Subject: Michael Moore Has Competition.
Posted by Nodbugger on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 22:02:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxYour ignorance never ceases to amaze me. Go and learn what happened in World War 2, then you can make a crediable argument.

I never said the means are worse than the ends. I said the ends don't justify the means, and they do not. Stop beating around the issue and give some crediable proof that says "Iraq has WMD" with pictures of the warheads, because you're CONTINUING to justify yourself only by saying: "OFMGGGGGG THEAR IS WMD I DUNO 4 SHUR BUT I NO!! I DO!!!" and launching a dispicable Shock and Awe compaign.

Saying that Iraq has WMD does not make it so. You ASSUME they have them. Just as the UN, the "world leaders" and countless others did. Assuming something does NOT justify a war. I could assume that you have WMDs on the assumption that you're a raving war monger. But that probably isn't true, now is it?

You don't need to kill millions of people to justify a war. It takes ONE innocent life lost to a United States bomb, or ONE United States bullet to make this war unjust. It's JUST that technical. I guaruntee you this has happened, and if you say otherwise, you're an idiot.

Furthermore, the UN has, and would have continued to send inspectors to Iraq to inspect their arms. Check the damn report instead of working around it. The inspection on the AS2 missles and their destruction was dated March 17th, 2003. That means the UN was STILL watching Iraq non-proliferate. It's like telling someone not to do something, and kicking them anyway.

Of course, if you want to live in your media-inspired world, where you guys are the knights in shining armour, go right ahead. I'll be sitting up here in the north laughing at you while you think the world is your oyster to do whatever you want with.

I'm tired of you shit and you biased lies. I am going to ask you one question.

Would you have lived in Iraq while Saddam was in charge of it?