Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]theOne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:59:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64[sg]the0ne:This regime is 'friendly' to al-Quada(sp?) terrorist, allows them to reside in their country and train within their borders.

Speaking of proof... I would like some on that.

~~~~~~

Other alternatives? How about not going to war?

http://www.cbc.ca/stories/2003/03/19/canada\_us\_iraq030319

It is the opinion of the Canadian that the UN resolutions were working, so do we even need an alternative?

You seem a bit confused...inaction does not count as action. The UN resolutions say specific things.

Do : A, B & C Do NOT do : X, Y or Z

So far Saddam has not done A, B or C which is why INSPECTIONS ARE STILL GOING ON. It IS NOT THE JOB OF THE UN TO DISARM SADDAM...IT IS SADDAMS JOB

Oh ya Saddam HAS BEEN DOING X, Y AND Z. This puts him in material breach. Material breach = 'serious consequences' 'Serious consequences' != Hanz & The Goof Troops / (more inspections)

BTW thanks for the fucking joke of an article...

said. "The process was on its way to realization. The Americans decided it wasn't going fast enough." "

If the current regime wont comply what do you propose we do ? Wasn't going fast enough ? HOW MANY YEARS DOES IT TAKE TO ACT RESPONSIBLE ? HOW MANY YEARS DOES IT TAKE TO SUCCUMB TO WILL OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY ? Is this like a century long thing ? Can he pass this magic 'please dont come bust my ass' card to his sons who will undoubtedly act in the same manner.

Canada = United in containment(which doesn't work) OR United in pacification(which doesn't work).

The USA will not set on our duffs post 9-11-01 and let Saddam develope/have WPM/Chem/Bio while the rest of the world digs in their pockets trying to locate their 'sack'.

If Saddam was a valid player in the international community there could be alternatives...because

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums