
Subject: Michael Moore Has Competition.
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Tue, 15 Jun 2004 19:33:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I understand where you're coming from, but keep in mind the date of the inspection, and the date the Shock and Awe strikes launched.

They were still (according to the UN) dismantling their caches when the bombs started flying.

Hypothetically speaking, if you were in the Iraqi government at the time, and were dismantling your munitions, would you continue to dismantle while being under seige? Supposedly, the Shock and Awe was targetted at Military sites; how do we know the sites used to dismantle the warheads and propulsion systems weren't destroyed even, only if by accident? Ultimately, how can you (not necessarily you, Kirby) conclude that Iraq was still proliferating or transferring "scrap metal" when they were consciously disarming when the bombs were flying?

Remember people (you know who you are), I'm not supporting Saddam. I'm questioning the justification for this war. The conclusions to date are bittersweet, IMO. Saddam has been captured, which in itself is a good thing. But I'm questioning whether or not the ends justify the means, and I believe they do not, and have YET to see proof that justifies this war outside the POSSIBILITY Saddam might have had weapons of mass destruction.
