on Tue, 18 May 2004 01:25:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Which ones? The people being humiliated in a prison or the sick little shit terrorists that blow themselves up on busses full of civilians? The latter doesn't even qualify as animal, much less deserve any respect or recognition. Israel has found the best way of dealing with terrorism: high explosives, and a strict "us before them" policy.

Besides, as far as I'm concerned, if embarassing or threatening a few people in front of a camera will get them to give up information that makes life less dangerous for US Soldiers or Iraqi civilians, it's the lesser of the evils. I'm sorry if that sounds cold, but their dignity is not as important as information that could potentially save lives.

(bold, just so you don't miss it)If, however, these prisoners were being humiliated for personal amusement, I'm all for prosecuting the soldiers that committed the offenses to the fullest extent of the law. Unless it is for a damn good cause(saving lives), we should be conducting ourselves better than that, or we are little better than they are.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Tue, 18 May 2004 01:49:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

EvoSnipe
well in my country we have freedom of speech, and i accept your accusation of bigotry, its not ungrounded, my ideas may seem radical, but this is just my belief, you know, and im not intending for it to change anyone elses beliefs, thats up to them, however i would like you to respect my ideas however wrong they may be to you, if youre american, because in my country all ideas and most beliefs must be respected, take the pledge of allegience for example, you do not have to say it or mean it, but you do have to stand and give it respect if youre an American citizen

I am not an American citizen, and I couldn't care less for your country's rights and freedoms. I find American doctrine to be disgusting on many levels. Your government goes upon itself to be the mediator dispite their UN agreements for peacekeeping.

I don't know if you know this or not, but what America did to Iraq is called "INVASION". It's not a

just war in any way, shape or form, and no amount of saying "but look who he caught/killed" will change that. Saddam Hussien is a bad man, yes, and the position that America has him is "fortunate", but it is in no way just. The ends does NOT justify the means. You can ramble about "necessary evil" all you want, but you don't get a right from two wrongs.

Quote:ill end by saying that, the rich getting rich while the poor are getting poorer, is true in some cases, however, look at the flip side my friend, America is now helping many different countries at once, namely africa, IRAQ, south korea, kuwait, just to name a few in america,

You shouldn't bite off more than you can chew. Fix the problems in America first, then worry about everyone else. You'd have more jobs, more income, and more of this "helper" money to use in other affairs.

Quote:theyre are alot of poor familys, however hardworking they may be, that could use say, the billion dollar package Mr.Bush sent to Africa to help with AIDs so in reality, couldnt you say that we in america are getting poorer and poorer, while the countries we support are getting richer and richer?

See above.

Quote:mind you look at Iraq's economy before popular sovereignty, and wait 10 years and look at it again.

Do you have any idea how much this war costs? http://www.costofwar.com should give you an idea. Look how much money is going to an unjust conflict on the SUPPOSED grounds of WMDs (which were never found, now were they?). I sure wish I had 100,000,000,000 dollars to spend on the welfare of my country instead of killing the populous of another.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by DarkDemin on Tue, 18 May 2004 02:57:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warrantoBesides, (not that this is in support of it, rather the reason they proclaim it for) it's retribution for the prisoner abuse certain soldiers have committed recently. I'd say retribution for the retribution will only cause further retribution.

Ok since you seem to be an idiot and don't realize it. The "abuse" was sexual humiliation and was a tactic for getting information, what a concept it was not in direct violation of the geneva convention. The people that were "abused" were terrorists insurgents and have no rights under the Geneva convention. This man was killed and if we hadn't "abused" these terrorists they would have come up with another reason to kill him. I figured most of you people would have figured this out by now.

Subject: see that sick shit?

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Tue, 18 May 2004 02:59:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'd like to see some proof that every single one of those tortured men were terrorists.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by [Deathgod](#) on Tue, 18 May 2004 06:50:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

DarkDeminwarrantoBesides, (not that this is in support of it, rather the reason they proclaim it for) it's retribution for the prisoner abuse certain soldiers have committed recently. I'd say retribution for the retribution will only cause further retribution.

Ok since you seem to be an idiot and don't realize it.

He's anything but an idiot. warranto is one of the few people I have respect for in this community, precisely because he is NOT an idiot. You could take lessons from someone like that.

I'd have to agree with Java, sticking on topic. I find this whole war to be a huge foreign affairs debacle, and all it's going to end up doing is making the US look bad, just like in Vietnam. I have a feeling that if the media had been embedded in that war the imagery would be just as gruesome, if not more so. Neither side is going to be 'winning' in any sense of the term, and the US and its allies are already speeding up their plan to remove themselves, thus leaving Iraq to be broken and prime to be taken over by some other dictator who will restore the infrastructure at the cost of human rights.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Tue, 18 May 2004 12:52:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am an American citizen.

Unfortunately I think Java is right about unwarranted invasion. We seem to have lost something of our ideals in the rush to strike back. Afghanistan I can get behind. Iraq, I cannot.

And he is certainly correct about the pricetag of this mess. Infrastructure in this country would be greatly ameliorated if we would apply that much money to it. This includes Homeland defense, and armed forces. All we have to do is keep the undesirables out. Not kill them, thier families, and torture what's left. This is not what the American Revolution was about, and is not the ideals this country was founded on.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 18 May 2004 19:54:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

DarkDemin: NO! WRONG! Those weren't terrorist insurgents, they were advocates of the Iraqi Regime, AKA soldiers. And it wasn't just sexual humiliation. And their islamic laws say that they cannot be seen naked in front of women. And just because they are different from us doesn't mean that we can do whatever the hell we want to them. Again, the Constitution defines any treaties we sign as the law of the land. And wherre in the Geneva Convention does it say that we can do whatever we want to with terrorists?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by DarkDemin on Tue, 18 May 2004 23:39:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey how old are you? Do you watch the news and/or pay attention to it. I have already heard more than once that they were insurgents. Why do you think they are not being charged with war crimes(the soldiers)

w00t I am a commander...

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 19 May 2004 00:07:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aren't the trials against these soldiers at Abu Gharib starting to...well, start?

Also, wwith the latest on internet rumors, supposedly there's some more fishy stuff about this Nick Berg thing. Apparently, the CIA had Berg in custody until right up to his execution, but denied everything. There's the whole thing where one of the terrorists of 9/11 used Berg's e-mail address, and the two were riding on the same bus when the terrorist used Berg's laptop computer. Also, during the interrogation scene, some astute people analyzed the film and discovered that the chairs in the scene are the exact same ones as in Abu Gharib, and one of the cloaked guys standing next to Berg as he is being killed is wearing a big gold ring. But Wait! Islam forbids its practicers from wearing rings! So this guy must not have been Islamic! Also, reports indicate that the guy people think beheaded him [al-whatever] has been dead for a while.

Now, it may turn out in a couple of days that some of the things in here are just lies, but I think this Berg thing is really fishy. And boy would it suck if we killed Berg.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by DarkDemin on Wed, 19 May 2004 00:24:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Some on has been reading the tabloids again... :rolleyes:

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Hydra on Wed, 19 May 2004 00:33:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngiAlso, wwith the latest on internet rumors, supposedly there's some more fishy
stuff about this Nick Berg thing.
You're going to listen to internet rumors? Why don't you try getting your news from a credible
news source like Fox News instead of http://www.bushwatch.org? I mean, even CNN wouldn't
report such crap unless there might be some ACTUAL evidence to back it up instead of just the
hunch of some pissant who will stop at nothing to hurt George W. Bush's re-election chances?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Wed, 19 May 2004 19:41:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hmmmm java, if you dont like our policys and stuff, i suggest you talk watever country you are in's
government to come on and talk smack to america, be careful though, you may turn out like
iraq................FUBAR

and java, ill end by saying america couldnt give a rats arse about the UN, these people are
mindless idiots, look at the policys they implied during WW2, (namely, givem what they want, and
theyll be happy) italy invaded ethiopia, ethiopian leader pleaded to UN, "if you dont stop them
now, you will be next!" no reply, italy keeps ethiopia
hitler takes back all stolen german territory, breaking the Treaty of Versailles was it? also
remilitarizes west germany
UN's decision, "well, he has what he wants, so leave him alone and we will have peace. BAM!
germany invades poland, then takes france
it seems to me the UN is keeping the same policys that led to a major world war, and well, iraq
and afgahnistan could very well turn out to be modern day germany and italy, small, weak
countries, but over time, over time my friends, if no action is taken, even a poor country like these
two could develop, (or steal) nuclear weapons! Saddam was very likely in the last few stages of
development, and, if the UN was left operating the whole deal, we would have a hellofalot of
problems in a few years
Why do you think america is so blessed? because we look out for the little guy and keep world
peace when the UN dickwads wont do the job

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
 :thumbsup: :thumbsup: :thumbsup: :thumbsup:

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 19 May 2004 19:48:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I didn't say I didn't like America. I said I don't care for your government, or you really, for that
matter. Believe it or not, there IS a difference between your populous and your leaders. Your
leaders fucked up royally, and your people suffer for it. You on the other hand seem awfully

happy that your leaders have allowed BILLIONS of dollars to go to fighting an unjust war, and not into your mommy and daddy's pockets. You're just a product of the media, kid. Open your eyes and read between the lines.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Wed, 19 May 2004 19:55:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

well id rather have money out of my pockets, than a nuke in our back door

---

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 19 May 2004 19:57:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They don't have nukes.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by EvoSnipe on Wed, 19 May 2004 19:59:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

perhaps you should READ my posts before posting such an idiotic statement, i said "THEY WOULD HAVE NUKES"

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 19 May 2004 20:00:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They still don't have them. Your government is INVADING ANOTHER COUNTRY because they THINK they have nukes. They have not found them, and I would bet they won't find them.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 19 May 2004 23:56:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

EvoSnipe - Saddam was AT LEAST 8-10 years away from having nukes. Try and find something that proves that otherwise. And we would have caught him long before he could have ever had nuclear capability against the U.S.

Hm...when the UN dickwads won't do the job... Do you have the slightest bearing on what you are talking about? There was not a good reason for invading Iraq. We should have invaded

Afghanistan and shut down the Taliban-run government there, but not Iraq. Especially not when we were boasting about 9/11 wwhen we were doing it. [Hint: Iraq had no connection with 9/11]

And Evo, stop comparing Germany to Iraq, Germany was an enormous manufacturing country in the 1930s-40s, whereas Iraq poses pretty much no threat at all to us. They'll never be landing tanks on our shores and invading the capital in war. Didn't we lose, like, 5 people when we steamrolled them in our first engagement against Iraq?

About the internet rumor: It's a friggin internet rumor, I know that, stop pretending like I fully believe in it.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Wed, 19 May 2004 23:58:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So then what's the difference between getting him now and waiting until he's closer to the 8-10 years?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:04:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, what if I were to obtain nukes in 8-10 years, but no one knew for sure. Would that make invading Canada a just cause?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:09:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Are you currently investing time and money into Nuclear Arms research?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:10:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sure, why not.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:14:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, then perhaps the US needs to analyze the threat from you as well.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:16:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson - because if Saddam starts investing more time into nukes, we can get in his way. Just because he could have nukes in 8-10 years doesn't mean that he would.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:18:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonWell, then perhaps the US needs to analyze the threat from you as well.

Now I'm not versed in American law, so I'm treading on thin ice when I ask this, but doesn't the United States have laws and or agreements with the UN over invading other countries over assumptions or circumstantial evidence?

I'm just curious.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:20:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I never said we should invade you. Obviously we should send a diplomat over there and see where you stand.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:22:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was being totally hypothetical, FYI.   But yeah, aren't there laws that prohibit invasions? Also, if the United States did go around and invade another country, wouldn't the UN be forced to intervene on the grounds of peacekeeping?

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:37:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't see how that's relevant considering your research into nuclear weapons hasn't been analyzed and we don't know how much of a threat you pose to the US.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Thu, 20 May 2004 00:58:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What if I'm no threat to the United States? Would I be forced into a contact with them? I should think if I want to be left alone, and I pose no threat to them, the United States should mine their own business.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 20 May 2004 01:09:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sure, I could see that. And next you're going to tell me that Saddam was a peace loving hippy who just wanted to develop nukes to see if he could and that he posed no threat. :rolleyes:

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Javaxcx on Thu, 20 May 2004 01:58:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, of course not. Now, to eliminate the risk of going on off on an unrelated tangent, I'm going to ask a few questions and I want you to try and answer them as honestly as you feel you can:

1) What reasons did the United States have to invade Iraq?

2) Did the UN approve of these reasons?

3) Mr. Cheney was reported to have said: "the United States, when its
security is threatened, may not act without unanimous international consent", what are your opinions on this? (note: I got this off a Liberal site, so I cannot authenticate it's credibility, perhaps someone can obtain a transscript of Cheney's speech of Oct. 11/03)

4) What is the UN's policy on invasion in terms of
peacekeeping?

5) Do you believe that the ends thus far in Iraq justify the means in which they came about? Please elaborate.

Hopefully I can get you to see where I'm coming from when I say this war is unjust.

Subject: Re: see that sick shit?
Posted by Renx on Thu, 20 May 2004 02:31:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

EvoSnipeanyone download the guy getting his head cut off? man that makes me wanna kill some towelheads
poor chap

No, I haven't seen it, but a friend described it in great detail to me. I think I'll wait a while before I watch it...

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Crimson on Thu, 20 May 2004 03:23:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxNo, of course not. Now, to eliminate the risk of going on off on an unrelated tangent, I'm going to ask a few questions and I want you to try and answer them as honestly as you feel you can:

1) What reasons did the United States have to invade Iraq?

You already know this -- but to break it down, because Iraq in the hands of Saddam was a threat to the security of civilized nations including the USA.

Quote:2) Did the UN approve of these reasons?

The UN is a league of nations, so to say whether the UN approved or not isn't the right question. What you should ask is how much of the UN approved/disapproved. Some countries voiced disapproval. Others joined the Coalition of the Willing.

http://www.geocities.com/pwhce/willing.html

6 European countries disapproved of the action in Iraq, while in March-April 2003, the Coalition had about 50 countries in it, with 15 of those countries having troops in Iraq right now. There are 55 countries in the Coalition right now, but Spain and Honduras will not renew their commitments unless a specific UN mandate is issued.

34 Countries have troops in Iraq as of March 2004.

You can review those figures at the link above.

Quote:3) Mr. Cheney was reported to have said: "the United States, when its security is threatened, may not act without unanimous international consent", what are your opinions on this? (note: I got this off a Liberal site, so I cannot authenticate it's credibility, perhaps someone can obtain a transscript of Cheney's speech of Oct. 11/03)

http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn?pagename=article&contentId=A7648-2003Oct10&no

tFound=true

"Cheney blasted the criticism "that the United States, when its security is threatened, may not act without unanimous international consent" -- a clear reference to U.N. procedures, under which "the mere objection of even one foreign government would be sufficient to prevent us from acting. "

So it sounds to me like Cheney used those words, but in reference to what others were saying so that he could counter it. Out of context quote.

Quote:4) What is the UN's policy on invasion in terms of peacekeeping?

Apparently not effective is what they are. If unanimous approval is needed, then nothing would ever get done until every single country in the world is in the UN and upholds its charters. But if that were the case, we wouldn't have the threat (and reality) of terrorism, would we?

Quote:5) Do you believe that the ends thus far in Iraq justify the means in which they came about? Please elaborate.

Yes. Everyone is focusing on some small little incident involving abuse of some prisoners and trying to say that this whole war was a failure. It's not.

Here are some great quotes from Rush Limbaugh:
"We're investigating ourselves in the middle of what we're doing. It's like getting married on Monday and going to the marriage counselor every day thereafter to examine how the marriage is going. If you spend all the time analyzing it, you never live it. I don't mean to compare

"If you're serious about a war on terror, we ought to be dealing with Syria; Iran ought to be next; North Korea also ought to be on the board. Instead we're beating ourselves up and hampering ourselves over this prison business."

"If word got out that we were forcing prisoners in the Abu Ghraib prison to play dodgeball, can

"The media is doing everything it can to see to it that we lose this war, and don't think I haven't

"There is a joined effort by those in the partisan media to secure America's defeat, because they, like Democrats, are looking at Iraq and the war on terrorism through the prism of John Kerry's inauguration, as I said rather brilliantly yesterday. I want to make sure that it gets heard, repeated in all the right places. They're not looking at this through the prism of American

"Just two months ago the Democrats were all bent out of shape because Bush may do something to lower gasoline prices via secret deals with the Saudis. Now John Kerry is saying Bush isn't doing enough with OPEC? I'm incredulous. This is why his own campaign needs to be

"Prison abuse is one thing, but to let it shape our entire military purpose is absolutely

Quote:Hopefully I can get you to see where I'm coming from when I say this war is unjust.

Nope.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Deathgod on Thu, 20 May 2004 06:45:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

FUD started nuclear arms research years ago. When the revolution starts, we plan on being at the forefront of the new world order. The first thing we're going to do is develop a ramjet rifle so that ACK can whine when I shoot down his black market Apache with it.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 20 May 2004 12:21:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Personally, I was going to base to base with an MLRS over the Canadian border and get Java before any real damage can be done.

As for Taskbot....

You can't kick people in real life.

Subject: see that sick shit?
Posted by Deathgod on Sat, 22 May 2004 07:34:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I beg to differ, we did it tonight.