Subject: OT: Political IQ Test Posted by Crimson on Fri, 05 Mar 2004 19:00:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SuperFlyingEngihydra1945Bush didn't lose those jobs; Clinton did. Bush created thousands of jobs; Clinton didn't.

Just so you know, Clinton created about 23 million net jobs in his two terms. And right after Bush becomes president, the economy starts going in to a funk. What do you do? Blame Clinton! AHHH! AHHH! Bush created thousands of jobs? Weeee... Except so far he's lost nearly 3 million net jobs.

Do I need to reference you to my post about this already? I showed you a chart of the NASDAQ, a very important market indicator, which clearly shows that the stock market started falling well before Bush took office. If you try to keep saying it over and over, it won't change the truth.

Quote:hydra1945Tax cuts do not raise the deficit.

Yes they do. The government gets less money. The government takes out more loans from other places. The deficit goes up. Simple as that. And again, Bush's tax cuts look so bad that the money the government doesn't get any more because of his cuts is still in his 2004 budget.

The Federal Government is granted 17 functions by the Constitution. To perform those duties requires about \$750 million per year. You can't make this problem go away by throwing more money at it, you have to spend the money better!

Quote:hydra1945No, as in how they want to raise tax rates to outrageous numbers.

Like what? Repealing Bush's short-sighted tax cuts isn't an outrageous raise.

If it's not an outrageous raise, then why bother? If it's not so much money, then why not let me keep it?

Quote:CrimsonBasically, Bush is the closest thing we have to this. If taxes are reduced, and the reduction is made permanent, then Congress will be forced to cut budgets on other less successful and unsuccessful programs to make up for the loss of funds.

...blah blah environmental stuff...

I still don't understand how you can claim corruption in the Bush administration while being completely blind to the corruption in the Clinton administration.

Quote:CrimsonHere's a little proof that CNN is Democratically-biased.

Mainstream media is negative. That's just how they work. And you can't say FOX is

democratically biased. For one, Rupert Murdoch, a crazy billionaire conservative owns FOX news, which he uses for his own highly evil agenda. Second, the news director is Roger Ailes, another INSANE conservative.

I never said that Fox is completely unbiased. It was just an example of partisan influence in the media.

Llama Man 451crimson- you say you made something over 40,000 dollars right, now if the taxes took away twenty five percent exactly

On my 2003 Federal return, My adjusted gross income was \$36,000. I was able to write off business expenses (since I'm self-employed in the eyes of the government since I'm a contractor, I can write off my home office) and the interest I paid on the mortgage on my house, plus my vehicle license tax, and real estate taxes. That left me with about \$24,000 left to be taxed. Of that, I ended up giving \$4500 to the federal government for 2003.

Quote:that would leave you approximately 30,000 dollars which is definately enough to live comfortably,

\$32,000, but close. But who are you to say how much I need to live comfortably? Do you know how much the cost of living is in Phoenix, AZ? Do you know how much I have to pay in gas to get to work? How big my house is? What car I drive?

Quote:not to mention the fact that your husband (im assuming) has a good job like you and makes money as well.

I'm not married.

Quote:even if he made only 16,000 dollars that is still 42,000 dollars at the end of the year!!!! you have no reason to complain,

I'm not complaining that I don't have enough money to live off of. I'm complaining that they are wasting what I do give them.

Quote:you should be happy 14,000 dollars ids going to benefit children (education, poor people) and all sorts of other causes. ii dont think it is the democrats whoare brats but the republicans!!!!

Who are you to say I should give up my money? Did those welfare mothers learn my profession and go to my job every day and do the work? No. Just because I wasn't stupid and used protection and don't have a kid that I can't afford to burden me, why should I have to pay for someone who decided "oh condoms are uncomfortable so I will take my chances even though I'm 17 and my mom still supports me"?

We are NOT a communist society!! If you need to pay the bills, then get your ass out there and get a job! Communism doesn't work on a large scale. If I am smarter and make more money, then I should get to live more comfortably.