

---

Subject: Good site for those of you against mindless slaughter

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 23:34:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes although I am confused about when Clinton ever really lied? The one time he "lied" was a time where he never should have been on trial in the first place.

he "lied" by saying he didn't have sex with Lewinsky...correct? Following the true definition of sex, which is the act of penetration, he didn't have sex with her

ok, i'll clarify and slightly retract my statement. first off... the monica deal does count as a lie and the entire situation is the reason why he was impeached and should have been convicted. as for other lies, i will not go into that because many of the "lies" are more opinion by those against him. so i won't go into them as they are not all based in provable fact. but to clarify my statement about clinton lies, what i meant was that bush is being accused by liberals of being a liar (and i believe by you but too tired to find the post) what i was saying is that i don't believe bush intentionally lies about anything because he was elected based on the "moral high ground" people who were tired of the entire clinton "immoral" years in the whitehouse including allegations of whitewater, china, monica, big business bribes etc. elected bush based on bringing morals back to the whitehouse. what i was saying was that if bush were to be perceived as no better than clinton in this respect, he would be committing political suicide and bringing the republican party down with him. that is what i meant by and was the context of my comments about clinton "lies" and bush not lying.

i hope this clears it up for you because i'm assuming that you were simply confused by my statements and were not trying to maliciously twist my words in an attempt to make me look bad in a debate.

perhaps it is the evil arrow influencing your actions?

---