
Subject: Re: "U.S. government objectives in Iraq"
Posted by [sg]theOne on Sat, 22 Mar 2003 14:24:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is some top-noth propaganda you got your mits on this time !
havocsnipe

There are a variety of other objectives involved in this military action. Washington would like to remove a regime that in the past has expressed its desire to become a regional power. If Iraq were to become a regional power, it would weaken U.S. control in the region, as Iraq would have an increased ability to take actions opposed to U.S. interests. The Gulf War in 1991 was a conflict meant to neuter the growing power of the Iraqi state.

Total hot air. This has nothing to do with Saddam's past pipedreams of playing in the big leage. This is about Saddam's repeated lying & deception of EVERY group that tried to INSPECT & DISARM HIM. The UN acknowledged the fact that Saddam indeed did not intend to disarm and intentionally mislead them :
UNSCOM report to the UN

UNSCOM
Reports to the Security Council
25 January 1999

ANNEX D

ACTIONS BY IRAQ TO OBSTRUCT DISARMAMENT

1. The history of the Special Commission's work in Iraq has been plagued by coordinated efforts to thwart full discovery of Iraq's proscribed programmes. These policies and actions began immediately following the adoption of Security Council resolution 687 (1991). It is against this backdrop that the significant positive and negative results described in the weapons annexes should be seen. What follows is a brief summary of the Commission's current understanding of the evolution of these concealment policies and practices.

2. Immediately following the Gulf war, the Iraqi Presidency collected reports on weapons remaining with Iraq's Armed Forces after the war, including its weapons prohibited by recently adopted resolution 687(1991). Such documents were provided to the Presidency in the spring of 1991. A decision was taken by a high-level committee (one of whose members was Deputy Prime Minister Mr. Tariq Aziz) to provide to the Commission only a portion of its proscribed weapons, their components and production capabilities and stocks. The policy, as deduced from a range of evidence available to the Commission including the initial false Iraq's declarations, was based on the following Iraqi actions:

-- provide a portion of their extant weapon stocks, with an emphasis on those, which were least modern.

-- retain production capability and the "know-how" documentation necessary to revive programmes when possible

- conceal the full extent of chemical weapons programmes, including its VX project, and retain production equipment and raw materials
- conceal the number and type of BW and CW warheads for proscribed missiles
- conceal indigenous long-range missile production, and retain production capabilities, specifically with respect to guidance systems and missile engines
- conceal the very existence of its offensive biological weapons programme and retain all production capabilities

3. Iraq had initial success in much of its concealment efforts, but, based, presumably, on early experience with the IAEA and the Special Commission in inspection activities, Iraq, took a subsequent decision in late June of 1991 to eliminate some of these retained proscribed materials, on its own, and in secret and in such a way that precise knowledge about what and how much had been destroyed would not be achievable. This decision and action by the high-level committee was a so-called "unilateral destruction". It was taken following an incident in June 1991 when IAEA inspectors, following an inspection that turned confrontational at Abu Ghraib, obtained photographic evidence of retained nuclear weapons production components.

4. Iraq did not admit to its illegal unilateral destruction until March 1992, approximately nine months after the destruction activities, and even then only after the Commission indicated it had evidence that Iraq retained weapons after its supervised destruction. Iraq states that "The unilateral destruction was carried out entirely unrecorded. No written and no visual records were kept, as it was not foreseen that Iraq needed to prove the destruction to anybody." Such an approach also indicates that Iraq intended to pursue a policy of concealment in its relations with the Commission and the IAEA."/size]

So blow all that 'regional regime' crap out your ass. Saddam was taking FOOD UNITS FOR HIM PEOPLE and selling them on the black market for CASH. This was verified when a monitoring group was able to BUY BACK MILK UNITS on the BLACK MARKET that could of ONLY gotten there if Saddam sold them. The first Gulf war was about removing Iraq from a territory that DID NOT belong to them -just like in Iran-. INVADING other peoples land for the purpose of possession via agression. Whereas quiet conversely the US will be GIVING BACK the Iraqi land to the Iraqi people. While the rest of the world protest the Iraqi people are rejoicing in the streets, try turning on the tv.

havocsnipe

This projection of power into the Middle East is the primary reason for invading Iraq. But in addition to increasing its influence in the region, Washington will also be securing its control over the Middle Eastern oil supply. By establishing a strong military presence, Washington will attempt to increase the stability of the oil supply in the global market. The Bush administration believes that U.S. influence in the region will reduce the chances of an oil shortage that would greatly damage the U.S. and other oil dependent economies.

Primary reason to invade Iraq - disarm Saddam & his regime

sub-reason to invade Iraq - liberate the Iraqi people

sub-reason to invade Iraq - to weaken the terrorist movement

There is an obvious link between Iraq and terrorism or why would it be in the *1991* UN resolution
?!?!?

<http://photos.yahoo.com/bc/aashton@sbcglobal.net/vwp?.dir=/&.dnm=UN+Resolution+687+Point+H.+32.jpg&.src=ph&.view=t&.hires=t>

Has Iraq denounced terrorism ? Didn't Saddam post 9-11 say that the US basically got what it deserved ?

Propaganda

The Bush administration has also set a new precedent for U.S. foreign policy. By attacking Iraq without U.N. approval, and devoid of support from traditional allies, the Bush administration has established a new international order where the U.S. will take military action despite opposition from international institutions and multilateral arrangements.

These concerns all play an important role in the Bush administration's desire to invade Iraq and replace the Saddam Hussein regime with a new government more beholden to U.S. interests.

This has been repeated several times. Clinton bombed Serbia during his term with-out UN or the congress's blessing. I dont recall a bunch of anti war protestors out in the streets then.

We are replacing Saddam's regime with a government more beholden to NOT MURDERING ITS OWN CIVILIAN POPULATION, LYING TO AND CONCEALING ILLEGAL WEAPONS FROM THE UN VIA THE UN RES 687 from 1991 and disarming a mad man before he joins the nuclear club. Among being in violation of the following :

Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) (March 5th 1970)

Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons and on Their Destruction (Chemical Weapons Convention)(September 3rd 1992 & April 29th 1997)

Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on Their Destruction (Biological Weapons Convention)(March 1975)

WMD Terrorism (October 2001)

The ISSUE was the UN didn't have the GUTS to enforce resolutions they made back in 1991 ! Dear Hans'y Blix has been involed with this CRAP since 1991 but he still 'Outlining disarmament tasks for Iraq, Blix laments lack of time for inspections'. What a load of crap. The 'outline' for disarmament is COMPLETING THE FIRST 1/2 OF THE FIRST STEP -- identification. ALL this time HANS & THE GOOF TROOPS haven't been able to even complete STEP ONE because Saddam continues to LIE. An the UN set there wondering things like. ... "it's incomprehensible as

to why Saddam wont tell us about his chem weapons program AND wont let us find the facts outself...we just..dont.know.why." <- Paraphrased

Anyways time is up, options are DONE.

Saddam has SECURED HIS DESTINY by :

Not complying with ALL OR ANY of the UN resolutions passed against him STARTING in 1991

AND he remains in violation of UN resolutions passed as far back as --1975--.

Not leaving Iraq and going into exile in the 48hrs President Bush GAVE him.

Chosing to do ALL OF THIS SHIT IN THE FIRST PLACE.
