

---

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 06:57:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ren SizzlefabK9TrooperHow can a war be illegal if the UN can't come to a compromise? The UN is in violation of its charter by not abiding by Resolution 1441.

The UN does NOT write the laws for the US. As well as what you call international laws are in fact not laws but resolutions. And we all know that the UN does NOT know how to abide by its own resolutions.

United States of America is the country we live in. My country is not the United Nations(notice the choice of color)

I've read resolution 1441 and can't see where either Iraq has breached the conditions or the UN hasn't abided by it. But it was pretty difficult to read, so I may have missed it. Which items have not been complied with?

And I can understand your patriotism, I'm proud of my country too, but Liberty and Justice for All should apply for ALL, not just all Americans, which was what I see as one of the main aims of the UN. Saying you're just going to do what you want in the face of overwhelming opposition (which I'm not necessarily saying was the case in the war on Iraq) goes against everything Democracy stands for.

here are a few of the violations...

3. Decides that, in order to begin to comply with its disarmament obligations, in addition to submitting the required biannual declarations, the Government of Iraq shall provide to UNMOVIC, the IAEA, and the Council, not later than 30 days from the date of this resolution, a currently accurate, full, and complete declaration of all aspects of its programmes to develop chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons, ballistic missiles, and other delivery systems such as unmanned aerial vehicles and dispersal systems designed for use on aircraft, including any holdings and precise locations of such weapons, components, sub-components, stocks of agents, and related material and equipment, the locations and work of its research, development and production facilities, as well as all other chemical, biological, and nuclear programmes, including any which it claims are for purposes not related to weapon production or material;

they did not declare the scuds they launched at us, the unmanned drones that were found, the aluminum tubing and magnets they acquired.

4. Decides that false statements or omissions in the declarations submitted by Iraq pursuant to this resolution and failure by Iraq at any time to comply with, and cooperate fully in the implementation of, this resolution shall constitute a further material breach of Iraq's obligations and will be reported to the Council for assessment in accordance with paragraphs 11 and or 12 below;

again they lied about the scud missiles. blix has also stated that they are complying grudgingly not fully.

5. Decides that Iraq shall provide UNMOVIC and the IAEA immediate, unimpeded, unconditional, and unrestricted access to any and all, including underground, areas, facilities, buildings, equipment, records, and means of transport which they wish to inspect, as well as immediate, unimpeded, unrestricted, and private access to all officials and other persons whom UNMOVIC or the IAEA wish to interview in the mode or location of UNMOVIC's or the IAEA's choice pursuant to any aspect of their mandates; further decides that UNMOVIC and the IAEA may at their discretion conduct interviews inside or outside of Iraq, may facilitate the travel of those interviewed and family members outside of Iraq, and that, at the sole discretion of UNMOVIC and the IAEA, such interviews may occur without the presence of observers from the Iraqi government; and instructs UNMOVIC and requests the IAEA to resume inspections no later than 45 days following adoption of this resolution and to update the Council 60 days thereafter;

again, grudging access and they did not allow scientists to be interviewed outside iraq

13. Recalls, in that context, that the Council has repeatedly warned Iraq that it will face serious consequences as a result of its continued violations of its obligations

this would be our authorization to go to war. problem is... definition of "serious consequences" to france and others, this means "we're going to talk and talk about it until the entire world is more mad at the us than they are at you"  
you've seen what we mean by serious consequences here in the us.

keep in mind, the things i mentioned are the undisputed items, they do not include the things which the us says they have but are as of yet unproven. they also don't include the chemical weapons plant(not proven yet) which was found since the war began.

---