

---

Subject: Michael Moore Has Competition.

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 21:33:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JavaxcxThe means include the United States' invasion into Iraq. The ends are Saddam's capture, and the toppling of the Iraqi government.

The United States invaded Iraq on the ASSUMPTION, and let me say again, ASSUMPTION of finding WMD, and to date, there have been none found. This means your ends are not justified.

I don't understand how comparing this to World War 2. Germany invaded all sorts of countries. Iraq has invaded none since Kuwait and the Gulf War, thereafter, UN inspectors monitored non-proliferation. I suggest you read that quarterly report I posted, instead of assuming it's drivel and ignoring it. That report disputes anything that CNN news can tell you, because it is dated a good 5 years later, and clearly shows that Iraq is non-proliferating.

I also suggest you stop blast out your rampant ad hominem. It makes you look a lot stupider than you probably are.

What are the means? How are the means worse than the ends?

Oh ya they aren't. We haven't killed millions of people. A deadly virus was not let loose on the world. There was no easier way to do this. There is nothing wrong with the way we did this.

I do not know what the fuck you are trying to spew out there. We didn't do anything wrong as far as the means go.

We did not make an assumption. We went with what every leader of the free world said. Including the UN. the UN right now is more corrupt than Enron was. They are a bunch of fucking retards now and would not listen to them worth a shit.

and yes, comparing this to world war 2 is accurate. We went to war to get rid of Hitler. We went to war to get rid of Saddam. Those are means and the ends.

---