Subject: Good site for those of you against mindless slaughter Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 14:30:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukeshis attempts to defile people merely because their famous doesn't concern me. What I said holds true. You cannot hold an entire people accountable for the acts of one or a few...otherwise America would have self destructed ages ago.

You are still under the false impression that we are going with a desire to kill Iraq's generally speaking. The issue has already been covered that we have dropped thousands of leaflets instructing on how to surrender & have now disabled the state run TV propaganda channel AND taken over thier air waves. So now the US Govt. has a *direct* line to the Iraqi people. They are telling them something to the effect that their time of liberation has come. Allow me to quote another post if you will,

Ren Sizzlefab

"I've only said this after hearing of the experiences of an Iraqi woman who fled Iraq after her husband, who was employed by the Iraqi government, was tortured and killed for reasons unknown. She was there during the last Gulf War, and spoke of the joy and hope experienced by the majority of the Iraqi people, even during the bombings."

Now I have a *very* good friend who was in the Marine corps. in the first war with Saddam and he said they were pleased as *fuck* when the vehics came rolling into town. I can get a direct quote, but it won't be helping your position. Does the word liberation mean anything to you?

Repeat after me..

We are @ war to disarm Saddam because he refuses to disarm himself.

We are @ war to disarm Saddam because the UN refuses to disarm him.

We are @ war because Saddam has not lived up to his diplomatic responsibilities much like the U.N.

We are going to change the regime of a country who actively allows terrorist to seek harbor in their country & pays finances \$ to families of homicide-bombers.

We are @ war because Saddam is illegelly developing LONG RANGE missles coupled with the capability for chemical, biological & nuclear weapons. This is all very *old* news. The U.N. knew this in by AT LEAST Jan 25h 1999 as reported to the U.N. security council by UNSCOM on that date.

The One